A pointfree Yoneda lemma for endofunctors of functional categories

Luc Duponcheel, mathematician and programmer, cyclist and gardener.

February 24, 2023

Abstract

Category theory [2] is used in almost all areas of mathematics. In mathematics, the Yoneda lemma is a fundamental category theory result that suggests that, instead of studying a category C, one should study the category of all functors from C to Fun (a.k.a. Set), the category whose objects are sets, and whose morphisms are functions. This suggestion is now agreed upon to be an important step forward to a better understanding of various categories. Surprisingly, the lemma uses only three concepts: category, functor and natural transformation. On the other hand, kind of inevitably, the lemma is, pointful: it involves elements of sets.

Category theory is also used in other disciplines, ranging from physics to philosophy. This paper uses category in computing science [1] where, typically, objects are called nodes and morphisms are called arrows. Consider a programming language L, with a type system that supports higher-kinded type classes [3]. Using L one can write a domain specific language library that models programming. It specifies categories FC, referred to as functional categories, whose nodes, invariably, are the types of L and whose arrows are called programs. Let Fun (a.k.a. Type) be the category whose arrows are the effectfree functions of L. The most important property of a functional category FC is the existence a functor from Fun to FC that is the identity on types. Using that functor the effectfree functions of L can be used as programs. Of course, functional categories may also have effectful programs, most notably, programs that perform IO. Functors from FC to Fun can be composed with the functor from Fun to FC above to obtain an endofunctor of FC.

When defining functional categories FC in mathematics, roughly speaking, Fun is replaced by Fun. It is natural to try to formulate and prove a Yoneda lemma for endofunctors of functional categories. It is challenging to minimize the amount of concepts involved and to make both the formulation and the proof of the lemma pointfree, only dealing with morphisms of FC.

This paper is the result of advancing insights, obtained, most notably, by writing code in Scala [5]. The mathematical notation of the paper is almost identical to the programmatic notation of the code. The fact that the Scala type system accepts the code implies that propositions and their proofs are syntactically correct, which, in its turn, especially because they are generic, provides some confidence that they are also semantically correct.

1 Fonts

When introducing concepts that are part of the index we use *emphasized* font.

- For declarations we use math font.
- For definitions we use **boldface** math font.
- For *code* we use typewriter font.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definition

The graph specification, G, declares

```
G_{dec}-0 G_0, a class of nodes, Z, Y \dots,
```

 $G_{dec}-1$ G_1 , sets of arrows, $Arr_G(Z,Y)$, containing arrows $z\rightarrow y$ with source node Z and target node Y.

Note that we distinguish classes from sets.

Nodes form a *class*, not necessarily a *set*, cfr. Russell-Zermelo's paradox: sets do not form a set.

2.2 Definition

 G_2 , composable arrows of a graph G are arrows $z\rightarrow y$ and $y\rightarrow x$.

2.2.1 Notation

 $Arr_G(Z,Y)$ is also simply denoted Arr(Z,Y) when only one graph is involved.

2.2.2 Scala code

```
package plp.specification
trait Graph[Arr[-_, +_]]
```

Specifications are encoded as trait's.

Graph does not declare any members.

Programmatically, the class of nodes is, implicitly and invariably, defined as the class of types. Only arrows matter.

Of course, nodes being types is a limitation when encoding mathematical concepts in general, but, fortunately, for the *specific* purpose of this paper, it will turn out not to be an issue at all.

The *class* of types is a *set* of types, in fact it is a *constructive* set of types.

The sets of arrows are declared as a binary type constructor parameter, Arr[-_, +_], constructing arrow types Arr[Z, Y] ..., containing arrow values 'z-->y'

The underscores _ are placeholders for type parameters.

- and + declare *variance*. Just like functions, arrows are allowed to require less and provide more.

2.3 Definition

The function graph, Fun, defines

 $Fun_{def}-0$ Fun_0 , the class of nodes, as the class of sets, $Z, Y \dots$,

 Fun_{def} -1 Fun_1 , the sets of arrows, as the sets of functions Fun(Z, Y) ..., containing functions $z \Rightarrow y$ with source set Z and target set Y.

2.3.1 Scala code

```
package plp.implementation.specific
import plp.specification.{Graph}
```

```
type Fun[-Z, +Y] = Z => Y
given functionGraph: Graph[Fun] with {}
```

Implementations are encoded as given's.

functionGraph does not define any members.

The sets of functions are defined as a binary type constructor, Fun, constructing function types Fun[Z, Y] ..., containing function values 'z=>y'

2.4 Definition

The graph morphism specification, $M: G \to H$, declares

 M_{dec} -0 $M_0: G_0 \to H_0$, a node part,

 $M_{dec}-1$ $M_1: G_1 \to H_1$ an arrow part, consisting of functions $Arr_G(Z,Y) \to Arr_H(M_0(Z),M_0(Y))$.

2.4.1 Notation

Nodes $M_0(Z)$ are also denoted M(Z).

Arrows $M_1(z \rightarrow y)$ are also denoted $m(z \rightarrow y)$ and $m(z) \rightarrow m(y)$.

2.4.2 Scala code

```
package plp.specification

trait GraphMorphism[Arr_G[-_, +_]: Graph, Arr_H[-_, +_]: Graph, M[+_]]:

def lift[Z, Y]: Arr_G[Z, Y] => Arr_H[M[Z], M[Y]]
```

The node part is declared as a unary type constructor parameter, M[+_], constructing lifted types M[Z] ...

The arrow part is declared as a function member lift, yielding lifted arrows.

The name lift can, by need, be made available as m.

2.5 Definition

The category specification, C, extends the graph specification.

It declares

 C_{dec} -0 composition: $C_2 \rightarrow C_1$,

 $C_{dec}-1$ unit: $C_0 \rightarrow C_1$.

2.5.1 Notation

If $z \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow x$ are composable arrows, then the arrow $composition(z \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x)$ is denoted $y \rightarrow x \circ_c z \rightarrow y$ and is called the arrow composition of $z \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow x$, or, simply, the composition of $z \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow x$.

If Z is a node, then the arrow unit(Z) is denoted $z \rightarrow_c z$ and is called the *identity arrow* of Z, or, simply, the *identity* of Z.

2.5.2 Notation

 $y \rightarrow x \circ_c z \rightarrow y$ is also simply denoted $y \rightarrow x \circ z \rightarrow y$ when only one category is involved.

 $z \rightarrow_c z$ is also simply denoted $z \rightarrow z$ when only one category is involved.

2.5.3 Scala code

```
package plp.specification

trait Category[Arr[-_, +_]] extends Graph[Arr]:
```

```
def composition[Z, Y, X]: (Arr[Y, X], Arr[Z, Y]) => Arr[Z, X]

def unit[__]: Arr[__, __]
```

composition is declared as a function member composition.

unit is declared as an arrow member unit.

The double underscores, __ , are *unnamed type parameters* that are used if type parameter names do not matter. If they do matter, then it is always possible to somehow introduce named ones.

```
extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr[Y, X])

def o('z-->y': Arr[Z, Y]): Arr[Z, X] = composition('y-->x', 'z-->y')

def '__-->__'[__]: Arr[__, __] = unit
```

Category also defines syntax, o resp. '__-->__' for composition resp. unit.

2.5.4 Laws

```
C_{law} - 0 (x \rightarrow w \circ y \rightarrow x) \circ z \rightarrow y = x \rightarrow w \circ (y \rightarrow x \circ z \rightarrow y) (associativity law),

C_{law} - 1 y \rightarrow y \circ z \rightarrow y = z \rightarrow y (left identity law),

C_{law} - 2 z \rightarrow y \circ z \rightarrow z = z \rightarrow y (right identity law).
```

2.5.5 Scala code

Let

```
package plp.notation

case class Law[__](equation: (__, __))

extension [__](lhs: __) def =:(rhs: __): Law[__] = Law(lhs, rhs)
```

```
package plp.specification
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
```

```
class CategoryLaws[Arr[-_, +_]: Category]:
```

```
def categoryCompositionAssociativityLaw[Z, Y, X, W]
    : (Arr[Z, Y], Arr[Y, X], Arr[X, W]) => Law[Arr[Z, W]] =
    ('z-->y', 'y-->x', 'x-->w') =>
```

```
(('x-->w' o 'y-->x') o 'z-->y') =:
('x-->w' o ('y-->x' o 'z-->y'))
```

```
def categoryLeftIdentityLaw[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Law[Arr[Z, Y]] =
    'z-->y' =>
```

```
val 'y-->y' = summon[Category[Arr]].'__-->__'[Y]
```

```
('y-->y' o 'z-->y') =:
('z-->y')
```

```
val 'z-->z' = summon[Category[Arr]].'__-->__'[Z]
```

```
('z-->y' o 'z-->z') =:
('z-->y')
```

2.6 Definition

The function category category, **Fun**, defines

 $Fun_{def}-0$ composition: $Fun_2 \rightarrow Fun_1$ as function composition,

 $Fun_{def}-1$ unit: $Fun_0 \rightarrow Fun_1$ as the identity function.

2.6.1 Scala code

```
package plp.implementation.specific
import plp.specification.{Category}
```

```
given functionCategory: Category[Fun] with
```

```
def composition[Z, Y, X]: (Fun[Y, X], Fun[Z, Y]) => Fun[Z, X] =
  ('y=>x', 'z=>y') => z => 'y=>x'('z=>y'(z))

def unit[__]: Fun[__, __] = __ => __
```

The double underscores, __ , are *unnamed function parameters* that are used if function parameter names do not matter. If they do matter, then it is always possible to somehow introduce named ones.

2.6.2 Scala code

Below is a proof.

