Lecture 10: Undirected Graphs

Xiaoxing Ma

Nanjing University xxm@nju.edu.cn

December 2, 2014

Acknowledgement: These Beamer slides are totally based on the textbook *Discrete Mathematical Structures*, by B. Kolman, R. C. Busby and S. C. Ross, and Prof. Daoxu Chen's PowerPoint slides.

At the Last Class

- Rooted Trees
 - Basic properties of rooted tree
 - Labeled tree and its representation
 - Tree searching
- Undirected Trees
 - Undirected graph: as a symmetric closure
 - Basic properties of undirected tree
 - Minimal spanning tree and its algorithm


Overview

- Graph as a Model
 - Paths and circuits in a graph
 - Subgraph and quotient graph
 - Graph as a model for problem solving
- Traversal in a Graph
 - Euler paths and circuits
 - Hamiltonian paths and circuits

Seven Bridges at Königsberg

Abstraction

- Vertices representing objects areas
- Edges representing the relationship between objects connected by a bridge



Graph and Diagram

Graph G is a triple: $G = \langle V_G, E_G, \gamma \rangle$

- V_G and E_G are sets, satisfying $V_G \cap E_G = \emptyset$, $\gamma : E_G \to \{\{v_i, v_j\} | v_i, v_j \in V_G\}$ Note: $\{v_i, v_j\} = \{v_j, v_i\}$.
- A graph can be represented conveniently by some diagram: each element of V_G as a dot, the vertex, and each element of E_G as a line segment, the edge, between two vertices.
- So, V_G is called the set of vertices, and E_G , the set of edges.

Note the differences between Geometric diagrams and Topological diagrams.

A definition of a graph does not depend on the diagram.



Relations in Graph

Incidence Relation defined from edge set to the Cartesian product of vertex set


Adjacency Relation defined on the set of vertices.

Considering the relations above, a graph can be represented by matrix.

Simple Graph

- Multiple edges and ring
 - If γ is not an injection, that is, exist $e_i, e_j \in E_G$, $e_i \neq e_j$, but $\gamma(e_i) = \gamma(e_j)$, then e_i, e_j are called **multiple edges**.
 - For any $e_i \in E_G$, if $\gamma(e_i) = \{v_i, v_i\} = \{v_i\}$, then e_i is called a **ring**.
- A graph without ring and multiple edges is called a simple graph.

In a simple graph, an edge can be denoted as $uv \in E$.



- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.

- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.
 - $d_G(v)$ must be a nonnegative integer.

- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.
 - $d_G(v)$ must be a nonnegative integer.
 - $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (also written as Δ_G and δ_G)

- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.
 - $d_G(v)$ must be a nonnegative integer.
 - $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (also written as Δ_G and δ_G)
- Numerical characteristics of the degree of vertex

- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.
 - $d_G(v)$ must be a nonnegative integer.
 - $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (also written as Δ_G and δ_G)
- Numerical characteristics of the degree of vertex
 - Sum of degree of all vertices in a graph is even.

- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.
 - $d_G(v)$ must be a nonnegative integer.
 - $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (also written as Δ_G and δ_G)
- Numerical characteristics of the degree of vertex
 - Sum of degree of all vertices in a graph is even.
 - $\sum_{i=1}^{m} d(v_i) = 2m$ is the number of edges in the graph.

- Degree of vertex
 - $d_G(v)$ = number of edge incident to v.
 - $d_G(v)$ must be a nonnegative integer.
 - $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (also written as Δ_G and δ_G)
- Numerical characteristics of the degree of vertex
 - Sum of degree of all vertices in a graph is even.
 - $\sum_{i=1}^{m} d(v_i) = 2m$ is the number of edges in the graph.
- The number of vertices with odd degree must be even.

Subgraph

• Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$, $G' = \langle V', E' \rangle$, if $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$, then G' is called a subgraph of G.

Subgraph


- Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$, $G' = \langle V', E' \rangle$, if $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$, then G' is called a subgraph of G.
- If $V' \subset V$, or $E' \subset E$, then G' is a proper subgraph.


Subgraph


- Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$, $G' = \langle V', E' \rangle$, if $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$, then G' is called a subgraph of G.
- If $V' \subset V$, or $E' \subset E$, then G' is a proper subgraph.
- If V' = V, then G' is a spanning subgraph.

