Perry Hart K-theory reading seminar UPenn September 26, 2018

#### Abstract

We introduce the concept of a natural transformation in category theory, leading to equivalences and adjunctions. The main sources for this talk are the following.

- nLab.
- John Rognes's Lecture Notes on Algebraic K-Theory, Ch. 3.
- Peter Johnstone's lecture notes for "Category Theory" (Mathematical Tripos Part III, Michaelmas 2015), Ch. 1.

# 1 Natural transformations

Let  $\mathscr{C}$  and  $\mathscr{D}$  be categories and F and G be functors  $\mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ . A natural transformation  $\phi : F \Rightarrow G$  is a function  $A \mapsto f_A$  from ob  $\mathscr{C}$  to mor  $\mathscr{D}$  such that  $f_A$  is a map  $F(A) \to G(A)$  and the following diagram commutes for any morphism  $h : A \to B$  in  $\mathscr{C}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{Fh} & FB \\
f_A \downarrow & & \downarrow f_B \\
GA & \xrightarrow{Gh} & GB
\end{array}$$

In symbols, this may be written as  $f_B h_* = h_* f_A$ , where  $f_A$  is called a *component* of  $\phi$ .

Note 1.1. If every  $f_A$  is an isomorphism, then the maps  $(f_A)^{-1}$  define a natural transformation  $G \Rightarrow F$ .

If each  $f_A$  is an isomorphism, then we say that  $\phi$  is a natural isomorphism. Note that if  $\mathscr{D}$  is a groupoid (i.e., a category in which every morphism is an isomorphism), then  $\phi$  must be a natural isomorphism.

Let F, G, and H be functors  $\mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ . The identity natural transformation  $\mathrm{Id}_F : F \Rightarrow F$  is given by  $A \mapsto \mathrm{Id}_{F(A)}$ . Moreover, given natural transformations  $\phi : F \to G$  and  $\psi : G \to H$ , define the composite natural transformation  $\psi \circ \phi$  by  $A \mapsto (\psi \circ \phi)_A := \psi_A \circ \phi_A$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** A natural transformation  $\phi : F \Rightarrow G$  is a natural isomorphism iff it has an inverse  $\phi^{-1} : G \Rightarrow F$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Note 1.1 along with our definition of a composite natural transformation.  $\Box$ 

### Example 1.3.

1. Let R and S be commutative rings. Any ring homomorphism  $f: R \to S$  induces a ring homomorphism  $GL_n(f): GL_n(R) \to GL_n(S)$  satisfying

$$f(\det(A)) = \det\left(\operatorname{GL}_n(f)(A)\right).$$

By viewing  $GL_n$  and  $R \mapsto R^*$  as functors from **Ring** to **Grp** and  $\det_R : GL_n(R) \to R^*$  as a morphism in **Grp**, we see that  $\det_R$  defines a natural transformation  $\phi : GL_n \Rightarrow f^*$  where  $f^*$  denotes  $f \upharpoonright_{R^*} : R^* \to S^*$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{GL}_n(R) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{GL}_n(f)} & \operatorname{GL}_n(S) \\
\operatorname{det}_R & & & \downarrow \operatorname{det}_S \\
R^* & \xrightarrow{f^*} & S^*
\end{array}$$

- 2. Consider the power set functor  $\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$  defined on objects by  $A \mapsto \mathcal{P}(A)$  and on morphisms g by  $\mathcal{P}g(S) = g(S)$ . Then the function  $f_A: A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$  given by  $a \mapsto \{a\}$  defines a natural transformation  $\phi: \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{Set}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{D} = \mathbf{Grp}$ ,  $F = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{C}}$ , and  $G = (-)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ . Then given a group H, the natural projection  $f: H \to H^{\mathrm{ab}}$  induces a natural transformation  $\phi: F \Rightarrow G$ .
- 4. We can view preorders  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  as small categories and functors  $F, G: P \to Q$  as order-preserving functions. Then there is a unique natural transformation  $\phi: F \Rightarrow G$  iff  $F(x) \leq G(x)$  for every  $x \in P$ .
- 5. The inversion isomorphism from a group G to its opposite group  $G^{\text{op}}$  defines a natural transformation  $\phi: \text{Id}_{\mathbf{Grp}} \Rightarrow ((-)^{\text{op}}: \mathbf{Grp} \to \mathbf{Grp})$ . In this sense, G is naturally isomorphic to  $G^{\text{op}}$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathscr{C}$  and  $\mathscr{D}$  be categories with  $\mathscr{C}$  small. The functor category  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathscr{C},\mathscr{D}) := \mathscr{D}^{\mathscr{C}}$  has functors  $F : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$  as objects and natural transformations as morphisms.

