Test::Class Best Practices

xUnit style testing for Perl by Curtis "Ovid" Poe

Test::Class Best Practices

xUnit style testing for Perl by Curtis "Ovid" Poe

ABSTRACT

When working with large test suites, using procedural tests for object-oriented code becomes clumsy after a while. This is where Test::Class really shines. Unfortunately, many programmers struggle to learn this module or don't utilize its full power.

Please note that article assumes a basic familiarity with object-oriented Perl and testing. Also, some of these classes are not "proper" by the standards of many OO programmers (your author included), but have been written for clarity rather than purity.

MODULES AND THEIR VERSIONS

This article was written with the following modules and versions:

• Test::Class version 0.31

• Test::Most version 0.21

• Test::Harness version 3.15

• Moose version 0.7

• Class::Data::Inheritable version 0.08

You may use lower versions of these modules (and write the OO by hand instead of using Moose), but be aware that you may see slightly different behavior.

Notes about the code

Note that Moose packages should *generally* end with this:

```
__PACKAGE__->meta->make_immutable;
no Moose;
```

We're omitting this from our examples. We're also omitting use strict and use warnings, but assume they are there (they're automatically used when you use Moose). The code will, however, run just fine without this. We do this merely to focus on the core features of the code in question.

Of course, you may need to adjust the shebang line (#!/usr/bin/env perl -T) for your system.

EVOLUTION OF A PERL PROGRAMMER

There are many paths programmers take in their development, but a typical one seems to be this:

- Start writing simple procedural programs.
- Start writing modules when they find they need to reuse code.
- Start using objects when they need more powerful abstractions.
- Start writing tests.

While it would be nice if people started writing tests from day 1, the reality is most programmers don't. But when they do, what do those tests look like? Well, they're often straight-forward procedural tests like this:

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl -T

use strict;
use warnings;

use Test::More tests => 3;

use_ok 'List::Util', 'sum' or die;

ok defined &sum, 'sum() should be exported to our namespace';
is sum(1,2,3), 6, '... and it should sum lists correctly';
```

Now there's nothing wrong with procedural tests and they're great for non-OO code. For most projects, they handle everything you need to do and if you download most modules off the CPAN you'll generally find their tests -- if they have them -- procedural in

style. However, when you start to work with larger code bases, merely have a t/ directory with 317 test scripts starts to get a bit tedious. Where is the test you need? Trying to memorize all of your test names and grepping through your tests to find out which ones test the code you're working with becomes tedious. That's where Adrian Howard's Test::Class, can help.

USING TEST::CLASS

Creating a simple test class

Now let's start digging into Test::Class. I'm a huge "dive right in" fan, so we'll now skip a lot of the theory and just see how things work. Though I often use test-driven development (TDD), I'll reverse the process here so you can see explicitly what we're testing. Also, Test::Class has quite a number of different features, not all of which I'm going to explain here. See the documentation for more information.

First, we'll create a very simple Person class. Because I don't like writing out simple methods over and over, we'll use Moose to automate a lot of the grunt work for us.

```
package Person;

use Moose;

has first_name => ( is => 'rw', isa => 'Str' );
has last_name => ( is => 'rw', isa => 'Str' );

sub full_name {
    my $self = shift;
    return $self->first_name . ' ' . $self->last_name;
}
```

This gives us the constructor and first_name, last_name and full_name methods.

Now let's write a simple Test::Class program for it. In order to do this, we need a place to put the tests. Further, to avoid namespace collisions, we need should choose

our package name carefully. I like prepending my test classes with MyTest:: to ensure that we have no ambiguity. In this case, I'll put my Test::Class tests in t/tests/ and our first class will be named MyTest::Person. We'll assume the following directory structure:

```
lib/
lib/Person.pm
t/
t/tests/
t/tests/MyTest
t/tests/MyTest/Person.pm
```

Tip: though it might seem nice to put your tests in a Test: namespace, don't do that. You might accidentally clash with a testing module on the CPAN.

And the actual test class might start out looking like this:

