

PSYDOGE BEP20 TOKEN SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT

Contents

Commission	3
Disclaimer	4
PSYDOGE BEP20 Properties	5
Contract Functions	6
View	6
Executables	6
Owner Executables	7
Checklist	8
Owner privileges	9
PSYDOGE BEP20 Contract	9
Quick Stats:	13
Executive Summary	14
Code Quality	14
Documentation	14
Use of Dependencies	15
Audit Findings	15
Critical	15
High	15
Medium	15
Low	15
Conclusion	17
Our Methodology	17
Disclaimers	18
Privacy Arbitech Solutions Disclaimer	18
Technical Disclaimer	

Commission

Audited Project	PSYDOGE BEP20 Token
Contract Owner	0x277d9af08caba42dec4228418957b2934bf75635
Smart Contract	0x04430312bdcedf80fC13A05C49613EFE5133bE99
Blockchain	Binance Main Smart Chain

Arbitech Solutions was commissioned by PSYDOGE BEP20 Token owners to perform an audit of their main smart contract. The purpose of the audit was to achieve the following:

- Ensure that the smart contract functions as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contract.

The information in this report should be used to understand the risk exposure of the smart contract, and as a guide to improve the security posture of the smart contract by remediating the issues that were identified.

Disclaimer

This is a limited report on our finding based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the disclaimer below please make sure to read it in full.

DISCLAIMER: By reading this report or any part of it, you agree to the terms of this disclaimer. If you do not agree to the terms, then please immediately cease reading this report, and delete and destroy any and all copies of this report downloaded and/or printed by you. This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis, and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Arbitech Solutions and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers and other representatives) (Arbitech Solutions) owe no duty of care towards you or any other person, nor does Arbitech Solutions make any warranty or representation to any person on the accuracy or completeness of the report. The report is provided "as is", without any conditions, warranties or other terms of any kind except as set out in this disclaimer, and Arbitech Solutions hereby excludes all representations, warranties, conditions and other terms (including, without limitation, the warranties implied by law of satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and the use of reasonable care and skill) which, but for this clause, might have effect in relation to the report. Except and only to the extent that it is prohibited by law, Arbitech Solutions hereby excludes all liability and responsibility, and neither you nor any other person shall have any claim against Arbitech Solutions, for any amount or kind of loss or damage that may result to you or any other person (including without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or pure economic loss or damages, or any loss of income, profits, goodwill, data, contracts, use of money, or business interruption, and whether in delict, tort (including without limitation negligence), contract, breach of statutory duty, misrepresentation (whether innocent or negligent) or otherwise under any claim of any nature whatsoever in any jurisdiction) in any way arising from or connected with this report and the use, inability to use or the results of use of this report, and any reliance on this report. The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security.

PSYDOGE BEP20 Properties

Contract name	PSYDOGE BEP20 Token
Contract address	0x04430312bdcedf80fC13A05C49613EFE5133bE99
Total supply	10000000
Token ticker	PSYD
Decimals	18
Token holders	1
Transaction's count	1
Top 100 holder's dominance	100%
Liquidity fee	1
Total fees	5
Mintable	Yes
Burnable	Yes
Contract deployer address	0x277D9Af08caba42Dec4228418957B2934bF75635
Contract's current owner	0x277d9af08caba42dec4228418957b2934bf75635
address	
Liquidity Wallet Address	0x277d9af08caba42dec4228418957b2934bf75635
PancakeswapV2Pair	0x3232fdc38bf7203fb0c25cdb22c8d73f3e6aedd9
PancakeswapV2Router	0x10ed43c718714eb63d5aa57b78b54704e256024e

Contract Functions

View

- i. function owner() public view returns (address)
- ii. function name() public view virtual override returns (string memory)
- iii. function symbol() public view virtual override returns (string memory)
- iv. function decimals() public view virtual override returns (uint8)
- v. function totalSupply() public view virtual override returns (uint256)
- vi. function balanceOf(address account) public view virtual override returns (uint256)
- vii. function allowance(address owner, address spender) public view virtual override returns (uint256)
- viii. function get(Map storage map, address key) public view returns (uint)
- ix. function getIndexOfKey(Map storage map, address key) public view returns (int)
- X. function getKeyAtIndex(Map storage map, uint index) public view returns (address)
- xi. function size(Map storage map) public view returns (uint)
- xii. function dividendOf(address owner) public view override returns(uint256)
- XIII. function withdrawableDividendOf(address owner) public view override returns(uint256)
- xiv. function withdrawnDividendOf(address _owner) public view override returns(uint256)
- xv. function accumulativeDividendOf(address _owner) public view override returns(uint256)
- xvi. function is Excluded From Fees (address account) public view returns (bool)
- XVII. function is Excluded From Dividends (address account) public view returns (bool)
- xviii. function withdrawableDividendOf(address account) public view returns(uint256)
- xix. function dividendTokenBalanceOf(address account) public view returns (uint256)
- XX. function getAccount(address _account) public view returns
- xxi. function getAccountAtIndex(uint256 index) public view returns

