Chapter 4

Results and Discussion

A. Results

Four testing sets were used to test the implemented solutions, and for each set, three runs were performed in order to have a more accurate understanding of its performance. It is important to highlight that the solution implemented takes 80% of the input records to train the neural network and leaves the 20% left to test it. The numeric results of the performance test of bothe the existing and enhanced with the solutions applied can be seen on the following tables below.

Table 3. Performance Results – Existing

			Existing A				
16 records		128 records		1024 n	ecords	16384 records	
Accuracy %	Duration (5)	Accuracy %	Duration (^{S)}	Accuracy %	Duration (ع)	Accuracy %	Duration (s)
94.00	0.17	90.00	0.30	75.00	2.70	68.93	27.77
92.50	0.24	91.20	0.33	77.00	2.82	69.96	24.95
93.00	0.18	89.70	0.30	77.40	2.71	67.97	19.08
93.16	0.20	90.30	0.31	76.46	2.74	68.95	23.93

Table 4. Performance Results – Enhanced

Solution:	Enhanced Algorithm							
# of inputs	16 records		128 records		1024 records		16384 records	
	Accuracy %	Duration (^{s)}	Accuracy %	Duration (5)	Accuracy %	Duration (^{s)}	Accuracy %	Duration (^{s)}
Run 1	95.00	5.44	96.23	5.31	96.65	4.96	95.17	5.08
Run 2	95.00	5.86	97.69	5.45	97.25	5.63	96.74	5.95
Run 3	97.00	5.72	95.23	5.99	98.53	5.59	98.22	5.59
Average	95.66	5.67	96.38	5.59	97.48	5.39	96.71	5.54

B. Discussion

Considering the results obtained by testing both solutions it is clear that the implementation that uses the enhanced algorithm reaches an outstanding accuracy for the problem to be solved. But apart from that there are other aspects that are interesting to highlight taking into account the results gathered.

In terms of performance, it is interesting to see that the time the existing algorithm takes to run depends directly on the number of records in the input set, meanwhile for the first solution, whose accuracy seems to increment with bigger inputs, the duration remains almost invariable even though the input set increments drastically.