```
package MyTest::Person;
use Test::Most;
use parent 'Test::Class';
sub class { 'Person' }
sub startup : Tests(startup => 1) {
   my $test = shift;
    use_ok $test->class;
}
sub constructor : Tests(3) {
   my $test = shift;
   my $class = $test->class;
   can_ok $class, 'new';
    ok my $person = $class->new,
        '... and the constructor should succeed';
   isa_ok $person, $class, '... and the object it returns';
}
1;
```

Note: we're using Test::Most instead of Test::More. We'll be taking advantage of Test::Most features later. Also, those methods should really be 'ro' (read-only) because now we can leave the object in an inconsistent state. This is part of what I meant about "proper" OO code, but again, this is written for illustration purposes only.

Before we get into what all of that means, let's jump ahead and run this. To do that, in our t/ directory, include the following program as run.t.

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl -T
use lib 't/tests';
use MyTest::Person;
Test::Class->runtests;
```

This little program sets the path to our test classes, loads them and runs the tests. Now you can run that with the prove utility:

```
prove -lv --merge t/run.t
```

Tip: The --merge tells prove to merge STDOUT and STDERR. This avoids synchronization problems that happen when STDERR is not always output in synchronization with STDOUT. It's recommended that you do *not* use this unless you're running your tests in verbose mode. This is because failure diagnostic will then be sent to STDOUT and TAP::Harness discards STDOUT lines beginning with '#' if not running in verbose mode.

And we get the output similar to the following:

```
t/run.t ..
1..4
ok 1 - use Person;
#
# MyTest::Person->constructor
ok 2 - Person->can('new')
ok 3 - ... and the constructor should succeed
ok 4 - ... and the object it returns isa Person
ok
All tests successful.
Files=1, Tests=4, 0 wallclock secs
Result: PASS
```

(For layout reasons, detailed timing information after "wallclock secs" is omitted).

You'll note that the test output (named the "Test Anything Protocol", or "TAP", if you're curious) for the constructor method begins with the following diagnostic line:

```
# MyTest::Person->constructor
```

That occurs before every test method's output and makes it very easy to find which tests failed.

Now let's take a closer look at our tests and see what's going on.

```
01: package MyTest::Person;
02:
03: use Test::Most;
04: use parent 'Test::Class';
05:
06: sub class { 'Person' }
07:
08: sub startup : Tests(startup => 1) {
09:         my $test = shift;
10:         use_ok $test->class;
11: }
12:
13: sub constructor : Tests(3) {
```

Lines 1 through 4 are fairly straightforward. Line 4 has us inheriting from Test::Class and that's what makes all of this work. Line 6 defines a class method which our tests will use to know which class they're testing. It's very important to do this rather than hard-coding the class name in our test methods. That's good OO practice in general and later we'll see how this helps us.

The startup method has an attribute, 'Tests', which has the arguments startup and 1. Any method labeled as a startup method will run once before any of the other methods run. The 1 (one) in the attribute says "we're also going to run one test in this method". If you don't run any tests in your startup method, omit this number:

```
sub load_db : Tests(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_create_database;
}
sub _create_database {
    ...
}
```

Tip: as you can see from the code above, you don't need to name the startup method startup. I recommend you give it the same name as the attribute for reasons discussed later.

That will be run once and only once for each test class. Because the _create_data-base method does not have any attributes, you may safely call it and Test::Class will not try to run it as a test.

Of course, there's a corresponding shutdown available:

```
sub shutdown_db : Tests(shutdown) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_shutdown_database;
}
```

This allows you to set up and tear down a pristine testing environment for every test class without worrying that other test classes will interfere with the current tests. Of course, this means that tests may not be able to run in parallel and there are ways around that, but it's beyond the scope of this article.

