Queens College, CUNY, Department of Computer Science Computational Finance CSCI 365 / 765 Fall 2017

Instructor: Dr. Sateesh Mane

© Sateesh R. Mane 2017

November 19, 2017

due Monday December 4, 2017 at 11.59 pm

9 Homework: Binomial model 3

9.1 Outline of work

- We shall extend the binomial model to calculate the **implied volatility**.
- This is analogous to the "price_from_yield" function for a bond.
- Given a market price (the "target" price) for a derivative, we find the value of the volatility such that the theoretical fair value equals the target price.
- The calculation requires an iterative numerical algorithm.
- We shall employ the bisection algorithm that was also used for price_from_yield.
- We shall make use of the C++ classes introduced in the previous homework assignment.
- We shall add an ImpliedVolatility function to the BinomialModel class.
- There are *no* changes required in the derivative classes.

9.2 Review of BinomialModel

- Our BinomialModel class now looks like this (see below).
- We wish to add an ImpliedVolatility function to it.

```
class BinomialModel
{
public:
    BinomialModel(int n);
    ~BinomialModel();

    int FairValue(int n, Derivative * p_derivative, double S, double t0, double & V);

private:
    // methods
    void Clear();
    int Allocate(int n);

    // data
    int n_tree;
    double **stock_nodes;
    double **value_nodes;
};
```

9.3 Weak point in software design

- We now encounter a weak point in the software design I have given you.
- We wish to iterate to calculate the value of the volatilty that will make the fair value of the derivative match the target price.
 - 1. However, the volatility is a data member "sigma" of the Derivative class.
 - 2. If we change the value of the volatility in an iterative loop, it will mess up the value of sigma in the Derivative class object, which is bad.
- Hence we must save the original value of sigma. We must not lose it.
- The correct way to solve the problem is to design a better software architecture, but that is too complicated.
- Therefore my proposed solution is to write two new class methods.
 - 1. One function is a "wrapper" which saves the value of sigma and restores it at the end.
 - 2. The second function contains the real iteration loop.

9.4 New class functions

- Add two new functions to the BinomialModel class as shown below.
- One function is public and the other is private.
- Write the function declarations below.

```
class BinomialModel
public:
  BinomialModel(int n);
  "BinomialModel();
  int FairValue(int n, Derivative * p_derivative, double S, double t0, double & V);
  int ImpliedVolatility(int n, Derivative * p_derivative, double S, double t0,
                        double target, double & implied_vol, int & num_iter);
private:
  // methods
  void Clear();
  int Allocate(int n);
  int ImpliedVolatilityPrivate(int n, Derivative * p_derivative, double S, double t0,
                               double target, double & implied_vol, int & num_iter);
  // data
  int n_tree;
  double **stock_nodes;
  double **value_nodes;
};
```

9.5 Public function

- The code for the public function is shown below.
- Write the public function given below.

9.6 Private function

9.6.1 Summary

- The code for the private function will be the subject of this homework assignment.
- The function signature is as follows.

- Review the "yield_from_price" function from Homework 1a.
- Many of the same ideas will be employed below.
- The procedure is basically the same as in yield_from_price.
 - 1. As opposed to yield_from_price, let us internally set a tolerance of 10^{-4} . We do this because implied volatility is a computationally expensive function and we do not want users to set unnecessatily small tolerances.

```
const double tol = 1.0e-4;
```

- 2. Perform some validation tests.
- 3. Set a low value for the volatility sigma_low. Calculate the fair value FV_low.

 If abs(FV_low target) <= tol, the answer is within the tolerance. Exit (success).
- 4. Set a high value for the volatility sigma_high. Calculate the fair value FV_high.

 If abs(FV_high target) <= tol, the answer is within the tolerance. Exit (success).
- 5. Test if the target value lies between FV_low and FV_high. If not, then we have not bracketed a solution, hence we exit (fail).
- 6. Run the main bisection loop for (i = 0; i < max_iter; ++i).
- 7. Set sigma = 0.5*(sigma_low + sigma_high) (and remember that "sigma" is really a data member of the Derivative class). Call FairValue and compute a value FV.
- 8. Test if abs(FV target) <= tol, if yes then the iteration has converged and we exit (success).
- 9. Else check if FV and FV_low are both on the same side as target. If yes, then update FV_low = FV, else update FV_high = FV.
- 10. Also check if abs(sigma_high sigma_low) <= tol. If yes, then the iteration has converged and we exit (success).
- 11. If the loop has not converged after max_iter steps, exit (fail).

