

Oxford Flying Club			
Aviation Hazard or Incident Report (HIR)			
To: OFC Safety Officer	From:		Date:
			7 Dec 20
Note: Refer to Club website	Note: Name is	s optional but helpful.	
Description of incident or observed			location, as applicable. Include
a detailed and accurate description while being as concise as possible.)			
This morining I was scheduled to fly N8261H from 1000-1500LT. I went through all of the preflight requirements, which included preheating. I first preheated the engine for about 20 mins. During this time I also started my preflight checklist. When I came around to the engine fuel sump drain nothing more than weak drops would come out. I finished up the preheat and tried the engine sump again, still nothing that seemed normal from my past experience with this aircraft. I used the preheater for approximately another 15 mins, still nothing. I contacted since he was on the field and he recommended contacting both seemed to think that something wasn't right. I then referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to think that something wasn't right. I then referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to think that something wasn't right. I then referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to think that something wasn't right. I then referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to think that something wasn't right. I then referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to think that something wasn't right. I then referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to think that something wasn't right in the referenced the new MX flow chart and reached out to both seemed to change, then a full engine runup at the north end of the field, no change. Both engine runs seemed normal, but I feel that a ground run doesn't always capture flight conditions. I texted both and the field and the seemed normal flow in the chalks at idle, no change it always capture flight conditions. I texted both and the field and field flow in the field and field flow in the field and flow in the fi			
Safety Officer, or his/her designee, Safety Officer, or his/her designee,	Investigation	Peter Dawson	
	Date:	12/8/2020	
Tracking # (assigned by Safety Office Probability (assigned by Safety Office Severity (assigned by Safety Officer): Resulting Risk Code (assigned by Safety Officer):	er): : fety Officer):		
Note: Risk Assessment Code of 5 requires immediate notification of the Club President.			
Aircraft position on level ground It's known for Piper fuel inspecti	with proper son valves to	strut inflation should be o occasionally need 1/2-3, roper valves can indicat	checked. /4 depression to acquire proper flow, e contamination/sediment blockage.
Corrective action completion dateby INSTRUCTIONS: Fill out using additional sheets as necessary. Fold and forward completed form to the Oxford Flying Club Safety Officer. Thank you for your interest in your Safety Program.			
completed one runup in the chalks at idle, engine runs seemed normal, but I feel that to advise of my trouble shooting a I wrote this up in Flight Scheduler Pro and noted that 61H has had this problem, from good to fly and left it up to pilot's discretion Recommendations to eliminate, co. I'm providing this just in case this problem issue, but nothing was written up before. I opinion or take the airplane when I decided Please let me know if you have any quest Please let me know if you have any quest Safety Officer, or his/her designee, Tracking # (assigned by Safety Officer) Severity (assigned by Safety Officer) Severity (assigned by Safety Officer) Resulting Risk Code (assigned by Safety Officer) Note: Risk Assessment Code of 5 recommendation on level ground It's known for Piper fuel inspectibut the fuel should always be test Corrective action completion dateINSTRUCTIONS: Fill out using addit Oxford Flying Club Safety Officer.	no change, the tanger of tanger of the tanger of tanger	nen a full engine runup at the doesn't always capture flig still wasn't get normal opsie plane. I advised on, for a while. Trevie tracked as a repeat issue. It clear that none of the following persons are plant of the following persons. The first of the hazard: tracked as a repeat issue. It clear that none of the following persons. The first of the following persons are persons and the first of the first	ne north end of the field, no change. Both conditions. I texted and from the drain that I was calling it a day who had the plane after me. He ewed the writeup and felt the plane was a bit disconcerting that it's a knowless I contacted asked me to change my like I contacted asked me to chang

DCR-2019-001 OFC1001 Revision: Initial Date: March 14, 2019