

Pierre-Antoine Graham

## HOMEWORK 1

Giuseppe Sellaroli  
*Mathematical Physics*

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics  
January 30, 2024

# Contents

---

1	Hodge star operator and vector calculus	2
2	Maxwell's equations	3
3	Acknowledgement	4

# 1 Hodge star operator and vector calculus

We are interested in the spaces  $\Omega^k(M)$  of  $k$ -forms over a smooth manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$  equipped with a pseudo-Riemannian metric tensor  $g$  represented as  $g = \gamma_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$  in the frame field induced by the coordinate maps  $x_i$  over an open subset  $U \subset M$ . The Hodge star operator constitutes a linear map  $\star : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-k}(M)$ . In a local frame given by  $dx^i$ , its action is specified by

$$\star 1 = \sqrt{|\det \gamma|} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n, \quad dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_k} = \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sqrt{\det \gamma} (\gamma^{-1})^{i_1 j_1} \cdots (\gamma^{-1})^{i_k j_k} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_n} dx^{j_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_n} \quad \& \quad \star(f\alpha) = f \star \alpha$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the Levi-Civita symbol,  $f \in \Omega^0(M)$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ .

- (a) For now, we treat a cartesian frame field  $dx^1 = dx, dx^2 = dy, dx^3 = dz$  in three dimensional euclidean space by replacing  $\gamma_{ij}$  by  $\delta_{ij}$ . The Hodge dual of each frame field calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \star dx &= \frac{\sqrt{|1|}}{(3-1)!} (\delta^{-1})^{11} \epsilon_{123} dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{\sqrt{|1|}}{(3-1)!} (\delta^{-1})^{11} \epsilon_{132} dx^3 \wedge dx^2 = \frac{1}{2} (+1) dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)(-1) dx^3 \wedge dx^2 = dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \\ \star dy &= \frac{\sqrt{|1|}}{(3-1)!} (\delta^{-1})^{22} \epsilon_{231} dx^3 \wedge dx^1 + \frac{\sqrt{|1|}}{(3-1)!} (\delta^{-1})^{22} \epsilon_{213} dx^1 \wedge dx^3 = \frac{1}{2} (+1) dx^3 \wedge dx^1 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)(-1) dx^1 \wedge dx^3 = dx^3 \wedge dx^1 \\ \star dz &= \frac{\sqrt{|1|}}{(3-1)!} (\delta^{-1})^{33} \epsilon_{312} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \frac{\sqrt{|1|}}{(3-1)!} (\delta^{-1})^{33} \epsilon_{321} dx^2 \wedge dx^1 = \frac{1}{2} (+1) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-1)(-1) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Using the metric we can associate a vector  $\alpha^\sharp$  to each one-form  $\alpha$  such that  $g(\alpha^\sharp, v) = v(\alpha)$  for all vector fields  $v$ . Consider the expansions  $\alpha = \alpha_x dx + \alpha_y dy + \alpha_z dz$ ,  $\alpha^\sharp = \alpha_x^\sharp \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \alpha_y^\sharp \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \alpha_z^\sharp \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and  $v = v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . If the components of  $g$  are  $\delta_{ij}$ , then  $g(\alpha^\sharp, v) = \alpha_x^\sharp v_x + \alpha_y^\sharp v_y + \alpha_z^\sharp v_z$  and  $v(\alpha) = \alpha_x v_x + \alpha_y v_y + \alpha_z v_z$ . Since these two expressions are equal for all  $v$  by definition of  $\sharp$ , we conclude  $\alpha_x^\sharp = \alpha_x$ ,  $\alpha_y^\sharp = \alpha_y$ ,  $\alpha_z^\sharp = \alpha_z$  for the cartesian euclidean metric. We have a correspondence between one-forms and vectors which can be used to recover vector calculus from differential form operations.

- Suppose  $f$  is a smooth function then  $df = \partial_x f dx + \partial_y f dy + \partial_z f dz$  which maps to the vector  $df^\sharp = \partial_x f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \partial_y f \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \partial_z f \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  which corresponds to the usual notion of a gradient  $\nabla f$ .
- For a one-form  $\alpha = \alpha_x dx + \alpha_y dy + \alpha_z dz$ , the exterior derivative reads