Executables

- i. function claim()
- ii. function decreaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 subtractedValue)
- iii. function excludeFromDividend(address account)
- iv. function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue)
- v. function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount)
- vi. function transferFrom(address sender, address recipient, uint256 amount)

Owner Executables

•		_		-			
1.	function	renounce()	wnershir)() ·	nublic	virtual	onlyOwner

- ii. function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner
- iii. function excludeFromDividends(address account) external onlyOwner
- iv. function setSellFactor(uint256 newFactor) external onlyOwner
- v. function setSwapAtAmount(uint256 newAmount) external onlyOwner
- vi. function excludeMultipleAccountsFromFees(address[] calldata accounts, bool excluded) public onlyOwner
- vii. function changeMaxSellAmount(uint256 newAmount) external onlyOwner
- viii. function setAutomatedMarketMakerPair(address pair, bool value) public onlyOwner
- ix. function updateLiquidityWallet(address newLiquidityWallet) public onlyOwner
- x. function updateClaimWait(uint256 claimWait) external onlyOwner
- xi. function excludeFromDividends(address account) external onlyOwner
- xii. function updateClaimWait(uint256 newClaimWait) external onlyOwner
- xiii. function setBalance(address payable account, uint256 newBalance) external onlyOwner
- xiv. function processAccount(address payable account, bool automatic) public onlyOwner returns (bool)

Checklist

Compiler errors.	Passed		
Possible delays in data delivery.	Passed		
Timestamp dependence.	Low Severity		
Integer Overflow and Underflow.	Passed		
DoS with Revert.	Passed		
DoS with block gas limit.	Passed		
Methods execution permissions.	Mid Severity		
Economy model of the contract.	Passed		
Private user data leaks.	Passed		
Malicious Events Log.	Passed		
Scoping and Declarations.	Passed		
Uninitialized storage pointers.	Passed		
Arithmetic accuracy.	Passed		
Design Logic.	Passed		
Cross-function race conditions.	Passed		
Fallback function security.	Passed		
Safe Open Zeppelin contracts and implementation usage.	Passed		
Whitepaper-Website-Contract correlation.	Low Severity		

Owner privileges

PSYDOGE BEP20 Contract

Leaves the contract without owner. It will not be possible to call `onlyOwner` functions anymore. Can only be called by the current owner. Renouncing ownership will leave the contract without an owner thereby removing any functionality that is only available to the owner.

```
function renounceOwnership() public virtual onlyOwner {
   emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, address(0));
   _owner = address(0);
}
```

Transfers ownership of the contract to a new account (`newOwner`). Can only be called by the current owner.

```
function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner {
    require(newOwner != address(0), "Ownable: new owner is the zero address");
    emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, newOwner);
    _owner = newOwner;
}
```

This will increase approval number of tokens to spender address. "spender" is the address whose allowance will increase and "addedValue" are number of tokens which are going to be added in current allowance. approve should be called when _allowances[spender] == 0. To increment allowed value is better to use this function to avoid 2 calls (and wait until the first transaction is mined).

```
function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) public returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender].add(addedValue));
    return true;
}
```

Exclude the account from dividends and only contract owner can call this function.

```
function excludeFromDividends(address account) external onlyOwner {
    dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(account);
}
```

Owner can add new sell factors

```
function setSellFactor(uint256 newFactor) external onlyOwner {
   sellFeeIncreaseFactor = newFactor;
}
```

Owner can set new set swap amount.

```
function setSwapAtAmount(uint256 newAmount) external onlyOwner {
    swapTokensAtAmount = newAmount * (10**18);
}
```

Approve the passed address to spend the specified number of tokens on behalf of msg. sender. "spender" is the address which will spend the funds. "amount" the number of tokens to be spent.

Beware that changing an allowance with this method brings the risk that someone may use both the old and the new allowance by unfortunate transaction ordering. One possible solution to mitigate this race condition is to first reduce the spender's allowance to 0 and set the desired value afterwards.

```
function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) public virtual override returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, amount);
    return true;
}
```

Owner can exclude accounts from fees. "address[] account" is list of account which ais going to exclude from fees.

```
function excludeMultipleAccountsFromFees(address[] calldata accounts, bool excluded) public onlyOwner {
    for(uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
        _isExcludedFromFees[accounts[i]] = excluded;
    }
    emit ExcludeMultipleAccountsFromFees(accounts, excluded);
}</pre>
```

Owner can set max sell amount.

```
function changeMaxSellAmount(uint256 newAmount) external onlyOwner {
   maxSellTransactionAmount = newAmount * (10**18);
}
```

