

The Monotonicity Formula for Energy Minimizing Maps and Monotone Quantities

Owen Drummond

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University

owen.drummond@rutgers.edu

For this entire paper, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open region, and let N be a smooth, compact target manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^p .

1 Main Theorems

Theorem 1.1 (Monotonicity Formula for Energy Minimizing Maps). *Let $u \in W^{1,2}(B_\rho(y); N)$ be an energy minimizing map. If $y \in \Omega$ and $\bar{B}_\rho(y) \subset \Omega$, then for all $0 < \sigma < \rho < \rho_0$ we have that*

$$\rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 - \sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(y)} |Du|^2 = 2 \int_{B_\rho(y) \setminus B_\sigma(y)} R^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2$$

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial R}$ denotes the radial derivative in the direction $\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}$.

Proof. **Claim:** If $a = (a^1, \dots, a^n)$ are integrable functions on $B_{\rho_0}(y)$ and

$$\int_{B_{\rho_0}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a^j D_j \zeta = 0$$

for all $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(B_{\rho_0}(y))$, then for almost every $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$, we have that

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{j=1}^n a^j D_j \zeta = \int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} \eta \cdot a \zeta$$

for any $\zeta \in C^\infty(\bar{B}_{\rho_0}(y))$, where $\eta \equiv \frac{x-y}{\rho}$ is outward unit normal of $\partial B_\rho(y)$

Proof: We define a cutoff function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_\rho(y)$, $0 < \phi < 1$ on $B_{\rho_0}(y) \setminus B_\rho(y)$, and $\phi \equiv 0$ outside $B_{\rho_0}(y)$. Keep ζ as before and using that $a \in L^1(B_{\rho_0}(y))$, we can convolve a with ϕ to obtain

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{j=1}^n (a^j(x) * \phi(x)) D_j \zeta(x) = \int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j (a^j(x) * \phi(x)) \zeta$$

and now we can apply divergence theorem to obtain

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{j=1}^n D_j(a^j(x) * \phi(x)) \zeta = \int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta^j(x) \cdot a^j(x) \zeta(x) = \int_{B_\rho(y)} \eta(x) \cdot a(x) \eta(x)$$

where $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^n)$ is the outward pointing unit normal as before. \square

Now since we assume u is an energy minimizer, u satisfies the variational equation given by

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (|Du|^2 \delta_{ij} - 2D_i u(x) D_j u(x)) D_i \zeta^j(x)$$

and using the above claim, we have that this expression is equivalent to

$$\int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (|Du(x)|^2 \delta_{ij} - 2D_i u(x) D_j u(x)) \rho^{-1}(x_i - y_i) \zeta^j(x)$$

Notice that if $\zeta(x) = |x^j - y^j|$, where x^j picks out the j -th coordinate of x , then $D_i \zeta^j(x) = \delta_{ij}$, and δ_{ij} picks out n terms after summing i, j from 1 to n , and hence the first expression can be simplified to

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (|Du|^2 \delta_{ij} - 2D_i u(x) D_j u(x)) D_i \zeta^j(x) = \int_{B_\rho(y)} n|Du|^2 - 2|Du|^2 = (n-2) \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2$$

Moreover, in the second expression, we have that this simplifies to

$$\int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (|Du(x)|^2 \delta_{ij} - 2D_i u(x) D_j u(x)) \rho^{-1}(x_i - y_i) \zeta^j(x) = \int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} \rho \left(|Du(x)|^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right)$$

since $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i u(x) D_j u(x) \frac{|x^i - y^i| |x^j - y^j|}{\rho^2}$. Therefore, we have

$$(n-2) \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 = \rho \int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} \left(|Du(x)|^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right)$$

and now, seeing that $\int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \int_{B_\rho(y)} f$ by coarea formula, we have that after multiplying both sides by ρ^{1-n} and computing derivatives, we find that

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} (\rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2) = (2-n) \rho^{1-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 + \rho^{2-n} \int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2$$

combined with the fact that

$$(2-n) \rho^{1-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 = -\rho^{2-n} \int_{\partial B_\rho(y)} \left(|Du|^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right)$$

from the first equation, substituting this quantity in cancels out the $\rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2$ terms, and we left with

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 \right) = \rho^{2-n} \frac{d}{d\rho} \int_{B_\rho(y)} 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 = 2 \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\int_{B_\rho(y) \setminus B_\tau(y)} R^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right)$$

for a fixed choice of $\tau \in (0, \rho)$. Then, by integrating on both sides from σ to ρ and using the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\sigma^\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 \right) &= \int_\sigma^\rho 2 \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\int_{B_\rho(y) \setminus B_\tau(y)} R^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right) \\ \implies \sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(y)} |Du|^2 - \tau^{2-n} \int_{B_\tau(y)} |Du|^2 &= 2 \int_{B_\sigma(y) \setminus B_\tau(y)} R^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.1 (Density). *Given any map $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; N)$, we define the density function $\Theta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be*

$$\Theta_u(y) = \lim_{\rho \downarrow 0} \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2$$

