

Empowering Older Adult Crafters to Electronically Enhance Artifacts for Health

Author One^{*}
Institute One
Address One
author.one@emails.com

Author Three
Institute Three
Address Three
author.three@emails.com

Author Two
Institute Two
Address Two
author.two@emails.com

Author Four
Institute Four
Address Four
author.four@emails.com

ABSTRACT

- Objective: We present an electronic toolkit designed specifically for older adults that enables them to create their own personalized wearable devices.
- Participants: We recruited N participants (X female, Y male) from senior living communities and crafting groups in a Mid-western town. Participants were ages K to J (median age = M), with no cognitive impairments. All participants were actively making an object with their hands. Z participants completed all parts of the study (X female, Y male).
- Method: We utilized a modified participatory design method to learn about the needs of older adults and guide the development of our toolkit. We conducted three workshops sessions in which participants 1) taught us about their craft, 2) learned about electronics and 3) participated in a joint brainstorming and prototyping session.
- Findings: ?
- Conclusions/Implications: ?
- Limitations: We cannot easily compare the results of our modified participatory design method as we did not conduct a standard participatory design session. Instead we discuss our results from the modified sessions in the context of the available literature.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.4 [Information Systems Applications]: Miscellaneous; D.2.8 [Software Engineering]: Metrics—*complexity measures, performance measures*

General Terms

Theory

^{*}Now on postdoctoral fellow at ABC University

Keywords

older adults, crafting, electronics, prototypes

1. INTRODUCTION

Older adults are capable of independently designing wearable devices but an electronic toolkit designed specifically their for their demographic has yet to be developed. Maker technology is a burgeoning field and several kits have been developed for children, such as MakerWear [11], littleBits [2], EduWear [10], and MakerShoe [12]. These toolkits are accessible and abstracted to make electronic tinkering easy however, they are physically constrained with limitations on inputs and outputs. These toolkits are intended for school-aged children and consist of modular building blocks that alleviate connection issues, but also hinder open-ended creation. Mellis et al. [17] eschewed this modular model and created an “untookit” designed to appeal to the user’s creativity while avoiding imposing strict construction techniques. While this approach allowed for greater innovation, it the result was a less abstracted kit that required greater knowledge of electronics to use. Other electronic kits have been developed for a variety of age, such as the LilyPad Arduino [4], circuit stickers [9], and MaKey MaKey [21]. The latter was evaluated with older adults and while older adults found the kit enjoyable, they had reservations about its suitability for their age. These toolkits are geared towards a variety of audiences but required guidance or prior programming knowledge. We propose a maker toolkit designed to enable older adults to explore the possibilities of mixing traditional craft with technology.

We employed a modified participatory design method in our workshops in an effort to further develop a relationship with the participants and gauge their abilities. e inconsistent success levels that researchers experience when designing for older adults. Researchers typically determine the needs of older adults and then create something suited to their needs without directly involving the older adults themselves in the development process [18, 24]. Whereas in a participatory design process, researchers involve and elicit ideas from all stakeholders in the study [16, 18]. Our augmented participatory design method includes an initial session in which participants teach us about their craft by presenting various artifacts. We also conducted standard sessions where we introduced participants to electronics concepts and held a joint brainstorming session. Using information gathered from the participatory design workshops, we designed a toolkit that empowers older adults to create and personalize their own technological devices.

Our work contributes the following to the HCI community: 1) a thorough description of our modified participatory design method, 2) a detailed description of the process for creating the toolkit and the contents of the toolkit, and 3) qualitative analysis of participant activities and interactions utilizing the maker toolkit.

2. RELATED WORK

We organize related work into two main sections: creativity and maker technology. We discuss maker technology in two separate subsections: generalized maker technology and maker technology for children.

