

Unit 1 Assignment: The Model Benchmark Challenge

| | |
|---------|---------------|
| Name | Diya D Bhat |
| SRN | PES2UG23CS183 |
| Section | C |

Output Table

| Task | Model | Classification | Observation (What actually happened?) | Why did this happen? (Architectural Reason) |
|------------|---------|-----------------|--|--|
| Generation | BERT | Failure | Generated nonsense or repetitive text. | Encoder-only model; not trained for text generation. |
| Generation | RoBERTa | Failure | Failed to generate meaningful continuation. | Encoder-only architecture; no autoregressive decoding. |
| Generation | BART | Success | Generated fluent and meaningful text. | Encoder–decoder model designed for generation. |
| Fill-Mask | BERT | Success | Correctly predicted words like “create”. | Trained using Masked Language Modeling (MLM). |
| Fill-Mask | RoBERTa | Success | Predicted accurate and fluent alternatives. | Optimized MLM training with more data. |
| Fill-Mask | BART | Partial Success | Predicted reasonable but less precise words. | Not primarily trained for MLM tasks. |
| QA | BERT | Partial Success | Returned short or incomplete answers. | Not fine-tuned for question answering. |
| QA | RoBERTa | Partial Success | Slightly better but inconsistent answers. | Base model without QA fine-tuning. |
| QA | BART | Failure | Output was vague or incorrect. | Not designed for extractive QA tasks. |