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha &= (\partial_x \alpha_x dx + \partial_y \alpha_x dy + \partial_z \alpha_x dz) \wedge dx + (\partial_x \alpha_y dx + \partial_y \alpha_y dy + \partial_z \alpha_y dz) \wedge dy + (\partial_x \alpha_z dx + \partial_y \alpha_z dy + \partial_z \alpha_z dz) \wedge dz \\ &= \partial_y \alpha_x dy \wedge dx + \partial_z \alpha_x dz \wedge dx + \partial_x \alpha_y dx \wedge dy + \partial_z \alpha_y dz \wedge dy + \partial_x \alpha_z dx \wedge dz + \partial_y \alpha_z dy \wedge dz \\ &= (\partial_x \alpha_y - \partial_y \alpha_x) dx \wedge dy + (\partial_z \alpha_x - \partial_x \alpha_z) dz \wedge dx + (\partial_y \alpha_z - \partial_z \alpha_y) dy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

From the property  $\star \star \alpha = (-1)^{1(3-1)} \text{sgn}(\det(\delta_{ij})) = \alpha$  (for one-forms), applying  $\star$  to the results found in (a) should bring us back to the expression on which  $\star$  was applied to obtain them. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \star d\alpha &= (\partial_x \alpha_y - \partial_y \alpha_x) \star dx \wedge dy + (\partial_z \alpha_x - \partial_x \alpha_z) \star dz \wedge dx + (\partial_y \alpha_z - \partial_z \alpha_y) \star dy \wedge dz \quad \text{linearity of } \star \text{ and } \star(\text{coeff } \alpha) = \text{coeff } \star \alpha \\ &= (\partial_x \alpha_y - \partial_y \alpha_x) dz + (\partial_z \alpha_x - \partial_x \alpha_z) dy + (\partial_y \alpha_z - \partial_z \alpha_y) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using  $\sharp$  this result is mapped to the vector with components resulting from the vector product  $\nabla \times \alpha^\sharp$ . Indeed, we can write  $(\star d\alpha)^\sharp = \nabla \times \alpha^\sharp$ .

- Now consider again a one-form  $\alpha = \alpha_x dx + \alpha_y dy + \alpha_z dz$ . This time, we start by applying  $\star$  followed by an exterior derivative to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d \star \alpha &= d(\alpha_x dy \wedge dz + \alpha_y dz \wedge dx + \alpha_z dx \wedge dy) \\ &= (\partial_x \alpha_x dx + \partial_y \alpha_x dy + \partial_z \alpha_x dz) \wedge dy \wedge dz + (\partial_x \alpha_y dx + \partial_y \alpha_y dy + \partial_z \alpha_y dz) \wedge dz \wedge dx + (\partial_x \alpha_z dx + \partial_y \alpha_z dy + \partial_z \alpha_z dz) \wedge dx \wedge dy \\ &= \partial_x \alpha_x dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \partial_y \alpha_y dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + \partial_z \alpha_z dz \wedge dx \wedge dy = (\partial_x \alpha_x + \partial_y \alpha_y + \partial_z \alpha_z) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the fact  $\star$  is an involution in our space (see previous  $\bullet$ ), we have  $1 = \star \star 1 = \star \sqrt{\det(\delta_{ij})} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = \star dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$  leading to

$$\star d \star \alpha = \star (\partial_x \alpha_x + \partial_y \alpha_y + \partial_z \alpha_z) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = \partial_x \alpha_x + \partial_y \alpha_y + \partial_z \alpha_z$$

which is directly the scalar result obtained when taking the divergence  $\nabla \cdot \alpha^\sharp$ .

- Finally, consider two one-forms  $\alpha = \alpha_x dx + \alpha_y dy + \alpha_z dz$  and  $\beta = \beta_x dx + \beta_y dy + \beta_z dz$ . The  $\star$  of their  $\wedge$  product reads

$$\begin{aligned} \star(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= \star((\alpha_x dx + \alpha_y dy + \alpha_z dz) \wedge (\beta_x dx + \beta_y dy + \beta_z dz)) \\ &= \star(\alpha_x \beta_y dx \wedge dy + \alpha_x \beta_z dx \wedge dz + \alpha_y \beta_x dy \wedge dx + \alpha_y \beta_z dy \wedge dz + \alpha_z \beta_x dz \wedge dx + \alpha_z \beta_y dz \wedge dy) \\ &= (\alpha_x \beta_y - \alpha_y \beta_x) \star dx \wedge dy + (\alpha_x \beta_z - \alpha_z \beta_x) \star dz \wedge dx + (\alpha_y \beta_z - \alpha_z \beta_y) \star dy \wedge dz \\ &= (\alpha_x \beta_y - \alpha_y \beta_x) dz + (\alpha_x \beta_z - \alpha_z \beta_x) dy + (\alpha_y \beta_z - \alpha_z \beta_y) dx \end{aligned}$$

which matched the component of a vector product and gives the relation  $(\star(\alpha \wedge \beta))^\sharp = \alpha^\sharp \times \beta^\sharp$ .

