

Pierre-Antoine Graham

HOMEWORK 2

Emilie Huffman and Giuseppe Sellaroli
Statistical Physics

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics
December 8, 2023

Contents

1	Gaussian model for $T < T_c$	2
2	Acknowledgement	3

1 Gaussian model for $T < T_c$

- (a) The Ising model can be formulated as a statistical field theory on a lattice using the Hubbard–Stratonovich transformation. The auxiliary field takes values ϕ_i (organized in a vector ϕ) on sites i at position x_i of a square lattice containing a total of N sites. The connectivity of the Ising interaction is encoded in a translationally symmetric matrix with elements $B_{ij} = B(x_i - x_j)$. At zero magnetic field, the theory is parameterized by inverse temperature β and a positive constant A . The statistical field theory is given by the following partition function and action:

$$Z = \sqrt{\det\left(\frac{2\beta A^2 B}{\pi}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \phi e^{-S(\phi)}, \quad S(\phi) = \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \phi^T B \phi - \sum_i \ln(\cosh(\beta A(B\phi)_i)).$$

We are interested in the model resulting from a Gaussian approximation of $S(\phi)$ around its minimizing field configuration given at each site by ψ_j . Up to the second order in deviations from this minimum, the expansion of the discrete field reads

$$S(\phi) \approx S(\psi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\phi - \psi)_i (\phi - \psi)_j \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j}(\psi).$$

Because B encodes translationally symmetric nearest neighbor interaction, B_{ij} can be expressed [1] as a Fourier sum on a single crystal momentum k . We have

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k B_k e^{-k \cdot (x_i - x_j)} \implies \sum_i B_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k B_k e^{-k \cdot x_j} \left(\sum_i e^{-k \cdot x_i} \right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k B_k e^{-k \cdot x_j} N \delta_{k,0} = B_0.$$

where we used the exponential sum representation of the $\delta_{k,0}$ [1]. We note that B_0 is related to the critical temperature of the Gaussian model by $B_0 = k_B T_c$.

- (b) Using the fact $S(\phi)$ is a function of N real variables ϕ_i , we have that its minimal is realized for $\phi_i = \psi_i$ such that the derivatives $\left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} \right|_{\psi}$ simultaneously vanish. This corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi_k} \right|_{\psi} = \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \sum_{i,j} \psi_j B_{ij} \delta_{ik} + \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \sum_{i,j} \delta_{jk} B_{ij} \psi_i - \sum_i \tanh(\beta A(B\psi)_i) \sum_j \beta A B_{ij} \delta_{jk} \\ &= \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \sum_j \psi_j B_{kj} + \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \sum_i B_{ik} \psi_i - \sum_i \tanh(\beta A(B\psi)_i) \beta A B_{ik} \\ &= \beta A^2 \sum_i \psi_i B_{ki} - \beta A \sum_i \tanh(\beta A(B\psi)_i) B_{ik} \quad (\text{using (a) and } B_{ij} = B_{ji}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the lattice is translational symmetric, the minimizing field is uniform with $\psi_i = \bar{\psi}$. This allows us to express the minimization condition in terms of the average field $\bar{\psi}$ as

$$0 = \beta A^2 \bar{\psi} B_0 - \beta A \sum_i \tanh(\beta A \bar{\psi} B_0) B_{ik} = \beta A^2 \bar{\psi} B_0 - \beta A \tanh(\beta A \bar{\psi} B_0) B_0 \iff A \bar{\psi} = \tanh(\beta A \bar{\psi} B_0)$$

Denoting $M = A \bar{\psi}$ and using $B_0 = k_B T_c$ we recover the familiar mean field theory self-consistency relation $M = \tanh\left(\frac{T_c}{T} M\right)$.

- (c) The hessian matrix of $S(\phi)$ at the minimizing field configuration is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \phi_k \partial \phi_m} \right|_{\psi} &= \beta A^2 \sum_i \delta_{im} B_{ki} - \beta A \sum_i \text{sech}^2(\beta A(B\psi)_i) B_{ik} \beta A \sum_p B_{ip} \delta_{mp} \\ &= \beta A^2 B_{km} - (\beta A)^2 \sum_i \text{sech}^2(\beta A(B\psi)_i) B_{ik} B_{im} \\ &= \beta A^2 B_{km} - (\beta A)^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta A B_0 \bar{\psi}) (B^2)_{km} = \beta A^2 B_{km} - (\beta A)^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta B_0 M) (B^2)_{km}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the mean-field condition on M , the hessian becomes

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \phi_k \partial \phi_m} \right|_{\psi} = \beta A^2 B_{km} - (\beta A)^2 \text{sech}^2(\tanh^{-1}(M)) (B^2)_{km} = \beta A^2 B_{km} - (\beta A)^2 (1 - M^2) (B^2)_{km}.$$

To show the resulting matrix is positive definite we can move to the eigenbasis of B_{ij} where B_{ij} is diagonal with eigenvalues B_k [1]. The hessian is diagonal in this eigenbasis and its eigenvalues are $H_k = B_k(\beta A^2 - (\beta A)^2(1 - M^2))$. More can be said by noting that for nearest neighbor positive coupling, the eigenvalues B_{ij} are ordered as $B_0 \geq B_k \geq 0$. From this ordering, the positive definiteness of the hessian matrix reduces to $\beta A^2 - (\beta A)^2(1 - M^2)B_k > 0$. Then, because $-1 < \tanh\left(\frac{T_c}{T} M\right) < 1$, we have $1 - M^2 > 0$ leading to the refinement $H_k > 1 - \beta(1 - M^2)B_0 > 0$ (the coefficient of B_k is negative and H_k is lower bounded by H_0). For a deviation t from $T_c = B_0/k_B$, $\beta = (1 - t)/B_0$ and $H_k > 1 - (1 - t)(1 - M^2) = (1 - t)M^2 + t > 0$. We finally see that positive definiteness is satisfied on both sides of the critical temperature because of the structure of the solution of the mean field condition on M . Indeed

$$\begin{cases} M = 0, & t > 0 \\ M \sim \sqrt{-3t}, & t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{tutorial 3}) \implies M^2 > -\frac{t}{1-t} \sim -t \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