Let

```
package plp.notation
import scala.collection.immutable.Seq
```

```
case class Proof[__](steps: Seq[__])
extension [__](step: __)
  def ==:(proof: Proof[__]): Proof[__] = Proof(step +: proof.steps)

def qed[__]: Proof[__] = Proof(Seq())
```

in

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class FunctionCategoryProofs:
```

```
def functionCompositionAssociativityProof[Z, Y, X, W]
    : ((Fun[Z, Y], Fun[Y, X], Fun[X, W]) => Z => Proof[W]) =
    ('z=>y', 'y=>x', 'x=>w') =>
    z =>
```

```
(('x=>w' o 'y=>x') o 'z=>y')(z) ==:
    // definition o for Fun
    ('x=>w' o 'y=>x')('z=>y'(z)) ==:
    // definition o for Fun
    'x=>w'('y=>x'('z=>y'(z))) ==:
    // definition o for Fun
    'x=>w'(('y=>x' o 'z=>y')(z)) ==:
    // definition o for Fun
    ('x=>w' o ('y=>x' o 'z=>y'))(z) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
val 'y-->y' = functionCategory.'__-->__'[Y]
```

```
('y-->y' o 'z=>y')(z) ==:
  // definition o for Fun
  'y-->y'('z=>y'(z)) ==:
  // definition 'y-->y' for Fun
  ('z=>y') (z) ==:
  // done
  qed
```

```
def functionRightIdentityProof[Z, Y]: Fun[Z, Y] => Z => Proof[Y] =
    'z=>y' =>
    z =>
```

```
val 'z-->z' = functionCategory.'__-->__'[Z]
```

```
('z=>y' o 'z-->z')(z) ==:

// definition o for Fun

'z=>y'('z-->z'(z)) ==:

// definition 'z-->z' for Fun

('z=>y') (z) ==:

// done
qed
```

2.7 Definition

The functor specification $F: C \to D$, where C and D are categories, extends the graph morphism specification.

2.7.1 Scala code

```
package plp.specification

trait Functor[Arr_C[-_, +_]: Category, Arr_D[-_, +_]: Category, F[+_]]
    extends GraphMorphism[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]
```

2.7.2 Laws

```
F_{law} - 0 f(y \rightarrow x \circ_c z \rightarrow y) = f(y \rightarrow x) \circ_d f(z \rightarrow y) (composition law),

F_{law} - 1 f(z \rightarrow_c z) = f(z) \rightarrow_d f(z) (identity law).
```

2.7.3 Scala code

```
package plp.specification
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
```

```
class FunctorLaws[
   Arr_C[-_, +_]: Category,
   Arr_D[-_, +_]: Category,
   F[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]
]:
```

```
def f[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] =
  summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]].lift
```

```
extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr_C[Y, X])
  def o_c('z-->y': Arr_C[Z, Y]): Arr_C[Z, X] =
    summon[Category[Arr_C]].o[Z, Y, X]('y-->x')('z-->y')

extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr_D[Y, X])
  def o_d('z-->y': Arr_D[Z, Y]): Arr_D[Z, X] =
    summon[Category[Arr_D]].o[Z, Y, X]('y-->x')('z-->y')
```

```
(f('y-->x' o_c 'z-->y')) =:
(f('y-->x') o_d f('z-->y'))
```

```
def functorIdentityLaw[Z]: Law[Arr_D[F[Z], F[Z]]] =
```

```
val 'z-c->z' = summon[Category[Arr_C]].'__-->__'[Z]

val 'f[z]-d->f[z]' = summon[Category[Arr_D]].'__-->__'[F[Z]]
```

```
(f('z-c->z')) =:
('f[z]-d->f[z]')
```

2.8 Definition

An endofunctor is a functor $F: C \to C$.

2.8.1 Scala code

```
type EndoFunctor[Arr[-_, +_], F[+_]] = Functor[Arr, Arr, F]
```

2.9 Definition

Given

- categories C, D and E,
- functors $F: C \to D$ and $G: D \to E$,

the composed functor, $G \circ F : C \to E$, defines

$$(G \circ F)_{def} - 0 \ (G \circ F)(Z) = G(F(Z)),$$

$$(G \circ F)_{def} - 1 \ (g \circ f)(z \rightarrow y) = g(f(z \rightarrow y)).$$

2.9.1 Scala code

Let

```
package plp.notation
type 0 = [G[+_], F[+_]] =>> [__] =>> G[F[__]]
```

in

```
package plp.implementation.generic
import plp.notation.{0}
import plp.specification.{Category, Functor}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
given composedFunctor[
    Arr_C[-_, +_]: Category,
    Arr_D[-_, +_]: Category,
    Arr_E[-_, +_]: Category,
    F[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F],
    G[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, G]
]: Functor[Arr_C, Arr_E, G O F] with
```

```
def lift[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_E[(G O F)[Z], (G O F)[Y]] =
```

```
val f: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]].lift

val g: Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] => Arr_E[G[F[Z]], G[F[Y]]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, G]].lift
```

```
'z-->y' => g(f('z-->y'))
```

2.9.2 Scala code

Below is a proof.

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class ComposedFunctorProofs[
    Arr_C[-_, +_]: Category,
    Arr_D[-_, +_]: Category,
    Arr_E[-_, +_]: Category,
    F[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F],
    G[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, G]
]:
```

```
def f[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]].lift

def g[Z, Y]: Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] => Arr_E[G[F[Z]], G[F[Y]]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, G]].lift

def 'gof'[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_E[G[F[Z]], G[F[Y]]] =
    composedFunctor[Arr_C, Arr_D, Arr_E, F, G].lift
```

```
def functorCompositionProof[Z, Y, X]
   : Arr_C[Z, Y] => (Arr_C[Y, X] => Proof[Arr_E[(G O F)[Z], (G O F)[X]]]) =
   'z-->y' =>
   'y-->x' =>
```

```
extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr_C[Y, X])
  def o_c('z-->y': Arr_C[Z, Y]): Arr_C[Z, X] =
      summon[Category[Arr_C]].o[Z, Y, X]('y-->x')('z-->y')

extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr_D[Y, X])
  def o_d('z-->y': Arr_D[Z, Y]): Arr_D[Z, X] =
      summon[Category[Arr_D]].o[Z, Y, X]('y-->x')('z-->y')

extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr_E[Y, X])
  def o_e('z-->y': Arr_E[Z, Y]): Arr_E[Z, X] =
      summon[Category[Arr_E]].o[Z, Y, X]('y-->x')('z-->y')
```

```
('gof'('y-->x' o_c 'z-->y')) ==:
    // definition lift for composedFunctor
    (g(f('y-->x' o_c 'z-->y'))) ==:
    // functorCompositionLaw for f
    (g(f('y-->x') o_d f('z-->y'))) ==:
    // functorCompositionLaw for g
    (g(f('y-->x')) o_e g(f('z-->y'))) ==:
    // definition lift for composedFunctor
    ('gof'('y-->x') o_e 'gof'('z-->y')) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
def functorIdentityProof[Z]: Proof[Arr_E[(G O F)[Z], (G O F)[Z]]] =
```

```
val 'z-c->z' = summon[Category[Arr_C]].'__-->__'[Z]

val 'f[z]-d->f[z]' = summon[Category[Arr_D]].'__-->__'[F[Z]]

val '(gof)[z]-e->(gof)[z]' = summon[Category[Arr_E]].'__-->__'[(G O F)[Z]]
```

```
('gof'('z-c->z')) ==:
    // definition lift for composedFunctor
    (g(f('z-c->z'))) ==:
    // functorIdentityLaw for f
    (g('f[z]-d->f[z]')) ==:
    // functorIdentityLaw for g
    ('(gof)[z]-e->(gof)[z]') ==:
    // done
    qed
```

2.10 Definition

The identity endofunctor of category $C, I: C \to C$, defines

$$I_{def}-0$$
 $I(Z)=Z,$

$$I_{def}-1$$
 $i(z\rightarrow y)=z\rightarrow y$.

2.10.1 Scala code

Let

```
package plp.notation

type I = [__] =>> __
```

in

```
package plp.implementation.generic
import plp.notation.{I}
import plp.specification.{Category, EndoFunctor}
```

```
given identityEndoFunctor[Arr[-_, +_]: Category]: EndoFunctor[Arr, I] with
```

```
def lift[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[I[Z], I[Y]] =
'z-->y' => 'z-->y'
```

2.10.2 Scala code

Below is a proof.

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class IdentityEndoFunctorProofs[Arr[-_, +_]: Category]:
```

```
def i[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[Z, Y] = identityEndoFunctor.lift
```

```
def functorCompositionProof[Z, Y, X]
    : Arr[Z, Y] => (Arr[Y, X] => Proof[Arr[I[Z], I[X]]]) =
    'z-->y' =>
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
(i('y-->x' o 'z-->y')) ==:
    // definition i
    identity('y-->x' o 'z-->y') ==:
    // definition identity
    ('y-->x' o 'z-->y') ==:
    // definition identity
    (identity('y-->x') o identity('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition i
    (i('y-->x') o i('z-->y')) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
def functorIdentityProof[Z]: Proof[Arr[I[Z], I[Z]]] =
```

```
val 'z-->z' = summon[Category[Arr]].'__-->__'[Z]
```

```
(i('z-->z')) ==:
  // definition i
  (identity('z-->z')) ==:
  // definition identity
  ('z-->z') ==:
  // done
  qed
```

2.11 Definition

The Yoneda functor for node Z of category C, $\mathbf{YF}_Z: C \to \mathbf{Fun}$, defines

```
\mathbf{YF}_{Z}-0 \mathbf{YF}_{Z}(Y)=Arr(Z,Y), \mathbf{YF}_{Z}-1 \mathbf{Yf}_{z}(y\rightarrow x)(z\rightarrow y)=y\rightarrow x\circ z\rightarrow y.
```

2.11.1 Scala code

```
package plp.implementation.generic
import plp.specification.{Category, Functor}
import plp.implementation.specific.{Fun, functionCategory}
```

```
type Yoneda = [Arr[-_, +_]] =>> [Z] =>> [Y] =>> Arr[Z, Y]
```

```
given yonedaFunctor[Arr[-_, +_]: Category, Z]: Functor[Arr, Fun, Yoneda[Arr][Z]]
with
```

