

# EECS 203: Discrete Mathematics

## Winter 2024

### Discussion 1b Notes

## 1 Definitions

- Logical Equivalence:
- DeMorgan's Laws:
- Contrapositive:
- Implication Breakout:
- Identity Laws:
- Distributive Laws:
- Commutative Laws:
- Associative Laws:
- Tautology:
- Contradiction:
- Satisfiable:

## 2 Exercises

### 1. Negations ★

Negate the following statements. Any “not”s in your answer should directly precede a simple proposition, not an entire and/or statement.

- a. You will study.
- b. I do not like pizza.
- c. I'm going to get a chai or a mocha today.
- d. I'm a teacher and a student.

e. I don't like green and I don't like purple.

f. If it's raining, I'm using my umbrella.

g.  $x > 2$

h.  $1 + 1 = 2$

## 2. Truth Tables

Fill in the following truth table.

**\*Reminder:**  $\wedge$  denotes “and”,  $\vee$  denotes “or”, and  $\rightarrow$  denotes “implies”/“if...then”.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$p \vee r$	$[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \vee r)$
T	T	T					
T	T	F					
T	F	T					
T	F	F					
F	T	T					
F	T	F					
F	F	T					
F	F	F					

## 3. Finding Truth Values of Compound Propositions ★

For each compound proposition, find its truth value when  $p = T$ ,  $q = F$ ,  $r = F$ ,  $s = F$ ,  $t = T$ ,  $u = F$ , and  $v = F$

a)  $(q \rightarrow \neg p) \vee (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$

b)  $(p \vee \neg t) \wedge (p \vee \neg s)$

c)  $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (\neg s \rightarrow \neg t) \vee (\neg u \rightarrow v)$

d)  $(p \wedge r \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge t) \vee (r \wedge \neg t)$

## 4. English to Logic Translation I

Let  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  be the propositions defined as follows.

- $p$ : Grizzly bears have been seen in the area.
- $q$ : Hiking is safe on the trail.

- $r$ : Berries are ripe along the trail.

Write these propositions in logic using  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $r$ , logical connectives (including negations), and parentheses.

**\*Reminder:**  $\wedge$  denotes “and”,  $\vee$  denotes “or”,  $\leftrightarrow$  denotes “if and only if”, and  $\neg$  denotes “not”.

- Berries are ripe along the trail, but grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- Grizzly bears have not been seen in the area and hiking on the trail is safe, but berries are ripe along the trail.
- If berries are ripe along the trail, hiking is safe if and only if grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- It is not safe to hike on the trail, but grizzly bears have not been seen in the area and the berries along the trail are ripe

## 5. Logic to English Translation

Consider the following propositions:

- $g$ : you can graduate
- $m$ : you owe money to the university
- $r$ : you have completed the requirements of your major
- $b$ : you have an overdue library book

Translate the following statement to English:  $g \rightarrow (r \wedge \neg m \wedge \neg b)$

## 6. Tautologies

- Determine whether  $[\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow \neg q$  is a tautology.
- Show that this conditional statement is a tautology by using any method you like.

$$[p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$$

## 7. Promising Premises

For the following sets of premises and conclusions, determine whether each conclusion is valid, given the provided premise(s). A conclusion is valid when it *must* be true given the premise(s). Show your work by explaining your thought process, or using a truth table, or using logical equivalences. For invalid conclusions, providing a counterexample is also sufficient to explain why it's invalid.

A note on notation: the statements above the line are the premises, and the statement below the line is the conclusion. The symbol  $\therefore$  means “therefore”. For example, in Part (a) there are two premises: Premise 1 is  $p \vee q$  and Premise 2 is  $\neg p$ . You need to determine whether, together, those premises guarantee that the listed conclusion,  $q$ , is true.

- $p \vee q$
- a)  $\frac{\neg p}{\therefore q}$
- $r \rightarrow q$
- b)  $\frac{r}{\therefore p \vee q}$
- c)  $\frac{(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)}{\therefore r \rightarrow p}$
- $p \wedge q$
- d)  $\frac{q \rightarrow r}{\therefore r}$

## 8. Logic Puzzle – Stolen Jewels

Robin Hood and his fellows Little John and Marian snuck in to a jewelry store; one of them stole a sapphire, one stole a diamond, and one stole an emerald. They were caught and put on trial, during which they made the following statements:

Robin: “John stole the sapphire.”

Marian: “No, John stole the diamond.”

John: “Both of them are lying. I didn’t steal either.”

It turns out that the one who stole the emerald lied, and the one who stole the sapphire told the truth. Who stole which gemstone?