Isomorphic Graphs

The following three graphs are isomorphic (Petersen Graph)
The correspondences under the isomorphism are denoted by colors:







A (simple) graph is a **complete graph** if and only if any two of its vertices are adjacent.

• In the sense of isomorphism, for a given n, there is exactly one complete graph, denoted as K_n , where n is the number of vertices in the graph.

A (simple) graph is a **complete graph** if and only if any two of its vertices are adjacent.

- In the sense of isomorphism, for a given n, there is exactly one complete graph, denoted as K_n , where n is the number of vertices in the graph.
- In K_n , for any vertex v, d(v) = n 1.


A (simple) graph is a **complete graph** if and only if any two of its vertices are adjacent.

- In the sense of isomorphism, for a given n, there is exactly one complete graph, denoted as K_n , where n is the number of vertices in the graph.
- In K_n , for any vertex v, d(v) = n 1.
- The number of edges in K_n is exactly $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

A (simple) graph is a **complete graph** if and only if any two of its vertices are adjacent.

- In the sense of isomorphism, for a given n, there is exactly one complete graph, denoted as K_n , where n is the number of vertices in the graph.
- In K_n , for any vertex v, d(v) = n 1.
- The number of edges in K_n is exactly $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.
- If G is a simple graph, then the number of its edges $m \le \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$


Complete Graph $K_n(n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$




A graph *G* and its **complement graph** have the same set of vertex, and their edges set constitute a partition of the edge set of the complete graph with the same vertex set.

Regular Graph

Two typical regular graph (every vertex has the same degree):





Note: in the graph on the left, each vertex is a binary number with the same number of bits.


Problem of "Crossing River"

Problem: A person(P), a wolf(W), a lamb(L) and a cabbage(C) will cross a river by a boat which can carry any two of them once. Wolf and lamb, or, lamb and cabbage, cannot stay together without the person present. Remember that only the person can run the boat.

- Graph model: vertex: "Status of Leaving Bank", $uv \in E_G$ if and only if the transition from status u to v can be achieved by one allowed "cross" operation.
- Starting status: "PWLC", ending status: empty.
- Solution: Finding a shortest path as possible from the starting status to the ending status.

Solution to the "Crossing River"

Note: There are 16 combinations of (P, W, L, C), but only 10 status are possible.



Scheduling the Exams

Problem: Scheduling exams for different courses. With no limitation on the space, we want to arrange all exams within a time span as short as possible. Any two course which is taken by one student cannot be scheduled in the same time slot.

Scheduling the Exams

Problem: Scheduling exams for different courses. With no limitation on the space, we want to arrange all exams within a time span as short as possible. Any two course which is taken by one student cannot be scheduled in the same time slot.

Graph model: vertex: course; edge: $uv \in E_G$ if and only if there is a student taking both of courses u, v.

Scheduling the Exams


Problem: Scheduling exams for different courses. With no limitation on the space, we want to arrange all exams within a time span as short as possible. Any two course which is taken by one student cannot be scheduled in the same time slot.

Graph model: vertex: course; edge: $uv \in E_G$ if and only if there is a student taking both of courses u, v.

Solution: Coloring the vertices with different colors such that no adjacent vertices are with the same color. If the coloring can be achieved using as few as k colors, then the smallest number of the time slots needed for the exams is k.

(The four-color problem is just a special case of this problem.)

Coloring the Chinese Map (part)



Path

Definition (Path)

 (v_0, v_k) -path in a graph G is a nonempty sequence: $v_0e_1v_1e_2v_2\cdots v_{k-1}e_kv_k$, among which $v_i\in V_G$, $e_i\in E_G$, and the two endpoints of e_i are v_{i-1} and v_i , $(i=1,2,\cdots,k)$.

Simple path: $v_0e_1v_1e_2v_2\cdots v_{k-1}e_kv_k$ satisfying $\forall i, j, i \neq j \Rightarrow v_i \neq v_j$.

Circuit (Cycle)

Definition (Circuit)

Circuit is a path of which the starting point and the ending point are identical: $v_0e_1v_1e_2v_2\cdots v_{k-1}e_kv_k$ satisfying $v_0=v_k$

Note: in a simple graph, the denotation of a path can be simplified as $v_0v_1v_2\cdots v_{k-1}v_k$,

Circuit (Cycle)


Definition (Circuit)

Circuit is a path of which the starting point and the ending point are identical: $v_0e_1v_1e_2v_2\cdots v_{k-1}e_kv_k$ satisfying $v_0=v_k$

Note: in a simple graph, the denotation of a path can be simplified as $v_0v_1v_2\cdots v_{k-1}v_k$,

Example

- Path: uavfyfvgyhwbv
- Path: wcxdyhwbvgy
- Simple path: xcwhyeuav
- Circuit: ueyhwbvau



Existence of Simple Path

Theorem

If there is a (u, v)-path $(u \neq v)$ in graph G, then there must be a simple (u, v)-path.