As mentioned, our startup method has a second argument which tells Test::Class that we're going to run one test in this startup method. This is strictly optional. Here we use it to safely test that we can load our Person class. As an added feature, if Test::Class detects that the startup test failed or an exception is thrown, it assumes that there's no point in running the rest of the tests, so it skips the remaining tests for the class.

Tip: Don't run tests in your startup method. We'll explain why in a bit. For now, it's better to do this:

```
sub startup : Tests(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $class = $test->class;
    eval "use $class";
    die $0 if $0;
}
```

However, we'll keep the test in the startup method for a while longer, just so you can see how it works.

Now let's take a closer look at the constructor method.

Tip: We did not name the constructor tests new because that's a Test::Class method and overriding it will cause our tests to break.

Our Tests attribute lists the number of tests as '3', but if we don't know how many tests we're going to have, we can still use no_plan.

```
sub constructor : Tests(no_plan) { ... }
```

As a short-cut, omitting arguments to the attribute will also mean no plan:

```
sub constructor : Tests { ... }
```

The my \$test = shift line is equivalent to my \$self = shift. I've like to rename \$self to \$test in my test classes, but that's merely a matter of personal preference.

Also, the \$test object is an empty hashref. This allows you to stash data there, if needed. For example:

```
sub startup : Tests(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $pid = $test->_start_process or die "Could not start process: $?";
    $test->{pid} = $pid;
}

sub run : Tests(no_plan) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $process = $test->_get_process($test->{pid});
    ...
}
```

The rest of the test method is self-explanatory if you're familiar with Test::More.

Of course, we also had first_name, last_name and full_name, so let's write those tests. Because we're in "development mode", we'll leave these tests as no_plan, but don't forget to set the number of tests when you're done.

```
sub first_name : Tests {
    my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can_ok $person, 'first_name';
    ok !defined $person->first name,
      '... and first name should start out undefined';
    $person->first_name('John');
    is $person->first_name, 'John',
      '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
sub last_name : Tests {
   my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can ok $person, 'last name';
    ok !defined $person->last_name,
      '... and last name should start out undefined';
    $person->last_name('Public');
    is $person->last name, 'Public',
      '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
sub full_name : Tests {
   my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can ok $person, 'full name';
    ok !defined $person->full_name,
      '... and full name should start out undefined';
    $person->first_name('John');
    $person->last name('Public');
    is $person->full_name, 'John Public',
      '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
```

Tip: when possible, name your test methods after the method they're testing. This makes finding them much easier. You can even write editor tools to automatically jump to them. Of course, not all test methods will fit this pattern, but many will.

The first_name and last_name tests can probably have common elements factored out, but for now they're fine. Let's see what happens when we run this (warnings

omitted):

```
t/run.t ..
ok 1 - use Person;
# MyTest::Person->constructor
ok 2 - Person->can('new')
ok 3 - ... and the constructor should succeed
ok 4 - ... and the object it returns isa Person
# MyTest::Person->first name
ok 5 - Person->can('first_name')
ok 6 - ... and first name should start out undefined
ok 7 - ... and setting its value should succeed
# MyTest::Person->full_name
ok 8 - Person->can('full name')
not ok 9 - \dots and full_name should start out undefined
  Failed test '... and full_name should start out undefined'
   at t/tests/Test/Person.pm line 48.
    (in MyTest::Person->full_name)
ok 10 - ... and setting its value should succeed
# MyTest::Person->last_name
ok 11 - Person->can('last name')
ok 12 - ... and last_name should start out undefined
ok 13 - ... and setting its value should succeed
1..13
# Looks like you failed 1 test of 13.
Dubious, test returned 1 (wstat 256, 0x100)
Failed 1/13 subtests
Test Summary Report
-----
t/run.t (Wstat: 256 Tests: 13 Failed: 1)
  Failed test: 9
  Non-zero exit status: 1
Files=1, Tests=13, 0 wallclock secs
Result: FAIL
```

