

Analytic Combinatorics of Unlabeled Objects

Set of exercises IV: responses

1. For each of the following functions $f(z)$, find the radius of convergence and an equivalent (asymptotic) for the coefficients of the power-series expansion $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ at $z = 0$.

(a) $f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-2z)^2} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$.

(b) $f(z) = \frac{e^{2z}-1}{(1-z)(1-2z)^3}$.

(c) $f(z) = \frac{1}{2-e^z}$.

Response.

- (a) The singularity closest to the origin is $z = 1/2$, as $\log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$ is analytic on $|z| < 1$. Thus the radius of convergence is $\rho = 1/2$. For the asymptotics, expanding $\log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$ around $z = 1/2$, we obtain $f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-2z)^2} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-1/2}\right) + \frac{1}{(1-2z)^2} \left(\frac{1}{1-1/2}\right) (z - 1/2) + \text{analytic}$.

That is

$$g(z) = f(z) - \left(\frac{1}{(1-2z)^2} \log 2 - \frac{1}{1-2z} \right)$$

is analytic at $z = 1/2$, and hence analytic on $|z| < 1$. It follows that, for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have $[z^n]g(z) = O((1+\epsilon)^n)$. Thus

$$[z^n]f(z) = [z^n]\frac{1}{(1-2z)^2} \log 2 + [z^n]\frac{1}{1-2z} + O((1+\epsilon)^n) = (\log 2) \cdot (n+1) \cdot 2^n - 2^n + O((1+\epsilon)^n).$$

We deduce $[z^n]f(z) \sim (\log 2)n2^n$.

- (b) The singularity closest to the origin is $z = 1/2$. Thus the radius of convergence is $\rho = 1/2$. In this case, expanding $\frac{e^{2z}-1}{1-z}$ around $z = 1/2$ we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{2(e-1)}{(1-2z)^3} + \frac{c_1}{(1-2z)^2} + \frac{c_2}{(1-2z)^1} + \text{analytic at } z = 1/2.$$

The latter analytic function, being analytic up to $z = 1$, it will not contribute to the dominant asymptotics. Since $[z^n](1-z/\rho)^{-m} \sim \frac{n^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \rho^{-n}$ for any positive integer $m \geq 1$,

$$[z^n]f(z) \sim 2(e-1) \frac{n^2}{2} 2^n = (e-1)n^2 2^n.$$

- (c) The singularities satisfy $e^z = 2$, i.e., these are $z = \log 2 + 2\pi ik$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The closest to the origin is $\log 2$. Thus the radius of convergence is $\rho = \log 2$. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2-e^z} \sim \frac{1}{\log 2 - z} \times \frac{1}{(2-e^z)'_{z=\log 2}} = -\frac{1/2}{\log 2 - z}.$$

Consider, then,

$$g(z) = f(z) + \frac{1}{2\log 2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z/\log 2}.$$

We remark that $g(z)$ is analytic at $z = \log 2$. This follows by expanding $2-e^z$ at $z = \log 2$.

-
2. In this exercise we prove a key inequality in Coding and Information Theory by using the radius of convergence.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}$. A **binary code** $c: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is a morphism, i.e., $c(xy) = c(x)c(y)$. The code c is *uniquely decodable* iff it is injective.

(a) Let¹ $A(z) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} z^{|c(a)|}$. Show $C(z) = \sum_{v \in c(\mathcal{A}^*)} z^{|v|} = \frac{1}{1-A(z)}$.

(b) [Kraft-McMillan inequality] Prove that if c is uniquely decodable, then

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} 2^{-|c(a)|} \leq 1.$$

Hint. Reason by contradiction. Note that power-series are continuous within their radius of convergence.

(c) [Shannon bound] (Optional) Consider a distribution $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ over (a_1, \dots, a_k) . Prove that, for a random symbol X ,

$$H(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{j=1}^k p_j \log_2(1/p_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k p_j |c(a_j)| = \mathbb{E}[c(X)].$$

Hint. Prove that $\log x \leq x - 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Use the Kraft-McMillan inequality.

Response.

- (a) Since c is a morphism, any element $v \in c(\mathcal{A}^*)$ can be seen as a *sequence* of elements from $c(\mathcal{A})$, whose OGF is $A(z)$, thus $C(z) = \frac{1}{1-A(z)}$.
- (b) Suppose otherwise. Then $A(1/2) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} 2^{-|c(a)|} > 1$.
 - By continuity of $A(z)$ within its radius of convergence, which is infinite since $A(z)$ is actually a polynomial because \mathcal{A} is finite, it follows that there must be $c \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $A(c) = 1$. We suppose that c is the smallest such $c > 0$. Then $A(t) < 1$ for $0 \leq t < c$ and $C(z)$ converges there. Observe that increases $C(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow c^-$.
 - Being c uniquely decodable, it follows that there must be at most 2^n words of length n in $c(\mathcal{A}^*)$ for each $n \geq 0$. Hence, for $t \in (0, 1/2)$, we deduce $C(t) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n t^n = \frac{1}{1-2t}$. This means that $C(t) \leq \frac{1}{1-2c} < \infty$ for $0 \leq t < c$, a contradiction.
- (c) We first prove the inequality $\log x \leq x - 1$ for all $x > 0$. Considering $f(x) = x - 1 - \log x$, we have $f'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x}$. Looking at the sign of $f'(x)$, we deduce that $f(x)$ decreases on $(0, 1]$ and increases in $[1, \infty)$. Hence the minimum of $f(x)$ is found at $x = 1$, $f(x) \geq f(1) = 0$ and the auxiliary inequality is proved.