Existence of Simple Path

Theorem

If there is a (u, v)-path $(u \neq v)$ in graph G, then there must be a simple (u, v)-path.

Proof.

```
Let W = v_0 e_1 v_1 \cdots v_{k-1} e_k v_k is a (u, v)-path, where v_0 = u, v_k = v. If \forall i, j, i \neq j \Rightarrow v_i \neq v_j, then W itself is a simple path. Otherwise, exist i, j (0 \leq i < j \leq k), such that: v_i = v_j. That is W = v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 v_2 \cdots v_{i-1} e_i v_i \cdots v_{j-1} e_j v_j e_{j+1} \cdots v_{k-1} e_k v_k, So, W' = v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 v_2 \cdots v_{i-1} e_i v_i e_{j+1} \cdots v_{k-1} e_k v_k is still a (u, v)-path, but the number of pair of identical vertices in W' decreases. W is a finite sequence, repeat the above procedure, we can get a simple (u, v)-path. (Note: If there are n vertices in G, the largest length of a simple path in G is n-1.)
```

Least Vertex Degree and Circuit

$\mathsf{Theorem}$

Let G be a simple graph, if $\delta_G = k(k > 1)$, then there must be a simple circuit with the length at least k + 1 in G.

Least Vertex Degree and Circuit

Theorem[®]

Let G be a simple graph, if $\delta_G = k(k > 1)$, then there must be a simple circuit with the length at least k + 1 in G.

Proof.

Let P is any path in G, its ending points are u, v. If both u, v are not adjacent to any points outside P, then P is called a maximal path. (Note: with any graph with edges, we can always find a maximal path beginning from any edge.) Since $\delta_G > 1$, there must be a maximal path $(v_0, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{m-1}, v_m)$, then v_0 is adjacent to at least k different vertices on the path. So, among the k-1 vertices, the farthest from v_0 with a subscript not less that k. Let it be v_t , then $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_t, v_0)$ is a simple circuit with the length at least k + 1.

Reachability

Definition

 $R_c \subseteq V_G \times V_G$, $\forall u, v \in V_G$, $\langle u, v \rangle \in R_c$ if and only if there is a (u, v)-path in G.

We assume there is a path of length 0 from v to v for any vertex v.

Obviously, R_c is an equivalence.

In fact R_c is the transitive closure of the adjacency relation, R_a , on V_G .

Connected Graph and Components

- Reachability relation is a equivalence relation, the equivalence class is a component of G.
- If there is only one component in *G*, then *G* is a **connected Graph**.
- Graph G is connected if and only if $\forall u, v \in V_G$, there is a uv-path in G.

Connectivity of Complement Graph

Theorem

Let G be a simple graph, then G or G', the complement graph of G, is a connected graph.

Connectivity of Complement Graph

Theorem

Let G be a simple graph, then G or G', the complement graph of G, is a connected graph.

Proof.


Assuming G is disconnected, for any $u, v \in V'_G$:


- if $uv \notin E_G$, u and v are adjacent in G';
- if $uv \in E_G$, since G is disconnected, there must be some vertex w, w is not in the component which u, v belong to. So $uw \notin E_G$, $vw \notin E_G$, so, $uw \in E'_G$, $vw \in E'_G$, that is, (u, w, v) is a uv-path in G'.


G' is connected.

Euler Path and Euler Circuit

- An Euler path in G is a path which passes each edge in G exactly once.
- An **Euler circuit** in *G* is a circuit which passes each edge in *G* exactly once.
- An Euler graph is a graph which exactly contains a Euler circuit.
- A Semi-Euler graph is a graph which contains a Euler path, but not a Euler circuit.







Determination of Euler Graph

Theorem

Three equivalent statements for a nontrivial connected graph G:

- G is an Euler graph.
- 2 For any vertex v in G, d(v) is even.
- All the edges in G constitute one or more simple circuits without common edges between them.

