1 Killing-Yano tensors

An anti-symmetric rank-2 tensor $\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$ is called a **Killing-Yano tensor** if it satisfies

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\omega_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta}\omega_{\alpha\gamma} = 0.$$

a. Show that for any Killing-Yano tensor $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, the symmetric tensor $\omega_{\alpha\gamma}\omega_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ is a Killing tensor. (In some sense Killing-Yano tensors can be thought of as the "square root" of a Killing tensor.))

b. Show that for any Killing-Yano tensor $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ and geodesic $x^{\mu}(s)$, the (co-)vector $V_{\mu} = \omega_{\mu\alpha} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds}$ is parallel transported only the geodesic, i.e.

$$\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds}\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\mu} = 0.$$

Note: Kerr also has a Killing-Yano tensor.

thus
$$\nabla_q (w_{ee} w_{e}^6) + \nabla_e (w_{ae} w_{e}^6) + \nabla_a (w_{ee} w_{e}^6) + \nabla_e (w_{ee} w_{e}^6) + \nabla_e (w_{ee} w_{e}^6) + \nabla_e (w_{ee} w_{e}^6)$$

$$\nabla_{\delta}V^{\mu} = \nabla_{\delta}\left(\omega_{\mu\alpha}\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds}\right) : \left(\nabla_{\delta}\omega_{\mu\alpha}\right)\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds} + \omega_{\mu\alpha}\left(\nabla_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds}\right)$$

$$\frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\nabla_{\delta}V^{\mu} - \frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\left(\nabla_{\delta}\omega_{\mu\alpha}\right)\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds} + \omega_{\mu\alpha}\frac{dx^{\delta}(\nabla_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds})}{ds}\right) = \frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\partial_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\delta}}{dz} - \frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\partial_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\partial_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds} - \frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\partial_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\partial_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds} - \frac{dx^{\delta}}{ds}\partial_{\delta}\frac{dx^{\delta}}$$

The only thing that remains is $\frac{dx^6}{16}$ ($\sqrt{6}\omega_{m}$) $\frac{dx^6}{d6}$

Vo Wpa = - Vp W6a = Vp Was = - Va Wrk

2 Vortical solutions revisited

In class we introduced "vortical" geodesic solutions as solutions for which z = $\cos \theta$ oscillates between $0 \le z_1 \le z_2 \le 1$. We argued that these solutions exist only when

$$\mathcal{E}^{2} - \mu > 0 \qquad \underbrace{\mathcal{E}^{2} > \mu}_{ } \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{2} \leq a^{2}(\mathcal{E}^{2} - \mu) \qquad \mathcal{E}^{2} = \mu \qquad (2)$$

E>FW 9>4

$$-(|\mathcal{L}| - |a|\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - \mu}|)^2 \le \mathcal{Q} \le 0 \quad \text{f. 3}$$

Let's examine these solutions a little further.

a. Define

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{Q}{a^2(E^2 + \mu)}$$

$$\hat{L}^2 = \frac{L^2}{a^2(E^2 + \mu)}$$

$$u = \cos^2 \theta$$

$$\hat{P}_\theta = -\frac{P_\theta}{a^2(E^2 + \mu)}$$

Show that we this notation we get

$$\hat{P}_{\theta}(u) = u^2 + (\hat{Q} + \hat{L}^2 - 1)u - \hat{Q}.$$

b. Find the zeroes of $\hat{P}_{\theta}(u)$.

c. Under what conditions are both roots u_1 and u_2 real lie in the range 0 < $u_1 < u_2 < 1$? Can you recover the mentioned conditions for vortical solutions. We now turn the radial part of vortical solution.

d. Show that for vortical solutions

$$P_r \ge 2M(Q + (L - aE)^2 + \mu r^2).$$

e. Show that for vortical null geodesics ($\mu = 0$) that $P_r > 0$. What does this mean for their radial solutions? Sketch a vortical null trajectory in the maximally extended Penrose diagram for Kerr.

f. Show that for vortical timelike geodesics $(\mu > 0)$ we always have that $P_r > 0$ outside r_{-} .

$$M^{2} + (\hat{q} + \hat{L}^{2} - 1)N - \hat{q} = 0$$

$$\Delta = \sqrt{(\hat{q} + \hat{L}^{2} - 1)^{2} + n\hat{q}}$$

$$U_{1,2} = -(\hat{q} + \hat{L}^{2} - 1) + \sqrt{(\hat{q} + \hat{L}^{2} - 1)^{2} + n\hat{q}}$$

$$\sqrt{(\hat{Q} + \hat{L}^2 - 1) + \mu \hat{Q}} = \hat{Q} + Z(\hat{L}^2 + 1) + (\hat{L}^2 - 1)^2$$

$$(\hat{Q} + 1A + 1) \quad (\hat{Q} + B - 1) \quad \rightarrow \quad A + B = (\hat{L} - 1) + (\hat{L} + 1)$$

$$AB = (\hat{L} + 1)(\hat{L} - 1)^2$$

$$O(\frac{-(\hat{q}+\hat{l}^2-1)+\hat{q}\hat{q}}{2})$$