

PREMIS Editorial Committee Conference Call Notes

01 Feb 2007

In attendance: Rebecca Guenther, Brian Lavoie, Olaf Brandt, Zhiwu Xie, Rory McLeod (notes), Gerard Clifton Bill Leonard, Steve Bordwell

Apologies: Yaniv Levi, Priscilla Caplan

1. Assignment of note taker

Not assigned

1. Discussion of changes to significant properties and preservation level (see archives of PIG list: <http://listserv.loc.gov/listarch/pig.html>)

Gerard stated he had received a few responses.

Option 5 seems to be the most favorable structure from responses so far however...

Evan Owen portico said "keep SP/ Preservation Level separated". Gerard agrees this approach could help.

Group agreed Evans ideas were sound and worth exploring.

Gerard says in all other options they are separate anyway so option 5 might not work.

Environment as a separate data entity was raised, the group thought it was unclear why we might consider this; no real advantages have been identified.

Rebecca added, environment registry link was discussed, and that it was something we could build on and discuss more in the future

Sp/PL another pass required, extension to the deadline discussed, the group thought another fortnight might help. Action, Gerard to extend times.

BrianL raised an issue on process: people say option 5 but no reasons are given some others are considered less compelling but again we do not know why?. How do we manage the end this process?

RG If the new option discussed lends itself to one of the options previously listed we should do that. But this group has to make the decision.

Decision was to;

Rework proposal, summaries the responses and re send to list.

RG If we use one of the other options which keeps both together then it lends itself to options 2 or 4.

Idea to take out some options to make it easier for people maybe reduce to 2 options and 2 weeks. Then a short email to the list to outline decision.

Action G extend comment period, rework options, and take out some others.

Action Olaf to send German feedback prior to Gerard rework

Action G add Environment to the list

2. Status of change lists on EC wiki and change process.

Brian L– Grouping is done, one of the areas may need sub grouping, prioritization of grouping still needs to be done.

List only on the EC wiki until Brian L finished.

Schema revision issues, four major issues listed. If the Data Dictionary is updated then the schema should follow.

Progress on issue one (Abstract issue), discussion started OLAF volunteered to help and propose something to the PIGlist

Second issue is being started by Zhiwu

Point raised by Zhiwu

DD is more about controlled vocabulary and extensibility

Schema is about how we do it

Gerard asked what about Numbering? Group has agreed how to do it but work yet to be done.

RG needs to add this to the site under recommended changes– committee decided to incorporate in the next version.

PREMIS light– Olaf German and Holland support for this but DD work to come first.

RG says this is guidelines only but only few are really needed. PREMIS light is already there but people need to fully understand the dictionary first.

Use Debs paper to form basis for this work.

Question of object types and data capture, web archiving for example what might we want from the ARC file, not many semantic units.

Gerard added IIPC has some draft metadata mapped against PREMIS a paper is available but not sure if its public.

Compliance, PREMIS light might be a help for people to see what might be enough metadata.

3. Upcoming tutorials (if there's anything to report)

Sweden 30 registrants so far.

Zhiwu Z ready to start registering for US

OLAF German language tutorial to be developed for training purposes

4. Other issues?

Wiki access problem. SB and ZZ problem. SB said it might be firewall. RG reluctant to move it just yet.

Brian L – best practice, people want specific guidelines about how to implement, populate fields. Can we take a look at semantic units and explain how to use the right terminology?

Call to the PIGlist to share their controlled vocabulary list. Brian thought good idea to compare, we could go through the semantic units that are most likely to be populated and give suggestions.

Agreed that this is an important part of the revision and is part of the Editorial Committee remit.

BL to summarise this and write a note on this.

Action RG to set up page for controlled vocabulary.

Coyle paper– still waiting feedback from NAS and BLib.