2.1 Creativity

The use of art as a therapeutic measure has largely been studied in the context of mental impairments such as dementia. Art therapy typically seeks to minimize the social isolation and loss of personal identity that people with dementia experience [18, 24]. However, art therapy does not only compensate for deficiencies in health. Art therapy can encourage sharing of personal thoughts and emotions among people with mental impairments, even in situations where they would normally feel isolated or detached from the rest of society [8, 15]. For example, the Third Hand is a practice in art therapy that empowers and enables the desires of the client, encouraging creative thinking without focusing on health deficiencies [14]. We drew upon the concepts of the Third Hand and the importance of inclusion in a larger community when designing our toolkit, ensuring that it promoted creativity and affirmed older adults' abilities as innovators. However, our toolkit is designed for the general older adult population rather than just those with mental impairments.

Engaging in creative activities has proven health benefits. Several studies reported that participating in the creative arts as an adult was associated with higher cognitive function as compared to the control group [7, 19]. Additionally, cognitively stimulating activities can reduce the risk of Alzheimer's disease [23, 25]. Participating in creative activities provides health benefits to older adults; however, toolkits targeting this audience have not been developed.

2.2 Maker Technology

One of the most predominant precursors to our work is the development of "Maker Technology," a technology-based extension of do-it-yourself projects. Maker technology is centered around learning by doing, or creating tangible, technological artifacts. If older crafters are given the opportunity to use their physical senses and build tangible artifacts in a familiar context, we propose that they will be more prepared and motivated to build personalized art that better their health.

Previous studies with designing maker technology and artifacts in general found benefits to working with tangible visualizations. Lazar et al. found that older adults were satisfied by leisure activities that resulted in tangible products, such as knitting [13]. Participants cited the tangible benefits as the reason they enjoyed working with e-textiles [20]. Brereton et al. [3] conducted a study with engineering students engaging in design project work and found that engineers learn by comparing their knowledge of the physical world to unfamiliar theoretical models. Although the study was conducted with engineering students, the results, which point to the importance of physical objects in design, can likely be extended to older adults as well. In addition to the benefits of creating with tangible objects, previous studies have explored the creation of tangible visualizations of health. Running data is transformed with Activ-

ity Sculptures into three-dimensional representations, resulting in increased motivation and self-reflection [22].

While designing toolkits to introduce children to electronics and encourage the creative development of tangible objects is a burgeoning research field, there is a dearth of toolkits designed specifically for older adults. littleBits is one example of kits catered towards school-aged children [2]. They consist of pre-programmed electronic modules that snap together with magnetic connections, allowing participants to "play with electronics without knowing electronics" [2]. MakerShoe and MakerWear explore this vein further, allowing children to plug hexagonal modules into shoes and other items of clothing to create different effects [11, 12]. Quilt Snaps is a textile-based toolkit developed to expose children to electronics [6]. These kits allow children to be creative without fully comprehending the concepts of electronics, a crucial aspect of Maker toolkits. The field of maker technology for children is expanding, but these kits are unsuitable for older adults as the applications are centered on children's interests and the method of connections limits the possibility of fully integrating the modules into pre-existing household objects.

The maker toolkits for children were often more effective when the children were invested in creating their wearable. Eduwear, a toolkit designed for the construction of wearable technology, capitalizes on this principal by enabling children to create personally meaningful artifacts [10]. Building on this finding, Anathanarayan et al. found that children who spent over 90 minutes creating their wearable device used it more often [1]. While these toolkits were designed for children, we utilize the principle that creating a personally meaningful device results in greater use of the device in our toolkit for older adults.

Maker technology has also been developed for a broader audience. Circuit stickers are pre-programmed modules connected through a common substrate and designed for prototyping purposes [9]. Circuit stickers provide a novel interface but are somewhat untested for integration in three-dimensional spaces. Some maker technology, such as MaKey MaKey has been studied with older adults, but while they enjoyed playing with the technology, they "felt the kit was target more for others and not themselves" [21]. When older adults were working with MaKey MaKey, they were not brainstorming potential applications, but rather noting that they would like to share the experience with their grandchildren. Mellis et al. [17] describe an "untookit" as a toolkit that appeals to the user's creativity and encourages the artistic design process to a greater extent than traditional kits. The "untookit" required some programming knowledge, limiting the audience, and was designed for two-dimensional spaces, limiting the applications. Our toolkit builds on these previous craft approaches to technology but is designed to fit the needs of older adults, rather than the general population.

