

Lecture ~~7~~: Order Statistics

ORDER STATISTICS

Select the i th smallest of n elements.

- $i = 1$: *minimum*;
- $i = n$: *maximum*;
- $i = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$ or $\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$: *median*.

Naive algorithm: Sort and index i th element.

Worst-case running time $= \Theta(n \lg n) + \Theta(1)$
 $= \Theta(n \lg n),$

using merge sort or heapsort (*not* quicksort).

RANK OF AN ELEMENT

Rank of an element is the position of the element in the sorted array

Given Numbers:

10	13	5	8	3	2	11
----	----	---	---	---	---	----

Sorted Array:


2	3	5	8	10	11	13
---	---	---	---	----	----	----

$$\text{rank}(8)=4$$

Finding the i th smallest of n elements = Finding an element with *rank* i .


DIVIDE-AND-CONQUER ALGORITHM

SELECT(A, p, q, i) ▷ i th smallest of $A[p..q]$
if $p = q$ **then return** $A[p]$
 $r \leftarrow$ PARTITION(A, p, q)
 $k \leftarrow r - p + 1$ \\ k =rank of the pivot
if $i = k$ **then return** $A[r]$
if $i < k$
 then return SELECT($A, p, r - 1, i$)
 else return SELECT($A, r + 1, q, i - k$)




EXAMPLE

Select the $i = 7$ th smallest:



Partition:



Select the $7 - 4 = 3$ rd smallest recursively.

INTUITION FOR ANALYSIS

(All our analyses today assume that all elements are distinct.)

Lucky:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(9n/10) + \Theta(n) \\ &= \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$n^{\log_{10/9} 1} = n^0 = 1$$

CASE 3

Unlucky:


$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(n - 1) + \Theta(n) \\ &= \Theta(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

arithmetic series

Worse than sorting!

RANDOMIZED DIVIDE-AND-CONQUER ALGORITHM

RAND-SELECT(A, p, q, i) \triangleright i th smallest of $A[p..q]$
if $p = q$ **then return** $A[p]$
 $r \leftarrow$ **RAND-PARTITION**(A, p, q)
 $k \leftarrow r - p + 1$
if $i = k$ **then return** $A[r]$
if $i < k$
 then return **RAND-SELECT**($A, p, r - 1, i$)
 else return **RAND-SELECT**($A, r + 1, q, i - k$)



ANALYSIS OF EXPECTED TIME

The analysis follows that of randomized quicksort, but it's a little different.

Let $T(n)$ = the random variable for the running time of RAND-SELECT on an input of size n , assuming random numbers are independent.

For $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, define the *indicator random variable*

$$X_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if PARTITION generates a } k : n-k-1 \text{ split,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ANALYSIS (CONTINUED)

To obtain an upper bound, assume that the i th element always falls in the larger side of the partition:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\max\{0, n-1\}) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } 0 : n-1 \text{ split,} \\ T(\max\{1, n-2\}) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } 1 : n-2 \text{ split,} \\ \vdots & \\ T(\max\{n-1, 0\}) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n-1 : 0 \text{ split,} \end{cases}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n)).$$

CALCULATING EXPECTATION

$$E[T(n)] = E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))\right]$$

Take expectations of both sides.

CALCULATING EXPECTATION

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))] \end{aligned}$$

Linearity of expectation.

CALCULATING EXPECTATION

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k] \cdot E[T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n)] \end{aligned}$$

Independence of X_k from other random choices.

CALCULATING EXPECTATION

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k] \cdot E[T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[T(\max\{k, n-k-1\})] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

Linearity of expectation; $E[X_k] = 1/n$.

CALCULATING EXPECTATION

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k (T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n))] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k] \cdot E[T(\max\{k, n-k-1\}) + \Theta(n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[T(\max\{k, n-k-1\})] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(n) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

Upper terms
appear twice.

HAIRY RECURRENCE

(But not quite as hairy as the quicksort one.)

$$E[T(n)] = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \Theta(n)$$

Prove: $E[T(n)] \leq cn$ for constant $c > 0$.

- The constant c can be chosen large enough so that $E[T(n)] \leq cn$ for the base cases.

Use fact: $\sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} k \leq \frac{3}{8}n^2$ (exercise).

SUBSTITUTION METHOD

$$E[T(n)] \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + \Theta(n)$$

Substitute inductive hypothesis.

