Reference Sheet for CO142.2 Discrete Mathematics II

Spring 2017

1 Graphs

Defintions

- 1. Graph: set of N nodes and A arcs such that each $a \in A$ is associated with an unordered pair of nodes.
- 2. Simple graph: no parallel arcs and no loops.
- 3. Degree: number of arcs incident on given node.
 - (a) Sum of degrees of all nodes in a graph is even.
 - (b) Number of nodes with odd degree is even.
- 4. Subgraph: G_S is a subgraph of G iff nodes $(G_S) \subseteq \text{nodes } (G)$ and $\text{arcs } (G_S) \subseteq \text{arcs } (G)$.
- 5. Full (induced) subgraph: contains all arcs of G which join nodes present in G_S .
- 6. Spanning subgraph: nodes (G_S) = nodes (G).

Representation

- 1. Adjacency matrix: symmetric matrix with number of arcs between nodes, count each loop twice.
- 2. Adjacency list: array of linked lists, if multiple arcs then multiple entries, count loops once.
- 3. An adjacency matrix has size n^2 , an adjacency list has size $\leq n + 2a$ (better for sparse graphs).

Isomorphisms

- 1. Isomorphism: bijection f on nodes together with bijection g on arcs such that each arc a between nodes n_1 and n_2 maps to the node g(a) between nodes $f(n_1)$ and $f(n_2)$. Same adjacency matrix (possibly reordered).
- 2. Automorphism: isomorphism from a graph to itself.

Testing for isomorphisms:

- 1. Check number of nodes, arcs and loops. Check degrees of nodes.
- 2. Attempt to find a bijection on nodes. Check using adjacency matrices.

Finding the number of automorphisms: find number of possibilities for each node in turn. Then multiply together.

Planar Graphs

- 1. Planar: can be drawn so that no arcs cross.
- 2. Kuratowski's thm: a graph is planar iff it does not contain a subgraph homeomorphic to K_5 or $K_{3,3}$. Homeomorphic: can be obtained from the graph by replacing the arc x y by x z y where required.
- 3. For a planar graph, F = A N + 2.

Graph Colouring

- 1. k-colourable: nodes can be coloured using no more than k colours.
- 2. Four colour thm: every simple planar graph can be coloured with at most four colours.
- 3. *Bipartite*: nodes can be partitioned into two sets such that no two nodes from the same set are joined. Bipartite is equivalent to 2-colourable.

Paths and Connectedness

- 1. Path: sequence of adjacent arcs.
- 2. Connected: there is a path joining any two nodes.
- 3. $x \sim y$ iff there is a path from x to y. The equivalence classes of \sim are the connected components.
- 4. Cycle: path which finishes where it starts, has at least one arc, does not use the same arc twice.
- 5. Acyclic: graph with no cycles.
- 6. Euler path: path which uses each arc exactly once. Exists iff number of odd nodes is 0 or 2.
- 7. Euler circuit: cycle which uses each arc exactly once. Exists iff every node is even.
- 8. Hamiltonian path: path which visits every node exactly once.
- 9. Hamiltonian circuit: HP which returns to start node.

Trees

- 1. Tree: acyclic, connected, rooted (has distinguished / root node) graph.
 - (a) Exists a unique non-repeating path between any two nodes.
 - (b) A tree with n nodes has n-1 arcs.
- 2. Depth: distance of node (along unique path) from root.
- 3. Spanning tree: spanning subgraph which is a non-rooted tree (lowest-cost network which still connects the nodes). All connected graphs have spanning trees, not necessarily unique.
- 4. *Minimum spanning tree*: spanning tree, no other spanning tree has smaller weight. *Weight* of spanning tree is sum of weights of arcs.

Directed Graphs

- 1. Graph: set of N nodes and A arcs such that each $a \in A$ is associated with an ordered pair of nodes.
- 2. Indegree: number of arcs entering given node.
- 3. Outdegree: number of arcs leaving given node.
- 4. Sum of indegrees = sum of outdegrees = number of arcs.

Weighted Graphs Weighted graph: simple graph G together with a weight function $W : arcs(G) \to \mathbb{R}^+$.

2 Graph Algorithms

2.1 Traversing a Graph

2.1.1 Depth First Search

```
#### Depth First Search ####

visited[x] = true
print x
for y in adj[x]:  # Follow first available arc
  if not visited[y]:
    parent[y] = x
    dfs(y)  # Repeat from the discovered node
    # Once all arcs followed, backtrack
```

2.1.2 Breadth First Search

```
#### Breadth First Search ####

visited[x] = true
print x
enqueue(x,Q)
while not isEmpty(Q):
    y = front(Q)
    for z in adj[y]:  # Follow all available arcs
    if not visited[z]:
       visited[z] = true
       print z
       parent[z] = y
        enqueue (z,Q)  # Repeat for each discovered node
    dequeue(Q)
```

For both methods, each node is processed once, each adjacency is list processed once, giving running time of O(n+a).

