



Philip Bläckberg <carlphiliposcar@gmail.com>

2025JD045282 (Editor - Filippo Giorgi): Decision Letter

1 message

jgr-atmospheres@agu.org <jgr-atmospheres@agu.org>

4 November 2025 at 20:32

Reply-To: jgr-atmospheres@agu.org

To: carlphiliposcar@gmail.com

Cc: martin.singh@monash.edu

Dear Dr. Blackberg:

Thank you for submitting "Large-Scale Clustering of Tropical Precipitation and its Implications for the Radiation Budget across Timescales" [Paper #2025JD045282] to Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres. I have received 2 reviews of your manuscript, which are included below and/or attached. As you can see, the reviews indicate that major revisions are needed before we can consider proceeding with your paper. I am therefore returning the paper to you so that you can make the necessary changes.

Please submit a revised manuscript that addresses the reviews and any editorial comments by December 19, 2025.

In your revision, please follow our [Checklist](#) and use our [Templates](#) for the main file and any supplements. Please provide the following:

1. A response to reviewer file that lists each major comment and describes how the manuscript has/has not been modified in response to those comments.
2. A copy of the manuscript with the changes noted (e.g., highlighted, "track changes," italics or bold changes).
3. The final revised manuscript with changes incorporated and separate final figure files (figure parts should be combined into a single file), which will be used for publication if the manuscript is accepted. If final figures are already uploaded, they can be easily copied over to the next revision version.
4. If any, supporting information text, figures, captions, and small tables in single PDF file using AGU's template. Large data tables and multimedia should be uploaded separately.
5. Include in your Article File a declaration of any potential conflicts of interest (COIs). If the authors do not have any COIs to declare, include a sentence stating this. For more information, please see [AGU Publications and Ethics Policies for Authors](#).

AGU requires that all data needed to understand, evaluate, and build upon the reported research must be available at the time of peer review and publication. Additionally, authors should make available software that has a significant impact on the research. Data, software, and other research objects (e.g. notebooks) should be made available in repositories that support preservation and reuse. An explicit Availability Statement in the Open Research section of the paper is required describing where readers can find and access the data (and software). Authors should include intext citations to data (and software) in the Open Research section and the full citation in the References section. Guidance on what the Availability Statement and Citation should include along with templates and examples can be found at [Data & Software for Authors](#).

AGU [requires](#) the corresponding author, and encourages all authors, to register for an [ORCID](#).

Please check and verify authorship, and that all authors are included, have approved the revisions, and agreed to be listed in the order given. Authorship is final with publication. Responsibilities of the corresponding author are given [here](#).

When you are ready to submit your revision, please login to your account (<https://jgr-atmospheres-submit.agu.org/cgi-bin/main.plex>) and click "Revise 2025JD045282."

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. If you have any questions, or need additional time to complete your revisions, please contact us at jgr-atmospheres@agu.org.

Yours sincerely,

Filippo Giorgi
Editor
Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres

-----IMPORTANT INFORMATION-----

Additional information on text preparation, formatting, acceptable file formats, supporting information, graphics preparation, and AGU style, is [here](#).

Sharing your work is an important part of the research process, and AGU leverages and shares published research to promote the [broader importance](#) of Earth and space science. Learn how you can [promote your paper](#), including how your paper can be considered for additional publicity or for the issue cover if it is accepted.

Reviewer #1 Evaluations:

Recommendation: Return to author for major revisions

Significant: The paper has some unclear or incomplete reasoning but will likely be a significant contribution with revision and clarification.

Supported: Mostly yes, but some further information and/or data are needed.

Referencing: Yes

Quality: The organization of the manuscript and presentation of the data and results need some improvement.

Data: Yes

Accurate Key Points: Yes

Reviewer #2 Evaluations:

Recommendation: Return to author for major revisions

Significant: The paper has some unclear or incomplete reasoning but will likely be a significant contribution with revision and clarification.

Supported: Mostly yes, but some further information and/or data are needed.


Referencing: Yes


Quality: The organization of the manuscript and presentation of the data and results need some improvement.

Data: Yes

Accurate Key Points: Yes

2 attachments

 **1_reviewer_attachment_1_1762063733_convrt.pdf**
104K

 **2_reviewer_attachment_1_1760903099.pdf**
250K