

University Of Plymouth School of Engineering, Computing, and Mathematics

A Co-Operation Level Editor

Osbourne Laud Abraham Clark

10777267

BSc (Hons) Computer Science

Plymouth University
United Kingdom
??th April 2025

Acknowledgements

Abstract

Extra

Word Count:

Code Link:

Contents

Ac	knov	vledgements	1					
Abstract Extra Contents								
					Lis	st of	Figures	4
					1	1.1 1.2	Background	5 5 5
2	Leg	al, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues	5					
3	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	technologies 3.1.1 unity 3.1.2 C# 3.1.3 Libraries 3.1.4 Co-Operation game 3.1.5 github non-functional requirements functional requirements UML 3.4.1 classes 3.4.2 control flow	7 7 7					
4	proj	ect managment	7					
5	-	previous attempts	7					
6	6.1	lementation unity YAML 6.2.1 importing 6.2.2 exporting	7 7					
		υ. <i>Σ</i> .Σ σχροιτιία	1					

	6.3	Reverse Engineering of Level Layout	7	
		6.3.1 object placement	7	
		6.3.2 object orientation	7	
	6.4	cacheing for faster loading	7	
7 Evaluation				
8	Conclusion			
	8.1	further Work	8	

List of Figures

1 introduction ¡TODO LOOK AT SOFTWAREDESIGN DOC IN REPO¿

1.1 Background

this dissertation idea came about throung a problem identified in my second acedemic year while developing a mod for the game Co-Operation created by MINDFEAST. the issue found was the tedious nature of creating levels i general. once levels became big or contain a lot of objects they start to become a mess, and attempts to quickly change a single object can become a massive effort. there are multiple method used for finding and changeing object posistioning:

- · counting rows and columns in the game
- crawling throung the file until you have found the correct object
- make continuous mental logs of where things have been placed

1.2 Objectives

1.3 Deliverables

2 Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues

3 Method Of Approach

3.1 technologies

3.1.1 unity

to create this project i utilised unity for its easy to use graphics maniplulation methods. From the beginning it was clear a 3D solution was required for the project. If 2D was chosen it would not have given the same feed back or easy usablility to the user. By going 3D i could give the user a closer idea to what the levels will look like in game.

3.1.2 C#

i was required to code within C# as unity was chosen to be developed within. this was benneficial as i am quite well versed in it.

3.1.3 Libraries

importing GLB objects

i have used UnityGLTF to import glb object within to unity at runtime. this allowed for hot reloading objects without the need of re compilation of the entire unity project. https://github.com/KhronosGroup/UnityGLTF

parsing YAML

to be able to import and export file in YAML format, without haveing to create my own parser from scrtach, i have used YamlDotNet. This is a library built for C# and so worked easily with unity.

https://github.com/aaubry/YamlDotNet

- 3.1.4 Co-Operation game
- **3.1.5** github
- 3.2 non-functional requirements
- 3.3 functional requirements
- 3.4 UML
- 3.4.1 classes
- 3.4.2 control flow
- 4 project managment
- 5 Preperation
- 5.1 previous attempts
- 6 implementation
- 6.1 unity
- **6.2 YAML**
- 6.2.1 importing
- 6.2.2 exporting
- 6.3 Reverse Engineering of Level Layout
- 6.3.1 object placement
- 6.3.2 object orientation
- 6.4 cacheing for faster loading

7 Evaluation

• What went well and what went badly? • Why was this the case? • To what extent was the aspect under consideration responsible (vs. other contributing factors, e.g., your own performance). • Was your experience in line with what might have been expected

given the body of knowledge within the literature? • To what extent does the above cause you to reconsider the choices that you made in relation to the given aspect?

8 Conclusion

It is a brief summary of the project and its achievements. Therefore, you should relist your project's objectives and critically (and ruthlessly) evaluate whether you met the objectives

8.1 further Work