



- [Dashboard](#)
- [My Projects](#)
- [Holiday List](#)
- [More Help](#)
- [R](#)
- [Ramakrishnan V](#)  
[Manage Profile Preference](#) [Change Password](#)  
[Logout](#)

## Bugs (PAMAC (Cloud Version))

[Home](#) [Requirements](#) [Discussions](#) [Documents](#) [Daily Updates](#) [Changes](#) [Bugs](#)

● = Emergency, ● = High, ● = Medium, ● = Low

**Posted By :** Navika Desai


B290

**Date & Time :** 09-05-2017 06:20:PM

Point 89 - Search option to client | Medium

This option is seen to the client designation.

89      Restriction of case      top left search will not  
view for client login      be visible to employee of  
   designation 'client' .



↓ Download

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 10-05-2017 10:07:AM

Queries :

a) What is 89 over here.

b) Restriction of case view for client login.

Will employee of designation type client won't be able to see any cases in dashboard after login. But if any menu containing case data is assigned to Client employee then case data will be shown. No restriction there, it will depend on admin who assigns the menu.

Is this ok ?

c) top left search will not be visible to employee of designation 'client' .

Ok.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 10-05-2017 10:24:AM

Point 89 refers to the point number in the task list. Refer that.

This requirement was a task and already done earlier, then why the issue again.

This is security issue as one client can see cases of other clients as well through this search option.

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 10-05-2017 10:58:AM

I shall work on it once PDF attachment is done, before Vendor Point.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 10-05-2017 11:11:AM

One engineer can work on pdf and other one can work on this bug.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 10-05-2017 05:07:PM

No one worked on this?

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 11-05-2017 08:41:AM

Not started yet. PDF attachment module requires thorough testing as changes are on master side. It might affect other modules for filed\_type file. I shall work on this when i won't be testing PDF attachment module.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 12-05-2017 10:49:AM

What about the other engineer?

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 12-05-2017 11:22:AM

The other engineer is making changes in code. Will prepare and update files in demo server and once confirmed there will put in live copy and test there once again.

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 17-05-2017 04:29:PM

I have updated changes for : top left search will not be visible to employee of designation 'client' in live site.

Please check.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 12:55:PM

Why had it gone?

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 01:51:PM

Condition for checking employee type client , was not applied for search term. I have checked type instead of id.

Now it won't show for client type, not just for an id.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 02:16:PM

How did it hide earlier when it was tested at first? Why and what did we change after first testing of this point?

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 02:25:PM

We used type instead of id, checked it from session variable.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 02:37:PM

If you read the initial requirement, it was for employee with Designation as 'Client' should not be able to see the misc search option.

Here which id are you referring to? Specific RES IDs created?

Did not understand, please call to discuss or elaborate more.

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 02:45:PM

Here employee id which was stored in session during login was checked, so i changed it to employee type.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 03:16:PM

So earlier development was incorrect?

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 03:39:PM

No ,maybe it was a typing mistake while changing live files. In demo type is checked not ID. But in live it was id.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 18-05-2017 05:33:PM

Typing mistake in coding is not good.

**Posted By :** Bhavana Pachpande

B290

**Date & Time :** 19-05-2017 08:20:AM

Sorry for the inconvenience.

**Posted By :** Navika Desai

B290

**Date & Time :** 19-05-2017 10:49:AM

Bug is closed.

[Reply](#)

[Move to Change](#)

[Cancel](#)