```
type YF = [Z] =>> [__] =>> Yoneda[Arr][Z][__]

def lift[Y, X]: Arr[Y, X] => Fun[YF[Z][Y], YF[Z][X]] =
  'y-->x' => 'z-->y' => 'y-->x' o 'z-->y'
```

2.11.2 Scala code

Below is a proof

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class YonedaFunctorProofs[Z, Arr[-_, +_]: Category]:
```

```
type YF = [Z] =>> [__] =>> Yoneda[Arr][Z][__]
def yf[Y, X]: Arr[Y, X] => (YF[Z][Y] => YF[Z][X]) = yonedaFunctor.lift
```

```
def compositionProof[Y, X, W]
    : (Arr[Y, X], Arr[X, W]) => YF[Z][Y] => Proof[YF[Z][W]] =
    ('y-->x', 'x-->w') =>
    'z-->y' =>
```

```
(yf('x-->w' o 'y-->x')('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition yf
    (('x-->w' o 'y-->x') o 'z-->y') ==:
    // categoryAssociativityLaw for Arr
    ('x-->w' o ('y-->x' o 'z-->y')) ==:
    // definition yf
    ('x-->w' o yf('y-->x')('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition yf
    (yf('x-->w')(yf('y-->x')('z-->y'))) ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
    ((yf('x-->w') o yf('y-->x'))('z-->y')) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
def identityProof[Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Proof[YF[Z][Y]] =
    'z-->y' =>
```

```
val 'y-->y' = summon[Category[Arr]].'__-->__'[Y]
```

```
(yf('y-->y')('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition yf
    ('y-->y' o 'z-->y') ==:
    // categoryLeftIdentityLaw for Arr
    ('z-->y') ==:
    // done
    qed
```

2.12 Definition

The natural transformation specification $\tau: F \to G$, where $F: C \to D$ and $G: C \to D$ are functors, declares

```
\tau_{dec} - 0 arrows \tau_z \in Arr_D(F(Z), G(Z)).
```

2.12.1 Scala code

```
package plp.specification

trait NaturalTransformation[
   Arr_C[-_, +_]: Category,
   Arr_D[-_, +_]: Category,
   F[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F],
   G[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, G]
]:
```

```
def transform[__]: Arr_D[F[__], G[__]]
```

 τ is declared as an arrow member transform.

The name transform can, by need, be made available as tau.

Scala allows using τ but, for LaTeX typesetting reasons, we use tau instead.

2.12.2 Laws

```
\tau_{law} - 0 \tau_{v} \circ_{d} f(z \rightarrow y) = g(z \rightarrow y) \circ_{d} \tau_{z} (commutativity law).
```

2.12.3 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
```

```
def tau[_]: Arr_D[F[_], G[_]] =
    summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr_C, Arr_D, F, G]].transform

val f: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]].lift

val g: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[G[Z], G[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, G]].lift

extension [Z, Y, X]('y-->x': Arr_D[Y, X])
    def o_d('z-->y': Arr_D[Z, Y]): Arr_D[Z, X] =
        summon[Category[Arr_D]].o('y-->x')('z-->y')
```

```
(tau o_d f('z-->y')) =:
(g('z-->y') o_d tau)
```

Note that, using programmatic notation, we twice used tau while, using mathematical notation, we used τ_z and τ_y . In fact, we could have used tau[Z] and tau[Y] as in

```
(tau[Y] o_d f('z-->y')) =:
(g('z-->y') o_d tau[Z])
```

but the Scala type system is clever enough to infer the types Z and Y involved.

2.12.4 Notation

 τ_z is also simply denoted τ if z can be inferred.

2.13 Definition

Given

- \bullet a category C,
- endofunctors, $F: C \to C$, $G: C \to C$, $H: C \to C$,
- natural transformations $\alpha: F \to G$ and $\beta: H \to K$,

the composed natural transformation $\beta \circ \alpha : C \to C$ defines

$$(\beta \circ \alpha)_{def} - 0 \ (\beta \circ \alpha)_z = \beta_z \circ \alpha_z.$$

2.13.1 Scala code

package plp.implementation.generic

```
import plp.specification.{Category, Functor, NaturalTransformation}
```

```
def alpha[__] = summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, F, G]].transform[__]

def beta[__] = summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, G, H]].transform[__]
```

```
new {
  override def transform[_]: Arr[F[_], H[_]] =
  beta o alpha
}
```

2.13.2 Scala code

Below is a proof

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class ComposedNaturalTransformationProof[
    Arr[-_, +_]: Category,
    F[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr, Arr, F],
    G[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr, Arr, G],
    H[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr, Arr, H],
    S[_[-_, +_], _[-_, +_], _[+_], _[+_]]: [_[
        _[-_, +_],
        _[-_, +_],
        _[+_],
        _[+_]
    ]] =>> NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, F, G],
    T[_[-_, +_], _[-_, +_], _[+_], _[+_]]: [_[
        _[-_, +_],
        _[-_, +_],
        _[+_],
        _[+_]
    ]] =>> NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, G, H]
]:
```

```
def naturalTransformationProof[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Proof[Arr[F[Z], H[Y]]] =
```

```
def alpha[__] = summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, F, G]].transform[__]

def beta[__] = summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, G, H]].transform[__]

def tau[__] =
   composedNaturalTransformation[Arr, F, G, H, S, T].transform[__]
```

```
val f: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[F[Z], F[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr, Arr, F]].lift

val g: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[G[Z], G[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr, Arr, G]].lift

val h: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[H[Z], H[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr, Arr, H]].lift
```

```
'z-->y' =>
 (tau o f('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition tau
    ((beta o alpha) o f('z-->y')) ==:
    // categoryCompositionAssociativityLaw for Arr
    (beta o (alpha o f('z-->y'))) ==:
    // naturalTransformationLaw for S
    (beta o (g('z-->y') o alpha)) ==:
    // categoryCompositionAssociativityLaw for Arr
    ((beta o g('z-->y')) o alpha) ==:
    // naturalTransformationLaw for T
    ((h('z-->y') o beta) o alpha) ==:
    // categoryCompositionAssociativityLaw for Arr
    (h('z-->y') \circ (beta \circ alpha)) ==:
    // definition tau
    (h('z-->y') o tau) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

2.14 Definition

Given

- \bullet categories C, D and E,
- functors, $F: C \to D$, $H: D \to E$ and $K: D \to E$,
- natural transformations $\alpha: F \to G$ and $\beta: H \to K$,

natural transformation $\beta F: H \circ F \to K \circ F$ defines

$$(\beta F)_{def} - 0 \ (\beta F)_z = \beta_{f(z)}$$

2.14.1 Scala code

```
package plp.implementation.generic
```

```
import plp.notation.{0}

import plp.specification.{Category, Functor, NaturalTransformation}
```

```
given 'hof': Functor[Arr_C, Arr_E, H 0 F] =
  composedFunctor[Arr_C, Arr_D, Arr_E, F, H]

given 'kof': Functor[Arr_C, Arr_E, K 0 F] =
  composedFunctor[Arr_C, Arr_D, Arr_E, F, K]

def beta[__] = summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr_D, Arr_E, H, K]].transform[__]
```

```
new {
   override def transform[_]: Arr_E[(H O F)[_], (K O F)[_]] =
    beta[F[_]]
}
```

2.14.2 Scala code

Below is a proof.

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
def naturalTransformationProof[Z, Y]
   : Arr_C[Z, Y] => Proof[Arr_E[(H O F)[Z], (K O F)[Y]]] =
   'z-->y' =>
```

```
def beta[_] =
    summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr_D, Arr_E, H, K]].transform[__]

def tau[__] =
    leftFunctorComposedNaturalTransformation[
        Arr_C,
        Arr_D,
        Arr_E,
        F,
        H,
        K,
        S
    ]
    .transform[__]
```

```
def f[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]].lift

def h[Z, Y]: Arr_D[Z, Y] => Arr_E[H[Z], H[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, H]].lift

def k[Z, Y]: Arr_D[Z, Y] => Arr_E[K[Z], K[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, K]].lift
```

```
(tau o (h o f)('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition tau
    (beta[F[Y]] o (h o f)('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
    (beta[F[Y]] o h(f('z-->y'))) ==:
    // naturalTransformationLaw for S (with f('z-->y'))
    (k(f('z-->y')) o beta[F[Z]]) ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
    ((k o f)('z-->y') o beta[F[Z]]) ==:
    // definition tau
    ((k o f)('z-->y') o tau) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

2.15 Definition

Given

- categories C, D and E,
- functors, $H: D \to E$, $F: C \to D$ and $G: C \to D$,
- natural transformations $\alpha: F \to G$ and $\beta: H \to K$,

natural transformation $H\alpha: H \circ F \to H \circ G$ defines

$$(H\alpha)_{def} - 0 \ (H\alpha)_z = h(\alpha_z).$$

2.15.1 Scala code

```
package plp.implementation
```

```
import plp.notation.{0}
import plp.specification.{Category, Functor, NaturalTransformation}
```

```
given 'hof': Functor[Arr_C, Arr_E, H 0 F] =
   composedFunctor[Arr_C, Arr_D, Arr_E, F, H]

given 'hog': Functor[Arr_C, Arr_E, H 0 G] =
   composedFunctor[Arr_C, Arr_D, Arr_E, G, H]

def alpha[_]: Arr_D[F[__], G[__]] =
   summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr_C, Arr_D, F, G]].transform[__]

def h[Z, Y]: Arr_D[Z, Y] => Arr_E[H[Z], H[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, H]].lift
```

```
new {
   override def transform[_]: Arr_E[(H O F)[_], (H O G)[_]] =
    h(alpha[_])
}
```

2.15.2 Scala code

Below is a proof.

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
def naturalTransformationProof[Z, Y]
   : Arr_C[Z, Y] => Proof[Arr_E[(H O F)[Z], (H O G)[Y]]] =
   'z-->y' =>
```

```
def alpha[_]: Arr_D[F[_], G[_]] =
    summon[NaturalTransformation[Arr_C, Arr_D, F, G]].transform[_]

def tau[__] =
    rightFunctorComposedNaturalTransformation[
        Arr_C,
        Arr_D,
        Arr_E,
        H,
        F,
        G,
        T
    ]
    .transform[__]
```

```
def h[Z, Y]: Arr_D[Z, Y] => Arr_E[H[Z], H[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_D, Arr_E, H]].lift

def f[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[F[Z], F[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, F]].lift

def g[Z, Y]: Arr_C[Z, Y] => Arr_D[G[Z], G[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr_C, Arr_D, G]].lift
```

```
(tau o (h o f)('z-->y')) ==:
  // definition tau
  (h(alpha) o (h o f)('z-->y')) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  (h(alpha) o h(f('z-->y'))) ==:
  // functorCompositionLaw for h
  (h(alpha o f('z-->y'))) ==:
  // naturalTransformationLaw for T
  (h(g('z-->y') o alpha)) ==:
  // functorCompositionLaw for h
  (h(g('z-->y')) \circ h(alpha)) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  ((h o g)('z-->y') o h(alpha)) ==:
  // definition tau
  ((h \circ g)('z-->y') \circ tau) ==:
  // done
  qed
```

2.16 Definition

The pre-triple specification for category C, (PT, η) , declares

```
\pi \tau_{dec} - 0 an endofunctor PT: C \to C,
```

 $\pi \tau_{dec} - 1$ a natural transformation $\eta : \mathbf{I} \to PT$.