Remark 1.5. Any Grothendieck universe models ZFC, in particular Replacement. This ensure that for any two functors  $F, G : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ , the class of natural transformation  $\phi : F \Rightarrow G$  is a set. This means that  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D})$  is locally small, a condition of our definition of a category.

**Definition 1.6.** Given a category  $\mathscr{C}$ , the arrow category  $\operatorname{Ar}(\mathscr{C})$  of  $\mathscr{C}$  has as objects morphisms  $f: X_0 \to X_1$  in  $\mathscr{C}$  and as morphisms  $M: (f: X_0 \to X_1) \to (g: Y_0 \to Y_1)$  the pairs  $(M_0, M_1)$  of morphisms  $M_0: X_0 \to Y_0$  and  $M_1: X_1 \to Y_1$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} X_1 \\ M_0 & & \downarrow M_1 \\ Y_0 & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} Y_1 \end{array}$$

commutes.

## Note 1.7.

- 1.  $Ar(\mathscr{C}) \cong Fun([1], \mathscr{C}).$
- 2.  $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{E}) \cong \operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{E}))$ .

# 2 Equivalences

Usually, it is useful to make our notion of sameness between categories weaker than isomorphism.

**Definition 2.1.** A functor  $F: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$  is an *equivalence* if there is a functor  $G: \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ , called the *quasi-inverse of* F, such that  $F \circ G \cong \operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{C}}$  and  $G \circ F \cong \operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{D}}$ . In this case, we say that F and G are *equivalent categories*. Moreover, we say that a property of  $\mathscr{C}$  is *categorical* if it is invariant under categorical equivalence.

**Example 2.2.** Let k be a field. Let the category  $\mathbf{Mat}_k$  have natural numbers as objects and morphisms  $n \to p$  given by  $p \times n$  matrices over k. Let  $\mathbf{fdMod}$  denote the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces with linear maps as morphisms. These two categories are equivalent. Indeed, send the natural number n to  $k^n$  in one direction and the space V to dim V in the other direction.

**Definition 2.3.** A functor  $F : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$  is essentially surjective if for each object Z of  $\mathscr{D}$ , there is some object Y of  $\mathscr{C}$  such that  $F(Y) \cong Z$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** A functor is an equivalence iff it is full, faithful, and essentially surjective. <sup>1</sup>

**Definition 2.5.** A *skeleton* of  $\mathscr{C}$  is a full subcategory  $\mathscr{C}' \subset \mathscr{C}$  such that each element of ob  $\mathscr{C}$  is isomorphic to exactly one element of ob  $\mathscr{C}'$ .

An application of Theorem 2.4 yields the following result.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\mathscr{C}'$  be a skeleton of  $\mathscr{C}$ . Then the inclusion functor  $\mathscr{C}' \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}$  is an equivalence.

**Lemma 2.7.** Any two skeleta  $\mathscr{C}', \mathscr{C}'' \subset \mathscr{C}$  are isomorphic.

Proof. Define  $F: \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$  on objects by F(X) = Y where  $X \cong Y$  via a chosen isomorphism  $h_X$  and on morphisms  $f \in \mathscr{C}(X,Y)$  by  $F(f) = h_Y \circ f \circ (h_X)^{-1}$ . To get  $F^{-1}$ , define  $G: \mathscr{C}'' \to \mathscr{C}'$  by similarly choosing an isomorphism  $(h_X)^{-1}$  for each  $X \in \text{ob}\mathscr{C}''$ .

*Remark* 2.8. Both Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 are logically equivalent to the axiom of choice, as is the statement that every category admits a skeleton.

