# the Globbian Language

2022

# **Abstract**

It may be easier to read letters of a language with unique symbols than a language such as musical notes which all look more or less the same. Languages such as English, Hebrew and French use different sets of symbols, which we refer to as 'letters' to instruct the reader how to pronounce the word or in which group the word exists. In other words, the reader does not need to read the whole word to understand it. Researchers have found that some of us can even complete the word just by seeing the first and last letters of it.

Since they all look similar, musical notes require a great amount of effort to read, and there is a good reason for that. If you've ever looked at a piano closely, you saw it has 88 keys. If we had 88 letters in the English alphabet, we would have had a much harder time recognizing each letter in our mind, and much more to recognize a whole word - since the number of permutations of a vocabulary with that size is enormous. For the same reason, the language in which music players read notes couldn't exist with 88 unique symbols. As a result, musicians have developed a cycle-based technique called 'octave', which allows us to refer to each note more easily.

The following paper isn't a mathematical/statistical optimization paper in which we attempt to optimize several parameters, and get close to the best language for the human mind. Rather than that, in this paper, we'll design an explicit new musical language that is based on mathematics and is probably easier to understand than the current one.

"In order to define it, you must understand how it works."

### • **v** = octa**v**e

The coefficient of  $\mathbf{v}$  is the number of octaves the note is located relative to the middle-C octave. Relative to the middle-C octave, higher pitches will have positive  $\mathbf{v}$  and negative ones will have negative  $\mathbf{v}$ .

### • $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}$ ime

If positive, the coefficient of **t** describes the number of counts one needs to play a note. If negative, it tells you that no music should be played for the corresponding number of counts.

## • $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{type}$

C, D, E, F, G, A, B are some of the existing types a note could belong to. Any sharps and flats should be written as C#, E# or Cb, Ab, or Bb. There is no sign for cancellation of the Sharpened or flattened note. Therefore, sharpening one note does not change the pitch of all the notes of the same type for the same musical box, as in regular music theory.

- **Note Variable** Any note or a group of notes (a note-matrix) can be assigned to a variable.
- **Musical Box Variable** Any Musical Box is assigned to a number, such that the first is 1, the second is 2, and so on. If "{3} -{10}" was written, then the musical player should play all the musical boxes from the 3rd musical box, up to the 10th one, including the 3rd and the 10th.
- **Calculations First** All musical boxes are played only after all calculations were made. All additions/substitutions to/from the **octave** row will not be different from the elements of the following group and of their multiples: {1, -1, 0.5, -0.5, 0}. As a result, you can't add 0.7 octaves to a note.

- Sharps & Flats Notations Sharpening/Flattening a note **p1** is equivalent to adding/subtracting to/from it half tone. Expressions such as **p1 + 0.5**, and **p1 0.5** are equivalent to **#(p1)** and **b(p1)** correspondingly.
- **Zero Row Deletion** Since we use **0** as the default value of all of the matrix elements, a row in a matrix that all of its elements are **0**, could be ignored, and the matrix will stay the same. If the **octave** row was removed from the matrix, then the time row must be removed too.
- Matrix Limit Over a sequence of musical boxes, there allowed to be only one matrix after all calculations were made. That means that the notes of the left and right hands in musical pieces could be written one below another, as in regular music sheets. However, both must exist in different directed matrices. That rule gives us the power to refer to each element of a matrix and change it, without getting confused.
- **Chords Representation** Any chord from the familiar chords of regular music sheets may be written without the **c** sign and without the matrix explicit form, if no special customizations are required.
- **Operations** All additions may be substituted. For operations such as sharpening a note, there are counter operations such as flattening the note. For operations such as transforming a note to another note, there are counter operations too.
- **Representation** A note is usually written as a three dimensional vector that its top component represents the **type** of that note, the middle represents the **octave** of the note and the lower represents the **time** of the note, as follows:

$$egin{pmatrix} F \ 2 \ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Time Matrix / Sequence Matrix** - Whether several notes share the same value such as type, time, octave, or not, then they could be written as a matrix. The **first** note (first column) is played **first**, the **second** (second column) is played **second**, and so on. The following matrix column-items share a common number of time counts, which is **+2**. Therefore, they could be written as follows (pay attention that the last column note has **+1** more time counts than the others, which means it will be played for **+1** count longer):