 η is called the *unit* of the pre-triple.

2.16.1 Scala code

```
package plp.specification
import plp.notation.{I}
```

```
trait PreTriple[
   Arr[-_, +_]: Category,
   PT[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> EndoFunctor[Arr, PT]
]:
```

```
val etaNaturalTransformation: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, I, PT]

def eta[__]: Arr[I[__], PT[__]] = etaNaturalTransformation.transform
```

PT is declared as a type constructor parameter PT.

 η is declared as a natural transformation member etaNaturalTransformation and corresponding defined arrow member eta.

2.17 Definition

The triple specification for category C, (T, μ, η) , declares

```
\tau_{dec} -0 a pre-triple (T, \eta)
```

 τ_{dec} -1 a natural transformation $\mu: T \circ T \to T$.

 μ is called the *multiplication* of the triple.

2.17.1 Scala code

```
package plp.specification
import plp.notation.{0}
```

```
trait Triple[Arr[-_, +_]: Category, T[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> EndoFunctor[Arr, T]]
  extends PreTriple[Arr, T]:
```

```
def t[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[T[Z], T[Y]] = summon[EndoFunctor[Arr, T]].lift
val muNaturalTransformation: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, T O T, T]

def mu[__]: Arr[(T O T)[__], T[__]] = muNaturalTransformation.transform
```

 μ is declared as a natural transformation member muNaturalTransformation and corresponding defined arrow member mu.

2.17.2 Laws

In the laws below, the unit of a category, when treated as a natural transformation, is denoted v.

```
	au_{law} - 0 \quad \mu \circ (\eta T) = v \ (left \ identity \ law),
	au_{law} - 1 \quad \mu \circ (T\eta) = v \ (right \ identity \ law),
	au_{law} - 2 \quad \mu \circ (T\mu) = \mu \circ (\mu T) \ (associativity \ law).
```

2.17.3 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
```

```
class TripleLaws[Arr[-_, +_]: Category, T[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Triple[Arr, T]]:
```

```
val c: Category[Arr] = summon[Category[Arr]]
import c.{o, unit => upsilon}
val triple: Triple[Arr, T] = summon[Triple[Arr, T]]
import triple.{t, eta, mu}
```

```
def tripleLeftIdentityLaw[_]: Law[Arr[T[__], T[__]]] =
  (mu o eta) =:
    (upsilon)
```

```
def tripleRightIdentityLaw[__]: Law[Arr[T[__], T[__]]] =
  (mu o t(eta)) =:
    (upsilon)
```

```
def tripleAssociativityLaw[_]: Law[Arr[(T O T O T)[_], T[_]]] =
  (mu o mu) =:
    (mu o t(mu))
```

Note that the first and last laws could have been

```
(mu o eta[T[__]]) =:
   (upsilon)
```

and

```
(mu o mu[T[__]]) =:
    (mu o t(mu))
```

but the Scala type system is clever enough to infer the types T[__] involved.

2.18 Definition

The pre-functional category specification, PFC, extends the category specification.

PFC specifies categories whose nodes are the nodes of **Fun**, in other words, the class of all sets.

It declares

 $PFC_{dec} - 0$ being a functor, $F2A : Fun \to PFC$, that is the identity on nodes, turning functions into arrows.

It defines

 $PFC_{def} - 0$ being a pre-triple, (\mathbf{YEF}_U, η) , for PFC, where U is a terminal node (singleton set) of the category \mathbf{Fun} , $\mathbf{YEF}_Z = F2A \circ \mathbf{YF}_Z$ is the Yoneda endofunctor for Z, and where $\eta_y \in Arr(\mathbf{I}(Y), \mathbf{YEF}_U(Y)) = f2a(v2gv)$, where $v2gv \in \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{I}(Y), \mathbf{YEF}_Z(Y)) = y \mapsto f2a(z \mapsto y)$ turns values into global values of \mathbf{Fun} .

Since vzgv is defined in terms of fza, which is declared, we use math font for it. Since η is defined in terms of fza and vzgv we use math font for it.

From a functional programming viewpoint, it is instructive to think about arrows $f2a(z\Rightarrow y)$ as pure functions (a.k.a effectfree arrows) and other arrows as impure functions (a.k.a. effectful arrows).

2.18.1 Scala code

Let

```
package plp.notation
type U = Unit
```

in

```
package plp.specification

import plp.notation.{0, I, U}

import plp.implementation.specific.{Fun}

import plp.implementation.generic.{
   identityEndoFunctor,
   Yoneda,
   yonedaFunctor,
   yonedaFunctor,
}
```

```
trait PreFunctionalCategory[
    Arr[-_, +_]: Category: [_[-_, +_]] =>> Functor[Fun, Arr, I]
] extends Category[Arr]
   with PreTriple[Arr, Yoneda[Arr][U]]:
```

```
def f2a[Z, Y]: Fun[Z, Y] => Arr[Z, Y] = summon[Functor[Fun, Arr, I]].lift

def v2gv[__]: Fun[__, Arr[U, __]] = __ => f2a(_ => __)

val etaNaturalTransformation: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, I, YEF[U]] =
    given PreFunctionalCategory[Arr] = this
    new:
    def transform[__]: Arr[I[__], YEF[U][__]] =
    f2a(v2gv)
```

Being a functor F2A is declared as a type class extension for Arr using [_[-_, +_]] =>> Functor[Fun, Arr, I].

Auxiliary members f2a and v2gv, corresponding with f2a and v2gv are defined as well.

Being a pre-triple (YEF_U, η) is defined as an object-oriented extension using with PreTriple[Arr, Yoneda[Arr][U]], and by defining η as a natural transformation member etaNaturalTransformation, as such also defining eta.

```
type YF = [Z] =>> [__] =>> Yoneda[Arr][Z][__]

def yf[Z, Y, X]: Arr[Y, X] => Fun[YF[Z][Y], YF[Z][X]] =
    yonedaFunctor[Arr, Z].lift

type YEF = [Z] =>> [__] =>> Yoneda[Arr][Z][__]

def yef[Z, Y, X]: Arr[Y, X] => Arr[YEF[Z][Y], YEF[Z][X]] =
    given PreFunctionalCategory[Arr] = this
    yonedaEndoFunctor[Arr, Z].lift
```

Auxiliary type members YF and YEF, function member yf and arrow member yef are defined as well.

The code above makes use of yonedaEndoFunctor whose code is below.

2.18.2 Scala code

```
package plp.implementation.generic
import plp.specification.{EndoFunctor, PreFunctionalCategory}
```

```
given yonedaEndoFunctor[Arr[-_, +_]: PreFunctionalCategory, Z]
: EndoFunctor[Arr, Yoneda[Arr][Z]] with
```

```
val pfc = summon[PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import pfc.{YEF}
```

```
def lift[Y, X]: Arr[Y, X] => Arr[YEF[Z][Y], YEF[Z][X]] =
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
import pfc.{f2a, yf, YEF}
```

```
f2a(yf('y-->x'))
```

2.18.3 Laws

 $PFC_{law}-0$ F2A is a functor,

 $PFC_{law}-1$ (\mathbf{YEF}_{U}, η) is a pre-triple, in other words, $\eta: \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{YEF}_{U}$ is a natural transformation,

 $PFC_{law} - 2 \quad \eta \circ u \rightarrow z = v2gv(u \rightarrow z) \quad (\eta \quad law).$

2.18.4 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
```

```
class PreFunctionalCategoryLaws[Arr[-_, +_]: PreFunctionalCategory]:
```

```
import plp.implementation.{functionCategory}
val pfc = summon[PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]]
def preFunctionalCategoryFunctorCompositionLaw[Z, Y, X]
   : Fun[Z, Y] => (Fun[Y, X] => Law[Arr[Z, X]]) =
  'z=>y' =>
    'y=>x' =>
      import pfc.{f2a}
      (f2a('y=>x' o 'z=>y')) =:
        (f2a('y=>x') o f2a('z=>y'))
def preFunctionalCategoryFunctorIdentityLaw[__]: Law[Arr[__, __]] =
import functionCategory.{'__-->__' => '__-f->__'}
import pfc.{'__-->__', f2a}
f2a('__-f->__') =:
  , __-->__,
import pfc.{YEF}
\tt def \ preFunctionalCategoryEtaNaturalTransformationLaw[Z, Y]
   : Arr[Z, Y] => Law[Arr[I[Z], YEF[U][Y]]] =
  'z-->y' =>
    import pfc.{yef, eta}
    val i: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[Z, Y] = identityEndoFunctor[Arr].lift
    (eta o i('z-->y')) =:
      (yef('z-->y') o eta)
```

```
def preFunctionalCategoryEtaLaw[_]: YEF[U][__] => Law[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[__]] =
  'u-->__' =>
```

```
import pfc.{eta, v2gv}
```

```
(eta o 'u-->__') =:
(v2gv('u-->__'))
```

2.18.5 Properties

The following pre-functional category properties hold

```
YEF-0 fza(z\Rightarrow y) \circ vzgv(z) = vzgv(z\Rightarrow y(z)) (pointfree application),

YEF-1 yef(y\rightarrow x) \circ vzgv(z\rightarrow y) = vzgv(y\rightarrow x \circ z\rightarrow y) (pointfree Yoneda).
```

2.18.6 Scala code

```
package plp.proposition
import plp.notation.{U, Law, =:}
import plp.specification.{PreFunctionalCategory}
import plp.implementation.specific.{Fun}
```

```
class PreFunctionalCategoryProperties[Arr[-_, +_]: PreFunctionalCategory]:
```

```
val pfc = summon[PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import pfc.{YEF}
```

```
def pointfreeApplicationProperty[Z, Y]: Fun[Z, Y] => (Z => Law[YEF[U][Y]]) =
    'z=>y' =>
    z =>
```

```
import pfc.{v2gv, f2a}
```

```
(f2a('z=>y') o v2gv(z)) =:
(v2gv('z=>y'(z)))
```

```
def pointfreeYonedaProperty[Z, Y, X]
    : Arr[Z, Y] => (Arr[Y, X] => Law[YEF[U][Arr[Z, X]]]) =
    'z-->y' =>
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
import pfc.{v2gv, yef}
```

```
(yef('y-->x') o v2gv('z-->y')) =:
(v2gv('y-->x' o 'z-->y'))
```

2.18.7 Scala code

Below is a proof.

