Legal Management System Group 1



Normalization and Schema Refinement

MC212: Database Management Systems



Department of Mathematics and Computing

Dhirubhai Ambani Institute of Information and Communication

Technology

Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

October 20, 2023

Contents

1	\mathbf{ER}	Diagram	1							
2	Nor	Normalization and Schema Refinement of Entity Sets								
	2.1	Case	1							
	2.2	Lawyer Schema	2							
	2.3	Paralegals and Trainees	2							
	2.4	Client	4							
	2.5	Judges	4							
		Task	5							
	2.7	Firm	5							
	2.8	Bar Council	6							
	2.9	Client Case Requests/Case Assistance Requests Schema	6							
		Joining Requests Schema	6							
		General Queries Schema	7							
		License Request Queries Schema	7							
_	3. T		_							
3		malization and Schema Refinement of Relationship Sets	7							
	3.1	Hires Schema	7							
	3.2	Supervises Schema	8							
	3.3	Associated Schema	8							
	3.4	Manages Schema	8							
4	Fina	al Schemas	8							



1 | ER Diagram

We have made some more changes in the ER Diagram. We have attached ER Diagram as a separate submission in this lab itself as .png file.

2 | Normalization and Schema Refinement of Entity Sets

2.1 | Case

$Case(\underline{Case\ ID},$	Case type,	Case Result,	Case Status	, Judge ID,	Case Details,	Defending	Lawyer ID
Defendant ID,	Accuser ID	, Prosecuting	(lawyer ID)	has 10 attr	ibutes.		

```
\Box CK = \{(\underline{Case\ ID})\}

\Box PK = \{(\underline{Case\ ID})\}
```

- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square Case ID \rightarrow (Case type, Case Result, Case Status, Judge ID, Case Details, Defending Lawyer ID, Defendant ID, Accuser ID, Prosecuting lawyer ID)
- To achieve 1NF, we refine the schema as follows:
 - □ Case(<u>Case ID</u>, Case Result, Case Status, Judge ID, Case Details, Defending Lawyer ID, Defendant ID, Accuser ID, Prosecuting lawyer ID)

U

Case_Type(Case ID, Case Type)

For Case1:

```
\Box CK = \{(\underline{Case\ ID})\}

\Box PK = \{(\underline{Case\ ID})\}
```

- Functional Dependencies:
 - $\hfill\Box$ Case ID \to (Case Result, Case Status, Judge ID, Case Details, Defending Lawyer ID, Defendant ID, Accuser ID, Prosecuting lawyer ID)
- No multi-valued attributes, already in 1NF.
 - \square No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF
 - \square No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF
 - \square All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF
- For Case_Type:

$$\Box CK = \{(\underline{Case ID}, \underline{Case Type})\}$$
$$\Box PK = \{(\underline{Case ID}, \underline{Case Type})\}$$

- No functional dependencies, no multi-valued attributes.
 - \square No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF
 - \square No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF
 - \square No dependencies \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Case1 and Case_Type are both in BCNF forms.



2.2 | Lawyer Schema

Lawyer(<u>ID</u> , email ID, name, Education, Area of expertise, Salary, License Year, Experience) has attributes.
$ \Box CK = \{(\underline{ID}), (email ID)\} $ $ \Box PK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $
■ Functional Dependencies:
□ $\underline{\text{ID}}$ → (name, Education, Area of expertise, Salary, License Year, Experience) □ email ID → (name, Education, Area of expertise, Salary, License Year, Experience) □ $\underline{\text{ID}}$ ↔ Email ID
■ To achieve 1NF, we refine the schema as follows:
$\hfill\Box$ Lawyer ($\underline{\text{ID}},$ email $\underline{\text{ID}},$ name, Education, Salary, License Year, Experience) $\hfill\Box$
$Lawyer_Area_of_Expertise(\underline{ID}, \underline{Area\ of\ expertise})$
■ For Lawyer1:
$ \Box CK = \{(\underline{ID}), (\underline{email \ ID})\} $ $ \Box PK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $
■ Functional Dependencies:
□ $\underline{\text{ID}}$ → (name, Education, Salary, License Year, Experience) □ $\underline{\text{email ID}}$ → (name, Education, Salary, License Year, Experience) □ $\underline{\text{ID}}$ ↔ $\underline{\text{email ID}}$
■ No multi-valued attributes, already in 1NF.
□ No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF □ No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF □ All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF
■ For Lawyer_Area_of_Expertise:
 □ CK = {(ID, Area of expertise)} □ PK = {(ID, Area of expertise)}
■ No functional dependencies, no multi-valued attributes.
□ No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF □ No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF □ No dependencies \Rightarrow BCNF
■ Thus, Lawyer1 and Lawyer_Area_of_Expertise are both in BCNF forms.
2.3 Paralegals and Trainees
Paralegals and Trainees(<u>ID</u> , name, Independent Lawyer ID, Firm ID, Salary, Experience, Education Position) has 8 attributes.
$ \Box CK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $ $ \Box PK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $
■ Functional Dependencies:
\square <u>ID</u> \rightarrow (name, Independent Lawyer ID, Firm ID, Salary, Experience, Education, Position)



