# Grading of Groupwork 6

Using the solutions and Grading Guidelines, grade your Groupwork 6 Problems:

- Use the table below to grade your past Groupwork submission and calculate scores.
- While grading, mark up your past submission. Include this with the table when you submit your grading.
- Write whether your submission achieved each rubric item. If it didn't achieve one, say why not.
- For extra credit, write positive comment(s) about your work.
- You don't have to redo problems correctly, but it is recommended!
- See "All About Groupwork" on Canvas for more detailed guidance, and what to do if you change groups.

	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)	(x)	(xi)	Total:
Problem 1	4	4	4									12/12
Problem 2	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	3				11/18
Total:												23/30

## Comments

I don't really get the second problem completely. However, I figured out the first problem on my own, kuddos to me.

### 1. Multiple Multiples [12 points]

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Show that 7a - 8b is a multiple of 5 if and only if 19a - 21b is a multiple of 5.

#### Solution:

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We want to show that 7a - 8b is a multiple of 5 if and only if 19a - 21b is a multiple of 5.

In other words, we want to show that  $7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  if and only if  $19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .

i.e. in logic notation, we want to show that  $(7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}) \iff (19a - 21b \equiv 0)$ 

```
\pmod{5}.
We will show this by proving both directions of the biconditional.
 LHS:
 Assume 7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
 7a - 8b \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 3b \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
                                                                  definition of mod
 2a - 3b = 5k for some k \in \mathbb{Z}
                                                                  definition of mod
 2a = 5k + 3b
                                                                  algebra
                                                                  algebra
 4a = 10k + 6b
 19a - 21b \pmod{5} \equiv 4a - 1b \pmod{5}
                                                                  definition of mod
 10k + 6b - 1b \pmod{5} \equiv 10k + 5b \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
                                                                  algebra
Therefore, 19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.
 RHS:
 Similarly, assume 19a - 21b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
 19a - 21b \pmod{5} \equiv 4a - 1b \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
                                                                definition of mod
 4a - 1b = 5k for some k \in \mathbb{Z}
                                                                definition of mod
 b = 4a - 5k
                                                                algebra
 7a - 8b \pmod{5} \equiv 7a - 8b \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 3b \pmod{5}
                                                                definition of mod
 2a - 3b \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 3(4a - 5k) \pmod{5}
                                                                substitution
 2a - 3(4a - 5k) \pmod{5} \equiv 2a - 12a + 15k \pmod{5}
                                                                algebra
 2a - 12a + 15k \pmod{5} \equiv -10a + 15k \pmod{5}
                                                                algebra
 -10a + 15k \pmod{5} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
                                                                algebra
Therefore, 7a - 8b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.
Since we have shown both directions of the biconditional, we have shown that 7a - 8b is
a multiple of 5 if and only if 19a - 21b is a multiple of 5.
```

## 2. Rapidly Rising [18 points]

For this problem, we will say a function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is "rapidly rising" if:

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ [x_1 < x_2 \to 2f(x_1) < f(x_2)]$$

(a) Prove that  $f(x) = 3^x$  is rapidly rising.

**Hint:** It may be easier to show  $f(x_2) > 2f(x_1)$  than the other way around.

(b) Is a rapidly rising function always one-to-one? Is a one-to-one function from  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  always rapidly rising? Is a one-to-one function (again from  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ ) always strictly increasing? Briefly explain your answer; a formal proof is not necessary but is encouraged.

**Note:**  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is strictly increasing if  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  whenever  $x_1 < x_2$ .

(c) Prove that, for any rapidly rising function f, it must **not** be onto.

#### Solution:

a) Let  $f(x) = 3^x$ . We want to show that f(x) is rapidly rising.

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $x_1 < x_2$ .

We want to show that  $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ .

We have  $f(x_1) = 3^{x_1}$  and  $f(x_2) = 3^{x_2}$ .

We want to show that  $2 \cdot 3^{x_1} < 3^{x_2}$ .

Use chain of inequalities to show this.

Since for f(x), the base is 3, thus for every increase in x, the value of f(x) is multiplied by 3.

Thus, no matter what the value of  $x_1$  is,  $3^{x_1}$  will always be less than  $3^{x_2}$  for any  $x_2 > x_1$ . Therefore,  $2 \cdot 3^{x_1} < 3^{x_2}$ .

Since we have shown that  $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ , we have shown that  $f(x) = 3^x$  is rapidly rising.

b) A rapidly rising function is not always one-to-one.

A one-to-one function from  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is not always rapidly rising.

A one-to-one function from  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is not always strictly increasing.

A rapidly rising function is not always one-to-one because a rapidly rising function only guarantees that  $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  for  $x_1 < x_2$ . It does not guarantee that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  for  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

A one-to-one function from  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is not always rapidly rising because a one-to-one function only guarantees that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  for  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . It does not guarantee that  $2f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  for  $x_1 < x_2$ .

A one-to-one function from  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is not always strictly increasing because a one-to-one function only guarantees that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  for  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . It does not guarantee that  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  for  $x_1 < x_2$ .

c) Let f be a rapidly rising function. We want to show that f must not be onto.

A function f is onto if for every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that f(x) = y.

We will show that f must not be onto by contradiction.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that f is onto.

Since f is onto, for every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that f(x) = y.

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $x_1 < x_2$ .

We have  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  because f is rapidly rising.

Since f is onto, for every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that f(x) = y.

Let  $y = f(x_2)$ .

Since f is onto, there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(x) = f(x_2)$ .

Since f is one-to-one,  $x = x_2$ .

Since  $x_1 < x_2$ ,  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ .

This is a contradiction because  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  but  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ .

Give an example of a function that is rapidly rising but not onto.

Therefore, f must not be onto.

Since we have shown that f must not be onto, we have shown that for any rapidly rising

function f, it must not be onto.