## 2 Maxwell's equations

To express Maxwell's equations with differential forms, we use the following representations of the electric field  $E$ , magnetic field  $B$ , current density  $J$

$$B = B_x dy \wedge dz + B_y dz \wedge dx + B_z dx \wedge dy, \quad E = E_x dx + E_y dy + E_z dz, \quad J = J_x dx + J_y dy + J_z dz.$$

and we take the charge density  $\rho$  to be a zero-form.

- (a) The representation of  $B$  as a two-form is motivated by the fact magnetic field components behave as pseudo-vectors under full inversion  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (-x, -y, -z)$ . To verify that the two-form representation is consistent with this property, we perform a change basis  $\{dx^i\}_{i=1}^3$  to the basis  $\{d\tilde{x}^i\}_{i=1}^3$  generated by fully inverted spatial coordinates. We have  $d\tilde{x}^i = d(-x) = -dx^i$  and  $d\tilde{x}^i \wedge d\tilde{x}^j = (-1)^2 dx^i \wedge dx^j$ . This implies that at the level of fully spatial two-forms, the full inversion of space leaves the components invariant as expected for a magnetic field.
- (b) To connect with the usual vector form of Maxwell's equations, we notice that the usual electric  $\vec{E}$ , magnetic  $\vec{B}$  and current density  $\vec{J}$  vector fields are related to the forms given above by  $\vec{E} = E^\sharp$ ,  $\vec{B} = (\star B)^\sharp = (B_x dx + B_y dy + B_z dz)^\sharp$ ,  $\vec{J} = J^\sharp$ . Using results derived from problem 1, (b) we can use the original set of Maxwell equations to write

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = \nabla \cdot (\star B)^\sharp = \star d \star (\star B) = \star dB \iff dB = 0 \quad (\star \text{ is an involution})$$

$$\mu_0 \rho = \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \nabla \cdot E^\sharp = \star d \star E$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \vec{E} = \frac{\partial (\star B)^\sharp}{\partial t} + (\star dE)^\sharp \iff 0 = \frac{\partial \star B}{\partial t} + (\star dE) \iff 0 = \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + dE \quad (\star \text{ is an involution, } \sharp \text{ is invertible})$$

$$\mu_0 \vec{J} = \mu_0 J^\sharp = -\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \vec{B} = -\frac{\partial E^\sharp}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\star B)^\sharp = -\frac{\partial E^\sharp}{\partial t} + (\star d \star B)^\sharp \iff \mu_0 J = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + (\star d \star B)^\sharp \quad (\sharp \text{ is invertible})$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the magnetic permeability (the equations are written in  $c = 1$  units)

- (c) By Poincaré's lemma, every closed form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is exact. Maxwell's equations tell us that  $B$  is a closed two-form implying  $B$  is also exact. Then, there exists a one-form  $A$  such that  $B = dA$ . Inserting this result in Faraday's law, we get

$$0 = \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + dE = \frac{\partial dA}{\partial t} + dE = d\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + E\right).$$

Since  $-\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + E$  is a closed one-form it is also exact and there must exist zero-form  $-\phi$  such that  $-d\phi = \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + E \iff E = -d\phi - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$ .

- (d) We now go back to Minkowski space (with  $-+++$  signature) with coordinates  $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x, y, z, t)$  associated to the one-form frame field  $\{dx^\mu\}_{\mu=0}^3$ . We define the two-form  $F = B + E \wedge dt$  and combine the current and charge densities into a single one-form  $J = -\rho \star dt + J_x \star dx + J_y \star dy + J_z \star dz$ . To write Maxwell's equations in terms of these new objects, we need to determine the effect of  $\star$  and its relation to vector calculus in Minkowski space. We start by calculating

$$\begin{aligned} \star dt &= \frac{\sqrt{|-1|}}{(4-1)!} (\gamma^{-1})^{00} \epsilon_{0123} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{\sqrt{|-1|}}{(4-1)!} (\gamma^{-1})^{00} \epsilon_{0132} dx^1 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{|-1|}}{(4-1)!} (\gamma^{-1})^{00} \epsilon_{0213} dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{\sqrt{|-1|}}{(4-1)!} (\gamma^{-1})^{00} \epsilon_{0231} dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^1 \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{|-1|}}{(4-1)!} (\gamma^{-1})^{00} \epsilon_{0312} dx^3 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \frac{\sqrt{|-1|}}{(4-1)!} (\gamma^{-1})^{00} \epsilon_{0321} dx^3 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \\ &= (\gamma^{-1})^{00} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 = -dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \end{aligned}$$