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
class PreFunctionalCategoryProofs[Z, Arr[-_, +_]: PreFunctionalCategory]:
```

```
val pfc = summon[PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import pfc.{YEF}
```

```
def pointfreeApplicationProof[Z, Y]: Fun[Z, Y] => (Z => Proof[YEF[U][Y]]) =
    'z=>y' =>
    z =>
```

```
import pfc.{f2a, v2gv}
```

```
(f2a('z=>y') o v2gv(z)) ==:
    // definition v2gv
    (f2a('z=>y') o f2a(_ => z)) ==:
    // functorCompositionLaw for f2a
    (f2a('z=>y' o (_ => z))) ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
    (f2a(_ => 'z=>y'(z))) ==:
    // definition v2gv
    (v2gv('z=>y'(z))) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
def pointfreeYonedaProof[Y, X]
   : Arr[Z, Y] => (Arr[Y, X] => Proof[YEF[U][Arr[Z, X]]]) =
   'z-->y' =>
   'y-->x' =>
```

```
import pfc.{yf, yef, v2gv}

def f2a[__] = pfc.f2a[Arr[__, Y], Arr[__, X]]
```

```
(yef('y-->x') o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition yef and definition f2a
    (f2a[Z](yf('y-->x')) o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition yf
    f2a[Z]('z-->y' => 'y-->x' o 'z-->y') o v2gv('z-->y'))
    // pointfreeApplicationProperty and definition f2a
    (v2gv('y-->x' o 'z-->y')) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

2.19 Definition

The functional category specification FC extends the pre-functional category specification.

It declares

 $FC_{dec}-0$ being a triple, $(\mathbf{YEF}_U, \mu, \eta)$, for PFC.

2.19.1 Scala code

```
package plp.specification
```

```
import plp.notation.{U}
import plp.implementation.generic.{Yoneda}
```

```
trait FunctionalCategory[Arr[-_, +_]: Category]
extends PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]
with Triple[Arr, Yoneda[Arr][U]]
```

Being a triple (YEF_U, μ, η) is declared as an object-oriented extension using with Triple[Arr, Yoneda[Arr][U]].

2.19.2 Laws

 $FC_{law}-0$ (**YEF**_U, μ , η) is a triple.

2.19.3 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
class FunctionalCategoryLaws[Arr[-_, +_]: FunctionalCategory]:
```

```
val fc = summon[FunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import fc.{YEF}
```

```
def functionalCategoryMuNaturalTransformationLaw[Z, Y]
    : Arr[Z, Y] => Law[Arr[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[Z], YEF[U][Y]]] =
    'z-->y' =>
```

```
import fc.{yef, mu}
```

```
(mu o (yef o yef)('z-->y')) =:
(yef('z-->y') o mu)
```

```
def functionalCategoryTripleLeftIdentityLaw[__]: Law[Arr[YEF[U][__], YEF[U][__]]] =
```

```
import fc.{unit => upsilon, eta, mu}
```

```
(mu o eta) =:
(upsilon)
```

```
def functionalCategoryTripleRightIdentityLaw[__]: Law[Arr[YEF[U][__], YEF[U][__]]] =
```

```
import fc.{unit => upsilon, yef, eta, mu}
```

```
(mu o yef(eta)) =:
  (upsilon)
```

```
def functionalCategoryTripleAssociativityLaw[__]
   : Law[Arr[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[__], YEF[U][__]]] =
```

```
import fc.{yef, mu}
```

```
(mu o mu) =:
    (mu o yef(mu))
```

2.19.4 Properties

The following functional category property holds

$$\mu_{prop} - 0 \ \mu \circ vzgv(u \rightarrow (u \rightarrow z)) = u \rightarrow (u \rightarrow z) \ (\mu \ property)$$

2.19.5 Scala code

```
package plp.proposition
import plp.notation.{0, U, Law, =:}
import plp.specification.{FunctionalCategory}
```

```
class FunctionalCategoryProperties[Z, Arr[-_, +_]: FunctionalCategory]:
```

```
val fc = summon[FunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import fc.{YEF}
```

```
def functionalCategoryMuProperty[__]
    : (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[__] => Law[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[__]] =
    'u-->(u-->__)' =>
```

```
import fc.{v2gv, mu}
```

```
(mu o v2gv('u-->(u-->__)')) =:
('u-->(u-->__)')
```

2.19.6 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class FunctionalCategoryProcof[Z, Arr[-_, +_]: FunctionalCategory]:
```

```
val fc = summon[FunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import fc.{YEF}
```

```
def functionalCategoryMuProof[_]
  : (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[_] => Proof[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[_]] =
  'u-->(u-->__)' =>
```

```
import fc.{unit, v2gv, eta, mu}
```

```
def upsilon[__] = unit[__]
```

```
(mu o v2gv('u-->(u-->__)')) ==:
    // preFunctionalCategoryEtaLaw for YEF[U]
    (mu o (eta o 'u-->(u-->__)')) ==:
    // categoryCompositionAssociativityLaw for Arr
    ((mu o eta) o 'u-->(u-->__)') ==:
    // tripleLeftIdentityLaw for YEF[U]
    ('u-->(u-->__)' o upsilon) ==:
    // definition upsilon
    ('u-->(u-->__)' o unit) ==:
    // categoryRightIdentityLaw for Arr
    ('u-->(u-->__)') ==:
    qed
```

2.20 Definition

The function functional category, **Fun**, defines

 $Fun_{def} - 0$ $F2A : Fun \rightarrow Fun$ as the identity function,

 $Fun_{def}-1 \ \mu: YEF_U \circ YEF_U \to YEF_U$ as application to u, where u is the unique element of U.

2.20.1 Scala code

Let

```
package plp.notation
val u: U = ()
```

```
package plp.implementation.specific

import plp.notation.{0, I, U, u}

import plp.specification.{FunctionalCategory, NaturalTransformation}

import plp.implementation.generic.{
  identityEndoFunctor,
  composedFunctor,
  yonedaEndoFunctor
}
```

```
{\tt given functionFunctionalCategory: FunctionalCategory[Fun] \ with}
```

```
def composition[Z, Y, X]: (Fun[Y, X], Fun[Z, Y]) => Fun[Z, X] =
   functionCategory.composition

def unit[Z]: Fun[Z, Z] = functionCategory.unit

def lift[Z, Y]: Fun[Z, Y] => Fun[I[Z], I[Y]] = identityEndoFunctor.lift

val muNaturalTransformation
   : NaturalTransformation[Fun, Fun, YEF[U] O YEF[U], YEF[U]] =
   new:
   def transform[__]: Fun[(YEF[U] O YEF[U])[__], YEF[U][__]] =
        'u-->(u-->__)' => 'u-->(u-->__)'(u)
```

2.20.2 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
class FunctionFunctionalCategoryProofs:
```

```
def functionPreFunctionalCategoryFunctorCompositionProof[Z, Y, X]
   : Fun[Z, Y] => (Fun[Y, X] => Proof[Fun[Z, X]]) =
   'z=>y' =>
   'y=>x' =>
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{f2a}
```

```
f2a('y=>x' o 'z=>y') ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  ('y=>x' o 'z=>y') ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (f2a('y=>x') o f2a('z=>y')) ==:
  // done
  qed
```

```
import functionCategory.{'_--->__'}
import functionFunctionalCategory.{f2a}
```

```
f2a('__-->__') ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  '__-->__' ==:
  // done
  qed
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{YEF}
```

```
def functionPreFunctionalCategoryEtaNaturalTransformationProof[Z, Y]
   : Fun[Z, Y] => Proof[Fun[I[Z], YEF[U][Y]]] =
   'z=>y' =>
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{o, f2a, v2gv, yf, yef, eta}

def i[Z, Y]: Fun[Z, Y] => Fun[Z, Y] = identityEndoFunctor.lift
```

```
(yef('z=>y') o eta) ==:
  // definition eta for functionFunctionalCategory
  (yef('z=>y') o f2a(v2gv)) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (yef('z=>y') o v2gv) ==:
  // definition v2gv
  (yef('z=>y') o ((z: Z) => f2a(_ => z))) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (yef('z=>y') \circ ((z: Z) => (_ => z))) ==:
  // definition yef
  (f2a(yf('z=>y')) \circ ((z: Z) => (_ => z))) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (yf('z=>y') \circ ((z: Z) => (_ => z))) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  ((z: Z) \Rightarrow yf('z\Rightarrow y')(_ \Rightarrow z)) ==:
  // definition yf
  ((z: Z) \Rightarrow ('z\Rightarrow y' \circ (\_ \Rightarrow z))) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  ((z: Z) \Rightarrow (_: U) \Rightarrow `z \Rightarrow y`(z)) ==:
  // definition definition o for functionCategory (substituting 'z=>y'(z) for y)
  (((y: Y) \Rightarrow (_: U) \Rightarrow y) \circ (z \Rightarrow `z \Rightarrow y`(z))) ==:
  // lambda calculus eta conversion
  (((y: Y) \Rightarrow ((_: U) \Rightarrow y)) \circ (z\Rightarrow y) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (((y: Y) => f2a(_ => y)) o 'z=>y') ==:
  // definition v2gv
  (v2gv o 'z=>y') ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (f2a(v2gv) o 'z=>y') ==:
  // definition eta
  (eta o 'z=>y') ==:
  // definition i
  (eta o i('z=>y')) ==:
  // done
  qed
```