Applications

- 1. Find if a graph is connected.
- 2. Find if a graph is cyclic: use DFS, if we find a node that we have already visited (except by backtracking) there is a cycle.
- 3. Find distance from start node: BFS with a counter.

2.2 Finding Minimum Spanning Trees

2.2.1 Prim's Algorithm

```
#### Prim's Algorithm ####
                                   # Choose any node as start
tree[start] = true
                                   # Initialise tree as start
for x in adj[start]:
                                   # and fringe as adjacent nodes
 fringe[x] = true
 parent[x] = start
 weight[x] = W[start,x]
while fringe nonempty:
 f = argmin(weight)
                                   # Select node f from fringe
                                   # s.t. weight is minimum
 tree[f] = true
                                   # Add f to tree
 fringe[f] = false
                                   # and remove from fringe
 for y in adj[f]:
   if not tree[v]:
     if fringe[y]:
                                   # Update min weights
                                   # for nodes already in fringe
        if W[f,y] < weight[y]:</pre>
          weight[y] = W[f,y]
          parent[y] = f
                                   # and add any unseen nodes
      else:
                                   # adjacent to f to fringe
        fringe[y] = true
        weight[y] = W[f,y]
        parent[v] = f
```

Method takes $O(n^2)$ time. For each node, we check at most n nodes to find f.

Correctness of Prim's Inductive step: Assume that $T_k \subseteq T'$ where T' is some MST of a graph G. Suppose we choose $a_{k+1} \notin \operatorname{arcs}(T')$, between nodes x and y. There must be another path from x to y. So this path uses another arc a that crosses the fringe - but $W(a_{k+1}) \leq W(a)$ so $T_{k+1} \subseteq T'$.

Implementation of Prim's with Priority Queues Using binary heap implementation, operations are $O(\log n)$ except is Empty and getMin which are O(1). This gives $O(m \log n)$ overall - better for sparse graphs.

2.2.2 Kruskal's Algorithm

```
#### Kruskal's Algorithm ####

# Assign nodes of G numbers 1..n

Q = ... # Build a PQ of arcs with weights as keys
```

```
sets = UFcreate(n)
                         # Initialise Union-Find with singletons
F = \{\}
                         # Initialise emptu forest
while not isEmpty(Q):
                         # Choose the arc of least weight
 (x,y) = getMin(Q)
  deleteMin(Q)
  x' = find(sets, x)
                         # Find which components it connects
 y' = find(sets,y)
 if x' != v':
                         # If components are different/no cycle made
   add (x,y) to F
                         # add arc to forest
    union(sets,x',y')
                         # and merge two components
```

Implementation of Kruskal's with Non-binary Trees

- 1. Each set stored as non-binary tree, where root node represents leader of set.
- 2. Merge sets by appending one tree to another. We want to limit depth, so always append tree of lower size to one of greater size.
- 3. Depth of a tree of size k is then $\leq \lfloor \log k \rfloor$.

Gives $O\left(m\log m\right)$ which, since m is bounded by n^2 , is equivalent to $O\left(m\log n\right)$. Better for sparse graphs.

Improvements for Kruskal's with Path Compression

- 1. When finding root/leader of component containing the node x, if it is not parent [x], then we make parent [y] = root for all y on the path from x to the root.
- 2. This gives $O((n+m)\log^* n)$ where $\log^* n$ is a very slowly growing function.

2.3 Shortest Path Problem

2.3.1 Dijkstra's Algorithm

```
tree[f] = true
                                       # and add to tree
 for y in adj[f]:
                                       # For adi nodes
   if not tree[v]:
                                       # if in fringe, update distance
     if fringe[y]:
       if distance[f] + W[f,y] < distance[y]:</pre>
          distance[y] = distance[f] + W[f,y]
          parent[y] = f
                                       # otherwise, add to fringe
      else:
        fringe[y] = true
        distance[y] = distance[f] + W[f,y]
        parent[y] = f
return distance[finish]
```

Requires $O(n^2)$ steps (or $O(m \log n)$ with PQ).