To achieve 1NF, we refine the schema as follows:
 Paralegals and Trainees(<u>ID</u>, name, Independent Lawyer ID, Firm ID, Salary, Experience Education, Position)
U
${\rm PNT_Independent_Lawyer}(\underline{\rm ID},\underline{\rm Independent\ Lawyer\ ID})$
For Paralegals and Trainees1:
$ \Box CK = \{(\underline{ID})\} \Box PK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $
Functional Dependencies:
$\hfill\Box$ $\underline{\mathrm{ID}}$ \rightarrow (name, Firm ID, Salary, Experience, Education, Position)
To further normalize the multivalued attribute "Firm ID":
$\hfill\Box$ Paralegals and Trainees 1($\underline{\rm ID},$ name, Salary, Experience, Education, Position)
U
$\operatorname{PNT_Firm}(\operatorname{ID}, \operatorname{\underline{Firm}} \operatorname{ID})$
For Paralegals and Trainees2:
$ \Box CK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $ $ \Box PK = \{(\underline{ID})\} $
Functional Dependencies:
\square <u>ID</u> \rightarrow (name, Salary, Experience, Education, Position)
No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF.
 □ No partial dependencies ⇒ 2NF □ No transitive dependencies ⇒ 3NF □ All attributes depend on a candidate key ⇒ BCNF
For PNT_Independent_Lawyer and PNT_Firm:
 Composite Candidate Key (CK) = {(<u>ID</u>, <u>Independent Lawyer ID</u>)} and {(<u>ID</u>, <u>Firm ID</u>)} respectively PK = {(ID, Independent Lawyer ID)} and {(ID, Firm ID)} respectively
No functional dependencies, no multivalued attributes.
 □ No partial dependencies ⇒ 2NF □ No transitive dependencies ⇒ 3NF □ No dependencies ⇒ BCNF
■ Thus Paralegals and Trainees2, PNT_Independent_Lawyer, and PNT_Firm are in BCNF forms.



2.4 | Client

- Client(ID, Client type, name, Client Details) has 4 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(ID)}
 - $\square PK = \{(ID)\}\$
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square ID \rightarrow (Client type, name, Client Details)
- To achieve 1NF, we refine the schema as follows:
 - □ Client(ID, Client type, name, Client Details)

Client_Type(ID, Client Type)

- For Client1:
 - \square CK = {(ID)}
 - \square PK = {(ID)}
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square ID \rightarrow (name, Client Details)
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF.
 - $\hfill\Box$ No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF
 - \square No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF
 - \square All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF
- For Client_Type:
 - □ Composite Candidate Key (CK) = {(ID, Client Type)}
 - \square PK = {(ID, Client Type)}
- No functional dependencies, no multivalued attributes.
 - \square No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF
 - \square No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF
 - \square No dependencies \Rightarrow BCNF
 - □ Thus Client1 and Client_Type are both in BCNF forms.

2.5 | Judges

- Judges(Judge ID, Name, Experience, Salary, License year, Education) have 6 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(Judge ID)}
 - \square PK = {(Judge ID)}
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square Judge ID \rightarrow (Name, Experience, Salary, License year, Education)
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF.
 - \square No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF
 - \square No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF
 - \square All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Judges is already in BCNF form and no further refinement is required.



