SAS Output Page 1 of 25

q1

The FREQ Procedure

Frequency	
Row Pct	

Table of Case by ContIV_24H					
	ContIV_	24H(Cont	tIV 24H)		
Case(Case)	1 0 Total				
1	23	23	46		
	50.00 50.00				
0	30	158	188		
	15.96 84.04				
Total	53	181	234		
Frequency Missing = 2					

Statistics for Table of Case by ContIV_24H

Statistic	DF	Value	Prob
Chi-Square	1	24.4468	<.0001
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square	1	21.5636	<.0001
Continuity Adj. Chi-Square	1	22.5423	<.0001
Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square	1	24.3423	<.0001
Phi Coefficient		0.3232	
Contingency Coefficient		0.3076	
Cramer's V		0.3232	

Fisher's Exact Test		
Cell (1,1) Frequency (F) 2		
Left-sided Pr <= F	1.0000	
Right-sided Pr >= F	<.0001	
Table Probability (P)	<.0001	
Two-sided Pr <= P <.000		

Odds Ratio and Relative Risks

SAS Output Page 2 of 25

Statistic	Value	95% Confid	dence Limits
Odds Ratio	5.2667	2.6221	10.5785
Relative Risk (Column 1)	3.1333	2.0237	4.8514
Relative Risk (Column 2)	0.5949	0.4427	0.7996

Sample Size = 234
Frequency Missing = 2

SAS Output Page 3 of 25

q1

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information			
Data Set WORK.DATASET4			
Response Variable	Case	Case	
Number of Response Levels 2			
Model	binary logit		
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring		

Number of Observations Read	236
Number of Observations Used	234

Response Profile			
Ordered Value	Case	Total Frequency	
1	0	188	
2	1	46	

Probability modeled is Case='1'.

Note: 2 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response or explanatory variables.

Class Level Information			
Class Value Variables			
ContIV_24H	0	0	
	1	1	

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics

SAS Output Page 4 of 25

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	233.953	214.390
SC	237.408	221.300
-2 Log L	231.953	210.390

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > 0				
Likelihood Ratio	21.5636	1	<.0001	
Score	24.4468	1	<.0001	
Wald	21.7996	1	<.0001	

Type 3 Analysis of Effects				
Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > Chi				
ContIV_24H	1	21.7996	<.0001	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Error Chi-Square Pr > Chi					Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept		1	-1.9271	0.2232	74.5616	<.0001
ContIV_24H	1	1	1.6614	0.3558	21.7996	<.0001

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Effect	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits			
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	5.267	2.622	10.578		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 42.0 Somers' D 0.340					
Percent Discordant	8.0	Gamma	0.681		
Percent Tied	50.0	Tau-a	0.108		
Pairs	8648	С	0.670		

SAS Output Page 5 of 25

SAS Output Page 6 of 25

q2-1

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.DATASET4			
Response Variable	Case	Case		
Number of Response Levels	2			
Model	binary logit			
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring			

Number of Observations Read	236
Number of Observations Used	234

Response Profile					
Ordered Value	Case	Total Frequency			
1	0	188			
2	1	46			

Probability modeled is Case='1'.

Note: 2 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response or explanatory variables.

Class Level Information			
Class	Value	Design Variables	
ContlV_24H	0	0	
	1	1	
Gender	0	0	
	1	1	
VAR6	0	0	
	1	1	

SAS Output Page 7 of 25

Service	0	0
	1	1
Site	0	0
	1	1

Model Convergence Status

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion Intercept Only Covaria					
AIC	233.953	210.722			
SC	237.408	231.454			
-2 Log L	231.953	198.722			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0						
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq						
Likelihood Ratio	33.2308	5	<.0001			
Score	36.1496	5	<.0001			
Wald	28.7235	5	<.0001			

Type 3 Analysis of Effects					
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
ContlV_24H	1	21.6211	<.0001		
Gender	1	1.6968	0.1927		
VAR6	1	1.3850	0.2393		
Service	1	0.2593	0.6106		
Site	1	8.0942	0.0044		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	-2.4287	0.5489	19.5764	<.0001

SAS Output Page 8 of 25

ContIV_24H	1	1	1.8306	0.3937	21.6211	<.0001
Gender	1	1	-0.4774	0.3665	1.6968	0.1927
VAR6	1	1	0.4573	0.3886	1.3850	0.2393
Service	1	1	0.2338	0.4591	0.2593	0.6106
Site	1	1	1.4660	0.5153	8.0942	0.0044

