# General information about GAMs

# Pablo E. Gutiérrez-Fonseca

3/18/2022

## Model construction

# s (Both GAMs)

s = represent smooth function

```
gam(value ~s(date,...
```

# Define knots (Both GAMs)

k = knots. 12 month per year or 24 sampling event per year.

Seleccione 12 por Simpson, del siguiente enlace:

https://fromthebottomoftheheap.net/2014/05/09/modelling-seasonal-data-with-gam/

## bs= basis spline (Both GAMs)

bs= basis spline.

Smooth classes are invoked directly by s terms.

https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-devel/library/mgcv/html/smooth.terms.html

```
brms::brm(bf(value ~ s(date, bs="cs"...))
```

# Cubic regression splines & A cyclic cubic regression spline.

bs="cr". These have a cubic spline basis defined by a modest sized set of knots spread evenly through the covariate values.

bs="cs" specifies a shrinkage version of "cr".

bs="cc" specifies a cyclic cubic regression splines. i.e. a penalized cubic regression splines whose ends match, up to second derivative.

## P-splines

bs="ps".

bf (Bayesian GAMs) Note that we use the bf() argument to specify this nonlinear model.

# Output

Hay que ver el Smooth Terms: ->  $sds(sdate_1)$  ->  $sds(stimes_1)$  is the variance parameter, which has the effect of controlling the wiggliness of the smooth - the larger this value the more wiggly the smooth. https://fromthebottomoftheheap.net/2018/04/21/fitting-gams-with-brms/

# Check models

# pp\_check()

The pp\_check allows for graphical posterior predictive checking. We can generate figures to compare the observed data to simulated data from the posterior predictive distribution. This is a great graphical way to evaluate your model.

https://tem11010.github.io/regression\_brms/

Here, nsamples refers to the number of draws from the posterior distribution to use to calculate yrep values.

```
pp_check(model, nsamples=100)
```

### bayes\_r2

Bayes R2 quantifies the expected fit or variance explained by a model

We can also get an R-squared estimate for our model, thanks to a newly-developed method from Andrew Gelman, Ben Goodrich, Jonah Gabry and Imad Ali, with an explanation here. http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/research/unpublished/bayes\_R2.pdf https://tem11010.github.io/regression\_brms/

r2(cc.qp A.Bayes mod) Existe esta otra, pero usare la de Gelman

#### bayes\_R2(model)

### AIC

AIC and DIC are not recommended in particular not with Bayesian models. So it is not necessarily surprising that the results differ (buerkner).

https://discourse.mc-stan.org/t/waic-aicc-and-dic-differences-in-a-bernoulli-glmm-in-brms-vs-glmmtmb/5966