```
def functionFunctionalCategoryMuNaturalTransformationProof[Z, Y]
   : Fun[Z, Y] => Proof[(YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z] => YEF[U][Y]] =
   'z=>y' =>
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{o, f2a, yf, yef, mu}
```

```
(yef('z=>y') o mu) ==:
  // definition yef
  (f2a(yf('z=>y')) o mu) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
 (yf('z=>y') o mu) ==:
  // definition mu for functionFunctionalCategory
  (yf('z=>y') o (_(u))) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  ('u=>(u=>z)' => yf('z=>y')('u=>(u=>z)'(u))) ==:
  // definition yf
  ('u=>(u=>z)'=>('z=>y'o'u=>(u=>z)'(u)))==:
  // eta conversion lambda calculus
         ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) =>
            ((u: U) => ('z=>y' o 'u=>(u=>z)'(u)))(u)
       )
 ) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  ((('u=>(u=>y)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Y]) => 'u=>(u=>y)'(u)) o (
    ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => (u => 'z=>y' o 'u=>(u=>z)'(u))
 )) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  ((('u=>(u=>y)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Y]) => 'u=>(u=>y)'(u)) o (
    ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) =>
      ((`u=>z': YEF[U][Z]) => `z=>y' o `u=>z') o (u => `u=>(u=>z)`(u))
 )) ==:
  // eta conversion lambda calculus
  (((`u=>(u=>y)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Y]) => `u=>(u=>y)`(u)) o (
    ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) =>
      ((`u=>z`: YEF[U][Z]) => `z=>y` o `u=>z`) o `u=>(u=>z)`
 )) ==:
  // // definition yf
  (((`u=>(u=>y)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Y]) => `u=>(u=>y)`(u)) o yf(
   ('u=>z': YEF[U][Z]) => 'z=>y' o 'u=>z'
  )) ==:
  // definition yf
  (((`u=>(u=>y)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Y]) => `u=>(u=>y)`(u)) o yf(
   yf('z=>y')
 )) ==:
  // definition mu for functionFunctionalCategory
  (mu o yf(yf('z=>y'))) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (mu o f2a(yf(f2a(yf('z=>y'))))) ==:
  // definition yef
  (mu \ o \ yef(yef('z=>y'))) ==:
 // done
 ged
```

```
def functionFunctionalCategoryEtaProof[Z]
   : YEF[U][Z] => Proof[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[Z]] =
   'u=>z' =>
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{o, f2a, v2gv, eta}
```

```
(eta o 'u=>z') ==:
  // definition eta for functionFunctionalCategory
  (f2a(v2gv) o 'u=>z') ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (v2gv o 'u=>z') ==:
  // definition v2gv
  (((z: Z) \Rightarrow f2a(_ \Rightarrow z)) \circ `u=>z`) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor
  (((z: Z) \Rightarrow ((_: U) \Rightarrow z)) \circ `u \Rightarrow z`) ==:
  // eta conversion lambda calculus
  (((z: Z) \Rightarrow ((_: U) \Rightarrow z)) \circ (u \Rightarrow `u \Rightarrow z`(u))) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  (u => ((_: U) => 'u=>z'(u))) ==:
  // eta conversion lambda calculus
  (_ => 'u=>z') ==:
// definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
  (f2a(_ => 'u=>z')) ==:
  // definition v2gv
  v2gv('u=>z') ==:
  // done
  qed
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{unit, f2a, v2gv, eta, mu}

def upsilon[__] = unit[__]
```

```
(mu o eta) ==:
 // definition eta for functionFunctionalCategory
 (mu o f2a(v2gv)) ==:
 // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
 (mu o v2gv) ==:
 // definition v2gv
 (mu o ('u=>z' => f2a(_ => 'u=>z'))) ==:
 // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
 (mu o ('u=>z' => (_ => 'u=>z'))) ==:
 // definition mu for functionFunctionalCategory
 (_ => 'u=>z')
 )) ==:
 // definition o for functionCategory
 (('u=>z'=>((_:U)=>'u=>z')(u)))==:
 // lambda calculus eta conversion (U has only one value, u)  
 ('u=>z' => 'u=>z') ==:
 // definition unit for Fun
 unit ==:
 // definition upsilon
 upsilon[YEF[U][Z]] ==:
 // done
 qed
```

```
def functionFunctionalCategoryTripleRightIdentityProof[Z]
     : Proof[YEF[U][Z] => YEF[U][Z]] =
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{unit, f2a, v2gv, yef, yf, eta, mu}

def upsilon[__] = unit[__]
```

```
(mu o yef(eta)) ==:
     // definition yef
      (mu o f2a(yf(eta))) ==:
      // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
      (mu o yf(eta)) ==:
      // definition eta for functionFunctionalCategory
      (mu \ o \ yf(f2a(v2gv))) ==:
      // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
      (mu o yf(v2gv)) ==:
      // definition v2gv
      (mu \ o \ yf(f2a(z \Rightarrow (_ => z)))) ==:
      // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor for Fun
      (mu \circ yf(z \Rightarrow \_ \Rightarrow z)) ==:
      // definition mu for functionFunctionalCategory
      (((`u=>(u=>z)`: (YEF[U] \ O \ YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>z)`(u)) \ o \ yf(z=>u)
            ( = > z)
      )) ==:
      // definition yf
      (((`u=>(u=>z)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>z)`(u)) o (`u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u)) o (`u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z` => (u=>z)`(u=>z` => (u=>z` => (u=z` == (u=z` => (u=z` == (
            ((z: Z) \Rightarrow ((\underline{}: U) \Rightarrow z)) \circ `u \Rightarrow z`
      )) ==:
      // definition o for functionCategory
      ('u=>z'=>(((z: Z) => ((_: U) => z)) \circ 'u=>z')(u)) ==:
      // definition o for functionCategory
      ('u=>z' => (_ => 'u=>z'(u))) ==:
      // lambda calculus eta conversion (U has only one value, u)  
      ('u=>z' => 'u=>z') ==:
      // definition unit for Fun
      unit ==:
      // definition upsilon
      upsilon[YEF[U][Z]] ==:
      // done
      qed
```

```
def functionFunctionalCategoryTripleAssociativityProof[Z]
     : Proof[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 YEF[U])[Z] => YEF[U][Z]] =
```

```
import functionFunctionalCategory.{f2a, yf, yef, mu}
```

```
(mu o mu) ==:
 // definition mu for functionFunctionalCategory
 ((('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => 'u=>(u=>z)'(u)) o (
    ('u=>(u=>(u=>z))': (YEF[U] O YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>(u=>z))`(u)
 // definition o for functionCategory
 (
          ('u=>(u=>z))': (YEF[U] O YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) =>
            'u=>(u=>(u=>z))'(u)(u)
 ) ==:
 // definition o for functionCategory
 (
          ('u=>(u=>(u=>z))': (YEF[U] O YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) =>
                ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => 'u=>(u=>z)'(u)
           ) o 'u=>(u=>(u=>z))')(u)
 ) ==:
 // definition o for functionCategory
  (((`u=>(u=>z)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>z)`(u)) o (
    ('u=>(u=>(u=>z))': (YEF[U] O YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) =>
          ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => 'u=>(u=>z)'(u)
     ) o 'u=>(u=>(u=>z))'
 )) ==:
 // definition yf
  (((`u=>(u=>z)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>z)`(u)) o yf(
    ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => 'u=>(u=>z)'(u)
 )) ==:
  // definition f2a for identityEndoFunctor
  (((`u=>(u=>z)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>z)`(u)) o f2a(
   yf(('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => 'u=>(u=>z)'(u))
 // definition yef
 (((`u=>(u=>z)`: (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => `u=>(u=>z)`(u)) o yef(
    ('u=>(u=>z)': (YEF[U] O YEF[U])[Z]) => 'u=>(u=>z)'(u)
  // definition mu for functionFunctionalCategory
 (mu o yef(mu)) ==:
 // done
 qed
```

3 Yoneda lemmas

3.1 Yoneda lemma for categories

3.2 Lemma

For all categories C, nodes Z of C and functors G from C to Set, natural transformations $\tau: YF_Z \to G$ correspond with elements of G(Z).

On the one hand, if $\tau : \mathbf{YF}_Z \to G$ is a natural transformation, and $gz \in G(Z)$ is defined as $\tau(z \to z)$, then $\sigma : \mathbf{YF}_Z \to G$, defined as $\sigma = z \to y \mapsto g(z \to y)(gz)$, is equal to τ .

On the other hand, if $gz \in G(Z)$, and $\tau : \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{F}_Z \to G$ is defined as $\tau = z \to y \mapsto g(z \to y)(gz)$, then τ is a natural transformation.

3.2.1 Scala code

```
package plp.proposition
import plp.notation.{Law, =:}
import plp.specification.{Category, Functor, NaturalTransformation}
import plp.implementation.specific.{Fun, functionCategory}
import plp.implementation.generic.{Yoneda, yonedaFunctor}
```

```
class YonedaLemma[
    Arr[-_, +_]: Category,
    Z,
    G[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr, Fun, G]
]:
```

```
val c = summon[Category[Arr]]

def g[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Fun[G[Z], G[Y]] =
    summon[Functor[Arr, Fun, G]].lift[Z, Y]

type YF = [Z] =>> [__] =>> Yoneda[Arr][Z][__]
```

```
def yonedaLemma1[Y]: (
    NaturalTransformation[Arr, Fun, YF[Z], G] => Law[Fun[YF[Z][Y], G[Y]]]
) =
  yfz2g =>
```