2.6 | Task

■ Task(<u>Task from ID</u> , <u>Task Number</u> , <u>Task To ID</u> , Case ID, Task Status, Task Description) has 6 attributes.
\square CK = {(Task from ID, Task Number, Task To ID)}
$\ \ \square \ \mathrm{PK} = \{(\underline{\mathrm{Task} \mathrm{from} \mathrm{ID}}, \underline{\mathrm{Task} \mathrm{Number}}, \underline{\mathrm{Task} \mathrm{To} \mathrm{ID}})\}$
■ Functional Dependencies:
$\ \ \square (\underline{\text{Task from ID}}, \underline{\text{Task Number}}, \underline{\text{Task To ID}}) \to (\text{Case ID}, \text{Task Status}, \text{Task Description})$
■ To achieve 1NF, we refine the schema as follows:
□ Task(<u>Task from ID</u> , <u>Task Number</u> , <u>Task To ID</u> , Task Status, Task Description)
U
$\underline{\operatorname{Task_Case}(\operatorname{Task\ from\ ID},\ \operatorname{\underline{Task\ Number}},\ \operatorname{\underline{Task\ To\ ID}},\ \operatorname{Case\ ID})}$
■ For Task1:
□ $CK = \{(\underline{Task \ from \ ID}, \underline{Task \ Number}, \underline{Task \ To \ ID})\}$ □ $PK = \{(\underline{Task \ from \ ID}, \underline{Task \ Number}, \underline{Task \ To \ ID})\}$
■ Functional Dependencies:
$\ \ \square (\underline{\mathrm{Task}\mathrm{from}\mathrm{ID}},\underline{\mathrm{Task}\mathrm{Number}},\underline{\mathrm{Task}\mathrm{To}\mathrm{ID}}) \to (\mathrm{Task}\mathrm{Status},\mathrm{Task}\mathrm{Description})$
■ No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
\blacksquare All attributes depend on the entire composite primary key \Rightarrow BCNF
■ For Task_Case:
□ Composite Candidate Key (CK) = $\{(\underline{\text{Task from ID}}, \underline{\text{Task Number}}, \underline{\text{Task To ID}}, \underline{\text{Case ID}})\}$ □ PK = $\{(\underline{\text{Task from ID}}, \underline{\text{Task Number}}, \underline{\text{Task To ID}}, \underline{\text{Case ID}})\}$
No functional dependencies, no multivalued attributes.
□ No partial dependencies ⇒ 2NF □ No transitive dependencies ⇒ 3NF □ No dependencies ⇒ BCNF
■ Thus Task1 and Task_Case are in BCNF forms.
2.7 Firm
Firm(Firm ID, Firm name, Establishment year, Expenditure) has 4 attributes.
□ CK = {(Firm ID), (Firm name)} □ PK = {(Firm ID)}
■ Functional Dependencies:
□ $\underline{\text{Firm ID}}$ → (Establishment year, Expenditure) □ $\underline{\text{Firm name}}$ → (Establishment year, Expenditure) □ $\underline{\text{Firm ID}}$ ↔ $\underline{\text{Firm name}}$
■ No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF.
□ No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF □ No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF □ All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF

 \blacksquare Thus, Firm is already in BCNF form with its two candidate keys.



2.8 | Bar Council

- Bar Council(ID, Name) has 2 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(ID)}
 - \square PK = {(ID)}
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square ID \rightarrow (Name)
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF.
 - \square No partial dependencies \Rightarrow 2NF
 - \square No transitive dependencies \Rightarrow 3NF
 - \square All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Bar Council is already in BCNF form, and no further refinement is required.

2.9 | Client Case Requests/Case Assistance Requests Schema

- Client Case Requests/Case Assistance Requests(<u>Request from</u>, <u>Request to</u>, <u>Case ID</u>, Request type, Request status) has 5 attributes.
 - $\ \ \square \ \ CK = \{(\underline{Request \ from}, \, \underline{Case \ ID}, \, \underline{Request \ to})\}$
 - $\ \ \square \ \ PK = \{(\underline{Request \ from}, \, \underline{Case \ ID}, \, \underline{Request \ to})\}$
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square (Request from, Case ID, Request to) \rightarrow (Request type, Request status)
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
 - \square All attributes depend on the entire composite primary key \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Client Case Requests/Case Assistance Requests is already in its BCNF form.

2.10 | Joining Requests Schema

- Joining Requests (Request from, Request to, Request status) has 3 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(Request from, Request to)}
 - \square PK = {(Request from, Request to)}
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square (Request from, Request to) \rightarrow (Request status)
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
 - \square All attributes depend on the entire composite primary key \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Joining Requests is already in its BCNF form.



2.11 | General Queries Schema

- General Queries(Query from ID, Query No, Query Handler ID, Query status, Query Description) has 5 attributes.
 - $\square \ CK = \{(\underline{Query \ From \ ID}, \, \underline{Query \ No})\}$
 - $\square PK = \{(\underline{Query From ID}, \underline{Query No})\}$
- Functional Dependencies:
 - \square (Query From ID, Query No) \rightarrow (Query Handler ID, Query Status, Query Description)
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
 - \square All attributes depend on the entire composite primary key \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, General Queries is already in its BCNF form.