$$egin{pmatrix} F & G & G \ 2 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 2 \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} F+0 & G+0 & G+0 \ 2+0 & 1+0 & 1+0 \ 0+2 & 0+2 & 1+2 \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} F & G & G \ 2 & 1 & 1 \ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Chord Matrix** - If the  $\mathbf{c}$  sign appears on the bottom right of the matrix, then the user will have to play the notes of the matrix simultaneously. However, each note in the chord can have a different time and octave, which is one of the reasons that this language is so powerful. Pay attention that some notes are played longer, but all are starting at the same time. The right matrix calculation is the explicit form of the left side calculation:

$$egin{pmatrix} F & G & G \ 2 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_c + 2t = egin{pmatrix} F & G & G \ 2 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_c + egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

From the last example we can understand that  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  are all 3 dimensional vectors that could be multiplied by scalar and could be added/substituted to/from a matrix:

$$v=egin{pmatrix} 0\1\0\end{pmatrix},\,t=egin{pmatrix} 0\0\1\end{pmatrix},\,p=egin{pmatrix} C\0\0\end{pmatrix}$$

The vectors,  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  are based on the group of the Real Integers. In order to fully define the third vector  $\mathbf{p}$ , we must define the group of all the note types that exist, and then define the addition/substitution methods when acting

over this group. As you can see in the example below, we first define the known notes from basic music theory, and then define their corresponding (same order) group in the mathematical form that provides us with the freedom to add/sub from certain elements of the group and get others:

$$types = \{C, C\#, D, D\#, E, F, F\#, G, G\#, A, A\#, B\} \ = \left\{C, C+1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}, C+2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}, C+3 \cdot \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, C+11 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

**Chord Inverse** - The first inverse of the following chord is written using the **-1** scalar, at the top right of the matrix, as in mathematics. As you can see, all of its notes are played for the same period of time but possibly located on different octaves. Additionally, the first note of the chord was raised by one octave, as would have happened in regular notes syntax:

$$egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \ t & t & t \end{pmatrix}_c^{-1} = egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ v_1 + 1 & v_2 & v_3 \ t & t & t \end{pmatrix}_c$$

**Indexing** - In the left matrix above, before the transformation, the elements of the **octave** row (second row) are indexed by one number, which refers to the column of the element. In addition, the type of the element identifies it from the other row elements. This is the form of structure each general element must be written and referred as. The second inverse of the chord is defined as follows:

$$egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \ t & t & t \end{pmatrix}_c^{-2} = egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ v_1+1 & v_2+1 & v_3 \ t & t & t \end{pmatrix}_c$$

The **k**th inverse of **any** chord is defined as follows:

$$egin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \ t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}^{-k}_c = egin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \ v_1 + floorig(1+rac{k}{4}ig) & v_2 + floorig(rac{k+1}{3}ig) & v_3 + floorig(rac{k}{3}ig) \ t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}_c$$

**Sharps & Flats** - Although one can add/substitute a half tone to/from a note and sharpen/flatten it, some will prefer the existing familiar signs of music theory. Therefore, we can use the sharpening and the flattening functions, which adds/substitutes to/from certain elements of the matrix, or from all of them. These signs will usually be applied over a single or multiple elements of the **type** row as an input, and return a matrix of the same size as they are contained in, with the corresponding value:

$$\#(p_1+p_2+\ldots+p_n) = egin{pmatrix} \#(p_1) & \#(p_2) & \cdots & \#(p_n) \ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \ t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_n \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} p_1+rac{1}{2} & p_2+rac{1}{2} & \cdots & p_n+rac{1}{2} \ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \ t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned\\ egin{aligned} egi$$

**Calculations First** - In order to apply a transformation on certain notes or values of the chord specifically, we must add to it a matrix with the same dimensions. Since we don't know what the notes of the matrix would be transformed to, we must first calculate the expression, and then play the matrix. In the following example, the player is instructed to calculate the addition between the two matrices, and only then, play the resulted matrix:

$$egin{pmatrix} A & \#(C) & E \ 0 & 1 & 1 \ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_c + egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \ 2 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} A & \#(C) & E \ 2 & 1 & 1 \ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_c$$

Since we didn't actually see the Globbian Language in "real-work", I want you to see an example from the beginning of "Turkish March" by Mozart:

In the example above we started by calculating the first operation and got a matrix that tells us to initially play  $\bf B$  for 1/16 counts, then  $\bf A$  for 1/16 and so on. Most of the notes of the second matrix are located one octave above the **middle-C octave**, and this is the reason they have  $+\bf 1$  in the middle row-which tells us how many octaves above/below the middle-C octave we have to move in order to play the note.