(d) The correction to $S(\phi)$ provided by the hessian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,m} (\phi - \psi)_k (\phi - \psi)_m \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \phi_k \partial \phi_m}(\psi) &= \begin{cases} \frac{A^2}{2} \phi_k \phi_m (\beta B_{km} - \beta^2 (B^2)_{km}), & T > T_c \\ \frac{A^2}{2} (\phi - M/A)_k (\phi - M/A)_m (\beta B_{km} - \beta^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta B_0 M) (B^2)_{km}), & T < T_c \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{2} A \phi_k A \phi_m (B_{km} - \beta \text{sech}^2(\beta B_0 M) (B^2)_{km}), & T > T_c, \quad \text{sech}^2(\beta B_0 0) = 1 \\ \frac{\beta}{2} (A\phi - M)_k (A\phi - M)_m (B_{km} - \beta \text{sech}^2(\beta B_0 M) (B^2)_{km}), & T < T_c \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We notice that for $T > T_c$, we recover the result from [1] because it is derived from a small ϕ expansion of the logarithm contribution to the action. Here, we recover this approximation in the phase where $\bar{\psi} = 0$ (expanding around this field configuration is the same as doing a small ϕ expansion).

(e) In the absence of a magnetic field, the expectation value of spin σ_i on site i of the Ising model is proportional to the expectation value of the auxiliary field value ϕ_i at site i . Using the quadratic approximation of the action obtained above, we can write

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle = A \langle \phi_i \rangle_S \approx A \frac{e^{-S(\psi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\phi - \psi)^t \partial^2 S(\psi) (\phi - \psi)} \phi_i}{e^{-S(\psi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\phi - \psi)^t \partial^2 S(\psi) (\phi - \psi)}}$$

where $\partial^2 S(\psi)$ represents the hessian matrix evaluated at the minimizing field configuration ψ . Then we define the fluctuation variable $\delta \phi_i = \phi_i - \psi$ and make it the integration variable (shift associated to a 1 Jacobian factor) to obtain

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle = A \langle \phi_i \rangle_S \approx A \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \delta \phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta \phi^t \partial^2 S(\psi) \delta \phi} (\delta \phi_i + \bar{\psi})}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \delta \phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta \phi^t \partial^2 S(\psi) \delta \phi}} = A \bar{\psi} + A \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \delta \phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta \phi^t \partial^2 S(\psi) \delta \phi} \delta \phi_i}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^N \delta \phi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta \phi^t \partial^2 S(\psi) \delta \phi}}.$$

Because the exponential only features terms quadratic in $\delta \phi_i$ it is left unchanged by the transformation $\delta \phi_i \rightarrow -\delta \phi_i$. The integration measure is also left unchanged by this transformation. Indeed changing the sign of $\delta \phi_i$ flips the integration bounds N times: restoring the bounds to their original order adds a factor of $(-1)^N$. This factor combines with the $(-1)^N$ jacobian transforming the measure to yield no net change. Therefore, the integral equals its additive inverse and must be 0. From this result, we can show concretely that the magnetization is related to ψ by $M = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle \sigma_i \rangle = A \bar{\psi}$. Knowing that the magnetization critical exponent for mean field theory results is $\beta = 1/2$, it follows that the Gaussian model has a $\beta = 1/2$ critical exponent.

(f) The minimal action associated with ψ is

$$\begin{aligned} S(\psi) &= \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \psi^t B \psi - \sum_i \ln(\cosh(\beta A(B\psi)_i)) \\ &= \frac{\beta A^2}{2} \sum_{i,j} B_{ij} \bar{\psi}^2 - \sum_i \ln(\cosh(\beta A B_0 \bar{\psi})) \\ &= \frac{\beta A^2}{2} N B_0 \bar{\psi}^2 - N \ln(\cosh(\beta A B_0 \bar{\psi})). \end{aligned}$$

Seeing that it scales with N , we notice that the original action scales approximately linearly with N for field configurations ϕ_i that are roughly uniform. If this scaling by N held in all \mathbb{R}^n , we could use Laplace expansion to replace the integral on the full action by the result of a Gaussian integration (from an expansion around the minimum $\bar{\psi}$). Doing so would implicitly assume fluctuations are either very small or uniform on all sites. The subspace of \mathbb{R}^n where this is true becomes infinitely small (0 measure) when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the scaling law of $S(\phi)$ is not aligned with the Laplace method in general. The assumption of restricted fluctuations seems to force truncation of exact results to Gaussian model results in the limit of large N (including mean field theory critical exponents for M which makes sense because the mean field neglects correlations and builds from a uniform ansatz). Another way to see that the Laplace method should not work is to note that even if the action is scaled in the right way, the number of variables is also scaling (this is not taken into account in the derivation of the Laplace method).

2 Acknowledgement

Thanks to Nikhil, Maitá, and Thiago for discussions about the nuances of the Laplace method.

References

- [1] *Statistical Physics, lecture notes, week 4, Emilie Huffman and Giuseppe Sellaroli*. 2023.