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Effect	95% Wald Point Estimate Confidence Limits				
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	6.237	2.883	13.493		
Gender 1 vs 0	0.620	0.303	1.272		
VAR6 1 vs 0	1.580	0.738	3.383		
Service 1 vs 0	1.263	0.514	3.107		
Site 1 vs 0	4.332	1.578	11.893		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant	70.1	Somers' D	0.475		
Percent Discordant	22.6	Gamma	0.512		
Percent Tied	7.3	Tau-a	0.151		
Pairs	8648	С	0.738		

SAS Output Page 9 of 25

q2-2

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.DATASET4			
Response Variable	Case	Case		
Number of Response Levels	2			
Model	binary logit			
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring			

Number of Observations Read	236
Number of Observations Used	234

Response Profile				
Ordered Value	Case	Total Frequency		
1	0	188		
2	1	46		

Probability modeled is Case='1'.

Note: 2 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response or explanatory variables.

Stepwise Selection Procedure

Class Level Information			
Class	Value	Design Variables	
ContIV_24H	0	0	
	1	1	
Gender	0	0	
	1	1	

SAS Output Page 10 of 25

VAR6	0	0
	1	1
Service	0	0
	1	1
Site	0	0
	1	1

Step 0. Intercept entered:

Model Convergence Status

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter	DF	F Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > C		Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-1.4078	0.1645	73.2457	<.0001

Residual Chi-Square Test			
Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSo			
36.1496	5	<.0001	

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry					
Effect	DF	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
ContIV_24H	1	24.4468	<.0001		
Gender	1	1.1060	0.2929		
VAR6	1	3.1524	0.0758		
Service	1	0.9161	0.3385		
Site	1	7.3334	0.0068		

Step 1. Effect ContIV_24H entered:

SAS Output Page 11 of 25

Model Convergence Status

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion	Intercept and Covariates		
AIC	233.953	214.390	
SC	237.408	221.300	
-2 Log L	231.953	210.390	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		
Likelihood Ratio	21.5636	1	<.0001		
Score	24.4468	1	<.0001		
Wald	21.7996	1	<.0001		

Type 3 Analysis of Effects					
Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq					
ContIV_24H	1	21.7996	<.0001		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Pr > Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
Intercept		1	-1.9271	0.2232	74.5616	<.0001
ContIV_24H	1	1	1.6614	0.3558	21.7996	<.0001

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Effect	95% Wald Effect Point Estimate Confidence Limits				
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	5.267	2.622	10.578		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 42.0 Somers' D 0.340					

SAS Output Page 12 of 25

Percent Discordant	8.0	Gamma	0.681
Percent Tied	50.0	Tau-a	0.108
Pairs	8648	С	0.670

Residual Chi-Square Test					
Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq			
12.5203	4	0.0139			

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Removal					
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
ContIV_24H	1	21.7996	<.0001		

Note: No effects for the model in Step 1 are removed.

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry					
Effect	DF	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
Gender	1	1.5574	0.2120		
VAR6	1	2.4192	0.1199		
Service	1	0.0785	0.7794		
Site	1	9.0647	0.0026		

Step 2. Effect Site entered:

Model Convergence Status

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates			
AIC	233.953	208.633			
SC	237.408	218.999			
-2 Log L	231.953	202.633			

SAS Output Page 13 of 25

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		
Likelihood Ratio	29.3198	2	<.0001		
Score	32.3820	2	<.0001		
Wald	26.8109	2	<.0001		

Type 3 Analysis of Effects					
Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq					
ContIV_24H	1	22.8220	<.0001		
Site	1	8.2727	0.0040		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Pr > Chi-Square Pr > Chi						
Intercept		1	-2.1594	0.2525	73.1279	<.0001
ContIV_24H	1	1	1.7665	0.3698	22.8220	<.0001
Site	1	1	1.3929	0.4843	8.2727	0.0040

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Effect	95% Wald t Point Estimate Confidence Limits				
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	5.850	2.834	12.076		
Site 1 vs 0	4.027	1.559	10.403		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 52.0 Somers' D 0.409					
Percent Discordant	11.1	Gamma	0.648		
Percent Tied 36.9 Tau-a 0.13					
Pairs	8648	С	0.705		

Residual Chi-Square Test				
Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		

SAS Output Page 14 of 25

3.8167 3 0.2820

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Removal						
Effect	Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq					
ContIV_24H	1	22.8220	<.0001			
Site	1	8.2727	0.0040			

Note: No effects for the model in Step 2 are removed.

