

# Paired Student t-test

Mikhail M.Meskhi

March 21, 2018

## Abstract

The following is a experimental setup of Welch's Student Paired t-test for unequal variance samples.  $H_0$  = same means,  $H_a \neq$  same means. Below are the tables with corresponding  $p$ -values and results.

## Experimental Setup

### Mean Data Accuracy and Variance

Domain Adaptation Algorithm	Datasets	
	Supernova Ia	Mars Landforms
Source Model	69.13 (0.00)	74.36 (9.40)
Subspace Alignment	62.56 (7.98)	85.16 (2.65)
JDOT SVM	77.57 (0.13)	85.2 (0.59)
JDOT NN	69.05 (0.08)	80.96 (0.06)
DANN	80.4 (0.3)	88.61 (0.22)
TJM	65.56 (0.01)	82.28 (0.03)
JDA	70.64 (0.03)	80.40 (0.03)
ARTL	66.21 (0.01)	88.12 (0.02)
GFK	63.98 (0.02)	83.56 (0.04)
Bayesian DA	<b>86.17 (0.35)</b>	<b>90.81 (1.49)</b>

The above table will be used to conduct a student paired t-test with the following pair form: **{Bayesian Domain Adaptation, ClsX}**.

**Student paired t-test:**

The following t-test is used when two samples are independent from each other. Using the following formula we calculate the  $t$ -value and then find the corresponding  $p$ -value to determine statistical significance for rejecting the null hypothesis.

$$t' = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{N_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{N_2}}}$$

To calculate degrees of freedom  $df$  we use  $\Delta$ :

$$\Delta = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{N_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{N_2}\right)}{\frac{s_1^4}{N_1^2 v_1} + \frac{s_2^4}{N_2^2 v_2}}$$

Testing whether or not we get a significant  $p$ -value to reject the null-hypothesis for [BDA, GFK] on the Supernova dataset is as follows:

**Formulation:**

1.  $N = 10$
2.  $\bar{x} = 86.17$
3.  $\bar{y} = 63.98$
4.  $S_1^2 = 0.1225$
5.  $S_2^2 = 0.0004$
6.  $\Delta \approx 9$
7.  $t' \approx 200$

**Interpretation:**

With  $t' \approx 200$  and  $\Delta \approx 9$  we find our  $p$ -value to be  $< 2.2e - 16$ . Thus, concluding that we reject the null-hypothesis due to extreme statistical significance against it.

To avoid redundancy, the tables below have the  $p$ -values for all the following paired tests. And in order to avoid inflated Type I error, I apply the Bonferroni Adjustment to fix the FWER (family wise error rate). The tables below display the adjusted  $p$ -values and the their significance on  $H_0$ .

**Conclusion**

It is evident from the tables above that the pairwise t-test has concluded extreme statistical significance between our proposed Bayesian Domain Adaptation classifier and other state of the art classifiers on these two datasets.

Classifier	$t$ -value	$d.f.$	Adjusted $p$ -value	Result
BDA vs. GFK	200	9	$9.047785e - 18$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. ARTL	180	9	$2.325296e - 17$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. JDA	140	9	$2.321808e - 16$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. TJM	186	9	$1.938281e - 17$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. DANN	40	18	$7.282950e - 11$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. JDOT NN	151	10	$9.608068e - 17$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. JDOT SVM	73	11	$3.742138e - 14$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. SA	9	9	$7.142476e - 06$	$H_0$ Rejected

Table 1: Statistical Significance on Supernova

Classifier	$t$ -value	$d.f.$	Adjusted $p$ -value	Result
BDA vs. GFK	15	9	$8.754559e - 08$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. ARTL	6	9	$3.002099e - 04$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. JDA	22	9	$3.905075e - 09$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. TJM	18	9	$1.943669e - 08$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. DANN	5	9	$6.835074e - 04$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. JDOT NN	21	9	$5.783850e - 09$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. JDOT SVM	11	12	$2.251948e - 06$	$H_0$ Rejected
BDA vs. SA	17	14	$1.756968e - 04$	$H_0$ Rejected

Table 2: Statistical Significance on Mars