# 3 Adjunctions

### Definition 3.1.

- 1. Let  $Z \in \text{ob}\,\mathscr{C}$ . Define the contravariant functor  $\mathscr{Y}_Z : \mathscr{C}^{\text{op}} \to \mathbf{Set}$  on objects by  $Y \mapsto \mathscr{C}(Y,Z)$  and on morphisms by sending  $f : X \to Y$  in  $\mathscr{C}$  to the map  $f^* : \mathscr{C}(Y,Z) \to \mathscr{C}(X,Z)$  given by  $g \mapsto gf$ .
  - We call  $\mathscr{C}(-,Z) := \mathscr{Y}^Z$  the set-valued functor represented by Z in  $\mathscr{C}$ .
- 2. Let  $X \in \text{ob}\,\mathscr{C}$ . Define the functor  $\mathscr{Y}^X : \mathscr{C} \to \mathbf{Set}$  on objects by  $Y \mapsto \mathscr{C}(X,Y)$  and on morphisms by sending  $g: Y \to Z$  to the map  $g_* : \mathscr{C}(X,Y) \to \mathscr{C}(X,Z)$  given by  $f \mapsto gf$ .

We call  $\mathscr{C}(X,-) := \mathscr{Y}^X$  the set-valued functor corepresented by X in  $\mathscr{C}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Theorem 3.2.10 (Rognes).

A functor of the form  $\mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{D}$  is called a *bifunctor*. In particular, define  $\mathscr{C}(-,-) : \mathscr{C}^{op} \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathbf{Set}$  on objects by  $(X,X') \to \mathscr{C}(X,X')$  and on morphisms by sending  $(f,f') : (X,X') \to (Y,Y')$  to the map  $\mathscr{C}(f,f') : \mathscr{C}(X,X') \to \mathscr{C}(Y,Y')$  given by  $g \mapsto f'gf$ .

Let  $\mathscr C$  and  $\mathscr D$  be categories and  $F:\mathscr C\to\mathscr D$  and  $G:\mathscr D\to\mathscr C$  be functors.

**Definition 3.2 (Kan).** Consider the set-valued bifunctors  $\mathscr{D}(F(-),-),\mathscr{C}(-,G(-)):\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}}\times\mathscr{D}\to\mathbf{Set}$ . An adjunction from F to G is a natural isomorphism

$$\phi: \mathscr{D}(F(-), -) \Rightarrow \mathscr{C}(-, G(-)).$$

If such a  $\phi$  exists, then we say that (F,G) is an adjoint pair (of functors).

Note that  $\phi$  is natural in the sense that for any map  $c: X' \to X$  in  $\mathscr{C}$  and  $d: Y \to Y'$  in  $\mathscr{D}$ , the square

$$\mathscr{D}(FX,Y) \xrightarrow{\phi_{X,Y}} \mathscr{C}(X,GY)$$

$$c^* d_* \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow c^* d_*$$

$$\mathscr{D}(FX',Y') \xrightarrow{\phi_{X',Y'}} \mathscr{C}(X',GY')$$

commutes in Set.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  be preorders. An adjoint pair  $(F: P \to Q, G: Q \to P)$  is precisely a pair of order-preserving functions such that

$$Fx \le y \iff x \le Gy$$

for all  $x \in P$  and  $y \in Q$ . In order theory, such a pair is called a Galois connection.

**Proposition 3.4.** Left and right adjoints are both unique up to unique isomorphism.

Terminology. We call F the left adjoint to G and G the right adjoint to F. In symbols,  $F \dashv G$ .