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry				
Effect	DF	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Gender	1	1.9461	0.1630	
VAR6	1	1.6642	0.1970	
Service	1	0.8528	0.3558	

Note: No (additional) effects met the 0.15 significance level for entry into the model.

	Summary of Stepwise Selection							
	Effect			Number	Score	Wald		Variable
Step	Entered	Removed	DF	In	Chi-Square	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Label
1	ContlV_24H		1	1	24.4468		<.0001	ContlV 24H
2	Site		1	2	9.0647		0.0026	Site

SAS Output Page 15 of 25

q3-1

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Conditional Analysis

Model Information					
Data Set WORK.DATASET4					
Response Variable	Case	Case			
Number of Response Levels	2				
Number of Strata	46				
Model	binary logit				
Optimization Technique	Newton-Raphson ridge				

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	234
Number of Observations Informative	234

Response Profile					
Ordered Value	Case	Total Frequency			
1	0	188			
2	1	46			

Probability modeled is Case='1'.

Note: 2 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response, explanatory, or strata variables.

Class Level Information					
Class Value Variables					
ContlV_24H	0	0			
	1	1			
Gender	0	0			

SAS Output Page 16 of 25

	1	1
VAR6	0	0
	1	1
Service	0	0
	1	1
Site	0	0
	1	1

Strata Summary					
Response	Case		Number of		
Pattern	0	1	Strata	Frequency	
1	2	1	1	3	
2	3	1	1	4	
3	4	1	39	195	
4	5	1	3	18	
5	6	1	2	14	

Newton-Raphson Ridge Optimization

Without Parameter Scaling

Model Fit Statistics			
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates	
AIC	149.040	119.548	
SC	149.040	136.824	
-2 Log L	149.040	109.548	

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	39.4923	5	<.0001		

SAS Output Page 17 of 25

Score	41.3544	5	<.0001
Wald	26.3132	5	<.0001

Type 3 Analysis of Effects					
Effect	Wald DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiS				
ContIV_24H	1	18.8240	<.0001		
Gender	1	3.2629	0.0709		
VAR6	1	0.6022	0.4378		
Service	1	0.0623	0.8029		
Site	1	10.7797	0.0010		

Analysis of Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
ContlV_24H	1	1	2.1565	0.4970	18.8240	<.0001
Gender	1	1	-0.7395	0.4094	3.2629	0.0709
VAR6	1	1	0.3445	0.4440	0.6022	0.4378
Service	1	1	-0.1883	0.7544	0.0623	0.8029
Site	1	1	2.2329	0.6801	10.7797	0.0010

Odds Ratio Estimates				
Effect	95% Wald Point Estimate Confidence Limits			
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	8.641	3.262	22.890	
Gender 1 vs 0	0.477	0.214	1.065	
VAR6 1 vs 0	1.411	0.591	3.370	
Service 1 vs 0	0.828	0.189	3.634	
Site 1 vs 0	9.327	2.460	35.372	

SAS Output Page 18 of 25

q3-2

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Conditional Analysis

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.DATASET4		
Response Variable	Case	Case	
Number of Response Levels	2		
Number of Strata	46		
Model	binary logit		
Optimization Technique	Newton-Raphson ridge		

Number of Observations Read	
Number of Observations Used	234
Number of Observations Infor	mative 234

Response Profile			
Ordered Value	Case	Total Frequency	
1	0	188	
2	1	46	

Probability modeled is Case='1'.

Note: 2 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response, explanatory, or strata variables.

Stepwise Selection Procedure

Class Level Information			
Class Value Variables			
ContIV_24H	0	0	

SAS Output Page 19 of 25

1	1
0	0
1	1
0	0
1	1
0	0
1	1
0	0
1	1
	0 1 0 1 0

Strata Summary							
Response	Case		Number of				
	0	1		Frequency			
1	2	1	1	3			
2	3	1	1	4			
3	4	1	39	195			
4	5	1	3	18			
5	6	1	2	14			

Step 0. No covariates.

