AI - CT3- Notes Planning: Tark of coming up with a sequence of actions I that will achieve a goal is called planning. Planning Environment, Non-classical Classical Partially observable, Fully observable, Stochastic and agent based. Det biministic, Finite, static, discrete → porform vocelevant actions → finding hewristic function (lack autonomy). → No-froblem decomposition. Difficulty in PSA A planning agent overcomes all problems by representing the goals as conjunction of goals. The procedure to from next state to goal state. It involves how and when. Planning froblem Planning froblem - (> initial state > fin goal state (next state)

defines actions along with objects.

Necessary to specify operators too
Information about actions and state
constrains while acting should be given Domain model Initial state: State where any action is yet to take place Final state: The state where the flan is intended to achieve at the end of execution. Planning problem Finds sequence of actions - achieves a given goal from a given limital world state. - set of sperator descriptions.

- an initial state description or predicate.

- goal state description or predicate. > compute a flan

- sequence of operator instances

- execution of them to change states · Goals -> specified as a conjunction of subgoals planning agent -> constructs plans to achieve goals then executes them. > Sub-goals -> planned independently, it reduces

problem complexity (kind of divide and conquer).

Bub. Sot. flanning logical sentences. States -> data structure fre conditions / outcomes Actions -> Code Mogical sentences constraints on actions. Plans - sequences from so Planning Problem solving + knowledge base select actions based on explicit logical represent. blam the consequences Planning- froblem using state-space search approach initial state - initial solution goal-test firedicate - goal state description successor function - solution from start mode to open in path from start mode to goal mode. Algorithm of simple planning agant -> generate a goal to achieve goal from curveent -> l'emstruct a plan to achieve goal from curveent L'I Rivished > execute plan until finished -> begin again with new goal. Flan -> convent state -> goal state -> if found

Key ideas behind blanning i) to open up representation of state, goals and operators so that a sceasoner can more intelligently select actions when needed. ii) Blanner is free to add actions to plan whenever needed rather than in an invermental fashion. ini) each fart of problem world is independent of most other pools which can be solved by divide- and - conquer. Planning languages

Flanning languages must represent:

States -> goals
-> factions. -> expressive for east of representation -> plexible for manipulation languages must be Represented as a conjunction of positive literals. State representation - using logical propositions (poor 1 unbenown) -> FOL literals: At (plan 1, OMA) 1 At (plan 2, JFK)
-> FOL literals must be ground & function free. -> Closed world assumption (what is not stated one assumed false)

Goal - partially satisfied state Languages Action representation STRIPS Action schema name pre-conditions Standford Research
Institute Problem
Solver effetts ADL - [Action Description Lang] PDDL - Clanning domain definition language. STRIPS wes first-order predicate.
allow Gunction free literal. ROBOT - TEA-GUEST problem State representation 1. in (nobot, noom 1) 1 in (tea, noom 2) 1 in (quest, noom 1) Action representation. Action 1: more-to-room 2 frecondition: in (robot, norm) post-condition: add-List (nothot, norm2) delete-list:
in (robot, som)

Scanned by TapScanner

Block World There are 'N' number of blocks resting on table with specific sequence. God! Arrange in desired sequence. Available moves: put block on table fut block top. State: represented using sequence of blocks in . current position. STRIPS -> initial state 5

-> goal state G

-> let of STRIPS actions [brecondition must be bue, effect depends on actions] Block World Problem Action list: No. Action Precondition Effect 1. Pickup (x) Arm empty Holding (x)
On (x, Table) 2. Putdown (x) Holding (x) Arm Empty On (x, Table) Clear(x) 3. Stack (x y) Holding (x) on (x/y)

Holding (x) Clear (y) 4 Unstach (xy) On (x, y) Clear (x) Arm Empty On (A, Table)
On (B, Table) Start state: A Goal state: on (A/B) Stack (A1B) Solution: Preconditions: × Holding (A) -> Pickup (A)

Clear (B) Goal stack planning -> Handle interactive compound goods.

oferators -> ADD, DELETE, PREREQUISITES,

database maintaining awount situation for each oferator

Means-Ends Analysis -> search strategies reason forward or backward.

-> mixed strategy - solve major fart of problem first
and smaller foods later. -> limits searching process.

-> centres around finding difference between current state and goal state. → Means-ends can be applied recoverively for a problem. It is a strategy to control search in problem-solving. Steps in MEA: -> First evaluate diff. between initial and final state

-> Select various operators which can be applied for

each difference. If the specator at each difference, which reduces the difference between the current state and goal state. Non-linear blanning Blan -> subfroblems -> solved simultanal. Solution: -> non-linear plan. More. Selete -, of addition -, ordering them Expand action 3 action 2 binding the