This will decrease approval number of tokens to spender address. "spender" is the address whose allowance will decrease and "subtractedValue" are number of tokens which are going to be subtracted from current allowance.

```
function decreaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 subtractedValue) public returns (bool) {
    _approve(
        _msgSender(),
        spender,
        _allowances[_msgSender()][spender].sub(subtractedValue, 'BEP20: decreased allowance below zero')
    );
    return true;
}
```

Owner can set automatic market pairs.

```
function setAutomatedMarketMakerPair(address pair, bool value) public onlyOwner {
    require(pair != uniswapV2Pair, "The Uniswap pair cannot be removed from automatedMarketMakerPairs");
    _setAutomatedMarketMakerPair(pair, value);
}
```

function will transfer token for a specified address. recipient is the address to transfer' to. amount is the amount to be transferred.

```
function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount) public override returns (bool) {
    _transfer(_msgSender(), recipient, amount);
    return true;
}
```

Owner can add new liquidity wallet. If the liquidity wallet is already added it will show an error.

```
function updateLiquidityWallet(address newLiquidityWallet) public onlyOwner {
    require(newLiquidityWallet != liquidityWallet, "PSYD: The liquidity wallet is already this address");
    excludeFromFees(newLiquidityWallet, true);
    emit LiquidityWalletUpdated(newLiquidityWallet, liquidityWallet);
    liquidityWallet = newLiquidityWallet;
}
```

Transfer tokens from one address to another. "sender" is the address which you want to send tokens from. "recipient" is the address which you want to transfer to. "amount" is the number of tokens to be transferred.

```
function transferFrom(address sender, address recipient, uint256 amount) public override returns (bool) {
    _transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
    _approve(sender, _msgSender(), _allowances[sender][_msgSender()].sub(amount, 'TORII: transfer amount exceeds allowance')
    return true;
}
```

Owner can update the claim time.

```
function updateClaimWait(uint256 claimWait) external onlyOwner {
    dividendTracker.updateClaimWait(claimWait);
}
```

Owner can add account and set new balances.

```
function setBalance(address payable account, uint256 newBalance) external onlyOwner {
    if(excludedFromDividends[account]) {
        return;
    }
    if(newBalance >= minimumTokenBalanceForDividends) {
            _setBalance(account, newBalance);
            tokenHoldersMap.set(account, newBalance);
    }
    else {
        _setBalance(account, 0);
            tokenHoldersMap.remove(account);
    }
    processAccount(account, true);
}
```

Quick Stats:

Main Category	Subcategory	Result
Contract	Solidity version not specified	Passed
Programming	Solidity version too old	Passed
	Integer overflow/underflow	Passed
	Function input parameters lack of check	Passed
	Function input parameters check bypass	Passed
	Function access control lacks management	Passed
	Critical operation lacks event log	Passed
	Human/contract checks bypass	Passed
	Random number generation/use vulnerability	Passed
	Fallback function misuse	Passed
	Race condition	Passed
	Logical vulnerability	Passed
	Other programming issues	Passed
Code Specification	Visibility not explicitly declared	Passed
	Var. storage location not explicitly declared	Passed
	Use keywords/functions to be deprecated	Passed
	Other code specification issues	Passed
Gas Optimization	Assert () misuse	Passed
	High consumption 'for/while' loop	Passed
	High consumption 'storage' storage	Passed
	"Out of Gas" Attack	Passed
Business Risk	The maximum limit for mintage not set	Passed
	"Short Address" Attack	Passed
	"Double Spend" Attack	Passed

Overall Audit Result: PASSED

Executive Summary

According to the standard audit assessment, Customer's solidity smart contract is Well-secured. Again, it is recommended to perform an Extensive audit assessment to bring a more assured conclusion.



We used various tools like Mythril, Slither and Remix IDE. At the same time this finding is based on critical analysis of the manual audit.

All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the Quick Stat section.

We found 0 critical, 0 high, 0 medium and 1 low level issues.

Code Quality

The PSYDOGE BEP20 Token protocol consists of one smart contract. It has other inherited contracts like BEP20, Pausable. These are compact and well written contracts. Libraries used in PSYDOGE BEP20 Token are part of its logical algorithm. They are smart contracts which contain reusable code. Once deployed on the blockchain (only once), it is assigned a specific address and its properties / methods can be reused many times by other contracts in protocol. The ARBITECH SOLUTIONS team has **not** provided scenario and unit test scripts, which would help to determine the integrity of the code in an automated way.

Overall, the code is not commented. Commenting can provide rich documentation for functions, return variables and more.

Documentation

As mentioned above, it's recommended to write comments in the smart contract code, so anyone can quickly understand the programming flow as well as complex code logic. We were given a PSYDOGE BEP20 Token smart contract code in the form of File.