Uh oh. We can see that full_name isn't behaving the way we expected it to. Let's assume that we want to croak if either the first or last name is not set. To keep this simple, we'll just assume that neither first_name nor last_name may be set to a false value.

```
sub full_name {
    my $self = shift;

unless ( $self->first_name && $self->last_name ) {
        Carp::croak("Both first and last names must be set");
    }

return $self->first_name . ' ' . $self->last_name;
}
```

That should be pretty clear, now let's look at the new test. We'll use the throws_ok test from Test::Exception to test the Carp::croak(). Because we're using Test::Most instead of Test::More, we can use this test function without specifically using Test::Exception.

```
sub full_name : Tests(no_plan) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can_ok $person, 'full_name';

    throws_ok { $person->full_name }
        qr/^Both first and last names must be set/,
        '... and full_name() should croak() if the either name is not set';

    $person->first_name('John');

    throws_ok { $person->full_name }
        qr/^Both first and last names must be set/,
        '... and full_name() should croak() if the either name is not set';

    $person->last_name('Public');
    is $person->full_name, 'John Public',
        '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
```

And now our tests all pass and we can go back and set our test plan numbers, if desired:

```
All tests successful.

Files=1, Tests=14, 0 wallclock secs
Result: PASS
```

Inheriting tests

By now you're probably looking at that and saying "that's a heck of a lot of work just for testing a class" and if this was all there is to it, you'd be perfectly justified in forgetting about Test::Class. However, let's see how Test::Class really shines by writing a subclass of Person named Person::Employee. We'll keep it simple by only providing an employee number method, but you'll quickly understand the benefits.

```
package Person::Employee;

use Moose;
extends 'Person';

has employee_number => ( is => 'rw', isa => 'Int' );

1;
```

And the test class for it:

```
package MyTest::Person::Employee;

use Test::Most;
use parent 'MyTest::Person';

sub class {'Person::Employee'}

sub employee_number : Tests(3) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $employee = $test->class->new;
    can_ok $employee, 'employee_number';
    ok !defined $employee->employee_number,
        '... and employee_number should not start out defined';
```

Notice that instead of inheriting from Test::Class, we've inherited from MyTest::Person, just like out Person::Employee class inherited from Person. Also, we have overridden the class method to ensure that tests know which class they're using.

At this time, we also need to add MyTest::Person::Employee to t/run.t:

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl -T

use lib 't/tests';

use MyTest::Person;
use MyTest::Person::Employee;

Test::Class->runtests;
```

And when we run it t/run.t:

```
All tests successful.
Files=1, Tests=31, 1 wallclock secs
```

Whoa! Wait a minute. We only added three tests. We started with 14, how come we now have 31?

Because MyTest::Person::Employee *inherited* the tests from MyTest::Person. That means that the 14 original tests plus the 14 inherited tests and the 3 added tests give us 31 tests! But these aren't frivolous tests, either. Look at the new test's output:

```
# MyTest::Person::Employee->constructor
ok 16 - Person::Employee->can('new')
ok 17 - ... and the constructor should succeed
ok 18 - ... and the object it returns isa Person:: Employee
# MyTest::Person::Employee->employee number
ok 19 - Person::Employee->can('employee number')
ok 20 - ... and employee_number should not start out defined
ok 21 - ... but we should be able to set its value
# MyTest::Person::Employee->first name
ok 22 - Person::Employee->can('first name')
ok 23 - ... and first name should start out undefined
ok 24 - ... and setting its value should succeed
# MyTest::Person::Employee->full_name
ok 25 - Person::Employee->can('full name')
ok 26 - ... and full name() should croak() if the either name is not set
ok 27 - ... and full name() should croak() if the either name is not set
ok 28 - ... and setting its value should succeed
# MyTest::Person::Employee->last_name
ok 29 - Person::Employee->can('last_name')
ok 30 - ... and last name should start out undefined
ok 31 - ... and setting its value should succeed
```

Because we didn't explicitly hard-code the class name in our tests and because MyTest::Person::Employee had overridden the class method, these new tests are being run against instances of Person::Employee, not Person. This allows us to know that we did not break any of our inherited behavior! However, if we do need to alter the behavior of one of those methods, as we might expect with object-oriented code, all you need to do is override the corresponding test method. For example, what if employees must have their full names listed in the format "last name, first name"?