Correctness Prove by the following invariant:

- 1. If x is a tree or fringe node, then parent [x] is a tree node.
- 2. If x is a tree node, then distance [x] is the length of the shortest path and parent [x] is its predecessor along that path.
- 3. If f is a fringe node then distance [f] is the length of the shortest path where all nodes except f are tree nodes.

2.3.2 Floyd's Algorithm

```
#### Floud's Algorithm ####
                                   # Input adj matrix
B[i,j]
 if i = j
            : 0
 if A[i,j] > 0: A[i,j]
 otherwise : INFINITY
                                   # Init shortest paths via no nodes
for k = 1 to n:
 for i = 1 to n:
   for j = 1 to n:
      B[i,j] = min(B[i,j],
                                   # New shortest path is direct
                                   # or concat shortest paths via k
              B[i,k] + B[k,j]
return B
```

Warshall's algorithm is the same but uses Boolean values (determines whether a path exists). Both have complexity $O(n^3)$.

Correctness We discuss the *inductive step*: Suppose there is a shortest path p from i to j using nodes with identifiers < k, of length d. Either:

- 1. k is not an intermediate node of p. Then $B_{k-1}[i,j] = d$ already.
- 2. k is an intermediate node of p. Then $B_{k-1}[i,j] = B_{k-1}[i,k] + B_{k-1}[k,j]$ since these give shortest paths to and from k.

Dynamic Programming Both algorithms are examples of dynamic programming:

- 1. Break down the main problem into sub-problems.
- 2. Sub-problems are ordered and culminate in the main problem.

2.4 The Travelling Salesman Problem

Problem Given a *complete* weighted graph, find a minimum weight tour of the graph visiting each node exactly once.

2.4.1 Bellman-Held-Karp Algorithm

```
#### Bellman-Held-Karp Algorithm ####
start = ...
                                      # Choose some start node
for x in Nodes \ {start}:
                                      # Set costs on empty set
 C[\{\},x] = W[start,x]
                                      # as direct weight from start
for S in subsets(Nodes \ {start}):
                                      # For sets S of increasing size
 for x in Nodes \ (S union {start}): # for each node x out of set
   c[S,x] = INFINITY
   for y in S:
                                       # update the cost to minimum
        = min(C[S \setminus \{y\},y] + W[y,x], \# that visits all nodes in S
          C[S,x]
                                      # and then x
opt = INFINITY
for x in Nodes \ {start}:
                                      # Then choose the min value
                                      # for an S without x plus
  opt = min(C[Nodes \ {start,x},x]
        + W[x,start],opt)
                                      # weight of x from start
return opt
```

Dynamic programming approach that requires $O(n^22^n)$ steps.

2.4.2 Nearest Neighbour Algorithm

Always choose the the shortest available arc. Not optimal but has $O\left(n^2\right)$ complexity.

3 Algorithm Analysis

3.1 Searching Algorithms

3.1.1 Searching an Unordered List L

For an element x:

- 1. If x in L return k s.t. L[k] = x.
- 2. Otherwise return "not found".

Optimal Algorithm: Linear Search Inspect elements in turn. With input size n we have time complexity of n in worst case.

Linear search is optimal. Consider any algorithm A which solves the search problem:

- 1. Claim: if A returns "not found" then it must have inspected every entry of L.
- 2. Proof: suppose for contradiction that A did not inspect some L[k]. On an input L' where L'[k] = x, A will return "not found", which is wrong. So in worst case n comparisons are needed.

3.1.2 Searching an Ordered List L

Optimal Algorithm: Binary Search Where W(n) is the number of inspections required for a list of length n, W(1) = 1 and $W(n) = 1 + W(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$. Gives $W(n) = 1 + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$.

- 1. Proposition: if a binary tree has depth d, then it has $\leq 2^{d+1} 1$ nodes.
- 2. Base Case: depth 0 has less than or equal to 2-1=1 node. True.
- 3. Inductive Step: assume true for d. Suppose tree has depth d+1. Children have depth $\leq d$ and so $\leq 2^{d+1}-1$ nodes. Total number of nodes $\leq 1+2\times (2^{d+1}-1)=2^{(d+1)+1}-1$.
- 4. Claim: any algorithm A must do as many comparisons as binary search.
- 5. Proof: the tree for algorithm A has n nodes. If depth is d then $n \leq 2^{d+1} 1$. Hence $d+1 \geq \lceil \log{(n+1)} \rceil$. For binary search, $W(n) = 1 + \lfloor \log{n} \rfloor$ which is equivalent to $\lceil \log{(n+1)} \rceil$.

3.2 Orders

- 1. f is O(g) if $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. $[\forall n \geq m. (f(n) \leq c \times g(n))]$.
- 2. f is $\Theta(g)$ iff f is O(g) and g is O(f).

