



Social and Graph Data Management

Introduction, Data Models, and Measures

Benoît Groz (slides and content are mostly from Silviu Maniu)

November 3rd, 2023

M2 Data Science

Table of contents

Introduction

Graphs

Measures on Graphs/Networks


Summary

Social networks are an abstract representation of the relationships between *human beings*

They occur in multiple domains (example):


- in an organization, e.g., company, class, ...
- in a professional domain, e.g., physics researchers
- on the Web, e.g., Facebook friends, Twitter followers

Example: Organizations



from A.-L. Barabási, “Network Science”

Example: Karate Club



by CuneytAkcora, CC BY-SA 4.0 via Wikimedia Commons

Example: Web Social Networks



by Michael Coghlan, CC BY-SA 2.0 via Flickr

Structure of the Course

- we will study the **models** and **measures** used for graph analysis
- we will find the **properties** that distinguish social networks
- we will study some **applications** of social (graph) data: influence, link prediction

Table of contents

Introduction

Graphs

Measures on Graphs/Networks

Summary

Graphs

The most intuitive model for representing social networks are **graphs**, composed of:

- a set V , representing the *nodes* or *vertices*,
- a *binary relation* E composed of tuples $\{v_1, v_2\} \in V \times V$, representing the *links* or *edges*, and
- optionally, a function $w : E \rightarrow$ representing the *weight* of each link.

The resulting graph is represented by the tuple $G = (V, E, w)$. In the following we denote $N = |V|$ and $L = |E|$.

Types of Graphs

Depending on E and w , we can have several types of graphs:

- if $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$ and $\{v_j, v_i\} \in E$, for any v_i, v_j then the graph is **undirected**, and **directed** otherwise,
- if w exists, then the graph is **weighed**, and **unweighed** otherwise.


Representing Edges

Two data structures to represent E :

1. **Adjacency Matrix.** The adjacency matrix A_G where $a_{ij} = 1$ (or $a_{ij} = w(i, j)$ if weighted graph) for $\{i, j\} \in E$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Good for *dense graphs*, allows random access, but needs $O(V^2)$ space to represent.
2. **Adjacency List.** The adjacency list $L_G(i)$ is a set of nodes $j \in V$ such that $\{i, j\} \in E$. Good for *sparse graphs*, takes only $O(E)$ space, but no random access.

Can you achieve $O(E)$ space, random access, and enumerate neighbors?

Example: Undirected Graph



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$L(1) = \{2, 3\}$$

$$L(2) = \{1, 3\}$$

$$L(3) = \{1, 2, 4\}$$

$$L(4) = \{3\}$$

Example: Weighted Undirected Graph



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 0 & 0.8 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0.8 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$L(1) = \{2, 3\}$$

$$L(2) = \{1, 3\}$$

$$L(3) = \{1, 2, 4\}$$

$$L(4) = \{3\}$$

Example: Directed Graph



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (3, 2), (4, 3)\}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$L(1) = \{2, 3\}$$

$$L(2) = \emptyset$$

$$L(3) = \{2\}$$

$$L(4) = \{3\}$$

Example: Complete Graph



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3)\}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L(1) = \{2, 3, 4\}$$

$$L(2) = \{1, 3, 4\}$$

$$L(3) = \{1, 2, 4\}$$

$$L(4) = \{1, 2, 3\}$$

Table of contents

Introduction

Graphs

Measures on Graphs/Networks

Summary

Network : "a system of things which are connected and which operate together

Object is a graph, but focus is on connection and transmission (of information, for CS).

Network science: (a vision?)

inspired by Barabasi's book.

- Timeline:
 - social science, esp. after the 1930s
 - random graphs after the 1950s
 - first large network maps around 2000 : WWW, "large" databases (biochemistry networks, actors, ...)
 - computational analysis of real network structure: around 2000
- Interdisciplinary: math, cs, social science, physics, biology/health...
- Large potential impact : bio and economy, security (terrorism, epidemics...), management

Degree

The **degree $k(i)$ of a node i** equals how many other nodes i connects to via links:

$$k(i) = |\{(i, j) \mid j \in V, (i, j) \in E\}|$$

For **directed graphs**, we have to differentiate between the *incoming* and *outgoing* degree:

$$k^{\text{in}}(i) = |\{(j, i) \mid j \in V, (j, i) \in E\}|$$

$$k^{\text{out}}(i) = |\{(i, j) \mid j \in V, (i, j) \in E\}|$$

Degree Distribution

Denote by p_i the probability that a node has degree i :

$$p_i = \frac{N_i}{N},$$


where N_i is the number of nodes of degree i , and N is the total number of nodes in the graph.

This measure defines a **distribution**:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i = 1.$$

We can compute the **average degree** $\langle k \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot p_i = \frac{L}{N}$.

