

## Cryptography – Ex02.

Oz Levi 305181158

### Question 1.

- a. Let assume that  $G'$  isn't a PRG, then we got a discern  $D'$  such that  $D'(G'(x), U_{|x+1|}) > \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}'$ .  
With this  $D'$  we can build a  $D$  such that  $D(G(x), U_{|x|}) = D'(G'(x), U_{|x+1|}) / \frac{1}{2}^{l(x)-|x|-1}$   
Explanation:  $D$  will distinguish the  $n+1$  prefix by the rule of  $D'$ , and the other  $l(x)-|x|-1$  bit, he is choosing randomly.  
We got that...  
 $D(G(x), U_{|x|}) = D'(G'(x), U_{|x+1|}) / \frac{1}{2}^{l(x)-|x|-1}$   
 $D'(G'(x), U_{|x+1|}) / \frac{1}{2}^{l(x)-|x|-1} > (\frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}) / \frac{1}{2}^{l(x)-|x|-1}$   
 $(\frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}) / \frac{1}{2}^{l(x)-|x|-1} := (\frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}) / \text{"Neg"}$   
 $(\frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}) / \text{"Neg"} > \text{"Neg"}$ .  
We got a contradiction for  $G$  being PRG.
- b. Lets build an discern  $D$  thats succed to distinguish between a output from  $G_2(x)$  to some choose from  $U_{|x|}$ .  
Define  $D$ : for some bit string  $y$  such that  $|y| = n+1$ ,  $D$  caculate xor of the  $n$ -th first bits. If the solution equals to  $y[n+1]$ , then  $D$  decide the  $y$  was taken from  $G_2$ , else taken from  $U_{n+1}$ .
- c. Notice, from defenition, there is no existing discerns  $D$  such that  $D(G(x), U_{|x|}) > \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$ .  
Here,  $G_3$  making the same as  $G$ , except from when dealing with  $x = 0^{|x|}$ .  
Lets assume that  $G_3$  is not a PRG, so we got a  $D$  such that  $D(G_3(x), U_{|x|}) > \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$ , follow that because  $G_3$  is the same for every  $x$  that diffrent from  $0^n$ . (so  $\frac{1}{2}^{l(n)}$  will be the case that  $x=0^n$ )  
 $D(G(x), U_{|x|}) > D(G_3(x), U_{|x|}) + \frac{1}{2}^{l(n)} > \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"} + \frac{1}{2}^{l(n)} = \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$   
And this is a contradiction for  $G$  being PRG.
- d.  $G_4$  is not a PRG, a discerns  $D$  can use  $G$  like this:  
For some  $x$   $D(G_4(x), U_{|x|})$  is from  $G_4$  if half the output  $c$  is the same as  $G(0^{|x|})$ .  
Then  $D$  got advantage by discern between  $(G_4(x), U_{|x|})$ .  
Lets calculate the advantage: if the output is really from  $G_4$  then we will succed by probability 1. By the assumption that our  $n/2$  prefix of the output is consistent the probability for not been generated from  $G_4$  is to guess all the prefix, so  $\frac{1}{2}^{n/2}$ .  
So the probability to succes is  $1 - \frac{1}{2}^{n/2}$  that is greater then "Neg", and  $G_4$  is not a PRG.

## Question 2.

We will define  $G'_i$  as  $G'$  that runs only  $l(x)-i-1$  loops (from  $1+i$  to  $l(x)$ ), notice that  $G'_i$  still generating output that's  $l(|x|)$  long.

the other bit for the output will be generated by the following:

if  $j \leq i$ ,  $\text{output}[j] = \text{randomly}$ .

else, will generate the bit as followed by  $G'$ .

We will define  $D_i$  as follow:  $D_i = D(G'_i(x, l(|x|)), G'_{i+1}(x, l(|x|)))$

Lets assume that there is an attacker  $E$  with polynomial function  $l(|x|)$  that his distinguishability for our  $G'_{l(|x|)}$  is greater than  $\frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$ .

