




RDFox Reasoning Class



RDFox Demo: “Who is the Greatest Formula 1 Driver of all Time?”

Settings and filters for the scoring system.



The graph displays the results, Driver Score and Last Race Date. Below are the top 10 drivers and their details.

Statistics about the data used to form the results.

This matches the filters.

Changing the settings has a huge impact on the results.



This computation takes milliseconds, despite the vast network of data involved.

Try it yourself


<http://f1.rdf.ox.ac.uk>

Objectives




By the end of the class everyone will:


- know how to write OWL axioms in Turtle syntax
- know how to add OWL axioms to RDFox datastores
- know how to write and import Datalog rules
- understand how RDFox performs reasoning
- know common uses of rules



Reference links

- RDFox:
 - [documentation](#)
 - [download page](#)
 - [trial license request page](#)
- Class GitHub repository
[github.com/OxfordSemantic/
RDFoxWorkshop-Reasoning](https://github.com/OxfordSemantic/RDFoxWorkshop-Reasoning)






Setting up RDFox

- Obtain a trial license through
oxfordsemantic.tech/tryrdfoxforfree
- Download the RDFox package from:
oxfordsemantic.tech/downloads
- Unzip the package, then put the license file into the unzipped folder
(next to the executable)
- On Mac, you might need to right-click on the RDFox executable to
open it first (for OS security reasons)

Setting up RDFox



- We recommend using an IDE (e.g. VS Code)

- Open a terminal and run

```
<path_to_RDFox>/RDFox sandbox
```

(Mac) or

```
<path_to_RDFox>\RDFox.exe sandbox
```

(Windows)

- RDFox server should be running now:

```
Source code for RDFox v1.0 Copyright 2013 Oxford University Innovation Limited and subsequent improvements Copyright 2017-2021 by Oxford Semantic Technologies Limited.
```

```
This copy of RDFox is licensed for Developer use to Tom Vout (tom.vout@oxfordsemantic.tech) of OST until 07-Jun-2022 16:01:44
```

```
This system is equipped with 16.9 GB of RAM, and RDFox is configured to use at most 15.2 GB (89.9% of the total).
```


```
Currently, 2.8 GB (18.4% of the amount allocated to RDFox) appear to be available on the system.
```

```
Since RDFox is a RAM-based system, its performance can suffer when other running processes use a lot of memory.
```

```
A new server connection was opened as role 'guest' and stored with name 'sc1'.
```


```
> []
```

RDFox Commands




- *endpoint start* - enables UI at <localhost:12110/console> (default)
- *dstore create [dstore_name]* - creates a data store
- *active [dstore_name]* - sets active datastore
- *set output [out/filename]* - directs output to shell or file
- *prefix [name]: <[iri]>* - saves prefix declaration
- *import [+/-]? [> graph_iri]? [filename]* - import/remove data or rule file
- *importaxioms [source_graph]? [target_graph]? [+/-]?* – parse source graph for axioms to add to target graph
- *answer* - evaluate a SPARQL read query
- *info [rulestats/axioms/...]?* - print information about data store
- *quit* - exit RDFox


Workshop Structure



- The repository contains an RDFox script file “start-workshop.rdf0x” with all the commands you need - copy them one by one as we go
- Each axiom/rule has an associated query (mentioned on the slide). Run it before and after reasoning to see the difference!
- Some examples are exercises and you will need to fill in the gaps
- Answer files have “completed” in their names



OWL reasoning




Sample data

- we import real F1 race data from file “upTo2020.ttl”
- we also import test data that showcases how OWL axioms work (file “sample.ttl”)

```
:drivers\123456789 # no class
  :drivers_forename "John";
  :drivers_surname "Smith" .


:results\123456789 a :results;
  :results_driver :drivers\123456789, :drivers\1; # two drivers
  :drivers_forename "John"; # wrong – this is a driver property
  # no constructor
  :results_race :races\123456789;
  :results_positionOrder "1"^^xsd:integer .
```

Web Ontology Language (OWL)





- standard created by W3C, RDFox supports the profile OWL 2 RL
- axioms = assertions about classes, properties or individuals
- ontology = collection of axioms
- ontologies are themselves graphs and can be written in many different syntaxes - we will use Turtle
- internally, RDFox translates axioms into Datalog rules

Protégé



- useful program for managing ontologies
- free and open source






Axiom set 1

Subclasses

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:drivers a owl:Class .  
:people a owl:Class .  
  