Use of Dependencies

As per our observation, the libraries are used in this smart contract infrastructure that are based on well-known industry standard open-source projects. And even core code blocks are written well and systematically. This smart contract does not interact with other external smart contracts.

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to token loss etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

Audit Findings

Critical

No critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

<u>High</u>

No high severity vulnerabilities were found.

Medium

No Medium severity vulnerabilities were found.

Low

(1) Compiler version can be upgraded.

pragma solidity ^0.6.2;

Although this does not raise any security vulnerability, using the latest compiler version can help to prevent any compiler level bugs.

(2) Approve ()

Approve the passed address to spend the specified number of tokens on behalf of msg. sender. "spender" is the address which will spend the funds. "amount" the number of tokens to be spent.

Beware that changing an allowance with this method brings the risk that someone may use both the old and the new allowance by unfortunate transaction ordering. One possible solution to mitigate this race condition is to first reduce the spender's allowance to 0 and set the desired value afterwards.

```
function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) public override returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, amount);
    return true;
}
```

(3) IncreaseAllowance ()

This will increase approval number of tokens to spender address. "spender" is the address whose allowance will increase and "addedValue" are number of tokens which are going to be added in current allowance. approve should be called when _allowances[spender] == 0. To increment allowed value is better to use this function to avoid 2 calls (and wait until the first transaction is mined).

```
function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) public virtual returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender].add(addedValue));
    return true;
}

function increaseApproval(address _spender, uint _addedValue) public returns (bool) {
    allowed[msg.sender][_spender] = allowed[msg.sender][_spender].add(_addedValue);
    Approval(msg.sender, _spender, allowed[msg.sender][_spender]);
    return true;
}
```

Solution: This issue is acknowledged.

Conclusion

The Smart Contract code passed the audit successfully on the Binance Mainnet with some considerations to take. There were three low severity warnings raised meaning that they should be taken into consideration but if the confidence in the owner is good, they can be dismissed. The last change is advisable in order to provide more security to new holders. Nonetheless this is not necessary if the holders and/or investors feel confident with the contract owners. We were given a contract code. And we have used all possible tests based on given objects as files. So, it is good to go for production.

Since possible test cases can be unlimited for such extensive smart contract protocol, hence we provide no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual observations to cover maximum possible test cases to scan everything. Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. Smart Contract's high-level description of functionality was presented in Quick Stat section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security state of the reviewed contract is "Well Secured".

Our Methodology

We like to work with a transparent process and make our reviews a collaborative effort. The goals of our security audits are to improve the quality of systems we review and aim for sufficient remediation to help protect users. The following is the methodology we use in our security audit process.

Manual Code Review:

In manually reviewing all of the code, we look for any potential issues with code logic, error handling, protocol and header parsing, cryptographic errors, and random number generators. We also watch for areas where more defensive programming could reduce the risk of future mistakes and speed up future audits. Although our primary focus is on the in-scope code, we examine dependency code and behavior when it is relevant to a particular line of investigation.

Vulnerability Analysis:

Our audit techniques included manual code analysis, user interface interaction, and whitebox penetration testing. We look at the project's web site to get a high-level understanding of what functionality the software under review provides. We then meet with the developers to gain an appreciation of their vision of the software. We install and use the relevant software, exploring the user interactions and roles. While we do this, we brainstorm threat models and attack surfaces. We read design documentation, review other audit results, search for similar projects, examine source code dependencies, skim open issue tickets, and generally investigate details other than the implementation.

Documenting Results:

We follow a conservative, transparent process for analyzing potential security vulnerabilities and seeing them through successful remediation. Whenever a potential issue is discovered, we immediately create an Issue entry for it in this document, even though we have not yet verified the feasibility and impact of the issue. This process is conservative because we document our suspicions early even if they are later shown to not represent exploitable vulnerabilities. We generally follow a process of first documenting the suspicion with unresolved questions, then confirming the issue through code analysis, live experimentation, or automated tests. Code analysis is the most tentative, and we strive to provide test code, log captures, or screenshots demonstrating our confirmation. After this we analyze the feasibility of an attack in a live system.

Suggested Solutions:

We search for immediate mitigations that live deployments can take, and finally we suggest the requirements for remediation engineering for future releases. The mitigation and remediation recommendations should be scrutinized by the developers and deployment engineers, and successful mitigation and remediation is an ongoing collaborative process after we deliver our report, and before the details are made public.

Disclaimers

Privacy Arbitech Solutions Disclaimer

Arbitech Solutions team has analyzed this smart contract in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

Due to the fact that the total number of test cases are unlimited, the audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug free status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only. We also suggest conducting a bug bounty program to confirm the high level of security of this smart contract.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on the blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks.

Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.