2.12 | License Request Queries Schema

License Request Queries(<u>Query from ID</u>, <u>Email ID</u>, Query Handler ID, Query status, Name, Resume, CLAT Score) has 7 attributes.

- CK = {(Query From ID), (Email ID)}
- Arr PK = {(Query From ID)}

Functional Dependencies:

- \blacksquare (Query From ID) \rightarrow (Query Handler ID, Query status, Name, Resume, CLAT Score)
- \blacksquare (Email ID) \rightarrow (Query Handler ID, Query status, Name, Resume, CLAT Score)
- \blacksquare (Query From ID) \leftrightarrow (Email ID)

No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.

■ All attributes depend on a candidate key \Rightarrow BCNF

Thus, License Request Queries is already in its BCNF form.

3 | Normalization and Schema Refinement of Relationship Sets

3.1 | Hires Schema

- Hires(Hires from ID, Hires to ID) has 2 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(Hires from ID, Hires to ID)}
 - \square PK = {(Hires from ID, Hires to ID)}
- There are no functional dependencies, as the only two attributes of Hires form a composite primary key.
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
 - \square No dependencies at all \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Hires is already in its BCNF form.



3.2 | Supervises Schema

- Supervises(Supervisor ID, Supervisee ID) has 2 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(Supervisor ID, Supervisee ID)}
 - □ PK = {(Supervisor ID, Supervisee ID)}
- There are no functional dependencies, as the only two attributes of Supervises form a composite primary key.
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.///»» hello aa ck and pk ma be bracket rakhvan ache ke ek j rakhvu che??
 - \square No dependencies at all \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Supervises is already in its BCNF form.

3.3 | Associated Schema

- Associated (Associated to ID, Associated with ID) has 2 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(Associated to ID, Associated with ID)}
 - □ PK = {(Associated to ID, Associated with ID)}
- There are no functional dependencies, as the only two attributes of Associated form a composite primary key.
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
 - \square No dependencies at all \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Associated is already in its BCNF form.

3.4 | Manages Schema

- Manages (Manager ID, Employee ID) has 2 attributes.
 - \square CK = {(Manager ID, Employee ID)}
 - \square PK = {(Manager ID, Employee ID)}
- There are no functional dependencies, as the only two attributes of Manages form a composite primary key.
- No multivalued attributes, already in 1NF. No partial dependencies or transitive dependencies.
 - \square No dependencies at all \Rightarrow BCNF
- Thus, Manages is already in its BCNF form.

4 | Final Schemas

- 1. Case1(<u>Case ID</u>, Case Result, Case Status, Judge ID, Case Details, Defending Lawyer ID, Defendant ID, Accuser ID, Prosecuting lawyer ID)
- 2. Case_Type(Case ID, Case Type)
- 3. Lawyer1(ID, email ID, name, Education, Salary, License Year, Experience)
- 4. Lawyer_Area_of_Expertise(ID, Area of expertise)



- 5. Paralegals_and_Trainees2(ID, name, Salary, Experience, Education, Position)
- 6. PNT_Independent_Lawyer(ID, Independent Lawyer ID)
- 7. PNT_Firm(ID, Firm ID)
- 8. Client1(ID, name, Client Details)
- 9. Client_Type(ID, Client Type)
- 10. Judges(Judge ID, Name, Experience, Salary, Licence year, Education)
- 11. Task1(Task from ID, Task Number, Task To ID, Task Status, Task Description)
- 12. Task_Case(Task from ID, Task Number, Task To ID, Case ID)
- 13. Firm(Firm ID, Firm name, Establishment year, Expenditure)
- 14. Bar_Council(ID, Name)
- 15. Client_Case_Requests/Case_Assistance_Requests(Request from, Request to, Case ID, Request type, Request status)
- 16. Joining_Request(Request from, Request to, Request status)
- 17. General_Queries(Query from ID, Query No, Query Handler ID, Query status, Query Description)
- 18. License_Request_Queries(Query from ID, Email ID, Query Handler ID, Query status, Name, Resume, CLAT Score)
- 19. Manages (Manager ID, Employee ID)
- 20. Supervises (Supervisor ID, Supervisee ID)
- 21. Associated (Associated to ID, Associated with ID)
- 22. Hires(Hires from ID, Hires to ID)