For the next calculations, we use the fact  $\star$  acting on a  $\wedge$  product of basis forms will yield the  $\wedge$  product of the basis forms absent of the original product with a sign. The order of the resulting product is such that concatenating it with the original product on the left will produce an even permutation of  $txyz$ . To fully determine the sign factor, we add multiply by  $-1$  if one of the one-forms in the original product is  $dt$ . With this in mind, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \star dx &= -dt \wedge dy \wedge dz \quad (txyz \text{ odd}), \quad \star dy = dt \wedge dx \wedge dz \quad (ytxz \text{ even}), \quad \star dz = -dt \wedge dx \wedge dy \quad (ztxy \text{ odd}) \\ \star(dx \wedge dy) &= dt \wedge dz \quad (xytz \text{ even}), \quad \star(dy \wedge dz) = dt \wedge dx \quad (yztx \text{ even}), \quad \star(dz \wedge dx) = dt \wedge dy \quad (zxdy \text{ even}) \\ \star(dt \wedge dx) &= (-1)dy \wedge dz \quad (txyz \text{ even}), \quad \star(dt \wedge dy) = (-1)dz \wedge dx \quad (tyzx \text{ even}), \quad \star(dt \wedge dz) = (-1)dx \wedge dy \quad (tzxy \text{ even}) \\ \star 1 &= \sqrt{|\det(\gamma)|} dt \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

These relations are completed with  $\star \star \alpha = (-1)^{k(4-k)}(-1)\alpha$ .

- (e) If we express  $E$  and  $B$  with the potentials  $A = A_x dx + A_y dy + A_z dz$  and  $\phi = -A_t$ ,  $F$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F &= dA + \left(-d\phi - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}\right) \wedge dt \\ &= (\partial_x A_y - \partial_y A_x) dx \wedge dy + (\partial_z A_x - \partial_x A_z) dz \wedge dx + (\partial_y A_z - \partial_z A_y) dy \wedge dz \\ &\quad + \partial_x A_t dx \wedge dt + \partial_y A_t dy \wedge dt + \partial_z A_t dz \wedge dt - (\partial_t A_x dx + \partial_t A_y dy + \partial_t A_z dz) \wedge dt \\ &= (\partial_x A_y - \partial_y A_x) dx \wedge dy + (\partial_z A_x - \partial_x A_z) dz \wedge dx + (\partial_y A_z - \partial_z A_y) dy \wedge dz + (\partial_t A_x - \partial_x A_t) dt \wedge dx + (\partial_t A_y - \partial_y A_t) dt \wedge dy + (\partial_t A_z - \partial_z A_t) dt \wedge dz \end{aligned}$$

We see the equality of coefficients of  $dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$  with components of the covariant Faraday tensor  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ .

(f) The Faraday tensor formulation of Maxwell's equations reads  $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 J^\nu$  and  $\partial_{(\mu} F_{\nu\sigma)} = 0$ . We first notice that

$$\partial_{(\mu} F_{\nu\sigma)} = 0 \iff dF = \partial_\sigma F_{\mu\nu} dx^\sigma \wedge dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu / 2 = 6\partial_{(\sigma} F_{\mu\nu)} dx^\sigma \wedge dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu / 2 = 0.$$

Then we can explicitly verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \star F_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu &= F_{01} \star dx^0 \wedge dx^1 + F_{02} \star dx^0 \wedge dx^2 + F_{03} \star dx^0 \wedge dx^3 + F_{12} \star dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + F_{23} \star dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + F_{31} \star dx^3 \wedge dx^1 \\ &= -F_{01} dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - F_{02} dx^3 \wedge dx^1 - F_{03} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + F_{12} dx^0 \wedge dx^3 + F_{23} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 + F_{31} dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \end{aligned}$$

and apply an exterior derivative to find

$$\begin{aligned} d \star F &= -\partial_0 F_{01} dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \partial_0 F_{02} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 - \partial_0 F_{03} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - \partial_1 F_{12} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \partial_2 F_{23} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \partial_3 F_{31} dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \\ &\quad + \partial_1 F_{10} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \partial_2 F_{20} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \partial_3 F_{30} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \partial_2 F_{21} dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - \partial_3 F_{32} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \partial_1 F_{13} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\ &= (\partial_1 F_{10} + \partial_2 F_{20} + \partial_3 F_{30}) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \dots = -(\mu_0 J^0) \star dt + \dots = (\mu_0 J_0) \star dt + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We see that the  $-1$  factors introduced by  $\star$  essentially raise the indices of  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and the exterior derivative contracts them with a partial derivative. We recover a three-form ( $J$  is represented as a three-form).

(g) The result found in (e) can be restated as  $F = (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu / 2 = \partial_\mu A_\nu dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu = dA$  by definition of the exterior derivative.

## 3 Acknowledgement

---

I worked on this assignment on my own.