9.6.2 Validation tests

- Because the volatility is a data member of the Derivative class, the code is slightly different (and clumsier) than in yield_from_price.
- First set the tolerance and the maximum number of iterations internally.

```
const double tol = 1.0e-4;
const int max_iter = 100;
```

• Initialize implied_vol and num_iter both to zero.

```
implied_vol = 0;
num_iter = 0;
```

• We can use a low volatility of 1% and a high volatility of 300%.

```
double sigma_low = 0.01;  // 1%
double sigma_high = 3.0;  // 300%
double FV_low = 0;
double FV_high = 0;
double FV = 0;
```

• The validation test for sigma_low looks like this.

```
p_derivative->sigma = sigma_low;
FairValue(n, p_derivative, S, t0, FV_low);
double diff_FV_low = FV_low - target;
if (fabs(diff_FV_low) <= tol) {
  implied_vol = p_derivative->sigma;
  return 0;
}
```

- Write the corresponding test for sigma_high.
- Now test to see if target lies between FV_low and FV_high. This will be the case if diff_FV_low and diff_FV_high have opposite signs, i.e. diff_FV_low * diff_FV_high < 0. Hence if diff_FV_low * diff_FV_high > 0 then exit (fail).

```
if (diff_FV_low * diff_FV_high > 0) {
  implied_vol = 0;
  return 1; // fail
}
```

- Note that in yield_from_price I did not make use of the variables diff_FV_low and diff_FV_high. This was a mistake or weak point I made in yield_from_price. The code would have been simpler if we had computed and used diff_FV_low and diff_FV_high. We shall do so here.
- If we have come this far, it is time to begin the main iteration loop.

9.6.3 Main iteration loop

• Set up an iteration loop.

```
for (i = 0; i < max_iter; ++i) {
    ...
}</pre>
```

• In the loop, set the value of p_derivative->sigma and calculate the value of FV by calling FairValue.

```
p_derivative->sigma = 0.5*(sigma_low + sigma_high);
FairValue(n, p_derivative, S, t0, FV);
double diff_FV = FV - target;
```

- Test if abs(diff_FV) <= tol. If yes, then we have converged.

 Set implied_vol = p_derivative->sigma and num_iter = i and exit with a return value of 0 (success).
- Test if (diff_FV_low * diff_FV) > 0. If yes, then FV_low and FV are both on the same side of target. Update sigma_low = p_derivative->sigma.
- Else update sigma_high = p_derivative->sigma.
- Test if abs(sigma_low sigma_high) <= tol. If yes, then we have converged. Set implied_vol = p_derivative->sigma and num_iter = i and exit with a return value of 0 (success).
- If we have come this far, continue with the iteration loop.
- If we exit the iteration loop without converging, do the following and exit (fail).

```
num_iter = max_iter;
implied_vol = 0;
return 1;
```

• If you have done your work correctly, you will observe a great similarity to yield_from_price.

9.7 Tests

- For a given volatility, an American option has a higher fair value than the corresponding European option.
 - 1. Hence if you call ImpliedVolatility with the same target price for an American and a European option, the implied volatility of the American option will be \leq the implied volatility of the European option.
 - 2. Do you understand why?
- The fair value of an option increases as the volatility increases. Hence if you increase the target price, the implied volatility should increase.
- Use put–call parity. Choose a volatility σ_0 . Calculate the fair value of a European put option $p(\sigma_0)$. Set a target value target $= p(\sigma_0) + Se^{-q(T-t_0)} Ke^{-r(T-t_0)}$. Calculate the implied volatility of a European call option with this target price. The implied volatility should be close to σ_0 .

9.8 Weak points in the software design

• Recall the rational option pricing inequalities

$$0 \le c, C \le S, \tag{9.8.1a}$$

$$0 \le P \le K \,, \tag{9.8.1b}$$

$$0 \le p \le PV(K). \tag{9.8.1c}$$

• Recall also that the value of an American option must be \geq intrinsic value.

$$C \ge \max(S - K, 0), \tag{9.8.2a}$$

$$P \ge \max(K - S, 0)$$
. (9.8.2b)

- The current software design does not test for these inequalities.
- If the target is too high, or if the target is too low (below intrinsic value for American options), then we know immediately that the implied volatility calculation will not converge. Our current software design does not test for these inequalities.
- However, the above inequalities apply only to options. There are different inequalities for other derivatives.
- Hence we would have to write a new virtual function, say RationalPricingTests(...), to test if the target price violated any rational pricing inequalities.
- In principle, we can do this.
- However, it is late in the semester, and you are busy preparing for finals for many other courses.
- Hence I shall not ask you to write virtual functions to test for invalid target prices.