

Groupwork 5 Problems

Grading of Groupwork 5

Using the solutions and Grading Guidelines, grade your Groupwork 5 Problems:

- Use the table below to grade your past Groupwork submission and calculate scores.
- While grading, mark up your past submission. Include this with the table when you submit your grading.
- Write whether your submission achieved each rubric item. If it didn't achieve one, say why not.
- For extra credit, write positive comment(s) about your work.
- You don't have to redo problems correctly, but it is recommended!
- See "All About Groupwork" on Canvas for more detailed guidance, and what to do if you change groups.

| | (i) | (ii) | (iii) | (iv) | (v) | (vi) | (vii) | (viii) | (ix) | (x) | (xi) | Total: |
|-----------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|------|-------|--------|------|-----|------|--------|
| Problem 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | /12 |
| Problem 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | /18 |
| Total: | | | | | | | | | | | | /30 |

Comments

I don't really get the second problem. However, I figured out the first problem on my own, kuddos to me.

1. Multiple Multiples [12 points]

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that $7a - 8b$ is a multiple of 5 if and only if $19a - 21b$ is a multiple of 5.

Solution:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We want to show that $7a - 8b$ is a multiple of 5 if and only if $19a - 21b$ is a multiple of 5.

In other words, we want to show that $7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ if and only if $19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

i.e. in logic notation, we want to show that $(7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}) \iff (19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5})$

(mod 5)).

We will show this by proving both directions of the biconditional.

LHS:

Assume $7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$

$7a - 8b \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 3b \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ definition of mod

$2a - 3b = 5k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ definition of mod

$2a = 5k + 3b$ algebra

$4a = 10k + 6b$ algebra

$19a - 21b \pmod{5} \equiv 4a - 1b \pmod{5}$ definition of mod

$10k + 6b - 1b \pmod{5} \equiv 10k + 5b \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ algebra

Therefore, $19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

RHS:

Similarly, assume $19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$

$19a - 21b \pmod{5} \equiv 4a - 1b \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ definition of mod

$4a - 1b = 5k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ definition of mod

$b = 4a - 5k$ algebra

$7a - 8b \pmod{5} \equiv 7a - 8b \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 3b \pmod{5}$ definition of mod

$2a - 3b \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 3(4a - 5k) \pmod{5}$ substitution

$2a - 3(4a - 5k) \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 12a + 15k \pmod{5}$ algebra

$2a - 12a + 15k \pmod{5} \equiv -10a + 15k \pmod{5}$ algebra

$-10a + 15k \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ algebra

Therefore, $7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

Since we have shown both directions of the biconditional, we have shown that $7a - 8b$ is a multiple of 5 if and only if $19a - 21b$ is a multiple of 5.

2. Rapidly Rising [18 points]

For this problem, we will say a function $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is “rapidly rising” if:

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ [x_1 < x_2 \rightarrow 2f(x_1) < f(x_2)]$$

(a) Prove that $f(x) = 3^x$ is rapidly rising.

Hint: It may be easier to show $f(x_2) > 2f(x_1)$ than the other way around.

(b) Is a rapidly rising function always one-to-one? Is a one-to-one function from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ always rapidly rising? Is a one-to-one function (again from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$) always strictly increasing? Briefly explain your answer; a formal proof is not necessary but is encouraged.

Note: $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is strictly increasing if $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ whenever $x_1 < x_2$.

(c) Prove that, for any rapidly rising function f , it must **not** be onto.

Solution:

a) Let $f(x) = 3^x$. We want to show that $f(x)$ is rapidly rising.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $x_1 < x_2$.

We want to show that $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$.

We have $f(x_1) = 3^{x_1}$ and $f(x_2) = 3^{x_2}$.

We want to show that $2 \cdot 3^{x_1} < 3^{x_2}$.

Since for $f(x)$, the base is 3, thus for every increase in x , the value of $f(x)$ is multiplied by 3.

Thus, no matter what the value of x_1 is, 3^{x_1} will always be less than 3^{x_2} for any $x_2 > x_1$.

Therefore, $2 \cdot 3^{x_1} < 3^{x_2}$.

Since we have shown that $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$, we have shown that $f(x) = 3^x$ is rapidly rising.

b) A rapidly rising function is not always one-to-one.

A one-to-one function from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is not always rapidly rising.

A one-to-one function from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is not always strictly increasing.

A rapidly rising function is not always one-to-one because a rapidly rising function only guarantees that $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ for $x_1 < x_2$. It does not guarantee that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ for $x_1 \neq x_2$.

A one-to-one function from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is not always rapidly rising because a one-to-one function only guarantees that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ for $x_1 \neq x_2$. It does not guarantee that $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ for $x_1 < x_2$.

A one-to-one function from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is not always strictly increasing because a one-to-one function only guarantees that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ for $x_1 \neq x_2$. It does not guarantee that $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ for $x_1 < x_2$.

c) Let f be a rapidly rising function. We want to show that f must not be onto.

A function f is onto if for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f(x) = y$.

We will show that f must not be onto by contradiction.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that f is onto.

Since f is onto, for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f(x) = y$.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $x_1 < x_2$.

We have $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ because f is rapidly rising.

Since f is onto, for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f(x) = y$.

Let $y = f(x_2)$.

Since f is onto, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f(x) = f(x_2)$.

Since f is one-to-one, $x = x_2$.

Since $x_1 < x_2$, $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$.

This is a contradiction because $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ but $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$.

Therefore, f must not be onto.

Since we have shown that f must not be onto, we have shown that for any rapidly rising function f , it must not be onto.