

**Samu Kumpulainen**

# **Master's thesis research plan**

Master's Thesis in Information Technology

June 22, 2020

University of Jyväskylä

Faculty of Information Technology

**Author:** Samu Kumpulainen

**Contact information:** samu.p.kumpulainen@student.jyu.fi

**Supervisor:** Vagan Terziyan

**Title:** Master's thesis research plan

**Työn nimi:** Pro Gradu -tutkimussuunnitelma

**Project:** Master's Thesis

**Study line:** Mathematical Information Technology

**Page count:** 8+0

**Abstract:** This research plan contains description of the planned topic of master's thesis, its background, possible research methods and approaches.

**Keywords:** Master's Theses, AGI, AI, artificial intelligence

**Suomenkielinen tiivistelmä:**

Tässä suunnitelmassa käydään läpi pro gradu -tutkielman mahdollista aihetta ja tutkimustapaa.

**Avainsanat:** Pro Gradu, tutkielma, AI, tekoäly

## Contents

0.1	Introduction.....	1
0.2	Literature mapping .....	1
0.2.1	Possible material sources .....	1
0.2.2	Method sources .....	2
0.2.3	Previous knowledge .....	2
0.3	Research topic/question .....	2
0.4	Research method and reasoning .....	3
0.5	Material gathering plan .....	3
0.6	Material gathering .....	3
0.7	Material analysis .....	4
0.8	Results .....	4
0.9	Conclusion.....	4
	BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	5

## **0.1 Introduction**

The thesis will be a systematic research mapping on the field of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI). The goal of the thesis is to identify the themes and subfields of AGI research in recent years, what is being researched recently, and what kind of gaps exist on the field. For a while the AGI field was not so active and the more specific approaches, 'narrow AI', grew in popularity. Recently, however, the wider, more general artificial intelligence has been regaining interest. This kind of mapping study would be needed as the research field is complex and there is no clear presentation of the current trends and focal points. Creating this kind of overview would be a valuable asset for future research. Furthermore, if an interesting subtopic comes up during the process of mapping, more focus may be directed towards that in form of more traditional systematic literature review. This option is left for further consideration.

## **0.2 Literature mapping**

As the thesis itself will be a systematic literature mapping study, no separate review or mapping should be needed. Systematic mapping study creates an overview of the research area by categorizing the reports and studies, creating a visual map that provides information on how the research is focused. The method is based on observing the abstracts of studies, enabling faster analysis and greater volume in the material. It is described in more detail in 0.4. In comparison, systematic literature review method goes on more detail, providing more verbal, summary-like results on the topic. This increased depth narrows the breadth of the study.

### **0.2.1 Possible material sources**

The material is to be gathered through databases and search engines via specified search terms. Databases and content libraries such as IEEE, ACM, and Google Scholar can be used. One possible option would be to focus on journals that specialize on the field, such as *Journal of Artificial General Intelligence*, *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, and *Artificial Intelligence*, the first of which is highly focused on the area, but not well ranked

based by Publication Forum.

### **0.2.2 Method sources**

There exists many good papers on the research method. There are some example theses using the approach, such as Niko Mononen's (Mononen 2018) and Sari Ryyänen's (Ryyänen 2017). Guidelines regarding the method itself can be found on article by Petersen et al. 2008. There is an update on the topic as well (Petersen, Vakkalanka, and Kuzniarz 2015). Some sources about literature reviews such as Bereton et al. (2007) and Salminen (2011) might be useful as well.

### **0.2.3 Previous knowledge**

The topic of artificial general intelligence is somewhat familiar to me, as it has been touched on courses such as Collective Intelligence and Agent Technology, and Deep Learning for Cognitive Computing. The field is complex and has a lot of history, and I am certain that it has a lot to offer in the future. One reason for the complexity is the fact that there are many different approaches and considerations both in theory and in practice. There will be a lot purely theoretical studies varying from the possible frameworks and technologies used in development to robot ethics and machine morality.

## **0.3 Research topic/question**

The research questions are not too specific yet, but some ideas are:

- What is the current state of AGI?
- What are the current techniques used and researched?
- What have been the most successful attempts recent years?
- How has the generalization of specific techniques advanced recently?

## **0.4 Research method and reasoning**

The research method, systematic mapping, is a secondary study method that aims to create a general view of the studied area by a process of keywording, classification, and mapping. According to Peterson et al. (2008), it consist of the following phases:

1. Definition of research questions
2. Conducting search
3. Screening the papers for inclusion and exclusion
4. Keywording (abstracts, conclusions, introductions)
5. Data extraction and mapping

Each phase produces a subresult to be used in the next one. This process results in a systematic map of the area. This can and should be further visualized using for example bubble graphs (Mononen 2018 and Petersen et al. 2008). This helps to more easily spot the focus points and gaps in the research.

## **0.5 Material gathering plan**

The material gathering will be performed during the year 2020, when the actual thesis writing takes place. As mentioned in 0.2.1, the data will be gathered through the internet databases. The criteria for the inclusion of papers need to be thoroughly considered, for example they need to be available in English and accessible without charge.

As the study method will be a secondary study, and the data used will be public research performed by other people, the ethical considerations will consist only on the data access rights. The ethics of the research used as data are not the concern of this thesis.

## **0.6 Material gathering**

During the material gathering phase, as it is found on the databases, it might be wise to download and store it locally, if possible. This would make the analysis itself easier to keep track of. In any case, a process needs to be put into place to keep track which studies are

waiting to be examined, which have been processed etc. As a secondary study, no separate archiving and disposal procedures are necessary. The results and notes on the thesis itself will be saved using version control such as Git.

## **0.7 Material analysis**

The material will be analysed as presented in 0.4, with process of keywording, abstraction and schema building. Further synthesis can be derived from the results of this method.

## **0.8 Results**

The results of the thesis will be a clear overview of the recent research in the field of artificial general intelligence in form of classification data, visual graphs and further synthesis. As mentioned earlier, if an interesting topic presents itself during the process of mapping, it may be further examined in a more focused way, such as literature review. This will be considered at that time.

## **0.9 Conclusion**

In short, a systematic mapping will be performed on the field of Artificial General Intelligence to achieve a clear overview of the field. This can be used in further research to see which areas need to be examined in more detail.

## Bibliography

Brereton, Pearl, Barbara A Kitchenham, David Budgen, Mark Turner, and Mohamed Khalil. 2007. "Lessons from applying the systematic literature review process within the software engineering domain". *Journal of systems and software* 80 (4): 571–583.

Mononen, Niko. 2018. "Systemaattinen kirjallisuuskartoitus luovasta ohjelmoinnista ope-  
tuskontekstissa". Master's thesis. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:jyu-201801281350>.

Petersen, Kai, Robert Feldt, Shahid Mujtaba, and Michael Mattsson. 2008. "Systematic map-  
ping studies in software engineering". In *12th International Conference on Evaluation and  
Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE) 12*, 1–10.

Petersen, Kai, Sairam Vakkalanka, and Ludwik Kuzniarz. 2015. "Guidelines for conducting  
systematic mapping studies in software engineering: An update". *Information and Software  
Technology* 64:1–18.

Ryynänen, Sari. 2017. "Immateriaalioikeuksien esiintyminen SIGCSE-konferenssien julka-  
isuissa". Master's thesis. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:jyu-201705112298>.

Salminen, Ari. 2011. *Mikä kirjallisuuskatsaus?: Johdatus kirjallisuuskatsauksen tyyppeihin  
ja hallintotieteellisiin sovelluksiin*.