Residual Chi-Square Test					
Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
41.3544	5	<.0001			

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry						
Effect	DF	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq			
ContIV_24H	1	26.6367	<.0001			
Gender	1	1.4072	0.2355			
VAR6	1	3.5435	0.0598			
Service	1	1.6203	0.2030			

SAS Output Page 20 of 25

Site	1	12.0059	0.0005	

Step 1. Effect ContIV_24H entered:

Newton-Raphson Ridge Optimization

Without Parameter Scaling

Model Fit Statistics						
Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates				
AIC	149.040	127.355				
SC	149.040	130.811				
-2 Log L	149.040	125.355				

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0							
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq							
Likelihood Ratio	23.6848	1	<.0001				
Score	26.6367	1	<.0001				
Wald	21.3727	1	<.0001				

Type 3 Analysis of Effects						
Effect	Pr > ChiSq					
ContlV_24H	1	21.3727	<.0001			

Analysis of Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
ContlV_24H	1	1	1.8964	0.4102	21.3727	<.0001

Odds Ratio Estimates			
		95% Wald	

SAS Output Page 21 of 25

Effect	Point Estimate	Confidence Lim	
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	6.662	2.981	14.886

Residual Chi-Square Test						
Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq				
17.2729	4	0.0017				

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Removal						
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq			
ContIV_24H	1	21.3727	<.0001			

Note: No effects for the model in Step 1 are removed.

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry					
Effect	DF	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq		
Gender	1	3.2427	0.0717		
VAR6	1	1.3935	0.2378		
Service	1	0.0295	0.8636		
Site	1	13.8154	0.0002		

Step 2. Effect Site entered:

Newton-Raphson Ridge Optimization

Without Parameter Scaling

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion	Criterion Without Covariates With Covariates				
AIC	149.040	117.620			

SAS Output Page 22 of 25

sc	149.040	124.531
-2 Log L	149.040	113.620

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq		
Likelihood Ratio	35.4201	2	<.0001		
Score	37.5435	2	<.0001		
Wald	25.0780	2	<.0001		

Type 3 Analysis of Effects					
Effect	Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq				
ContIV_24H	1	21.1504	<.0001		
Site	1	11.2104	0.0008		

Analysis of Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Pr > Chi-Square Pr > Chi-S					Pr > ChiSq	
ContIV_24H	1	1	2.1212	0.4612	21.1504	<.0001
Site	1	1	2.2118	0.6606	11.2104	0.0008

Odds Ratio Estimates				
95% Wald Effect Point Estimate Confidence Limits				
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	8.341	3.378	20.598	
Site 1 vs 0	9.133	2.502	33.335	

Residual Chi-Square Test			
Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq			
4.0014	3	0.2613	

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Removal				
Wald Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq				
ContIV_24H	1	21.1504	<.0001	

SAS Output Page 23 of 25

Site	1	11.2104	0.0008

Note: No effects for the model in Step 2 are removed.

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry				
Effect	DF	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Gender	1	3.4128	0.0647	
VAR6	1	0.6149	0.4329	
Service	1	0.0174	0.8950	

Step 3. Effect Gender entered:

Newton-Raphson Ridge Optimization

Without Parameter Scaling

Model Fit Statistics					
Criterion	With Covariates				
AIC	149.040	116.175			
SC	149.040	126.541			
-2 Log L	149.040	110.175			

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0								
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq								
Likelihood Ratio	38.8647	3	<.0001					
Score	40.4500	3	<.0001					
Wald	26.3030	3	<.0001					

Type 3 Analysis of Effects				
	Wald			

SAS Output Page 24 of 25

Effect	DF	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
ContIV_24H	1	21.9037	<.0001
Gender	1	3.3241	0.0683
Site	1	11.4055	0.0007

Analysis of Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimates								
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiS								
ContlV_24H	1	1	2.2509	0.4810	21.9037	<.0001		
Gender	1	1	-0.7446	0.4084	3.3241	0.0683		
Site	1	1	2.2630	0.6701	11.4055	0.0007		

Odds Ratio Estimates					
95% Wald Effect Point Estimate Confidence Limits					
ContIV_24H 1 vs 0	9.496	3.700 24.375			
Gender 1 vs 0	0.475	0.213	1.057		
Site 1 vs 0	9.612	2.585	35.743		

Residual Chi-Square Test						
Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq						
0.6215	2	0.7329				

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Removal						
Effect	Pr > ChiSq					
ContIV_24H	1	21.9037	<.0001			
Gender	1	3.3241	0.0683			
Site	1	11.4055	0.0007			

Note: No effects for the model in Step 3 are removed.

Analysis of Effects Eligible for Entry				
	Score			

SAS Output Page 25 of 25

Effect	DF	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
VAR6	1	0.5604	0.4541
Service	1	0.0167	0.8973

Note: No (additional) effects met the 0.15 significance level for entry into the model.

Summary of Stepwise Selection									
Effect			Number	Score	Wald		Variable		
Step	Entered	Removed	DF	In	Chi-Square	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Label	
1	ContlV_24H		1	1	26.6367		<.0001	ContIV 24H	
2	Site		1	2	13.8154		0.0002	Site	
3	Gender		1	3	3.4128		0.0647	Gender	