That mean, there is existing  $D$  such that  $D(G'_{l(|x|)}(x, l(|x|)), U_{l(|x|)}) > \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$

~~Now we will notice that for every  $D_i, D_{i+1}$  it follows  $D_i - D_{i+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (one bit changed).~~

Next by hybrid argument,  $D(G'_0(x, l(|x|)), G'_{l(x)}(x, l(|x|)))$  is also  $\frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$ , but

$G'_{l(x)}(x, l(|x|)) = U_{l(x)}$

So  $D(G'_0(x, l(|x|)), U_{l(x)}) = \frac{1}{2} + \text{"Neg"}$ .

With this information, we can build a new  $D'$  such that will distinguish between an output from  $G$  and  $G'_0$ .

$D'$  will decide if the output is from  $G$  or  $G'_0$  by taking the input send it to the Oracle (using the Oracle that knows to generate same as  $G$ ). If the result is same our output, then we know that it was generate from  $G$ , else from  $G'_0$ .

This  $D'$  succeed with probability 1 and working polynomially (using  $G$  is polynomially bit definition).

By combining those  $D$  and  $D'$  we can succeed to build a discern that knows to distinguish between an output from  $G$  or from  $U_{l(x)}$  and by choosing  $l(x)=|x|+1$  then we found a discern between  $G$  and  $U_{|x|+1}$ .

And that's contradiction to the fact that  $G$  is PRG.

~~For  $l(|x|) = |x| + 1$ , we will see that~~

~~$D(G'_0(x, l(|x|)), G'_{l(x)}(x, l(|x|))) = D(G'(x, |x|+1), G'_{|x|+1}(x, |x|+1))$~~

~~$D(G'(x, |x|+1), G'_{|x|+1}(x, |x|+1)) = D(G'(x, |x|+1), G(x))$~~

~~And that's contradiction to the fact that  $G$  is PRG.~~

~~???~~

~~he is generating with the original  $G$ . define  $D_i$  as the hybrid probability of  $G'_i$  (need to save the first generate). For every  $0 < i < l$ ,  $D_i - D_{i+1} < \text{neg}$ . So every two consecutive  $D$ 's are indistinguishable. We will see that  $D_0 = G^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $D_l = G$ . We already know that  $G$  and  $U_l$  are indistinguishable, and shown by hybrid that  $G$  and  $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are indistinguishable, so done.~~

- ~~• Maybe  $G_i$  doinf the for  $i$  times, and the other bits flip coin.~~
- ~~• Orrr return the real  $x$  till index  $n+1$ , and the others flip coin.~~

### **Question 3.**

Lets assume existence of PPT attacker called E that's winning the CPA game. E's using the Oracle for Vector of  $t$  messages.

Oracle: using  $\text{Enc}(r, m_i \text{ xor } F_k(r))$  function for some function  $F_k$  that stay the same, and  $r$  that randomized for every use. The Oracle return the  $c_i$  answer from  $\text{Enc}()$  function.

If the Oracle had used the same  $r$  for two different messages  $m_i, m_j$ , E can make xor between  $c_i, c_j$  and get information about the messages and the function. Then by our assumption E does this with probability greater than " $\frac{1}{2} + \text{Neg}$ " when the  $F_k$  that the Oracle use is random function. But if the Oracle using a pseudo random function as  $F_k$  the chance for attacker E winning the game is smaller than " $\frac{1}{2} + \text{Neg}$ ".

Lets build attacker E': E' choosing some function  $F$  (can be random or pseudo random), E' is using same technic as E and deciding that the Oracle will use the chosen  $F$  for  $\text{Enc}()$ . The  $b$  (chosen bit) answer for E returns to E'. E' checking E's winning. if E has win that game (that by assumption we got greater than " $\frac{1}{2} + \text{Neg}$ " probability) that means that our E' chose a random function  $F$  from the world of all function.

For the reason that E' is polynic, we got a contradiction for the definition of pseudo-random functions.

NOTICE! We are referring to function from  $\{0,1\}^n$  to  $\{0,1\}^n$ .