```
sub full_name {
    my $self = shift;

unless ( $self->first_name && $self->last_name ) {
        Carp::croak("Both first and last names must be set");
}
```

```
return $self->last_name . ', ' . $self->first_name;
}
```

The appropriate test method in MyTest::Person::Employee might look like this:

```
sub full_name : Tests(no_plan) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can_ok $person, 'full_name';

    throws_ok { $person->full_name }
    qr/^Both first and last names must be set/,
        '... and full_name() should croak() if the either name is not set';

    $person->first_name('John');

    throws_ok { $person->full_name }
    qr/^Both first and last names must be set/,
        '... and full_name() should croak() if the either name is not set';

    $person->last_name('Public');
    is $person->full_name, 'Public, John',
        '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
```

Make those changes and all tests will pass. MyTest::Person::Employee will call its own full name test method and not that of its parent class.

Refactoring test classes

Refactoring with methods

There's a lot of duplication in the full_name test which you should factor out into common code. In our MyTest::Person class, one way to do this might be:

```
sub full_name : Tests(no_plan)
        my $test = shift;
        $test->_full_name_validation;
       my $person = $test->class->new(
            first_name => 'John',
            last_name => 'Public',
        );
        is $person->full_name, 'John Public',
          'The name of a person should render correctly';
    }
    sub _full_name_validation {
       my ( $test, $person ) = @_;
        my $person = $test->class->new;
        can ok $person, 'full name';
        throws ok { $person->full name }
            qr/^Both first and last names must be set/,
            '... and full name() should croak() if the either name is not set';
        $person->first_name('John');
        throws_ok { $person->full_name }
            qr/^Both first and last names must be set/,
            '... and full_name() should croak() if the either name is not set';
    }
And in MyTest::Person::Employee:
    sub full_name : Tests(no_plan)
       my $test = shift;
        $test->_full_name_validation;
       my $person = $test->class->new(
            first_name => 'Mary',
            last name => 'Jones',
        );
        is $person->full_name, 'Jones, Mary',
          'The employee name should render correctly';
    }
```

Just like with any other OO code, we inherit the _full_name_validation method and can share it with our subclass.

Refactoring with fixtures

When writing test classes, the startup and shutdown methods are very handy, but those run only at the beginning and end of your test class. Sometimes you need code to run before the beginning and end of every test method. For example, in our code above, many of the test methods had the following line of code:

```
my $person = $test->class->new;
```

Now you really may not want to duplicate that every time, so you can use what's known as a *fixture*. A fixture is "fixed state" for you tests to run against. These allow you to remove a lot of duplicated code from your tests and to have a controlled environment. You could do something like this:

```
sub setup : Tests(setup) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $class = $test->class;
    $test->{person} = $class->new;
}
```

Or if you want to start with a known set of data:

```
sub setup : Tests(setup) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $class = $test->class;
    $test->{person} = $class->new(
        first_name => 'John',
        last_name => 'Public',
    );
}
```

Now, all of your test methods can simply use \$test->{person} (you can make that a method if you prefer) to access a new instance of the class you're testing without having to constantly duplicate that code.

Now, all of your test methods can simply use \$test->{person} (you can make that a method if you prefer) to access a new instance of the class you're testing without having

to constantly duplicate that code.

The corresponding teardown method is useful if you need to clean up on a per test basis. We'll cover more of these methods later.

MAKING OUR TESTING LIVES EASIER

Auto-discovering your test classes

By this time, you're probably beginning to understand how Test::Class can make managing large codebases a bit easier, but what about making Test::Class tests easier? The first problem is our helper script, t/run.t:

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl -T

use lib 't/tests';

use MyTest::Person;
use MyTest::Person::Employee;

Test::Class->runtests;
```

Right now, this doesn't look so bad, but as we start to add more classes, this gets to be unwieldy. What if you forget to add a test class? Your class might be broken, but since the test class is not run, how will you know? So let's fix this to 'auto-discover' our tests.

