Lead Scoring Case Study Summary

-Praveen Sankadal

1. Data reading and understanding

- a. Loading data and going through the columns.
- b. Checked for shape, description and indo of the data

2. Data Cleaning

- a. Checked for duplicates.
- b. Replaced 'Select' labels with null values.
- c. Dropped columns with over 50% null values.

3. Data visualization

- a. Created a function for plotting graphs.
- b. Created plots of categorical columns to geta clear understanding of data distribution.
- c. Imputed missing values with mode, replaces values with small occurrence with new category as "Others" or most repeated value.
- d. Checking for outliners by plotting a boxplot for numerical columns and handling outliners with quintiles of 95%.
- e. Mentioned observations in a well commented formate

4. Data Preparation

- a. Converted multicategory labels to binary variables (0 and 1).
- b. Created dummy variables for some categorical features.
- c. Split dataset into training and testing sets.
- d. Applied StandardScaler for data scaling.
- e. Utilized Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) for model building with 15 variables.

5. Model Building

- a. Created function for training function called model_build(). Created function to calculate VIF values calculate_vif(). Created a common Function to calculate accuracy, sensitive, specisity.
- b. Trained the model checked p-value, Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) scores (<5.0) for multicollinearity.
- c. Removed insignificant variables based on P-Value scores.
- d. Determined optimal probability cutoff for the final model.
- e. Used Principal Component Analysis (PCA)for model selection just to compare the best feature selected between RFE and PCA. Selected RFE for better results.

6. Model evalulation

- a. Evaluated model performance metrics (accuracy, sensitivity, specificity).
- b. Plotted roc curve, plotted confusion matrix to calculate accuracy
- c. Plotted roc curve, plotted confusion matrix to calculate accuracy
- d. Predicted outcomes on the test set and evaluated model accuracy and sensitivity.
- e. Assigned lead scores to indicate potential hot leads.

7. Conclusion

- a. Test set demonstrates acceptable accuracy and sensitivity.
- b. The model exhibits stability and adaptability to changing business requirements.
- c. Identified top features contributing to a good conversion rate:
 - i. Tags_Lost to EINS
 - ii. Tags_Closed by Horizzon
 - iii. Tags_Will revert after reading the email

Key Learnings

- Achieved model stability and adaptability in dynamic business environments.
- Identified critical features influencing lead conversion for strategic focus.
- Successfully implemented data cleaning, transformation, preparation, and model evaluation techniques.
- Utilized advanced methodologies such as RFE and probability cutoff optimization.
- Provided actionable insights to guide decision-making in lead management.