3.3 Divide and Conquer

- 1. Dvidie problem into b subproblems of size n/c.
- 2. Solve each subproblem recursively.
- 3. Combine to get the result.

E.g. Strassen's Algorithm for Matrix Multiplication

- 1. Add extra row and or column to keep dimension even.
- 2. Divide up matrices into four quadrants, each $n/2 \times n/2$.
- 3. Compute each quadrant result with just 7 multiplications (instead of 8).

3.4 Sorting Algorithms

3.4.1 Insertion Sort

- 1. Insert L[i] into L[0..i-1] in the correct position. Then L[0..i] is sorted.
- 2. This takes between 1 and i comparisons.
- 3. Worst case: $W(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ (occurs when in reverse order). Order $\Theta(n^2)$.

3.4.2 Merge Sort

- 1. Divide rougly in two.
- 2. Sort each half seperately (by recursion).
- 3. Merge two halves.
- 4. Worst case: $W\left(n\right) = n-1+W\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right)+W\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) = n\log\left(n\right)-n+1$ assuming n can be written as 2^k .

3.4.3 Quick Sort

- 1. Split around the first element.
- 2. Sort the two sides recursively.
- 3. Worst case: $W(n) = n 1 + W(n 1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ (occurs when already sorted).
- 4. Average case: $A(n) = n 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} (A(s-1) + A(n-s)) = n 1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} A(i)$, assuming each position s is equally likely. A(n) is order $\Theta(n \log n)$.

3.4.4 Heap Sort

- 1. Add elements to a priority queue, implemented with binary heap. Read off the queue.
- 2. Is $\Theta(n \log n)$ also can be performed in place.
 - (a) $\Theta(n)$ inserts each taking $\Theta(\log n)$.
 - (b) $\Theta(n)$ gets each taking $\Theta(1)$.
 - (c) $\Theta(n)$ deletions each taking $\Theta(\log n)$.

3.4.5 Parallel Sorting

- 1. Merge sort can be parallelised by executing recursive calls in parallel.
- 2. Work still same but time taken reduced.
- 3. Worst case (time taken): $W'(n) = n 1 + W'(\frac{n}{2}) = 2n 2 \log n$.

3.4.6 Odd / Even Merge

- 1. To merge L_1 and L_2 :
 - (a) Take odd positions and merge to get L_3 ($O(\log n)$).
 - (b) Take even positions and merge to get L_4 ($O(\log n)$).
 - (c) Do an interleaving merge on L_3 and L_4 (O(1)).
- 2. Merge is $O(\log n)$ instead of O(n) (sequential).
- 3. Exploits odd/even networks (made up of comparators).
- 4. Time taken is now $(\log n)(1 + \log n)/2$ which is $\Theta(\log^2 n)$.

3.4.7 Lower Bounds

We express the sorting algorithm as a decision tree. Internal nodes are comparisons. Leaves are results. The worst case number of comparisons is given by depth.

Minimising Depth (Worst Case)

- 1. There are n! permutations so we require n! leaves.
- 2. Proposition: if a binary tree had depth d then it has $\leq 2^d$ leaves.
- 3. *Proof*: simple proof by induction.
- 4. Lower bound (worst case): $2^d \ge n!$ so $d \ge \lceil \log(n!) \rceil$.
- 5. $\log(n!) = \sum_{1}^{n} \log(k) \approx \int_{1}^{n} \log x \, dx = n \log(n) n + 1.$

Minimising Total Path Length (Average Case)

- 1. Balanced tree: tree at which every leaf is at depth d or d-1.
- 2. *Proposition*: if a tree is unbalanced then we can find a balanced tree with the same number of leaves without increasing total path length.
- 3. Lower bound (average case): must perform at least $\lfloor \log (n!) \rfloor$ calculations (since total path length is minimum for balanced trees).

3.5 Master Theorem

For $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$, with the critical exponent $E = \frac{\log a}{\log b}$:

- 1. If $n^{E+\epsilon} = O(f(n))$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$.
- 2. If $f(n) = \Theta(n^E)$ then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n) \log n)$.
- 3. If $f(n) = O(n^{E-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ then $T(n) = \Theta(n^E)$.

4 Complexity

4.1 Tractable Problems and P

P: Class of decision problems that can be easily solved.