The inequality we want to prove, $H(\mathbf{p}) \leq \mathbb{E}[c(X)]$, is equivalent to

$$\sum_j p_j \log_2(2^{-|c(a_j)|}/p_j) \leq 0.$$

¹Here $|w|$ is the length of the word, i.e., the number of symbols.

By using the inequality $\log(x) \leq x - 1$ we deduce $\log_2(2^{-|c(a_j)|}/p_j) \leq \frac{1}{\log 2} (2^{-|c(a_j)|}/p_j - 1)$, thus

$$\sum_j p_j \log_2(2^{-|c(a_j)|}/p_j) \leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_j p_j (2^{-|c(a_j)|}/p_j - 1) = \frac{1}{\log 2} \left(\sum_j 2^{-|c(a_j)|} - \sum_j p_j \right) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the Kraft-inequality $\sum_j 2^{-|c(a_j)|} \leq 1$ and the equality $\sum_j p_j = 1$. Thus the result is proved.

3. (a) Prove the following classical lemma:

Daffodil Lemma. Let $f(z)$ be analytic on $|z| < \rho$, with non-negative coefficients at $z = 0$. If the expansion does not reduce to a single monomial and, for some $w \neq 0$, $|w| < \rho$, we have $f(|w|) = |f(w)|$, then

(a.1) we must have $w = Re^{i\theta}$ with $\theta/(2\pi) = \frac{r}{p}$ a rational, $\gcd(r, p) = 1$, $p > 0$,

(a.2) the non-zero coefficients $a_n = [z^n]f(z)$ occur at positions n all belonging to $a + p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for some fixed a .

- (b) [The statement has been corrected] Consider the class \mathcal{C} of binary words without K consecutive ones, with $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ fixed.

(b.1) Prove that $C(z) = \frac{1-z^K}{1-z} + \frac{1}{1-z^{\frac{1-z^K}{1-z}}}$.

(b.2) Give a polynomial equation characterizing the radius of convergence $z = \rho_C$. Prove that ρ_C is a simple pole of $C(z)$.

(b.3) Prove that there are no other singularities on $|z| = \rho_C$.

(b.4) Conclude that $[z^n]C(z) \sim c \cdot \rho_C^{-n}$ and give an expression for c in terms of ρ_C and K .

Note. The Fibonacci numbers correspond to the case $K = 2$, then $[z^n]C(z) = f_{n+1}$ and $\rho_C = 1/\phi$, $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$.

Responses.

- (a.1) Notice that $|f(w)| \leq f(|w|)$ holds always, due to the triangle inequality. For there to be equality, all terms of the sum in $f(w)$ must “point” in the same direction seen as vectors in the complex plane.

Write $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$. By hypothesis the coefficients $a_n \geq 0$, and there are at least two $a_{n_1} > 0$ and $a_{n_2} > 0$ with $n_1 < n_2$. By the previous argument we must have that $\arg(a_{n_1} w^{n_1}) = \arg(a_{n_2} w^{n_2})$, the angle is the same. Since the coefficients are positive, this is equivalent to $\arg(w^{n_1}) = \arg(w^{n_2})$. Thus, if $w = Re^{i\theta}$, then $\theta n_1 \equiv \theta n_2 (\text{mod } 2\pi)$. Thus $\theta(n_2 - n_1) = 2\pi m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we deduce $\theta = 2\pi \frac{m}{n_2 - n_1}$.

- (a.2) Here $p > 0$ is the denominator of the reduced fraction $\theta/(2\pi) = r/p$. Let $k = a$ be the smallest index² such that $a_k > 0$. Any index n with $a_n > 0$ must satisfy, due to part (a) that $\theta(n - a) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Using the equation for θ , we have $\frac{r}{p}(n - a) \in \mathbb{Z}$, but then p must divide $n - a$, because $\gcd(r, p) = 1$. Thus $n \in a + p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

²Sorry for the choice of the letter a ...

