

# Plan merging

Aurélien SIMON

June 21, 2022

## **Abstract**

We are talking about plan merging here

# 1 Definition

Plan merging aim to solve MAPF [1, 2] problems by computing individual plan for each agent to their goal regardless of conflict, and then, by using these previous plan, merge them into conflict-free plans. The task can be divided in three; target assignment, individual pathfinding and plan-merging.

## 1.1 Classic MAPF

We can base plan merging definition on classic MAPF’s one; the paper “Multi-Agent Pathfinding: Definitions, Variants, and Benchmarks” [2] provides a standard definition for non-anonymous MAPF with  $k$  agents. And it is defined as such; it takes as input a tuple  $\langle G, s, f \rangle$  where  $G = (V, E)$  represent a graph.  $s : [1, \dots, k] \rightarrow V$  maps an agent to a “start” vertex. Finally,  $f : [1, \dots, k] \rightarrow V$  maps an agent to a “final” vertex. The output is a set of  $k$  single-agent plan, where a plan  $\pi$  is denoted as a sequence of  $n$  action  $a_n, \dots, a_1$ , each of them being a function defined as  $a : V \rightarrow V$ , they denote a movement from a vertex to another iff an edge exist between these two or a waiting if the two vertices are the same. Formally,  $v \in V, v' \in V, \begin{cases} \exists e(v, v') \in E \\ v = v' \end{cases} \Rightarrow a(v) = v'$ . Considering a plan  $\pi$  for an agent  $r$  and a timestep  $t$ ,  $\pi_r[t] = a_t(a_{t-1}(\dots a_1(s(r))))$ . A plan is considered as valid iff  $\pi_r[|\pi_r| - 1] = a_{|\pi_r|-1}(\dots (a_1(s(r)))) = f(r)$  where  $|\pi_r|$  gives the length of the plan  $\pi_r$ . In order to have a valid solution, taken pairly, plans must be conflict-free;

1. a vertex conflict between two agents  $r$  and  $r'$  occurs if, at timestep  $t$ ,  $\pi_r[t] = \pi_{r'}[t]$ .
2. an edge conflict (also called swapping conflict) between two agents  $r$  and  $r'$  occurs if, at timestep  $t$ ,  $\pi_r[t] = \pi_{r'}[t - 1]$  and  $\pi_r[t - 1] = \pi_{r'}[t]$ .

## 1.2 Target assignment

Basing ourselves on MAPF definition, we can then define target assignment (TA); it takes as input a tuple  $\langle G, s, F \rangle$ ,  $G = (V, E)$  representing a graph,  $s : [1, \dots, k] \rightarrow V$  maps an agent to a “start” and  $F$  a set of  $k$  vertices denoting “final” vertices. The output would be a tuple  $\langle G, s, f \rangle$  where  $G = (V, E)$  representing a graph,  $s : [1, \dots, k] \rightarrow V$  maps an agent to a “start” vertex. Finally,  $f : [1, \dots, k] \rightarrow \{V\}$  maps an agent to a set of “final” vertices. Having a set of vertices as part of the output allows to describe both anonymous and non-anonymous MAPF problems, TA’s output for anonymous variant would be the set of goal vertices  $F$  itself and a singleton for non-anonymous variant.

## 1.3 Individual Pathfinding

We would then define individual pathfinding (IP); the input of would be TA’s output  $\langle G, s, f \rangle$ . IP would then give as output,  $\langle G, \theta \rangle$  where each agent provides

at least one solution. Formally, for each agent  $r$ , we have  $\theta[r] = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}$ . As a consequence of the TA’s definition, let an agent  $r$ ,  $\forall \pi \in \theta[r], \pi[|\pi| - 1] \in f(r)$ .

## 1.4 Plan merging

Finally, we can define plan merging (PM). It takes as input  $\langle G, \theta \rangle$  since we can deduce start and final position from plans. And gives as output MAPF’s one: a solution being a set of  $k$  conflict-free plans.

## References

- [1] E. Erdem et al. “A General Formal Framework for Pathfinding Problems with Multiple Agents”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI’13)*. Ed. by M. desJardins and M. Littman. AAAI Press, 2013, pp. 290–296.
- [2] R. Stern et al. “Multi-Agent Pathfinding: Definitions, Variants, and Benchmarks”. In: *CoRR* abs/1906.08291 (2019). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.08291>.