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl -T

use Test::Class::Load qw(t/tests);
Test::Class->runtests;
```

Just tell Test::Class::Load (bundled with Test::Class) which directories your test classes are in and it will find them for you. It does this by loading attempting to load all files with a .pm extension, so if you have "helper" test modules which are not Test::Class tests, keep them in a separate directory.

Using a common base class

Naturally, because this is programming, we want to be able to factor out common code. We've done a little bit of this already, but there's room for improvement. You'll notice that both test classes have a method for returning the name of the class being tested. But since we can *calculate* the name of this class, so why not push this into a base class? We'll put this in t/tests/My/Test/Class.pm.

For Person::Employee, we merely need to delete the class method. For Person, we delete the class method, delete the startup method and have it inherit from My::Test::Class instead of Test::Class. Now. class will always return the current class we're testing and it's guaranteed to be loaded by the time the test class has run. Here's what the new MyTest::Person class looks like:

```
package MyTest::Person;

use Test::Most;
use parent 'My::Test::Class';

sub constructor : Tests(3) {
    my $test = shift;
```

```
my $class = $test->class;
    can_ok $class, 'new';
    ok my $person = $class->new, '... and the constructor should succeed';
    isa_ok $person, $class, '... and the object it returns';
}
sub first_name : Tests(3) {
   my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can ok $person, 'first name';
    ok !defined $person->first name,
      '... and first_name should start out undefined';
    $person->first name('John');
    is $person->first_name, 'John', '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
sub last_name : Tests(3) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can_ok $person, 'last_name';
    ok !defined $person->last name,
      '... and last_name should start out undefined';
    $person->last_name('Public');
    is $person->last_name, 'Public', '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
sub full_name : Tests(4) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_full_name_validation;
    my $person = $test->class->new(
        first_name => 'John',
        last name => 'Public',
    );
    is $person->full name, 'John Public',
      '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
sub _full_name_validation {
   my ( $test, $person ) = @_;
   my $person = $test->class->new;
```

And the test results for MyTest::Person::Employee:

```
All tests successful.
Files=1, Tests=32, 1 wallclock secs
```

Now we have an extra test, but that's because of the ok 1 found in the My::Test::Class::startup method. It gets called an extra time for the loading of My::Test::Class.

Tip: If your class must be loaded at BEGIN time, override this startup method in your test class but be sure to provide a class method.

Run individual test classes

When I'm running tests, I hate to leave my editor merely to run tests from the command line. To avoid this, I have something similar to following mapping in my .vimrc file:

```
noremap ,t :!prove --merge -lv %<CR>
```

Then, when I'm writing tests, I merely hit ,t and my test runs. However, doing this in a test class doesn't work. The class gets loaded, but the tests do not run. I could simply add a new mapping:

```
noremap ,T :!prove -lv --merge t/run.t<CR>
```

The problem is that this runs *all* of my test classes. If I have several hundred tests, I don't want to hunt back through all of the test output to see which tests failed. Instead, I want to run a single test class. To do this, I first alter my mapping to include the path to my test classes.

```
noremap ,t :!prove -lv --merge -It/tests %<CR>
```

I also remove the Test::Class->runtests line from t/run.t (or else l'il have my tests run twice if I run the full test suite). Instead, now that I have a common base class, I add the following line to My::Test::Class:

