

Pierre-Antoine Graham

HOMEWORK 1

Jaume Gomis and Mykola Semenyakin
Quantum Field Theory III

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics
March 24, 2024

Contents

1	Conformal invariance of the Maxwell action for $D = 4$	2
2	Axial anomaly	5
3	OPE coefficients from three-point functions	5
4	Acknowledgement	6

1 Conformal invariance of the Maxwell action for $D = 4$

- (a) Consider a classical abelian gauge field A_μ on $D = 4$ dimensionnal Minkowski spacetime. Under an infinitesimal conformal transformation, spacetime undergoes the transformation $\tilde{x}^\mu = f(x) = x^\mu + \xi^\mu(x)$ where $\xi^\mu(x)$ is a smal deformation. We want to calculate the effect of this transformation on the gauge field A_μ . The starting point is that we expect A_μ to transform as a tensor under the Lorentz transformation subgroup of the conformal group. This implies that A_μ is a primary operator and we denote its scaling dimension Δ . The transformed field \tilde{A}_μ at \tilde{x} is related to the original field A_μ at x by an internal rotation, scaling, and special conformal transformation. The rotation operation acts on the components A_μ through its spin 1 representation which is the defining representation of rotations. The scaling and special conformal transformation act together through the multiplication of A_μ by the Jacobian factor $|\partial x / \partial \tilde{x}|_x^{\Delta/D}$. Finally, translations act trivially internally. This can be summarized with the relation $\tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) = |\partial x / \partial \tilde{x}|_x^{\Delta/D} R_\mu^\nu(x) A_\nu(x)$ where R_μ^ν is the matrix associated with the part of $\xi^\mu(x)$ that does not change the metric components (after the Weyl and diffeomorphism transformations). With this in mind, we calculate the jacobian of the infinitesimal transformation to be

$$\left| \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu} \right|_x = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} \right|_x^{-1} = |\delta_\nu^\mu + \partial_\nu \xi^\mu|_x^{-1} \approx |e^{-\partial_\nu \xi^\mu}|_x = e^{-\text{Tr} \partial_\nu \xi^\mu(x)} = 1 - \partial_\mu \xi^\mu(x) + O(\xi^2).$$

The matrix $R_\mu^\nu(x)$ can be extracted by dividing the matrix $(\partial x / \partial \tilde{x})_x$ by a factor $\Omega(x)$ such that we extract the "metric component preserving" operation. To find this factor we consider the effect on the metric of $\Omega^{-1}(x)(\partial x / \partial \tilde{x})_x$. We can write the "metric component preserving" property as

$$\Omega^{-2}(x) \left(\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\sigma} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\rho} \right)_x \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\sigma\rho}.$$

Since $\Omega(x)$ is a factor, we can extract it by taking the determinant on both sides of the previous relation to get

$$\det(\eta) \Omega(x)^{-2D} \left| \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu} \right|_x^2 = \det(\eta) \iff \Omega(x) = \left| \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu} \right|_x^{-\frac{1}{D}}.$$

This result can be intuitively understood from the fact the Jacobian measures D -volume rescaling. Since we want metric components (associated with distances) to be preserved by the rescaled transformation, we need to divide by the D -root of the jacobian. The matrix $R_\mu^\nu(x)$ provided by the rescaling is given by

$$R_\mu^\nu(x) = \frac{1}{(1 - \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) + O(\xi^2))^{1/D}} \left(\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \right)_x = (1 + \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x)/D + O(\xi^2)) (\delta_\mu^\nu + \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(x) + O(\xi^2))^{-1} \\ = \delta_\mu^\nu (1 + \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x)/D) - \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(x) + O(\xi^2).$$

We note that $R_\mu^\nu(x)$ will represent a rotation if $\partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) = 0$ (bring the conformal Killing equation to the normal Killing equation with a rotation isometry as its solution). If $\partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) \neq 0$, the rescaled transformation contains a special conformal transformation. The special conformal transformation as a Weyl transformation does not preserve distances but can be combined with a diffeomorphism to preserve the initial components of the metric. With these results, we can write the effect of the infinitesimal transformation as

$$\tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) = (1 - \partial_\rho \xi^\rho(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2))^{\Delta/D} (A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \frac{1}{D} - A_\nu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2)) \\ = \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\rho \xi^\rho(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2) \right) (A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \frac{1}{D} - A_\nu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2)) \\ = A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) - A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \frac{1}{D} - A_\nu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2).$$