```
tau =:
sigma
```

3.2.2 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class YonedaLemmaProof[
   Z,
   Arr[-_, +_]: Category,
   G[+_]: [_[+_]] =>> Functor[Arr, Fun, G]
]:
```

```
val c = summon[Category[Arr]]
import c.'__-->__'
```

```
def g[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Fun[G[Z], G[Y]] =
   summon[Functor[Arr, Fun, G]].lift[Z, Y]

type YF = [Z] =>> [__] =>> Yoneda[Arr][Z][__]

def yf[Y, X]: Arr[Y, X] => Fun[YF[Z][Y], YF[Z][X]] = yonedaFunctor.lift
```

```
def yonedaLemma1Proof[Y]
  : NaturalTransformation[Arr, Fun, YF[Z], G] => (YF[Z][Y] => Proof[G[Y]]) =
  yfz2g =>
```

```
def 'z-->z'[Z] = '_--->__'[Z]

def tau[_]: Fun[YF[Z][_], G[_]] = yfz2g.transform

val 'g[z]' : G[Z] =
    tau('z-->z')

val yfz_2_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Fun, YF[Z], G] =
    new:
    def transform[_]: Fun[YF[Z][_], G[_]] =
    'z-->__' => g('z-->__')('g[z]')

def sigma[_]: Fun[YF[Z][_], G[_]] = yfz_2_g.transform
```

```
'z-->y ' =>
 tau('z-->y') ==:
   // rightIdentityLaw for Arr
    tau('z-->y' o 'z-->z') ==:
    // definition yf
    tau(yf('z-->y')('z-->z')) ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
    (tau \ o \ yf('z-->y'))('z-->z') ==:
    //\ {\tt naturalTransformationLaw}\ {\tt for}\ {\tt tau}
    (g('z-->y') o tau)('z-->z') ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
   g('z-->y')(tau('z-->z')) ==:
    // definition 'g[z]'
    g('z-->y')('g[z]') ==:
    // definition sigma
    sigma('z-->y') ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
def yonedaLemma2Proof[Y, X]
   : G[Z] => (Arr[Y, X] => (YF[Z][Y] => Proof[G[X]])) =
   'g[z]' =>
   'y-->x' =>
```

```
(g('y-->x') o tau)('z-->y') ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  g('y-->x')(tau('z-->y')) ==:
  // definition tau
  g('y-->x')(g('z-->y')('g[z]')) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  (g('y-->x') o g('z-->y'))('g[z]') ==:
  // compositionLaw for g
  (g('y-->x' o 'z-->y'))('g[z]') ==:
  // definition yf
  (g(yf('y-->x')('z-->y')))('g[z]') ==:
  // definition tau
  tau(yf('y-->x')('z-->y')) ==:
  // definition o for functionCategory
  (tau \ o \ yf('y-->x'))('z-->y') ==:
  // done
  qed
```

3.3 Pointfree Yoneda lemma for pre-functional categories

3.4 Lemma

For all pre-functional categories PFC, nodes Z of PFC, endofunctors G of PFC and natural transformations $\tau: YEF_Z \to G$, natural transformations $\eta G \circ \tau: YEF_Z \to YEF_U \circ G$ correspond with arrows of $(YEF_U \circ G)(Z)$.

On the one hand, if $\tau: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to G$ is a natural transformation, and $u \to g(z) \in (\mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G)(Z)$ is defined as $\tau \circ v \ge g v(z \to z)$, then $\sigma: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$, defined as $\sigma = f \ge a(z \to y \mapsto g(z \to y) \circ u \to g(z))$, is equal to $\eta G \circ \tau$.

On the other hand, if $u \rightarrow g(z) \in (\mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G)(Z)$, and $\tau : \mathbf{YEF}_Z \rightarrow \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$ is defined as $\tau = f2a(z \rightarrow y \mapsto g(z \rightarrow y) \circ u \rightarrow g(z))$, then τ is a natural transformation.

Both statements hold modulo composing with a global arrow $v \ge g v(z \rightarrow y)$.

3.4.1 Scala code

```
package plp.proposition

import plp.notation.{0, U, Law, =:}

import plp.specification.{
   PreFunctionalCategory,
   EndoFunctor,
   NaturalTransformation
}

import plp.implementation.generic.{composedFunctor, yonedaEndoFunctor}

import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
class PreFunctionalCategoryEndoYonedaLemma[
   Z,
   Arr[-_, +_]: PreFunctionalCategory,
   G[+_]: [G[+_]] =>> EndoFunctor[Arr, G]
]:
```

```
val pfc = summon[PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import pfc.{YEF}
def g[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[G[Z], G[Y]] = summon[EndoFunctor[Arr, G]].lift
```

```
def endoYonedaLemma1[Y]: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], G] => Law[
   Arr[YEF[Z][Y], (YEF[U] 0 G)[Y]]
] =
   yefz2g =>
```

```
import pfc.{'__-->__', v2gv, eta}
```

```
val 'z-->z' = '_--->__'[Z]

def tau[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], G[__]] = yefz2g.transform

val 'u-->g[z]' : (YEF[U] O G)[Z] =
   tau o v2gv('z-->z')

val yefz_2_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] O G] =
   new:
    def transform[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] =
        pfc.f2a('z--> __' => g('z--> __') o 'u-->g[z]')

def sigma[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] =
        yefz_2_g.transform
```

```
(eta[G[Y]] o tau) =:
    sigma
```

```
def endoYonedaLemma2[Y, X]
    : (YEF[U] 0 G)[Z] => (Arr[Y, X] => Law[Arr[YEF[Z][Y], (YEF[U] 0 G)[X]]]) =
    'u-->g[z]' =>
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
import pfc.{yef}
```

```
val yefz2g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] =
   new:
    def transform[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][_], (YEF[U] 0 G)[_]] =
        pfc.f2a('z--> __' => g('z--> __') o 'u-->g[z]')

def tau[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][_], (YEF[U] 0 G)[_]] = yefz2g.transform
```

```
((yef o g)('y-->x') o tau) =:
(tau o yef('y-->x'))
```

3.4.2 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class PreFunctionalCategoryEndoYonedaProofs[
   Z,
   Arr[-_, +_]: PreFunctionalCategory,
   G[+_]: [G[+_]] =>> EndoFunctor[Arr, G]
]:
```

```
val pfc = summon[PreFunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import pfc.{YEF}
```

```
def g[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[G[Z], G[Y]] = summon[EndoFunctor[Arr, G]].lift
```

```
def endoYonedaProof1[Y]: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], G] => (
    Arr[Z, Y] => Proof[(YEF[U] O YEF[U] O G)[Y]]
) =
    yefz2g =>
```

```
import pfc.{'__-->__', v2gv, yef, eta}
```

```
val 'z-->z' = '_--->__'[Z]

def tau[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], G[__]] = yefz2g.transform

val 'u-->g[z]' : (YEF[U] 0 G)[Z] =
   tau o v2gv('z-->z')

val yefz_2_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] =
   new:
   def transform[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] =
        pfc.f2a('z--> __' => g('z--> __') o 'u-->g[z]')

def sigma[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] =
   yefz_2_g.transform

def f2a[__] = pfc.f2a[Arr[Z, __], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]]
```

```
'z-->y' =>
 (eta[G[Y]] o tau o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // categoryRightIdentityLaw for Arr
   (eta o tau o v2gv('z-->y' o 'z-->z')) ==:
   // pointfreeYonedaProperty
   (eta o tau o yef('z-->y') o v2gv('z-->z')) ==:
   // naturalTransformationLaw for eta o tau
    ((yef o g)('z-->y') o eta o tau o v2gv('z-->z')) ==:
   // definition 'u-->g[z]'
   ((yef o g)('z-->y') o eta o 'u-->g[z]') ==:
   // preFunctionalCategoryEtaLaw
   ((yef o g)('z-->y') o v2gv('u-->g[z]')) ==:
   // definition o for functionCategory
   ((yef(g('z-->y')) o v2gv('u-->g[z]'))) ==:
    // pointfreeYonedaProperty
   (v2gv(g('z-->y') o 'u-->g[z]')) ==:
   // pointfreeApplicationProperty
    (f2a[Y]('z--> __ ' => g('z--> __ ') o 'u-->g[z]') o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition sigma
   (sigma o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
   // done
   qed
```

```
def endoYonedaProof2[Y, X]: (YEF[U] 0 G)[Z] => (
    Arr[Y, X] => (Arr[Z, Y] => Proof[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[X]])
) =
    'u-->g[z]' =>
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
import pfc.{v2gv, yef}
```

```
val yefz2g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] =
    new:
    def transform[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] =
        pfc.f2a('z--> __' => g('z--> __') o 'u-->g[Z]')

def tau[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] = yefz2g.transform

def f2a[__] = pfc.f2a[Arr[Z, __], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]]
```

```
'z-->y' =>
 ((yef o g)('y-->x') o tau o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition tau
    ((yef o g)('y-->x') o f2a[Y]('z--> __' =>
   g('z--> __') o 'u-->g[z]'
) o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // pointfreeApplicationProperty
    ((yef o g)('y-->x') o v2gv(g('z-->y') o 'u-->g[z]')) ==:
    // definition o for functionCategory
    (yef(g('y-->x')) \circ v2gv(g('z-->y') \circ 'u-->g[z]')) ==:
    // pointfreeYonedaProperty
    v2gv(g('y-->x') \circ g('z-->y') \circ 'u-->g[z]') ==:
    // functorCompositionLaw for g
    v2gv(g('y-->x' o 'z-->y') o 'u-->g[z]') ==:
    // pointfreeApplicationProperty
    (f2a[X]('z--> __' => g('z--> __') o 'u-->g[z]') o v2gv(
      'y-->x' o 'z-->y'
    )) ==:
    // definition tau
    (tau \ o \ v2gv('y-->x' \ o \ 'z-->y')) ==:
    // pointfreeYonedaProperty
    (tau o yef('y-->x') o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

3.5 Pointfree Yoneda lemma for functional categories

3.6 Lemma

For all functional categories FC, nodes Z of FC and endofunctors G of FC, natural transformations $\tau: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$ correspond with arrows of $(\mathbf{YEF}_U \circ \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G)(Z)$.

On the one hand, if $\tau: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$ is a natural transformation, and $u \to (u \to g(z)) \in (\mathbf{YEF}_U \circ \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G)(Z)$ is defined as $\tau \circ vzgv(z \to z)$, then $\sigma: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$, defined as $\sigma = \mu G \circ fza(z \to y \mapsto (\mathbf{y}_u \circ g)(z \to y) \circ u \to (u \to g(z)))$, is equal to τ .