```
INIT { Test::Class->runtests }
```

Now, regardless of whether or not I'm in a standard Test:: Most test program or one of my new test classes, I can type ,t and run just the tests in the file I'm editing.

If you run the tests for MyTest::Person::Employee, you'll see the full run of 32 tests because Test::Class will run the tests for the current class and all classes which it inherits from. If you run the tests for MyTest::Person, you'll only see 15 tests run, which is the behavior we wanted.

If you prefer Emacs, you can put the following in your ~/.emacs file.

That will bind this to C-c t and you can pretend that you're as cool as vim users (just kidding! Stop the hate mail already).

HANDLING STARTUP/SETUP/TEARDOWN/SHUTDOWN METHODS

We often find that when we're running tests, we often need to have special code run at the start and end of a class and at the start and end of every test method. These might be useful to connecting to databases, deleting temp files, setting up test fixtures and so on. Test::Class can help us with this.

For simplicity's sake, we'll refer to Test::Class's methods for handling this as *test* control methods.

Test::Class provides four such methods.

- startup This method is run *once* for each class, before any tests are run.
- shutdown This method is run once for each class, after all tests have run.
- setup This method is run before each test method.
- teardown This method is run after each test method.

"startup" and "shutdown"

One common function for the startup and shutdown methods is to set up and tear down a database:

```
package Tests::My::Resultset::Customer;
use parent 'My::Test::Class';

sub startup : Tests(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_connect_to_database;
}

sub shutdown : Tests(shutdown) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_disconnect_from_database;
}
```

```
# ... and so on
```

What happens here is that when the test class is loaded, the first code which gets run is startup. At the end of the test, the shutdown method is called and we disconnect from the database. Note that if the startup method has any tests and one fails, or if it throws any exception, the rest of the tests will not be run, but any tests for parent classes will still be run.

```
sub startup : Tests(startup) {
   ok 0; # the test class will abort here
}
```

If this occurs, the shutdown method will not be called.

"setup" and "teardown"

Of course, we also might need to run code before and after every test method. Here's how to do that:

```
sub setup : Tests(setup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_start_db_transaction;
}

sub check_priviledges : Tests(no_plan) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_load_priviledge_fixture;
    ...
}

sub teardown : Tests(teardown) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_rollback_db_transaction;
}
```

The above code let's us start a database transaction before every test method. The check_priviledges method loads its own test fixture and the teardown method rolls back the transaction, ensuring that the next test will have a pristine database. Note that if the setup method fails a test, the teardown method will still be called. This is

different behavior for the startup method because Test::Class moves on to the next test and assumes you still want to continue.

Overriding test control methods

Two common problems which occur with users new to Test::Class is that they either find that they're running more test control methods than they expected or their test control methods are running in an order they did not expect. For example, let's say we have this in our test base class:

Controlling order of execution

```
sub connect_to_db : Tests(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_connect_to_db;
}
```

And in a test subclass:

```
sub assert_db : Tests(startup => 1) {
    my $test = shift;
    ok $test->_is_connected_to_db,
        'We still have a database connection';
}
```

That will probably fail and your tests will not be run. Why? Because Test::Class runs tests in alphabetical order in a test class. Because it includes *inherited* tests in your test class, you've inherited connect_to_db, but since that sorts *after* assert_db, it gets run after it. Thus, you're asserting your database connection *before* you've connected.

The problem here is that this is OO code and you shouldn't be relying on execution order. The fix is simple. Rename both startup methods to startup and have the child class call the super class method:

```
sub startup : Tests(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->SUPER::startup;
    die unless $test->_is_connected_to_db,
        'We still have a database connection';
}
```

This works because Test::Class knows you've overridden the method and you can simply call it manually.

Warning: Note that we now die in the startup method rather than running a test. This is because Test::Class has no way of knowing if you're really doing to call the super class or not. As a result, it has no way of knowing what the real test count is. Thus, we die instead of relying on a test failure to halt the startup method.

Tip: for reasons mentioned above, don't put tests in your in your test control methods.

Controlling what gets executed

Let's say that you've a web page which shows information, but if the user is authenticated, they get extra features. You might test this with the following:

```
sub unauthenticated_startup : Test(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_connect_as_unauthenticated;
}
```

And in your "authenticated" subclass:

```
sub authenticated_startup : Test(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_connect_as_authenticated;
}
```

Again, your tests will probably fail because authenticated_startup is run before unauthenticated_startup and you have probably connected as the unauthenticated user in your "authenticated" subclass. However, this time you probably don't even need unauthenticated_startup to run. Again, give the tests the same name but don't call the parent's method.