**Note 3.5.** It is straightforward to check that any adjoint triple  $F \dashv G \dashv H$  yields two new adjunctions:

$$GF \dashv GH$$

$$FG \dashv HG$$

**Definition 3.6.** Given an adjunction  $\phi: \mathcal{D}(F(-), -) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, G(-))$ , define the unit morphism

$$\eta_X = \phi_{X|FX} (\mathrm{Id}_{FX}) \in \mathscr{C}(X, GF(X))$$

and the counit morphism

$$\epsilon_Y = \phi_{GYY}^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}_{GY}) \in \mathscr{D}(FG(Y), Y).$$

**Lemma 3.7.** The unit morphisms  $(\eta_X)_{X \in \text{ob} \mathscr{C}}$  define a natural transformation  $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow GF$ , and the counit morphisms  $(\epsilon_Y)_{Y \in \text{ob} \mathscr{D}}$  define a natural transformation  $\epsilon : FG \Rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathscr{D}}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, let us just prove that  $\epsilon$  is a natural transformation. We must check that

$$FG(Y) \xrightarrow{FG(y)} FG(Y')$$

$$\begin{matrix} \epsilon_Y \\ \downarrow \\ Y \xrightarrow{y} & Y' \end{matrix}$$

commutes for any map  $y: Y \to Y'$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . By the naturality of  $\phi$ , we have that

$$y \circ \epsilon_Y = y \circ \phi^{-1} (\operatorname{Id}_{GY})$$

$$= \phi^{-1} (Gy \circ \operatorname{Id}_{GY})$$

$$= \phi^{-1} (\operatorname{Id}_{GY'} \circ Gy)$$

$$= \phi^{-1} (\operatorname{Id}_{GY'}) \circ FG(y)$$

$$= \epsilon_{Y'} \circ FG(y),$$

as required.  $\Box$ 

Moreover, one can verify that the unit and counit of  $\phi$  satisfy the triangle identities,

$$\epsilon_{FX} \circ F \eta_X = 1_{FX} \tag{(\Delta_1)}$$

$$G\epsilon_Y \circ \eta_{GY} = 1_{GY},$$
  $(\Delta_2)$ 

for any  $X \in ob \mathscr{C}$  and  $Y \in ob \mathscr{D}$ .

Conversely, suppose that F and G come equipped with two natural transformations

$$\eta: \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow GF$$

$$\epsilon: FG \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{D}}$$

satisfying the triangle identities. Then we get an adjunction  $\phi$  from F to G with component

$$\phi_{X,Y}: \mathcal{D}(FX,Y) \to \mathcal{C}(X,GY), \quad f \mapsto Gf \circ \eta_X.$$

Indeed, define  $\psi_{X,Y}: \mathscr{C}(X,GY) \to \mathscr{D}(FX,Y)$  by  $g \mapsto \epsilon_Y \circ Fg$ . We have that

$$\psi_{X,Y}(\phi_{X,Y}(f)) = \psi_{X,Y}(Gf \circ \eta_X)$$

$$= \epsilon_Y \circ F(Gf \circ \eta_X)$$

$$= \epsilon_Y \circ F(Gf) \circ F\eta_X$$

$$= f \circ \epsilon_{FX} \circ F\eta_X \qquad \text{(naturality of } \epsilon)$$

$$= f. \qquad ((\triangle_1))$$

Likewise, we have that  $\phi_{X,Y}(\psi_{X,Y}(g)) = g$ . Hence  $\phi_{X,Y}$  is a natural isomorphism in both X and Y with inverse  $\psi_{X,Y}$ .

Even so,  $\mathscr{C} \overset{F}{\rightleftarrows} \mathscr{D}$  need not be an equivalence of categories, as  $\eta$  and  $\epsilon$  may not be isomorphisms. Further, a given equivalence  $\mathscr{C} \overset{L}{\rightleftarrows} \mathscr{D}$  of categories need not be an adjunction, as its associated natural transformations

$$\eta' : \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{C}} \Rightarrow RL$$
 $\epsilon' : LR \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{D}}$ 

may not satisfy the triangle inequalities. Nevertheless, (L, R) is an adjoint pair with unit  $\eta'$  and counit another natural transformation defined in terms of  $\eta'$  and  $\epsilon'$ . By symmetry, (R, L) is also an adjoint pair.