Since $\xi(f^{-1}(\tilde{x}))$ is already first order in ξ , the only term contribution to its expansion around $\xi = 0$ at $O(\xi)$ is $\xi(\tilde{x})$. To go further, we expand $f^{-1}(\tilde{x})$ at first order in $\xi(\tilde{x})$ with the ansatz $f^{-1}(\tilde{x})^\nu = \tilde{x}^\nu + B_\mu^\nu(\tilde{x}) \xi^\mu(\tilde{x})$ (the first term of this ansatz is justified by noticing the transformation reduces to identity at $\xi = 0$). From $f(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) = \tilde{x}$, we find

$$\tilde{x}^\nu = \tilde{x}^\nu + B_\mu^\nu(\tilde{x}) \xi^\mu(\tilde{x}) + \xi(\tilde{x}^\nu + B_\mu^\nu(\tilde{x}) \xi^\mu(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2) \implies B_\mu^\nu(\tilde{x}) \xi^\mu(\tilde{x}) + \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) = 0, \quad \forall \xi(\tilde{x}) \implies B_\mu^\nu(\tilde{x}) = -\delta_\mu^\nu.$$

Using this result, we can expand $A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x}))$ as

$$A_\mu(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) = A_\mu(\tilde{x}^\nu - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2)) = A_\mu(\tilde{x}) - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu A_\mu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2)$$

Combining this expression with the internal transformation at first order in ξ , we get

$$\tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) = \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \right) (A_\mu(\tilde{x}) - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu A_\mu(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2) \\ = A_\mu(\tilde{x}) - A_\mu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta-1}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu A_\mu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2)$$

with $\xi(f^{-1}(\tilde{x})) = \xi(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2)$. This result can be simplified by using the conformal killing equation $\partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu = 2\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma / D$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) &= A_\mu(\tilde{x}) - A_\mu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta-1}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \right) - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu A_\mu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2) \\ &= A_\mu(\tilde{x}) - A_\mu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta-1}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu \xi^\mu(\tilde{x}) + \delta_\mu^\nu \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \frac{1}{D} \right) - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu A_\mu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2) \\ &= A_\mu(\tilde{x}) - A_\mu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu \xi^\mu(\tilde{x}) \right)}_{M_{\mu}{}^\nu} - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu A_\mu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2).\end{aligned}$$

From this transformed gauge field, we calculate the transformation of gauge field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ to $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$. We start by writing the transformation law of the derivatives used to construct $F_{\mu\nu}$. The chain rule yields

$$\tilde{\partial}_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} = \left(\frac{\partial f^{-1}(\tilde{x})^\nu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu - \xi^\nu(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} = \left(-\frac{\partial \xi^\nu(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \right)_{\tilde{x}} \equiv -\partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu + \partial_\mu.$$

where the subscripts indicate that a partial derivative with respect to x^μ should be precomposed with $x = f^{-1}(x^\mu)$ to yield a function dependent on the left-hand side variable \tilde{x} . Now we can calculate the transformed field strength at first order in ξ to be

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} &= \tilde{\partial}_\mu \tilde{A}_\nu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= (-\partial_\mu \xi^\rho(\tilde{x}) \partial_\rho + \partial_\mu) \left(A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - A_\lambda(\tilde{x}) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda - \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \right) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - (\partial_\mu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\mu \left(A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \right) - \partial_\mu (A_\lambda(\tilde{x}) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda) - \partial_\mu (\xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda A_\nu(\tilde{x})) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\mu \left(A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \right) - \partial_\mu A_\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\nu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - A_\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - 2(\partial_\mu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x})) \partial_\lambda A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\mu \left(A_\nu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \right) - (\partial_\mu A_\lambda(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda - A_\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\mu M_{\nu}{}^\lambda - \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - 2(\partial_\mu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x})) \partial_\lambda A_\nu(\tilde{x}) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= F_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) - F_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - A_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_{\mu)} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - (\partial_{(\mu} A_{\lambda)}(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda - A_\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_{(\mu} M_{\nu)}{}^\lambda - \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) - 2(\partial_{(\mu} \xi^{\lambda)}(\tilde{x})) \partial_{\lambda} A_{\nu)}(\tilde{x}) \\ &= F_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) - F_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - A_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_{\mu)} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - (\partial_{(\mu} A_{\lambda)}(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda - \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) - 2(\partial_{(\mu} \xi^{\lambda)}(\tilde{x})) \partial_{\lambda} A_{\nu)}(\tilde{x})\end{aligned}$$

where we simplified further by expliciting

$$2\partial_{(\mu} M_{\nu)}{}^\lambda = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\mu \partial^\lambda \xi_\nu(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) - \partial_\nu \partial^\lambda \xi_\mu(\tilde{x}) = 0.$$

We note that the transformation law of $F_{\mu\nu}$ involves A_μ homogeneously which is an example of mixing of CFT fields under the transformation of a descendant.

