## Integrating the likelihood function of the somatic mutation latent variable model

Louis Dijkstra\*

November 10, 2014

The goal is to compute/estimate the integral <sup>1</sup>

$$\int_{a}^{b} L(\theta_{h}, \theta_{c} \mid Z^{h}, Z^{c}) d\theta_{c} \qquad (\theta_{h} \text{ is fixed})$$

$$\tag{0.1}$$

where

$$L(\theta_h, \theta_c \mid Z^h, Z^c) = \prod_{i=1}^k g_i^h \left( Z_i^h \mid \theta_h \right) \times \prod_{j=1}^l g_j^c \left( Z_j^c \mid \theta_h, \theta_c; \alpha \right). \tag{0.2}$$

Note that we can rewrite the integral to

$$\underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{k} g_i^h \left( Z_i^h \mid \theta_h \right)}_{\text{constant}} \times \int_a^b \prod_{j=1}^l g_j^c \left( Z_j^c \mid \theta_h, \theta_c; \alpha \right) d\theta_c. \tag{0.3}$$

The conditional probability distribution for observation  $g_j^c\left(Z_j^c \mid \theta_h, \theta_c; \alpha\right)$  can be written as:

$$g_j^c \left( Z_j^c \mid \theta_h, \theta_c; \alpha \right) = s_j \theta_c + t_j \tag{0.4}$$

where

$$s_j = (1 - \alpha) \left[ p_j^c(Z_j) - a_j^c(Z_j) \right]$$

$$\tag{0.5}$$

and

$$t_{j} = \pi_{j}^{c} \alpha \left[ \theta_{h} p_{j}^{c} (Z_{j}) + (1 - \theta_{h}) a_{j}^{c} (Z_{j}) \right] + (1 - \alpha) a_{j}^{c} (Z_{j}) + \left( 1 - \pi_{j}^{c} \right) u_{j}^{c} (Z_{j}).$$
 (0.6)

The product  $\prod_{j=1}^{l} g_j^c \left( Z_j^c \mid \theta_h, \theta_c; \alpha \right)$  can, therefore, be written as m-order polynomial

$$\prod_{j=1}^{l} (s_j \theta_c + t_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \beta_m \theta_c^m$$

$$\tag{0.7}$$

with coefficients

$$\beta_m = \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1,\dots,l\}\\|I|=m}} \prod_{i \in I} s_i \prod_{j \notin I} t_j \tag{0.8}$$

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: dijkstra@cwi.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The prior  $h(\theta_h, \theta_c)$  is initially assumed uniform over the parameter space.

The integral in (0.3) can be written as

$$\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \beta_{m} \theta_{c}^{m} d\theta_{h} = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{\beta_{m}}{m+1} \left( b^{m+1} - a^{m+1} \right). \tag{0.9}$$

What remains is determining the coefficients  $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_m$  efficiently. Computing them directly from eq. (0.8) is infeasible, since it requires us to sum over all possible subsets of observations. We propose the following iterative process:

Initialization  $\beta_0^{(0)} := 1$ 

**Update steps** add every observation i = 1, 2, ..., l one by one and 'update' the coefficients with the following rules:

$$\beta_0^{(i)} = \beta_0^{(i-1)} t_i$$

$$\beta_m^{(i)} = \beta_m^{(i-1)} t_i + \beta_{m-1}^{i-1} s_i \quad (m = 1, 2 \dots, i-1)$$

$$\beta_i^{(i)} = \beta_{i-1}^{(i-1)} s_i.$$

$$(0.10)$$

**Termination** the coefficients  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m$  are

$$\beta_m = \beta_m^{(l)} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$
 (0.11)

Arbitrary prior distribution In case of an arbitrary prior, the integral

$$\int_{a}^{b} L(\theta_{h}, \theta_{c} \mid Z^{h}, Z^{c}) h(\theta_{h}, \theta_{c}) d\theta_{c} \qquad (\theta_{h} \text{ is fixed})$$

$$(0.12)$$

is equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} g_i^h \left( Z_i^h \mid \theta_h \right) \times \sum_{m=0}^{l} \beta_m \int_a^b \theta_c^m h(\theta_h, \theta_c) d\theta_c \tag{0.13}$$

where the coefficient  $\beta_m$  can be computed as before.