Note that from the monotonicity formula, we have that since $R^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} \right|^2$ is clearly strictly nonnegative,

$$\sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(y)} |Du|^2 \geq \tau^{2-n} \int_{B_\tau(y)} |Du|^2$$

for all $0 < \tau < \sigma$, and thus

$$\sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(y)} |Du|^2$$

is an increasing function of σ for $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0)$. Therefore, the density function exists, and we obtain the immediate fact:

Corollary 1.1. *Θ_u is an upper semi-continuous function on Ω , that is, if $y_j \rightarrow y \in \Omega$, then*

$$\Theta_u(y) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_u(y_j)$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ with $\rho + \epsilon < \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)$. By the monoticity formula, we have that

$$\Theta_u(y_j) \leq \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y_j)} |Du|^2$$

for j sufficiently large to ensure $\rho < \text{dist}(y_j, \partial\Omega)$. Now since $B_\rho(y_j) \subset B_{\rho+\epsilon}(y)$ for all j large enough, we have that

$$\Theta_u(y_j) \leq \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_{\rho+\epsilon}(y)} |Du|^2$$

for sufficiently large j , so we obtain that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_u(y_j) \leq \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_{\rho+\epsilon}(y)} |Du|^2$. By letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_u(y_j) \leq \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^2 \implies \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_u(y_j) \leq \Theta_u(y)$$

after taking the limit $\rho \downarrow 0$. □

2 Tangent Maps, Monotone Quantities

In order for the density function to be meaningful, we would hope to see invariance upon rescaling u . By rescaling, we mean blowing up the function u around certain points to examine local behavior. This gives rise to the follow rigorous construction: Given $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and $B_{\rho_0}(y)$ such that $\bar{B}_{\rho_0}(y) \subset \Omega$, and for any $\rho > 0$, consider the scaling function $u_{y,\rho}$ given by

$$u_{y,\rho}(x) = u(y + \rho x)$$

Note that on $B_{\rho_0}(0)$, $u_{y,\rho}$ is well defined. For $\sigma > 0$ and $\rho < \frac{\rho_0}{\sigma}$, after making a change of variables with $\tilde{x} = y + \rho x$ in the energy integral for $u_{y,\rho}$ and noting that $Du_{y,\rho}(x) = \rho D(u(y + \rho x))$, we have the domain changes from $B_\sigma(0) \rightarrow B_{\sigma\rho}(y)$, and has a Jacobian factor given by $dx = \rho^{-n} d\tilde{x}$

$$\sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |Du_{y,\rho}(x)|^2 = (\sigma\rho)^{2-n} \int_{B_{\sigma\rho}(y)} |Du(\tilde{x})|^2 \leq \rho_0^{2-n} \int_{B_{\rho_0}(y)} |Du|^2 \quad (2.1)$$

by the Monotonicity Formula (1.3). Therefore, if $\rho_j \downarrow 0$, then $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |Du_{y,\rho_j}|^2 < \infty$ for all $\sigma > 0$, and so by the Compactness Theorem for energy minimizers, there is a subsequence $\rho_{j'}$ such that $u_{y,\rho_{j'}} \rightarrow \varphi$ locally in \mathbb{R}^n w.r.t. the $W^{1,2}$ -norm.

Definition 2.1 (Tangent Map). *Any φ obtained this way is called a tangent map of u at y . Moreover, $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow N$ is an energy minimizing map with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$.*

As a consequence:

Corollary 2.1. *Given an energy minimizer $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; N)$, the density function is invariant under rescaling of u , and furthermore, the tangent map of u at any point $y \in \Omega$ is constant along rays.*

Proof. We saw above that if $u_{y,\rho}(x) = u(y + \rho x)$, then given $B_\sigma(0)$, we have that

$$\Theta_{u_{y,\rho}}(0) = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |Du_{y,\rho}(x)|^2 dx = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} (\sigma\rho)^{2-n} \int_{B_{\sigma\rho}(y)} |Du(\tilde{x})|^2$$

and setting $s = \sigma\rho$, we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \int_{B_{\sigma\rho}(y)} |Du(\tilde{x})|^2 d\tilde{x} = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{2-n} \int_{B_s(y)} |Du|^2 = \Theta_u(y)$$

and thus $\Theta_{u_{y,\rho}}(0) = \Theta_u(y)$, so Θ_u is invariant under rescaling of u . Moreover, choosing a sequence $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$ such that u_{y,ρ_j} converges to a tangent map φ and taking $j \rightarrow \infty$ in the previous equation also yields

$$\sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |D\varphi|^2 = \Theta_u(y)$$

since $\mathcal{E}(u_{y,\rho_j}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$. In particular, $\sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |D\varphi|^2$ is a constant function of σ and since $\Theta_\varphi(0) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |D\varphi|^2$, we have that

$$\Theta_u(y) = \Theta_\varphi(0) \equiv \sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |D\varphi|^2$$

Further, by the monotonicity formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sigma^{2-n} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} |D\varphi|^2 - \tau^{2-n} \int_{B_\tau(0)} |D\varphi|^2 = \int_{B_\sigma(0) \setminus B_\tau(0)} R^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial R} \right| \\
&\implies \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial R} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and as $\varphi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^p)$, then by integration along rays, we have that

$$\varphi(\lambda x) \equiv \varphi(x) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Namely, the tangent map φ is constant along rays. □