Determination of Euler Graph

Theorem

Three equivalent statements for a nontrivial connected graph G:

- G is an Euler graph.
- ② For any vertex v in G, d(v) is even.
- All the edges in G constitute one or more simple circuits without common edges between them.

$(1) \Rightarrow (2)$.

Assuming that W is an Euler circuit in G, then $\forall v \in V_G$, d(v) is 2 times of the number by which v appears on W.

Determination of Euler Graph(cont.)

$(2) \Rightarrow (3)$.

Induction on the number of edges in G, m

- When m=1, G is a ring, the conclusion is true. Assuming that the conclusion is true for any $m \le k(k \ge 1)$.
- If m=k+1, since $\delta_G>1$, G contains simple circuit. Let C be a simple circuit, and $G'=G-E_C$, let G' contain s components, then in each component, every vertex has a even degree, and the number of edges is not larger than k. The inductive hypothesis applies, which leads to the conclusion.



Determination of Euler Graph(cont.)

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Induction on the number of simple circuit in G.

- Obvious for d=1. Assuming that the conclusion holds for $d \le k(k \ge 1)$.
- If d = k + 1, with some ordering on all simple circuit, let $G' = G E(C_{k+1})$, For all component of G', the inductive hypothesis applies, that is, each component is an Euler circuit. It is easy to constitute an Euler circuit for G using all the circuits in components.

Fleury Algorithm

Basic idea: when we really draw an Euler circuit, all passed edges cannot be used again. So, at any moment in drawing, with all passed edges deleted, the remain edges must be in one component.

Algorithm

Input: an Euler graph G

Output: a path $P = v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 \cdots e_i v_i e_{i+1} \cdots e_m v_m$, which includes each edge in E_G exactly once.


Procedure:

- **1** Take $v_0 \in V_G$ randomly, let $P_0 = v_0$;
- ② Assuming $P_i = v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 \cdots e_i v_i$, select e_{i+1} from $E_G \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_i\}$ according to the following criteria:
 - a e_{i+1} is incident to v_i ;
 - b If possible, e_{i+1} is not a cut edge in $G \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_i\}$
- Repeat step 2, until no further step can be executed legally.

All Around the World

In 1859, an Irish mathematician, Hamilton, introduced the game called "All around the world"


Each angular point of the dodecahedron represents a city all around the world. The player is required to start from a city, pass every city exactly once, along the edges, and return to the starting city finally.



Hamiltonian Path and Circuit

In a graph G, a circuit is called a **Hamiltonian circuit** if and only if it contains all the vertices in G exactly once. If G contains a Hamiltonian circuit, G itself is called a **Hamiltonian graph**.

A Hamiltonian path is a simple path which contains all vertices exactly once. A semi-Hamiltonian graph contain a Hanmiltonian path, but not a Hamiltionian circuit.



A Necessary Condition


Observation:

- Any Hamiltonian graph is in fact a simple circuit plus some linking some pairs of vertex on the circuit.
- Deleting k vertices from a simple circuits results in at most k components.
- Inserting edges between a pair of vertices not adjacent to each other will not increase the number of component.


Conclusion:


• Let G be a Hamiltionian graph, then for any nonempty subset V_1 of V_G , the number of components in $G - V_1$ is not larger than the number of vertices in V_1 .

Examples



Necessary condition can only be used to determine that a graph is not a Hamiltonian graph. In fact, Petersen is not Hamiltonian graph.





Degree of Vertices and Connectivity

A graph must be connected if the lower bound of the sum of any vertex pair is large enough.

Theorem

G is a simple graph with no less than 2 vertices. G is connected if for any vertices u, v (not adjacent to each other):

$$d(u) + d(v) \ge n - 1$$
 $(n = |V_G|)$ (condition *)

Degree of Vertices and Connectivity

A graph must be connected if the lower bound of the sum of any vertex pair is large enough.

Theorem

G is a simple graph with no less than 2 vertices. G is connected if for any vertices u, v (not adjacent to each other):

$$d(u) + d(v) \ge n - 1 \quad (n = |V_G|) \tag{con}$$

(condition *)

Proof.


Assuming that G is disconnect, and G_1 , G_2 are two of the components. For $x \in V_{G_1}$, $y \in V_{G_2}$, we have $d(x) + d(y) \le (n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 1) \le n - 2$ $(n_i = |G_i|)$, contradiction.



Transform a Maximal Path to Cycle

If condition (*) holds for graph G, and let $\Gamma = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{k-1} v_k$ be a maximal path not containing all vertices in G(k < n), then all vertices on Γ are on a simple circuit.