In addition to maker technology, wearable technology has been developed for adults. The LilyPad Arduino allows for easy creation of e-textiles as the electronic modules can easily be sewn into fabric and connected with conductive thread [4]. Our toolkit builds upon this advance by providing older adults with the necessary materials to build wearable devices. Buechley and Eisenberg discuss new techniques for attaching electronics to textiles to create wearable devices, such as PCBs, electronic sequins, and socket buttons [5]. Electronic sequins and socket buttons make it much easier to sew electronics into textiles and are utilized within our toolkit.

3. METHODS

Our methods are university ethics board approved. We recruited X older adult participant ([ages]) from Y senior living communities and Z crafting groups in A participatory design workshops. The participants were over 65 years of age and actively making something with their hands.

3.1 Participatory Design Workshops

We conducted a series of participatory design workshops to better understand the baseline level of skill of older adults, their ability and willingness to learn electronics, and ideas for future technology and interest in adopting electronics into current work. For each of the three two-hour workshops, we placed a strong emphasis on mutual learning. We recorded participants' interactions through photos and video.

In the first session, participants taught their craft to the researchers and then brainstormed technology skills, demographics, and crafting habits. Researchers and participants worked together throughout the session, exchanging ideas for electronic prototypes and helping one another learn crafting skills.

In the second session, researchers taught participants electronics skills and assessed their confidence in electronics before and after the session. The researchers first demonstrated how to build paper circuits. Then, they introduced Arduino and the Grove kit and described the technology's capabilities and limitations to the participants.

The third session was a brainstorming session where participants helped develop low-fidelity prototypes and described their interests in creating technology. The session began with participants sharing pictures they had taken to help brainstorm electronic prototypes. Afterwards, they created demo objects and explained their creations to the group.

The workshop was analyzed using an affinity diagram and the pre-post test conducted in the second session was analyzed using a paired t-test.

3.2 Toolkit Design

Using information gathered from the participatory design workshops, we manufactured a toolkit to allow older adults to create personal electronic devices independently. Our toolkit is designed to be accessible and customizable, allowing adults with little electronic or programming knowledge to benefit while more experienced individuals are not limited. We tested a series of electronics from the LilyPad set and Flora set to decide if they should be included in our toolkit.

Component	Included or Excluded	Reason
Light Sensor
Motion Sensor

4. FINDINGS

The findings will go here.

5. DISCUSSION

The discussion will go here.

6. CONCLUSION

The conclusion will go here.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgements will go here.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ananthanarayan, K. Siek, and M. Eisenberg. A Craft Approach to Health Awareness in Children. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*, pages 724–735, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 2016. ACM.
- [2] A. Bdeir and T. Ullrich. Electronics as material: littlebits. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction*, pages 341–344. ACM, 2011.
- [3] M. Brereton and B. McGarry. An observational study of how objects support engineering design thinking and communication. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems - CHI '00*, pages 217–224. ACM Press, 2000.
- [4] L. Buechley and M. Eisenberg. The LilyPad Arduino: Toward Wearable Engineering for Everyone. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 7(2):12–15, April 2008.
- [5] L. Buechley and M. Eisenberg. Fabric PCBs, electronic sequins, and socket buttons: techniques for e-textile craft. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 13(2):133–150, 2 2009.
- [6] L. Buechley, N. Elumeze, C. Dodson, and M. Eisenberg. Quilt snaps: A fabric based computational construction kit. In *Proceedings - IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education, WMTE 2005*, volume 2005, pages 219–221, 2005.
- [7] G. D. Cohen, S. Perlstein, J. Chapline, J. Kelly, K. M. Firth, and S. Simmens. The Impact of Professionally Conducted Cultural Programs on the Physical Health, Mental Health, and Social Functioning of Older Adults. *The Gerontologist*, 46(6):726–734, 2006.
- [8] R. Cornejo, R. N. Brewer, C. Edasis, and A. M. Piper. Vulnerability, Sharing, and Privacy: Analyzing Art Therapy for Older Adults with Dementia. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing - CSCW '16*, pages 1570–1581, 2016.
- [9] S. Hodges, N. Villar, N. Chen, T. Chugh, J. Qi, D. Nowacka, and Y. Kawahara. Circuit Stickers: Peel-and-Stick Construction of Interactive Electronic Prototypes. In *ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1743–1746. ACM, 2014.
- [10] E.-S. Katterfeldt, N. Dittert, and H. Schelhowe. EduWear: Smart Textiles as Ways of Relating Computing Technology to Everyday Life. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, pages 9–17, Como, Italy, 2009. ACM.
- [11] M. Kazemitabaar, J. McPeak, A. Jiao, L. He, T. Outing, and J. E. Froehlich. Makerwear: A tangible approach to interactive wearable creation for children. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 133–145. ACM, 2017.
- [12] M. Kazemitabaar, L. Norooz, M. L. Guha, and J. E. Froehlich. Makershoe: Towards a wearable e-textile construction kit to support creativity, playful making, and self-expression. In *Proceedings of the 14th International*