Since the common coefficient of the times in the first matrix is 1/16, we can remove the "zero-rows" since we already take them as default, and do the same for the other matrices:

**Repeat Sign** - As you can see, it saved us a lot of data to analyze - but we still need to be carefull. If we remove the second row, which is the **octave** row, we'll have to remove the **time** row too, so that we won't get confused between them and think that the **time** row is the **octave** row. If we want the player to play the three matrices again, as we mentioned in the fourth musical box, then we need to use the curly braces, which imply that the player should play a musical box, and the straight line so the player will understand to play a range:

$$\overbrace{(B \ A \ \#(G) \ A) + \frac{1}{16}t}^{1} \ \to \ \overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} C \ D \ C \ B \ C \\ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{16}t}^{2} \ \to \ \overbrace{(E \ F \ E \ \#(D) \ E) + v + \frac{1}{16}t}^{3} \ \to \ \overbrace{\{1\} - \{3\}\}}^{4}$$

**Directed Matrix** - In order to save even more data, we can use the fact that all three first matrices have a common factor of (1/16)t, and add the same value to the three of them over a single operation. Directed Matrix, which is a matrix that contains - matrices of notes, or a small piece of music, is the right solution for this challenge. If we look closer, each of the musical boxes we apply the **time** addition on, is an element of the Directed Matrix:

$$\frac{1}{16}t + \left( \overbrace{(B \ A \ \#(G) \ A)}^{1} \ \to \ \overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} C \ D \ C \ B \ C \\ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}}^{2} \ \to \ \overbrace{(E \ F \ E \ \#(D) \ E)}^{3} \ \to \ \overbrace{\{1\} - \{3\}}^{4}$$

In order to show you how powerful this language is, in the following example, the writer used the syntax to tell the player to play the Directed Matrix in higher +1 pitch of the inverse of the matrix. Just for the example let's consider that all of the repeated parts are valid invertible chords:

**Chords Theory** - With the Globbian Language, one can know the exact position and duration a chord should be played, with a very simple syntax. An **E major** chord played for **2 counts** and starts **one octave above** middle C's octave, looks like this and equivalent to the matrix on the right:

$$E+v+2t=egin{pmatrix} E&\#(G)&B\ 1&1&1\ 2&2&2 \end{pmatrix}_c$$

**Focused Operations** - Until now, we were able to increase/decrease to/from the row of the **octave**, **type**, and **time** of the matrix. If we would have want to apply an operation over a specific elements of the matrix, we would have had to use a matrix full of 0's and few 1's, as you can see below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & E & G \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_c + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C & E & G \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_c$$

In order to make it easier for the player to read, we will use a the syntax that references the elements of the matrix and adds +1 to them by default:

$$egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_c + v_2 + v_3 = egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_c + egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_c + egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} C & E & G \ 0 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_c$$

As you can see, we added +1 to the elements of the octave row, in the 2nd and 3rd columns, with two letters and two numbers, instead of two big matrices.

There are several benefits to the Globbian Language over the regular music syntax, which haven't been changed for hundreds of years, and some of them are described below:

- + **Mathematical Operations** Operations such as adding two counts to a group of notes is something that is not possible in regular music theory syntax, and should be written explicitly for each note. Adding one octave, or half tone to a note requires no additional sign with the Globbian Language, rather, a specification of a number.
- + **Coefficients** If a group of notes share the same amount of time, or share the same octave, then common coefficients could be written by adding a vector of the same common property to the note-matrix.
- + **Explicit Value** With the Globbian Language, there is no need for effort to understand the time, octave or type of note that should be played such as in regular music sheets, but only to understand the types of notes that exist, what an octave is, and real numbers.