-
- (b.1) The class can be specified as $\mathcal{C} = \text{Seq}_{<K}(\mathbf{1}) + \text{Seq}_{\geq 1}(\text{Seq}_{<K}(\mathbf{1}) \cdot \mathbf{0})$, where the subscript of the sequences denote a restriction to the involved lengths. This is explained as follows: either there are no 0s, and in this case we have at most $K - 1$ 1s, or we have a sequence of at least one 0, which may be preceded by a sequence of at most $K - 1$ 1s.

Thus it follows that the ordinary generating function is

$$C(z) = 1 + z + \dots + z^{K-1} + \frac{1}{1 - z \times (1 + z + \dots + z^{K-1})} = \frac{1 - z^K}{1 - z} + \frac{1}{1 - z \frac{1 - z^K}{1 - z}},$$

where we have used $1 + z + \dots + z^{K-1} = \frac{1 - z^K}{1 - z}$.

- (b.2) Clearly the singularity comes from the term $\frac{1}{1 - z \times (1 + z + \dots + z^{K-1})} = \frac{1}{1 - z \frac{1 - z^K}{1 - z}}$, as the other is a polynomial (in the quotient form there is a removable singularity). As long as $|z \times (1 + z + \dots + z^{K-1})| < 1$ the function $C(z)$ is analytic. By Pringsheim's Theorem, the radius of convergence of a power series with positive coefficients is itself a dominant singularity. Thus it is enough to look at the positive axes to determine ρ_C .

The singularity comes when $\rho_C \times (1 + \rho_C + \dots + \rho_C^{K-1}) = 1$. We note that $\rho_C < 1$ as $1 \times (1 + 1 + \dots + 1^{K-1}) = K > 1$. We give a simpler equation for ρ_C . We simplify $\frac{1}{1 - z \frac{1 - z^K}{1 - z}} = \frac{1 - z}{1 - 2z + z^{K+1}}$. Since $\rho_C \neq 1$, to have a singularity it follows that

$$1 - 2\rho_C + \rho_C^{K+1} = 0.$$

The polynomial $p(x) = 1 - 2x + x^{K+1}$ has a derivative $p'(x) = -2 + (K + 1)x^K$. If ρ_C were a double root, then $p'(\rho_C) = 0$ and so $\rho_C^K = \frac{2}{K+1}$. Since $0 = 1 - 2\rho_C + \rho_C^{K+1}$, we deduce $0 = 1 - 2\rho_C + \rho_C \frac{2}{K+1} = 1 - 2\rho_C(1 - 1/(K+1))$, i.e., $\rho_C = (K+1)/(2K)$.

But $2/(K+1) = \rho_C^K$ yields $(K+1)^{K+1} = 2^{K+1}K^K$. Since $\gcd(K, K+1) = 1$ and $(K+1)^{K+1}$ divides $2^{K+1}K^K$, $(K+1)^{K+1}$ must divide 2^{K+1} . However $K+1 \geq 3$ and so $(K+1)^{K+1} > 2^{K+1}$, an absurd. Thus ρ_C is a simple root and the pole is simple.

- (b.3) We apply the Daffodil Lemma. Suppose $w = \rho_C e^{i\theta}$ were a dominant singularity. Then again we must have $w \times (1 + w + \dots + w^{K-1}) = 1$. Let $f(z) = w \times (1 + w + \dots + w^{K-1})$. We have $|f(w)| = 1 = f(\rho_C) = f(|w|)$. Thus we are ready to apply the Lemma: $\theta = 2\pi \cdot r/p$ for some reduced fraction with $\gcd(r, p) = 1$ and $p > 0$. But (a.2) implies that, then, all non-zero coefficients of $f(z)$ belong to $a + p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for some a . Looking at $f(z)$, this is only possible for $p = 1$, and it follows that $\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $w = \rho_C$. Thus there are no other dominant singularities.

- (b.4) The generating function $C(z)$ is rational and $\rho_C > 0$ is the only dominant singularity. We proved that the pole at $z = \rho_C$ is simple, and then $C(z) \sim \frac{c}{1 - z/\rho_C}$ for some $c \neq 0$, as $z \rightarrow \rho_C$. By the principle of asymptotics of rational functions (see Section 3.2 of the notes), or the Transfer Theorem (a bit overkill, but true), $[z^n]C(z) \sim c \cdot \rho_C^{-n}$.

The constant c must equal

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho_C} (1 - z/\rho_C)C(z) = -\frac{1}{\rho_C} \lim_{z \rightarrow \rho_C} (z - \rho_C) \frac{1 - z}{p(z)} = -\frac{1 - \rho_C}{\rho_C} \frac{1}{p'(\rho_C)} = \frac{1 - \rho_C}{\rho_C} \frac{1}{2 - (K + 1)\rho_C^K}.$$

Extra. Since $c > 0$ by combinatorial considerations, and $\rho_C < 1$, we deduce that $2 > (K + 1)\rho_C^K$, i.e., $\sqrt[K]{2/(K + 1)} > \rho_C$.