(b) For a D -dimensional spacetime, the Maxwell action reads

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho} \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\sigma\rho}.$$

where g is the metric (which we suppose conformally flat). We aim to apply the results found in (a) to determine when this action gains conformal symmetry. Under a conformal transformation given by the killing vector $\xi^\mu(x)$ and the scaling $\Omega(x) = 1 + \partial_\mu \xi^\mu(x)/D + O(\xi^2)$ of the metric components, we have

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\nu\rho}(x) &= \Omega(f(x))^{-2} \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho}(f(x)) = \Omega(\tilde{x})^{-2} \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho}(\tilde{x}) \quad \text{Defining property of a conformal transformation} \\ |g|(x) &= \Omega(f(x))^{-2D} |\tilde{g}|(f(x)), \quad g^{\nu\rho}(x) = \Omega(f(x))^{+2} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho}(f(x)) = \Omega(\tilde{x})^2 \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho}(\tilde{x}), \quad d^D x \sqrt{|g|} = d^D \tilde{x} \Omega(\tilde{x})^{-D} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}|}(\tilde{x})\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we take the target metric \tilde{g} to be the Minkowski metric. Inverting the result found in (a) for the transformation of the gauge field, we write

$$\begin{aligned}A_\mu(x) &= |\partial x / \partial \tilde{x}|^{-\Delta/D} (R^{-1})_\mu{}^\nu \tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \frac{1}{D} + \tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2) \\ &= \tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) (\partial_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) - \partial^\nu \xi_\mu(\tilde{x})) + O(\xi^2).\end{aligned}$$

Then, with the derivative $(\partial_\mu)_{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{\partial}_\mu \xi^\nu(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_\nu + \tilde{\partial}_\mu$, the field strength transforms as

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = (\tilde{\partial}_\mu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_\lambda + \tilde{\partial}_\mu) \left(\tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_\lambda(\tilde{x}) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda \right) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= \tilde{\partial}_\mu \left(\tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_\lambda(\tilde{x}) M_{\nu}{}^\lambda \right) + \tilde{\partial}_\mu \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_\lambda \tilde{A}_\nu(\tilde{x}) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \partial_{\mu)} \partial_\lambda \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu} (\tilde{A}_{\lambda)}(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu)}{}^\lambda + \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu} \xi^{\lambda)}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\nu)}(\tilde{x})\end{aligned}$$

The contravariant equivalent of this result is given by

$$F^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho} F_{\sigma\rho} = \Omega(\tilde{x})^4 \tilde{g}^{\mu\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} F_{\sigma\rho} \\ = \Omega(\tilde{x})^4 \left(\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{g}^{\mu\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} \tilde{A}_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_{\mu)} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{g}^{\mu\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} \tilde{\partial}_{(\sigma}(\tilde{A}_{\lambda}(\tilde{x})) M_{\rho)}{}^\lambda + \tilde{g}^{\mu\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} \tilde{\partial}_{(\sigma} \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\rho)}(\tilde{x}) \right)$$

Next, we calculate

$$F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \left(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu}(\tilde{A}_{\lambda}(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu)}{}^\lambda + \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu} \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\nu)}(\tilde{x}) + O(\xi^2) \right) \\ \times \Omega(\tilde{x})^4 \left(\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{A}_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_{\mu)} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{g}^{\mu\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} \tilde{\partial}_{(\sigma}(\tilde{A}_{\lambda}(\tilde{x})) M_{\rho)}{}^\lambda + \tilde{g}^{\mu\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\nu\rho} \tilde{\partial}_{(\sigma} \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\rho)}(\tilde{x}) \right) \\ = \Omega(\tilde{x})^4 \left(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{2\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + 2\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu}(\tilde{A}_{\lambda}(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu)}{}^\lambda + 2\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu} \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\nu)}(\tilde{x}) + 2\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_{\mu)} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \right) \\ = \Omega(\tilde{x})^4 \left(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{2\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) + 2\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu}(\tilde{A}_{\lambda}(\tilde{x})) M_{\nu)}{}^\lambda + 2\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\partial}_{(\mu} \xi^\lambda(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\partial}_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\nu)}(\tilde{x}) + 4\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{(\nu}(\tilde{x}) \frac{\Delta}{D} \tilde{\partial}_{\mu)} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma \xi^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \right)$$

I realized this calculation only applies a passive transformation to the action and should not change its value without necessarily corresponding to a symmetry. I would have to redo this calculation by applying an active conformal transformation to each element of the action.