- If $v_1v_k \in E_G$, conclusion holds.
- Otherwise let $S = \{v_i | v_1 v_{i+1} \in E_G\}, T = \{v_i | v_i v_k \in E_G\};$
- Note: $|S| + |T| = d(v_1) + d(v_k) \ge n 1$,
- : $v_k \notin S \cup T$, : $|S \cup T| \le k 1 < n 1$, : $|S \cap T| = |S| + |T| - |S \cup T| > 0$, that is, $S \cap T$ is nonempty, let $v_i \in S \cap T$, then $v_{i+1}v_1 \in E_G$, and $v_iv_k \in E_G$. So, $C = v_1 \cdots v_i v_k v_{k-1} \cdots v_{i+1} v_1$ is a circuit containing all vertices



on Γ.

Sufficient Condition for Semi-H Graph

Condition * is a sufficient condition for semi-Hamiltionian graph. If fact, if condition * holds for G, then the maximal path must be a Hamiltionian path.

Proof.

Assuming that $\Gamma = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{k-1} v_k$ is a maximal path, but k < n, then it can be transformed to a simple circuit C. Let v_{k+1} is one of the vertices outside of C. Since G is connected, there must be a path from v_{k+1} to the vertices in C. Assuming that the shortest of such paths meets Γ at v_j (not v_1 , v_k , obviously). Then $\Gamma' = v_{k+1} \cdots v_j \cdots v_i v_k v_{k-1} \cdots v_{i+1} v_1 \cdots v_{j-1}$ is a extension of Γ ,



contradiction.

A Sufficient Condition for Hamiltonian Graph

G is a simple graph with not less than 3 vertices. If for any vertices u,v not adjacent in G: $d(u)+d(v)\geq n$ $(n=|V_G|)$, then G is a Hamiltonian graph.

Proof.


Obviously G is a semi-Hamiltonian graph. Let $\Gamma = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1} v_n$ be a Hamiltonian path in G. If $v_1 v_k \in E_G$, conclusion holds. Otherwise, let $S = \{v_i | v_1 v_{i+1} \in E_G\}$, $T = \{v_j | v_j v_k \in E_G\}$. Note: $|S| + |T| = d(v_1) + d(v_n) \geq n$, $v_n \notin S \cup T$, $|S \cup T| \leq k - 1 < n$, $|S \cap T| = |S| + |T| - |S \cup T| > 0$, that is, $|S \cap T| = |S| + |T| + |T|$



Gaps between Two Kinds of Conditions


Determined case by case using "common senses"


- For each vertex, exactly two of the incident edges are contained in the Hamiltonian circuit.
- No proper sub-circuit can be contained in a Hamiltonian circuit.
- Making use of symmetry




Examples

Only the graph on the right is Hamiltonian.









Hamiltonian Circuit on a Chess Board

On a minimized 4×4 or 5×5 chess board, Knight cannot traverse each square exactly once and return to the starting square.





Traveling Sales Person (TSP)

<u>Problem</u>: There are *n* cities with road of different distances between any two of them. A traveling sales person plan to traverse each city exactly once and return to the starting place. How should he choose the shortest traversal route?


Mathematical model:

- Construct a weighted graph G, with elements in V_G corresponding to cities, and those in E_G , to road between cities. The weights are the distances.
- The solution is a Hamiltonian circuit with the least weight.
- G, as a complete weighted graph, has n!/2 different Hamiltonian circuit. The problem is "which is the shortest?"
- (FYI: there are about $1.21645 \times 10^{17}/2$ different candidate routes in a complete Hamiltonian graph with 20 vertices. If check mechanically, with the speed of 100, 000/sec. it takes 20, 000 years!)

TSP: an Approximate Algorithm

The procedure

- Find a route using "Greedy approach" may not best.
- ② Improvement: If, in current route, exists $W(v_i, v_j) + W(v_{i+1}, v_{j+1}) < W(v_i, v_{i+1}) + W(v_j, v_{j+1})$, then replace $v_i v_j$ and $v_{i+1} v_{j+1}$ with $v_i v_{i+1}$ and $v_j v_{j+1}$.



Home Assignments

To be checked

Ex.8.1: 16-18, 27-29, 31-32

Ex.8.2: 4, 6, 9, 12, 13-14, 18, 19-25

Ex.8.3: 3, 6, 10, 14, 19-25

The End