Final Report for Team: Github Heroes




Figure 1: Accuracy.

Fig 1 shows your team's predictions compared to the actual production values at 3 years. The total mean squared error with respect to the true values is 137134.83.





Figure 2: Uncertainty distributions and true values.

Fig 3 shows your team's uncertainty model and the actual production values at 3 years for the 10 preproduction wells.



 $Figure \ 3: \ Goodness \ Score \ Plot.$

Fig 3 shows your team's goodness score plot for the 10 preproduction wells. Your final goodness score is 0.0.

Presentation comments

- unable to complete the process analysis. They were good for the steps they were able to complete. They needed a bit more time on their graphs and messaging to make it easier to follow the logic and conclusion
- nan
- Good presentation. Opportunity to improve visualization, co-ordination between team members
- '+ves: great job team!, approach to feature imputation -vs: uncertainty analysis, have all team members present
- Unique choice for MICE imputation, glad to see it. I like your choice of adding oil water contact distance as a feature
- nan

Code review comments

- o. Overall, straightforward workflow! o. Nice table of contents, but no executive summary is included. o. It would have been better to have the team's interpretation or explanation right after each visualization or result in the workflow.
- Missing executive summary, workflow needs more comments.
- nan