2 Axial anomaly

- (a) We consider a $D = 2$ -dimensional fermion field ψ with vector current $j_\mu^V = \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi$ where γ_μ are matrices forming a 2-dimensional clifford algebra. We are interested in the 2-point correlator of the vector current $\langle j_\mu^V(x_1) j_\nu^V(x_2) \rangle$. By translational symmetry, the 2-point function is forced to be a function of the relative coordinates $x = (x_1 - x_2)/2$. Translating by $-X_{12} = -(x_1 + x_2)/2$, we can bring the midpoint of the x_1, x_2 segment to the origin without changing the value of the 2-point function. Explicitly, we have $\langle j_\mu^V(x_1) j_\nu^V(x_2) \rangle = \langle j_\mu^V(x) j_\nu^V(-x) \rangle$. This property allows us to expand the 2-point function with a Fourier transform with respect to x as

$$\begin{aligned} F[\langle j_\mu^V(x_1) j_\nu^V(x_2) \rangle](q) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2x e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle j_\mu^V(x) j_\nu^V(-x) \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2x e^{-iq \cdot x} \left(\int d^2k e^{+ik \cdot x} j_\mu^V(k) \int d^2p e^{-ip \cdot x} j_\nu^V(p) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2k d^2p \delta(-q + k - p) j_\mu^V(k) j_\nu^V(p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2p \langle j_\mu^V(q - p) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the fourier decomposition $j_\rho^V(x_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2p e^{ip \cdot x_i} j_\rho^V(p)$ of the vector current was used. In what follows, we focus on the Fourier space 2-point functions $\langle j_\mu^V(-p) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle$ contribution to the $q = 0$ Fourier component of the spacetime 2-point function. Lorentz invariance requires that $\langle j_\mu^V(q - p) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle$ is a sum of tensors (it can be extracted from a Fourier transform linearly combining tensor so it is a tensor). Furthermore, it only depends on components p_μ of p . The only tensors with two indices built can be constructed by combining the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, the components p_μ , the norm p^2 and the matrices γ^μ (we only need to include a term $\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu$ since the anticommutator $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}$ relates it to $\gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu$). The most general form for the Fourier space 2-point function consistent with Lorentz invariance reads

$$\langle j_\mu^V(-p) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle = F_1(p^2) + F_2(p^2)\eta_{\mu\nu} + F_3(p^2)p_\mu p_\nu + F_4(p^2)\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + F_5(p^2)\gamma_\mu p_\nu + F_6(p^2)\gamma_\nu p_\mu$$

where the functions $F_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ provide full generality. Since the current operator follows a Bose statistic (they each contain an even number of fermion operators), we can exchange them without changing the value of the 2-point function. This property can be expressed as

$$\langle j_\mu^V(-p) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle = \langle j_\nu^V(p) j_\mu^V(-p) \rangle = F_1(p^2) + F_2(p^2)\eta_{\mu\nu} + F_3(p^2)(-p_\nu)(-p_\mu) + F_4(p^2)\gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu - F_5(p^2)\gamma_\nu p_\mu - F_6(p^2)\gamma_\mu p_\nu.$$

Subtracting this exchanged expression from the initial expression, we get the constraint

$$0 = F_4(p^2)(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu) + (F_6(p^2) + F_5(p^2))\gamma_\nu p_\mu + (F_6(p^2) + F_5(p^2))\gamma_\mu p_\nu, \forall p \implies F_4(p^2) = 0, F_6(p^2) = -F_5(p^2)$$

The current we are interested in is conserved as a result of the global symmetry $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \psi$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We note that applying the infinitesimal version of this symmetry transformation to the current leads to a vanishing variation $\delta j^\nu(x) = 0$. The ward identity corresponding to this symmetry reads

$$\langle \partial^\mu j_\mu(x_1) j_\nu(x_2) \rangle = \delta(x_1 - x_2) \langle \delta j_\nu(x_2) \rangle = 0.$$

We then compute the Fourier transformation with respect to x_1, x_2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F[\langle \partial^\mu j_\mu(x_1) j_\nu^V(x_2) \rangle](q, p) = \int d^2x_1 e^{-iq \cdot x_1} \int d^2x_2 e^{-ip \cdot x_2} \langle \partial^\mu j_\mu^V(x_1) j_\nu^V(x_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle (iq^\mu) \int d^2x_1 e^{-iq \cdot x} j_\mu^V(x_1) \int d^2x_2 e^{-ip \cdot x} j_\nu^V(x_2) \rangle \quad \text{with integration by parts} \\ &= iq^\mu \langle j_\mu^V(q) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

At $q = -p$, we find $p^\mu \langle j_\mu^V(-p) j_\nu^V(p) \rangle = 0$ [Work in progress](#)

- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

3 OPE coefficients from three-point functions

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

4 Acknowledgement

Thanks to Thiago for a discussion about question 1 (b)