- 1. Tractable problem: efficiently computable, focusing on worst case.
- 2. Decision problem: Has a yes or no answer.
- 3. Cool-Karp Thesis: Problem is tractable if it can be computed within polynomially many steps in the worst case.
- 4. Polynomial Invariance Thesis: If a problem can be solved in p-time in some reasonable model of computation, then it can be solved in p-time in any other reasonable model.
- 5. P: A decision problem D(x) is in P if it can be decided within time p(n) in some reasonable model of computation, where n is the size of the input, |x|.

Unreasonable Models

- 1. Superpolynomial Parallelism: I.e. take out more than polynomially many operations in parallel in a single step.
- 2. Unary numbers: Gives input size exponentially larger than binary.

Polynomial Time Functions

- 1. Arithmetical operations are p-time.
- 2. If f is a p-time function, then its *ouput size* is polynomially bounded in the *input size*. I.e. $|f(x)| \le p(|x|)$ because we only have p-time to build the output.
- 3. Composition: If functions f and g are p-time, then $g \circ f$ is computable. Since f takes p(n) and g then takes q(p'(n)) where p'(n) is the output size of f.

4.2 NP

NP: Class of decision problems that can be easily verified.

4.2.1 HamPath Problem

Given a graph G and a list p, is p a Ham path of G?

- 1. Verification of HamPath is in P. HamPath (G) iff $\exists p \text{Ver-HamPath } (G, p)$.
- 2. D(x) is in NP if there is a problem E(x,y) in P and a polynomial p(n) such that:
 - (a) D(x) iff $\exists y.E(x,y)$.
 - (b) If E(x, y) then $|y| \le p(|x|)$ (E is poly bounded).

4.2.2 Satisfiability Problem

Given a propositional formula ϕ in CNF, is it satisfiable?

- 1. SAT is not decidable in p-time: we have to try all possible truth assignments for m variables this is 2^m assignments.
- 2. SAT is in NP: Guess an assignment v and verify in p-time that v satisfies ϕ .

4.2.3 P⊆NP

- 1. Suppose that D is in P.
- 2. To verify $D\left(x\right)$ holds, we don't need to guess a certificate y we just decide $D\left(x\right)$ directly.
- 3. Formally: Define E(x,y) iff D(x) and y is the empty string. Then clearly D(x) iff $\exists y. E(x,y)$ and $|y| \leq p(|x|)$.

4.2.4 P=NP

Unknown.

4.3 Problem Reduction

- 1. Many-one reduction: Consider two decision problems D and D'. D many-one reduces to D' ($D \le D'$) if there is a p-time function f s.t. D(x) iff D'(f(x)).
- 2. Reduction is reflexive and transitive.
- 3. If both D < D' and D' < D, then $D \sim D'$.

4.3.1 P and Reduction

- 1. Suppose algorithm A' decides D' in time p'(n). We define algorithm A to solve D by first computing f(x) and then running A'(f(x)).
 - (a) Step 1 takes p(n) steps.
 - (b) Note that $|f(x)| \le q(n)$ for some poly q. Step 2 takes p'(q(n)).
- 2. Hence: If $D \leq D'$ and $D' \in P$, then $D \in P$.

4.3.2 NP and Reduction

Assumption

- 1. Assume $D \leq D'$ and $D' \in NP$.
- 2. Then D(x) iff D'(f(x)).
- 3. Also there is $E'(x,y) \in P$ s.t. D'(x) iff $\exists y.E'(x,y)$.
- 4. Also if E'(x, y) then $|y| \le p'(|x|)$.

Proof Part A

- 1. D(x) iff $\exists y.E'(f(x),y)$.
- 2. Define then E(x,y) iff E'(f(x),y). We can now prove part (a).

Proof Part B

- 1. Suppose E(x, y). Then E'(f(x), y), hence $|y| \le p'(|x|)$.
- 2. f|x| < q(n) for some poly q. So $|y| \le p'(q(|x|))$, proving part (b).

4.4 NP-Completeness

- 1. D is NP-hard if for all problems $D' \in NP$, $D' \leq D$.
- 2. D is NP-complete if for all problems $D \in \text{NP}$ and D is NP-hard.

Cook-Levin Theorem SAT is NP-complete.

Intractability

- 1. Suppose $P \neq NP$ and D is NP-hard.
- 2. Suppose for a contradiction that $D \in P$.
- 3. Consider any other $D' \in NP$. $D' \leq D$ since D is NP-hard. Hence $D \in P$.
- 4. Hence $NP \subseteq P$. But $P \subseteq NP$. Hence P = NP, which is a contradiction.

Proving NP-Completeness E.g. Prove TSP is NP-complete.

- 1. Prove TSP is NP (easy).
- 2. Reduce HamPath (a known NP-hard problem) to TSP.