:drivers rdfs:subClassOf :people .
```

this is a completely new class that was not previously present in the data

- declares that `:drivers` and `:people` are classes
- says that `:drivers` is a subclass of `:people`
- effect: all instances of `:drivers` also become instances of `:people`
(check by running query 1 – q1.rq)




Axiom set 2

Property types and domain/range

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:drivers a owl:Class .  
  
:drivers_forename a owl:DatatypeProperty;  
    rdfs:domain :drivers .
```

OWL defines each property as either a “data” or “object” property

- says the domain of the property `:drivers_forename` is the class `:drivers`
- effect: `:drivers\123456789` and `:results\123456789` from sample both become instances of `:drivers` (check by running query 2 – q2.rq)



Axiom set 3 - exercise

Property types and domain/range

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
____ a owl:Class .  
____ a owl:Class .  
  
:results_race a owl:ObjectProperty ;  
|   rdfs:domain ____ ;  
|   rdfs:range ____ .
```

- establishes the domain and range of the `:results_race` property
- effect: `:races\123456789` from the sample becomes an instance of `_____`
(check by running query 3 – q3.rq)




Axiom set 4

Disjoint classes

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:drivers a owl:Class .  
:results a owl:Class .  
  
:drivers owl:disjointWith :results .
```

- says that `:drivers` and `:results` are disjoint classes
- effect: `:results\123456789` from the sample becomes an instance of `owl:Nothing` (check by running query 4 – q4.rq) – this is a consequence of axiom set 2



Axiom set 5 - exercise

Disjoint classes

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:drivers a ____ .  
:results a ____ .  
:constructors a ____ .  
  
[  
    a owl:AllDisjointClasses ;  
    owl:members ( ←  
        ____  
        ____  
        ____  
    )  
].
```

Note this is an RDF
“collection”

- says that `:drivers`, `:results` and `:constructors` are pairwise disjoint classes




Axiom set 6

Cardinality restrictions

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:results_driver a owl:ObjectProperty .  
  
:results rdfs:subClassOf [  
|   a owl:Restriction ;  
|   owl:onProperty :results_driver ;  
|   owl:maxCardinality 1  
] .
```

- says that every node of class `:results` has at most one value of `:results_driver`
- effect: property `owl:sameAs` now connects `:driver\123456789` and `:driver\1`, as well as every driver who ever raced to themselves (check by running query 6 – q6.rq)



Axiom set 7 - exercise

Cardinality restrictions

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:results_constructor a __ .  
  
__ rdfs:subClassOf [  
    a __ ;  
    __ :results_constructor ;  
    owl:minCardinality __  
] .
```

- says that every node of class __ has at least one value of :results_constructor
- effect: none (Why? Because of the open world assumption of OWL)




Axiom set 8

Property chains axioms

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:results_driver a owl:ObjectProperty .  
  
:hasRacedIn owl:propertyChainAxiom (  
    [owl:inverseOf :results_driver]  
    :results_race  
) .
```

- defines a new property `:hasRacedIn` as a combination of `:results_driver` (inverted) and `:results_race`
- effect: property `:hasRacedIn` now connects drivers and their races (check by running query 8 – q8.rq)




Axiom set 9

Combining OWL elements

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
:qualifying a owl:Class .  
  
:qualifying_position a owl:DatatypeProperty .  
  
:polePositionQualifying a owl:Class ;  
    owl:equivalentClass [  
        a owl:Class ;  
        owl:intersectionOf (  
            :qualifying  
            [  
                a owl:Restriction ;  
                owl:onProperty :qualifying_position ;  
                owl:hasValue "1"^^xsd:integer  
            ]  
        )  
    ].
```

- says that the **:polePositionQualifying** class is the intersection of the **:qualifying** class and nodes that have 1 as the value of their **:qualifying_position** property
- effect: some **:qualifying** instances also become instances of **:polePositionQualifying** (check by running query 9 – q9.rq)




Axiom set 10 - exercise

Combining OWL elements

```
@prefix : <http://www.oxfordsemantic.tech/f1demo/> .  
  
__ a owl:Class .  
  
:results_positionOrder a __ .  
  
:wins a owl:Class ;  
| [  
| | a owl:Class ;  
| | | (  
| | | | __  
| | | | | [  
| | | | | | a __ ;  
| | | | | | | owl:onProperty __ ;  
| | | | | | | | "1"^^xsd:integer  
| | | ]  
| | ] .
```


- says that the `:wins` class is the intersection of the `__` class and nodes that have 1 as the value of their `__` property
- effect: some instances of `__` also become instances of `:wins` (check by running query 10 – q10.rq)



Datalog Reasoning with RDFox


- Basic rules
- Aggregates
- Negation
- Filters
- Binds
- Incremental reasoning

Datalog Rules



$[fact A] :- [fact B]$

“A is true whenever B is true”



Rule 1


Basic Rules

