PAUL JONES CURRICULUM VITÆ

CONTACT

Phone (609) 937-0990 Email paulmmj@gmail.com Website http://PLJNS.com

EDUCATION

B.A. in Philosophy with Highest Honors.

Jan. 2012 - May 2014.

Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ.

Thesis: "What are the Problems for Infinitism?"

Supervisor: Peter Klein.

B.A. in Computer Science.

Jan. 2012 - May 2014.

Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ.

EMPLOYMENT

Mobile Developer May 2014 — Present.

Local Wisdom Inc., Princeton Junction, NJ.

Development Intern May 2012 — Jan. 2014.

Local Wisdom Inc., Princeton Junction, NJ.

LEADERSHIP

Philosophy Club, Treasurer, Rutgers University. Sept. 2013 — May 2014.

Arete Philosophy Journal, Secretary and Editor, Rutgers University. Sept. 2013 — May 2014.

Phi Sigma Tau Honor Society in Philosophy, Vice President, Rutgers University. Sept. 2013 — May 2014.

Honors

Tau Sigma National Honor Society, Member, Rutgers University. 2013.

Dean's List, Recipient, Rutgers University.

Misc. Semesters.

Phi Theta Kappa Honor Society, Member, Alpha Theta Gamma Chapter. 2011.

Psi Beta National Honor Society in Psychology, Member. 2011.

NJ STARS and NJ STARS II, Scholarship Recipient.

Mu Alpha Theta Award, Recipient, Two-Year College Math Honor Society. 2010.

Second Place in the Mercer Science Fair, Medicine and Health, Recipient. 2010.

Coursework

Advanced Topics in Metaphysics, Barry Loewer.

Spring 2014.

Topics in the Philosophy of Psychology, Frances Egan.

Spring 2014.

Advanced Topics in Epistemology, Alvin Goldman.

Fall 2013.

Presentations

'What are the Problems for Infinitism?', Honors Thesis Symposium, Rutgers University.

Apr. 2014.

'Humean Supervenience Debugged', Advanced Topics in Metaphysics, Rutgers University.

Apr. 2014.

EVENTS

Princeton-Rutgers Undergraduate Philosophy Conference.

Organizer, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ. Mar. 2015.

Assistant Organizer, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ. Mar. 2014.

Philosophy of Cosmology Workshop, Rutgers University, New Brunswick.

Apr. 2014.

THESIS ABSTRACT

The purpose of this essay is to: (1) interpret infinitism and its objections, (2) to defend infinitism from those objections, and (3) to identify a new response which does not suffer from the same problems as those objections. First, this work will interpret infinitism and Peter Klein's Interrogation Argument. Specifically, as it is found in "Human Knowledge and the Infinite Regress of Reasons" and "Infinitism is the Solution to the Regress Problem." Second, this work will interpret and respond to objections to the arguments found in those works. Specifically, the objections considered come from Carl Ginet's "Infinitism is not the Solution to the Regress Problem" and Michael Bergmann's "Is Klein an infinitist about doxastic justification?" Finally, this work will raise an objection to the Interrogation Argument and examine how this objection fits into the rest of the dialogue. Specifically, there may be meta-epistemological priors at the heart of the disagreement presented, and that there is reason to disagree.

Miscellaneous

Staff Writer, Applied Sentience, appliedsentience.com.

Oct. 2013 - Present.

Professional Developer, Programming Languages:

Proficient in: Objective-C, C++, C, Java.

Familiar with: PHP, Javascript, Perl, Python, Ruby, Bash, SQL.

Technologies: iOS, OS X, Android, OpenGL, Git.

LANGUAGES

English, French.