**Example 3.8 (Monad).** Let  $(\mathscr{C}, \otimes, 1)$  be a monoidal category. A monoid in  $\mathscr{C}$  is an object M equipped with a multiplication map  $\mu: M \otimes M \to M$  and a unit map  $\eta: 1 \to M$  that satisfy certain coherence properties expressing that  $\mu$  is associative and that  $\eta$  is a two-sided identity. Given two monoids  $(M, \mu, \eta)$  and  $(M', \mu', \eta')$  in  $\mathscr{C}$ , a map  $f: M \to M'$  in  $\mathscr{C}$  is a morphism of monoids if it satisfies

$$f \circ \mu = \mu' \circ (f \otimes f)$$
  $f \circ \eta = \eta'.$ 

A comonoid N in  $\mathscr{C}$  is a monoid in  $\mathscr{C}^{op}$ , equipped with a comultiplication map  $\delta: N \to N^2$  and a counit map  $\epsilon: N \to 1$ .

For example, a monoid in the monoidal category  $(\mathsf{End}(\mathscr{C}), \circ, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{C}})$  of endofunctors of  $\mathscr{C}$  is called a *monad* on  $\mathscr{C}$ . A comonoid in  $\mathsf{End}(\mathscr{C})$  is called a *comonad* on  $\mathscr{C}$ .

Explicitly, a monad on  $\mathscr C$  consists of an endofunctor  $T:\mathscr C\to\mathscr C$  together with two natural transformations  $\eta: \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr C}\to Y$  and  $\mu:T^2\to T$  such that the following diagrams commute:

$$T^{3} \xrightarrow{T\mu} T^{2} \qquad \qquad T \xrightarrow{\eta_{T}} T^{2} \xleftarrow{T\eta} T$$

$$\downarrow^{\mu} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\mu} \qquad \qquad T^{2} \xrightarrow{\mu} T$$

These are precisely the associativity and unit laws, respectively. Now, let  $(F : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}, G : \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C})$  be an adjoint pair with unit  $\eta : \operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{C}} : G \circ F$  and counit  $\epsilon : F \circ G \to \operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{D}}$ . We then have a natural transformation  $(G \circ F)^2 \to G \circ F$  given componentwise by

$$G(\epsilon_{FX}): GFGFX \to GFX$$

One can check that  $(G \circ F, \eta, G \epsilon_F)$  is a monad on  $\mathscr{C}$ .

Dually, a comonad  $R: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}$  on  $\mathscr{C}$  satisfies the relations

$$\delta_R \circ \delta = R\delta \circ \delta$$

$$\epsilon_R \circ \delta = \mathrm{Id}_R = R\epsilon \circ \delta.$$

Moreover, any adjoint pair  $(F: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}, G: \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C})$  with unit  $\eta$  and counit  $\epsilon$  induces a comonad  $(G, \epsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathscr{D}$  where

$$G \equiv F \circ G : \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{D}$$
$$\delta \equiv F \eta_G : G \to G^2.$$

**Theorem 3.9.** The category of monoids in  $\mathscr{C}$  is equivalent to the category of  $\mathscr{C}$ -enriched categories with one object.

#### Example 3.10.

(1) The forgetful functor  $U : \mathbf{Grp} \to \mathbf{Set}$  has a left adjoint  $F : \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Grp}$  sending a set to the free group generated by A.

(2) Let R be a ring. The forgetful functor  $U: R-\mathbf{Mod} \to \mathbf{Set}$  has a left adjoint R(-) sending a set S to  $\bigoplus_{s \in S} R$ , the free R-module generated by S.

The forgetful functor has no right adjoint in either Example 3.10(1) or Example 3.10(2). It does, however, have one in the following setting.

**Example 3.11.** The forgetful functor  $U : \mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Set}$  has a left adjoint that sends a set to the same set equipped with the discrete topology. It also has a right adjoint that sends a set to the same set equipped with the indiscrete topology.

**Definition 3.12.** A subcategory  $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathscr{D}$  is *reflective* if the inclusion functor has a left adjoint and is *coreflective* if the inclusion functor has a right adjoint.

### Example 3.13.

- 1. The full subcategory  $\mathbf{Ab} \subset \mathbf{Grp}$  is reflexive as the inclusion functor is right adjoint to  $(-)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ .
- 2. Let  $\mathbf{Ab}_T \subset \mathbf{Ab}$  denote the subcategory of torsion groups. This is coreflective as the inclusion functor is right adjoint to the functor sending an abelian group to its torsion subgroup.