SUBSTITUTION METHOD

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + \Theta(n) \\ &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \left(\frac{3}{8} n^2 \right) + \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

Use fact.

SUBSTITUTION METHOD

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + \Theta(n) \\ &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \left(\frac{3}{8} n^2 \right) + \Theta(n) \\ &= cn - \left(\frac{cn}{4} - \Theta(n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Express as *desired – residual*.

SUBSTITUTION METHOD

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + \Theta(n) \\ &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \left(\frac{3}{8} n^2 \right) + \Theta(n) \\ &= cn - \left(\frac{cn}{4} - \Theta(n) \right) \\ &\leq cn, \end{aligned}$$

if c is chosen large enough so that $cn/4$ dominates the $\Theta(n)$.

SUMMARY OF RANDOMIZED ORDER-STATISTIC SELECTION

- Works fast: linear expected time.
- Excellent algorithm in practice.
- But, the worst case is *very* bad: $\Theta(n^2)$.

Q. Is there an algorithm that runs in linear time in the worst case?

A. Yes, due to Blum, Floyd, Pratt, Rivest, and Tarjan [1973].

IDEA: Generate a good pivot recursively.


WORST-CASE LINEAR-TIME ORDER STATISTICS

SELECT(i, n)


1. Divide the n elements into groups of 5. Find the median of each 5-element group by rote.
2. Recursively SELECT the median x of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ group medians to be the pivot.
3. Partition around the pivot x . Let $k = \text{rank}(x)$.
4. **if** $i = k$ **then return** x
 elseif $i < k$
 then recursively SELECT the i th
 smallest element in the lower part
 else recursively SELECT the $(i-k)$ th
 smallest element in the upper part

Same as
RAND-
SELECT

CHOOSING THE PIVOT




CHOOSING THE PIVOT




1. Divide the n elements into groups of 5.


CHOOSING THE PIVOT



1. Divide the n elements into groups of 5. Find the median of each 5-element group by rote.




CHOOSING THE PIVOT




1. Divide the n elements into groups of 5. Find the median of each 5-element group by rote.
2. Recursively SELECT the median x of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ group medians to be the pivot.

lesser
↑
greater


ANALYSIS



At least half the group medians are $\leq x$, which is at least $\lfloor \lfloor n/5 \rfloor / 2 \rfloor = \lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ group medians.


lesser

greater

ANALYSIS




At least half the group medians are $\leq x$, which is at least $\lfloor \lfloor n/5 \rfloor / 2 \rfloor = \lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ group medians.

- Therefore, at least $3\lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ elements are $\leq x$.

lesser

greater

ANALYSIS (Assume all elements are distinct.)



At least half the group medians are $\leq x$, which is at least $\lfloor \lfloor n/5 \rfloor / 2 \rfloor = \lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ group medians.

- Therefore, at least $3\lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ elements are $\leq x$.
- Similarly, at least $3\lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ elements are $\geq x$.

lesser

greater

DEVELOPING THE RECURRENCE

$T(n)$	SELECT(i, n)
$\Theta(n)$	1. Divide the n elements into groups of 5. Find the median of each 5-element group by rote.
$T(n/5)$	2. Recursively SELECT the median x of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ group medians to be the pivot.
$\Theta(n)$	3. Partition around the pivot x . Let $k = \text{rank}(x)$.
$T(7n/10)$	4. if $i = k$ then return x elseif $i < k$ then recursively SELECT the i th smallest element in the lower part else recursively SELECT the $(i-k)$ th smallest element in the upper part

SOLVING THE RECURRENCE

$$T(n) = T(n/5) + T(7n/10) + \Theta(n)$$

Substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) \leq cn \quad T(n) &\leq cn/5 + 7cn/10 + \Theta(n) \\ &= 9cn/10 + \Theta(n) \\ &= cn - \left[cn/10 - \Theta(n) \right] \\ &\leq cn \end{aligned}$$

,
if c is chosen large enough to handle both the $\Theta(n)$ and the initial conditions. $7n/10$

CONCLUSIONS

- Since the work at each level of recursion is a constant fraction ($9/10$) smaller, the work per level is a geometric series dominated by the linear work at the root.
- In practice, this algorithm runs slowly, because the constant in front of n is large.
- The randomized algorithm is far more practical.