Conference on Interaction Design and Children, pages 449–452. ACM, 2015.

- [13] A. Lazar. Successful Leisure in Independent Living Communities: Understanding Older Adults' Motivations to Engage in Leisure Activities. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 7042–7056, Denver, CO, USA, 2017. ACM.
- [14] A. Lazar, R. Cornejo, C. Edasis, and A. M. Piper. Designing for the third hand: Empowering older adults with cognitive impairment through creating and sharing. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*, pages 1047–1058. ACM, 2016.
- [15] A. Lazar, C. Edasis, and A. M. Piper. Supporting People with Dementia in Digital Social Sharing. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - CHI '17*, pages 2149–2162, New York, New York, USA, 2017. ACM Press.
- [16] S. Lindsay, D. Jackson, C. Ladha, K. Ladha, K. Brittain, and P. Olivier. Empathy, Participatory Design and People with Dementia. In *ACM CHI*, pages 521–530, Austin, Texas, USA, 2012. ACM.
- [17] D. A. Mellis, S. Jacoby, L. Buechley, H. Perner-wilson, and J. Qi. Microcontrollers as material: crafting circuits with paper, conductive ink, electronic components, and an "untookit". In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction*, pages 83–90, 2013.
- [18] A. Mihailidis, S. Blunsden, J. Boger, B. Richards, K. Zutis, L. Young, and J. Hoey. Towards the development of a technology for art therapy and dementia: Definition of needs and design constraints. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*, 37(4):293–300, 2010.
- [19] H. Noice, T. Noice, and G. Staines. A Short-Term Intervention to Enhance Cognitive and Affective Functioning in Older Adults. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 16(4):562–585, 2004.
- [20] H. Perner-Wilson, L. Buechley, and M. Satomi. Handcrafting textile interfaces from a kit-of-no-parts. In *ACM TEI*, pages 61–68, Funchal, Portugal, 2011. ACM.
- [21] Y. Rogers, J. Paay, M. Brereton, K. Vaisutis, G. Marsden, and F. Vetere. Never too old: engaging retired people inventing the future with makey makey. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 3913–3922. ACM, 2014.
- [22] S. Stusak, A. Tabard, F. Sauka, R. A. Khot, and A. Butz. Activity Sculptures: Exploring the Impact of Physical Visualizations on Running Activity. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(20):2201–2210, 2014.
- [23] J. Verghese, A. LeValley, C. Derby, G. Kuslansky, M. Katz, C. Hall, H. Buschke, and R. B. Lipton. Leisure Activities And The Risk of Amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment In The Elderly. *Neurology*, 66(6):821–827, 2006.
- [24] R. J. S. L. Waller, Diane. A Multi-centre Randomized Control Group Trial on the Use of Art Therapy for Older People with Dementia. *Group Analysis*, 39(4):517–536, 2006.
- [25] R. S. Wilson, C. F. M. de Leon, L. L. Barnes, J. A. Schneider, J. L. Bienias, D. A. Evans, and D. A. Bennett. Participation in Cognitively Stimulating Activities and Risk of Incident Alzheimer Disease. *JAMA*, 287(6):742, feb 2002.