As a corollary, if $\tau: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to G$ is a natural transformation, and $u \to (u \to g(z)) \in (\mathbf{YEF}_U \circ \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G)(Z)$ is defined as $\eta \circ \tau \circ vzgv(z \to z)$, then $\sigma: \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$, defined as $\sigma = \mu G \circ fza(z \to y \mapsto (\mathbf{y}_u \circ g)(z \to y) \circ u \to (u \to g(z)))$, is equal to $\eta \circ \tau$.

On the other hand, if $u \to (u \to g(z)) \in (\mathbf{YEF}_U \circ \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G)(Z)$, and $\tau : \mathbf{YEF}_Z \to \mathbf{YEF}_U \circ G$ is defined as $\tau = \mu G \circ f \otimes a(z \to y \mapsto (\mathbf{y}_u \circ g)(z \to y) \circ u \to (u \to g(z)))$, the τ is a natural transformation.

Both statements hold modulo composing with a global arrow $v = g v(z \rightarrow y)$.

3.6.1 Scala code

```
package plp.proposition
import plp.notation.{0, U, Law, =:}
import plp.specification.{
   FunctionalCategory,
   EndoFunctor,
   NaturalTransformation
}
import plp.implementation.generic.{composedFunctor, yonedaEndoFunctor}
import plp.implementation.specific.{functionCategory}
```

```
class FunctionalCategoryEndoYonedaLemma[
   Z,
   Arr[-_, +_]: FunctionalCategory,
   G[+_]: [G[+_]] =>> EndoFunctor[Arr, G]
]:
```

```
val fc = summon[FunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import fc.{YEF}
```

```
def g[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[G[Z], G[Y]] = summon[EndoFunctor[Arr, G]].lift
```

```
def endoYonedaLemma1[Y]
  : NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] O G] => Law[
    Arr[YEF[Z][Y], (YEF[U] O G)[Y]]
    ] =
    yz2yu_o_g =>
```

```
import fc.{'__-->__', v2gv, yef, mu}
```

```
val 'z-->z' = '_--->__'[Z]

def tau[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] = yz2yu_o_g.transform

val 'u-->(u-->g[z])' : (YEF[U] O YEF[U] O G)[Z] =
   tau o v2gv('z-->z')

val yz_2_yu_o_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] O G] =
   new:
   def transform[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] =
      mu o fc.f2a('z-->__' => (yef o g)('z-->__') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])')

def sigma[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] = yz_2_yu_o_g.transform
```

```
tau =:
sigma
```

```
def endoYonedaLemma2[Y, X]: (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[Z] => (
    Arr[Y, X] => Law[Arr[YEF[Z][Y], (YEF[U] 0 G)[X]]]
) =
    'u-->(u-->g[z])' =>
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
import fc.{yef, mu}
```

```
val yz2yu_o_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] =
   new:
    def transform[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] =
        mu o fc.f2a('z-->__' => (yef o g)('z-->__') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])')
```

```
def tau[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] = yz2yu_o_g.transform
```

```
((yef o g)('y-->x') o tau) =:
(tau o yef('y-->x'))
```

```
def endoYonedaLemma1Corollary[Y]
  : NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], G] => Law[
    Arr[YEF[Z][Y], (YEF[U] O G)[Y]]
    ] =
    yef2g =>
```

```
import fc.{eta}
```

```
def tau[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], G[__]] = yef2g.transform
```

```
endoYonedaLemma1[Y](
  new {
    override def transform[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] O G)[__]] =
    eta o tau
  }
)
```

3.6.2 Scala code

```
import plp.notation.{Proof, ==:, qed}
```

```
class FunctionalCategoryEndoYonedaProof[
   Z,
   Arr[-_, +_]: FunctionalCategory,
   G[+_]: [G[+_]] =>> EndoFunctor[Arr, G]
]:
```

```
val fc = summon[FunctionalCategory[Arr]]
import fc.{YEF}
```

```
def g[Z, Y]: Arr[Z, Y] => Arr[G[Z], G[Y]] = summon[EndoFunctor[Arr, G]].lift
```

```
def endoYonedaProof1[Y]
  : NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] => (
         Arr[Z, Y] => Proof[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[Y]]
  ) =
   yz2yu_o_g =>
```

```
import fc.{'__-->__', v2gv, yef, eta, mu}
```

```
val 'z-->z' = '_--->__'[Z]

def tau[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] = yz2yu_o_g.transform

def 'u-->(u-->g[z])' : (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[Z] =
   tau o v2gv('z-->z')

val yz_2_yu_o_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] =
   new:
    def transform[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] =
        mu o fc.f2a('z-->__' => (yef o g)('z-->__') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])')

def sigma[__]: Arr[YEF[Z][__], (YEF[U] 0 G)[__]] = yz_2_yu_o_g.transform

def f2a[__] = fc.f2a[Arr[Z, __], (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[__]]
```

```
'z-->y' =>
  (tau o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // categoryRightIdentityLaw for Arr
    (tau o v2gv('z-->y' o 'z-->z')) ==:
    // pointfreeYonedaProperty
    (tau o yef('z-->y') o v2gv('z-->z')) ==:
    // naturalTransformationLaw for tau
    ((yef o g)('z-->y') o tau o v2gv('z-->z')) ==:
    // definition 'u-->(u-->g[z])'
    ((yef o g)('z-->y') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])') ==:
    // functionalCategoryMuProperty
     (\  \, \text{mu o v2gv} \, ((\  \, \text{yef o g}) \, ((\  \, \text{'z-->y'})) \  \, \text{o 'u-->} \, (u-->g[z]) \, \, ')) \  \, == \, : \\
    // pointfreeApplicationProperty
     (\texttt{mu o f2a[Y](`z-->y` => (yef o g)(`z-->y`) o `u-->(u-->g[z])`) o v2gv(} \\
      'z-->y'
    )) ==:
    // definition sigma
    (sigma o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // done
    qed
```

```
def endoYonedaProof2[Y, X]: (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[Z] => (
    Arr[Y, X] => (Arr[Z, Y] => Proof[(YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[X]])
) =
    'u-->(u-->g[z])' =>
    'y-->x' =>
```

```
import fc.{v2gv, yef, mu}
```

```
val yz2yu_o_g: NaturalTransformation[Arr, Arr, YEF[Z], YEF[U] 0 G] =
    new:
        def transform[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][_], (YEF[U] 0 G)[_]] =
        mu o fc.f2a('z-->_' => (yef o g)('z-->_') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])')

def tau[_]: Arr[YEF[Z][_], (YEF[U] 0 G)[_]] = yz2yu_o_g.transform

def f2a[_] = fc.f2a[Arr[Z, __], (YEF[U] 0 YEF[U] 0 G)[_]]
```

```
'z-->y' =>
  ((yef o g)('y-->x') o tau o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // definition tau
    ((yef o g)('y-->x') o (mu o f2a[Y]('z-->y' =>
      (yef o g)('z-->y') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])'
    )) o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    // pointfreeApplicationProperty
    ((yef o g)('y-->x') o (mu o v2gv(
  (yef o g)('z-->y') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])'
    ))) ==:
    // mu property for (YEF[U], mu, eta)
((yef o g)('y-->x') o (yef o g)('z-->y') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])') ==:
    // functorCompositionProperty for yef o g
    ((yef o g)('y-->x' o 'z-->y') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])') ==:
    // functionalCategoryMuProperty
(mu o v2gv((yef o g)('y-->x' o 'z-->y') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])')) ==:
    // pointfreeApplicationProperty
    (mu o f2a[X]('z-->x' =>
      (yef o g)('z-->x') o 'u-->(u-->g[z])'
    ) o v2gv('y-->x' o 'z-->y')) ==:
    // definition tau
    (tau o v2gv('y-->x' o 'z-->y')) ==:
    // pointfreeYonedaProperty
    (tau o yef('y-->x') o v2gv('z-->y')) ==:
    qed
```

Index

category specification, 4	arrow, 3
arrow composition, 4	arrow type, 3
associativity law, 5	arrow value, 3
composition, 4	node, 2
identity, 4	
identity arrow, 4	natural transformation specification, 16
left identity law, 5	commutativity law, 17
right identity law, 5	composed natural transformation, 18
category specification code	left functor composed natural transformation, 21
composition, 5	right functor composed natural transformation, 23
unit, 5	natural transformation specification code
composable arrows, 2	natural transformation, 17
fonts	pre-functional category specification, 28
code, 2	η law, 30
declaration, 2	effectfree arrows, 28
definition, 2	effectful arrow, 28
function category, 6	functor, 28
composition, 6	functor law, 30
unit, 6	impure function, 28
function functional category, 38	pointfree application, 32
functor, 38	pointfree Yoneda, 32
function graph, 3	pre-triple, 28
functional category specification, 34	pre-triple law, 30
μ property, 36	pure function, 28
triple, 34	Yoneda endofunctor, 28
triple law, 35	pre-functional category specification code
functional category specification code	functor, 29
triple, 35	pre-triple, 29
functor specification, 8	pre-triple specification, 25
composed functor, 10	unit, 25
composition law, 9	pre-triple specification code
endofunctor, 10	endofunctor, 26
identity endofunctor, 13	natural transformation, 26
identity law, 9	set functional category
global value, 28	mu, 38
graph morphism specification, 3	set graph
arrow part, 3	arrow, 3
node part, 3	node, 3
graph morphism specification code	set graph code
arrow part, 4	function, 3
lifted arrow, 4	function type, 3
lifted type, 4	function value, 3
node part, 4	
graph specification, 2	triple specification, 26
arrow, 2	associativity law, 27
node, 2	left identity law, 27
source, 2	multiplication, 26
target, 2	right identity law, 27
graph specification code	triple specification code
	naliiral leansioemalion 77

type paramenter, 3

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{unnamed function parameter, 7} \\ \text{unnamed type parameter, 5} \end{array}$

variance, 3

Yoneda functor, 15

References

- [1] M. Barr and Ch. Wells, Category theory for computing science, 2nd ed. Prentice-Hall International Series in Computer Science, 1999.
- [2] Mac Lane, Saunders, Categories for the Working Mathematician, vol-5, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [3] Benjamin C. Pierce, Types and Programming Languages. MIT Press, 2002.
- [4] Simon Peyton Jones, Haskell 98 language and libraries: the Revised Report. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [5] Martin Odersky, Lex Spoon, Bill Venners, and Frank Sommers, Programming in Scala, 5th ed. Artima, 2021.