```
[?driver, :hasRacedIn, ?race] :-  
    [?result, :results_race, ?race],  
    [?result, :results_driver, ?driver] .
```

This rule will add a direct link between drivers and the races they raced in.

The same could seemingly be achieved with an equivalent SPARQL update, but rules offer several advantages over write queries.

Check for inferred triples with query 11 – q11.rq




Rule 2

FILTERs in Rules

```
[?driver, :hasPodiumInRace, ?race] :-  
    [?result, :results_race, ?race],  
    [?result, :results_driver, ?driver],  
    [?result, :results_positionOrder, ?positionOrder],  
    FILTER(?positionOrder < 4) .
```

We can use **FILTERs** in rules too, in this case to find the races in which a driver reached the podium.

Check for inferred triples with query 12 – q12.rq



Rule 3

Aggregate Rule

```
[?driver, :hasRaceCount, ?raceCount] :-  
    AGGREGATE(  
        [?driver, :hasRacedIn, ?race]  
        ON ?driver  
        BIND COUNT(?race) AS ?raceCount  
    ) .
```

This rule adds a count of races a driver has entered.

Notice we use the previously inferred `:hasRacedIn` property. Rules can be composed together, and RDFox will automatically find the order in which to run them.

Check for inferred triples with query 13– q13.rq



Rule 4 - exercise

Aggregate Rule

```
[?____, :hasRaceWinCount, ?____] :-  
    AGGREGATE(  
        [?____, :results_driver, ?____],  
        [?____, :results_positionOrder, 1]  
    ON ?driver  
    BIND COUNT(?____) AS ?____  
    ) .
```

This rule adds a count of races a driver has *won* (provided they won at least 1). We can put more than one atom *inside* the **AGGREGATE** statement.

Check for inferred triples with query 14 – q14.rq



Rule 5 Negation


```
[?driver, a, :DriverWithoutPodiums] :-  
    | ?driver, a, :drivers],  
    | NOT EXISTS ?race IN (  
    |     | ?driver, :hasPodiumInRace, ?race]) .
```

This rule tells us that if a driver does not have a race where they were on the podium, then they are a :DriverWithoutPodiums.

So, we are looking for something that is **not** in the data (i.e. no race where the driver was on the podium).

Check for inferred triples with query 15 – q15.rq

Negation as Failure




Negation as failure is negation understood as the *absence* of a triple.

Using Negation as Failure leads to ‘non-monotonic reasoning’- the engine may need to retract some triples instead of just adding them. This adds another dimension to an already complicated problem of reasoning planning, but RDFox handles all of that automatically.

Example:

What if a driver without any podiums *so far* gets a podium next year? In 2021, for instance, George Russell got his first podium. In our data, which is accurate up to and including 2020, George Russell would be a **:DriverWithoutPodiums**. But if we add data from 2021, we will need to retract this fact.

- Exercise: Run the query “q15b.rq”, then import the data from file “2021-22.ttl” and try again




Rule 6 - exercise

BIND Rules 


```
[?driver, :hasWinPercentage, ?percentage] :-  
    [?__, :hasRaceCount, ?__],  
    [?__, :hasRaceWinCount, ?__],  
    BIND(?raceWinCount/?raceCount AS ?percentage) .
```

With **BIND** we can use various mathematical functions, string manipulation, regular expression matching, conditional binds, hashing and IRI creation.


Check for inferred triples with query 16 – q16.rq




Bonus Exercise




- Write and import a rule to find out if two drivers have been teammates (use the predicate **:hasTeammate**).




RDFox: How does it work?




Incremental Reasoning





Incremental Reasoning

- One of the key strengths of RDFox
- Data can be imported at any time, and the RDFox will automatically compute the necessary inferences
- This all happens *incrementally*, i.e. without the need to reboot.
- Incremental reasoning open the door to many novel use cases which were previously impractical





RDFox vs. Other Solutions

Feature	Example	RDFox	Materialisation Competitors	Query Rewriting Competitors
	"List all financial instruments / derivative instruments / futures." [class inferencing]	✓	✓*	✓**
	"What is the nearest electrical switch for a circuit?" [negation + recursion]	✓		
	"What is the total volume and value of trades?" [aggregation + arithmetic]	✓		
	"No trades over a limit & no traders without trades!" [filters + negation]	✓	Partial (SHACL)	
	"Every component must be connected to a power source!" [negation + recursion]	✓		
	"What is the total value of assets owned through holdings?"	✓		



* many times slower than RDFox

** orders of magnitude slower than RDFox

How does it work?



Deployment Options



Architecture

RDFox system context diagram