```
sub startup : Test(startup) {
    my $test = shift;
    $test->_connect_as_authenticated;
}
```

Again note that we're not running tests in this control method. If the connect fails, throw an exception.

PERFORMANCE

With Test::Class::Load, you can run all of your test class tests in one process:

```
use Test::Class::Load qw(path/to/tests);
```

That loads the tests and all modules you're testing *once*. This can be a huge performance boost if you're loading "heavy" modules such as Catalyst or DBIx::Class. However, be aware that you're now loading all classes in a single process and there are potential drawbacks here. For example, if one of your classes alters a singleton or global variable that another class depends on, you may get unexpected results. Also, many classes load modules which globally alter Perl's behavior. You can grep through your CPAN modules for UNIVERSAL:: or CORE::GLOBAL:: to see just how many classes do this.

Bugs involving global state changes can be very hard to track down. You will have to decide for yourself whether the benefits of Test::Class outweigh these drawbacks. My experience is that these bugs are usually very painful to resolve, but in finding them, I often find intermittant problems in my code bases that I could not have found any other way. For me, Test::Class is a win here, despite occasional frustration.

For those who prefer not to run all of their code in a single process, they often create separate "driver" tests for them:

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl -T

use MyTest::Person;
Test::Class->runtests;

And:

#!/usr/bin/env perl -T

use MyTest::Person::Employee;
Test::Class->runtests;
```

Of course, you should omit the call to runtests if you've included this in your base class INIT.

MAKING YOUR CLASSES BEHAVE LIKE XUNIT CLASSES

In xUnit style tests, this is an entire test:

```
sub first_name : Tests(tests => 3) {
    my $test = shift;
    my $person = $test->class->new;
    can_ok $person, 'first_name';
    ok !defined $person->first_name,
        '... and first_name should start out undefined';
    $person->first_name('John');
    is $person->first_name, 'John', '... and setting its value should succeed';
}
```

In the TAP world, we would look at this as three tests, but xUnit says we have three asserts to validate one feature, thus we have one test. Now TAP-based tests have a long way to go before working for xUnit users, but there's one thing we can do. Let's say that you have a test with 30 asserts and the fourth assert fails. Many xUnit programmers argue that once an assert fails, the rest of the information in the test is unreliable. Thus, the tests should be halted. Now regardless of whether or not you agree with this (I hate the fact that, for example, junit requires the test method to stop), you can get this behavior with Test::Class. Just use Test::Most instead of Test::More and put this in

your test base class:

```
BEGIN { $ENV{DIE_ON_FAIL} = 1 }
```

Because each test method in Test::Class is wrapped in an eval, that test method will stop running, the appropriate teardown method (if any) will execute and the tests will resume with the next test method.

I'm not a huge fan of this technique, but your mileage may vary.

CONCLUSION

While many projects are just fine using simple Test::More programs, larger projects can wind up with scalability problems. Test::Class gives you better opportunities for managing your tests, refactoring common code and having your test code better mirror your production code.

Here's a quick summary of tips listed above:

- Name your test classes consistently after the classes they're testing.
- When possible, do the same for your test methods.
- · Don't use a constructor test named new.
- Don't put your tests in the Test:: namespace.
- Create your own Test::Class base class.
- Abstract the the name of the class you're testing into a class method in your base class.
- Name test control methods after their attribute.
- Decide case-by-case whether to call a control method's parent method.
- Don't put tests in your test control methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to Adrian Howard for creating the Test::Class module and providing me with tips in making it easier to use. Also, David Wheeler provided some useful comments, but that was on a first draft written years ago. I wonder if he remembers?:)