Example: Degree Distribution



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$$

$$k(1) = 2, k(2) = 2,$$

$$k(3) = 3, k(4) = 1$$

$$p_0 = 0$$

$$p_1 = 1/4 = 0.25$$

$$p_2 = 2/4 = 0.5$$

$$p_3 = 1/4 = 0.25$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle k \rangle &= 1 \times 0.25 + 2 \times 0.5 + 3 \times 0.25 \\ &= 2\end{aligned}$$


Some Real-World Network Statistics

name	nodes	edges	$ V $	$ E $	$\langle k \rangle$
LIVEJOURNAL	users	friendship	4,847,571	68,993,773	14.23
WIKITALK	contributors	communication	2,394,385	5,021,410	2.09
ENRON	workers	emails	36,692	183,831	4.99
CONDMAT	researchers	collaboration	23,133	93,497	4.04
ROADCA	locations	roads	1,965,206	2,766,607	1.40
WEB	sites	links	875,713	5,105,039	5.82

More networks and statistics available at
<https://snap.stanford.edu/data/>.

Real Networks are Sparse

Our first indication that real networks are different from arbitrary graphs: all the above networks are **sparse**, with $\langle k \rangle \ll N - 1$.



from Albert-László Barabási, “Network Science”

Paths in Graphs

A **path** is a sequence of nodes v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k in V , where each node is a neighbour of the next one.

$$P = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$P = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$$

In a *directed* graph, the path can only follow the direction of the arrows.

Paths in Graphs

We can compute the number of **paths of length l** between two nodes i and j , $N_{ij}^{(l)}$ using the adjacency matrix:


- for $l = 1$, $N_{ij}^{(1)} = A_{ij}$, i.e., the edge between the two nodes,
- otherwise $N_{ij}^{(l)} = [A^l]_{ij}$.

Distances in Graphs

The **distance** d_{ij} between two nodes i and j in a graphs is:

1. in an *undirected graph*, the **number of edges in the shortest path** between two nodes, and
2. in a *directed graph*, the **weight of the shortest path between two nodes**.

Example: Distances



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$$

$$d_{14} = 2$$

$$P = (1, 3), (3, 4)$$


Distances in Graphs

Diameter of a graph d_{\max} : the *maximum* distance between any pair of nodes in the graph

Average distance in a graph:

$$\langle d \rangle = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}$$

Example: Distances



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$$

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$d_{\max} = 2$$

$$\langle d \rangle = \frac{16}{12} = 1.33$$

Real Networks Have Low Diameter

For example, LIVEJOURNAL has a diameter of only **38**, despite having several million vertices and edges.

This is known as the **six degrees of separation principle** – there are not many links separating any two people in the world.

Connectivity

In **undirected graphs**:

- a **connected** graph: any two vertices can be joined by a path
- a **disconnected** graph: made up by two or more **connected components**

In **directed graphs**:

- **strongly connected** if there a path for any vertices i, j in both directions $i \rightarrow j$ and $j \rightarrow i$.
- **weakly connected** if there is a path between any vertices i, j *disregarding the direction* of the edges.

Clustering Coefficient

For a node i , the **clustering coefficient** C_i is the fraction of neighbors that are connected [Watts and Strogatz, 1998] :


$$C_i = \frac{2e_i}{k_i(k_i - 1)},$$

where e_i is the number of edges between neighbors of i .

The **average clustering coefficient** is the global measure:

$$\langle C \rangle = \frac{1}{M} \sum_i C_i.$$

Example: Clustering Coefficient



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$E = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3)\}$$

$$C_1 = \frac{2 \cdot 1}{2 \cdot 1} = 1$$

$$C_2 = \frac{2 \cdot 1}{2 \cdot 1} = 1$$

$$C_3 = \frac{2 \cdot 1}{3 \cdot 1} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$C_4 = \frac{2 \cdot 0}{1 \cdot 0} = 0$$

$$\langle C \rangle = \frac{1 + 1 + 1/3}{4} = 0.58$$

Some Real-World Network Statistics

name	nodes	edges	$ V $	$ E $	$\langle C \rangle$
LIVEJOURNAL	users	friendship	4,847,571	68,993,773	0.28
WIKITALK	contributors	communication	2,394,385	5,021,410	0.05
ENRON	workers	emails	36,692	183,831	0.49
CONDMAT	researchers	collaboration	23,133	93,497	0.63
ROADCA	locations	roads	1,965,206	2,766,607	0.04
WEB	sites	links	875,713	5,105,039	0.51

More networks and statistics available at
<https://snap.stanford.edu/data/>.

Web and Social Networks Have High Clustering Coefficient

Take CONDMAT: it has a clustering coefficient of **0.63** – intuitively, over **60%** of a researcher's collaborators also collaborate between themselves.

Generally, these kinds of networks have a clustering coefficient that is larger than one obtained by chance (more on this later).

Node Centrality Measures

Degree and distances are also part of a class of measures called **node centrality measures**:

1. **vertex centrality** is the node's degree k_i
2. **closeness centrality** is the inverse of the aggregated distances from other nodes $Cl_i = \frac{1}{\sum_j d_{ji}}$
3. **betweenness centrality** counts the number of times a node is on a shortest path between two nodes
4. **eigenvector centrality**, e.g., PageRank of a node

Table of contents

Introduction

Graphs

Measures on Graphs/Networks

Summary

Summary

1. We studied some of the important measures in social network analysis: average degree, degree distribution, diameter, and clustering coefficient.
2. We discovered that they are **sparse**, with **low diameter** and **high clustering coefficient**.
3. Next: *How do these properties emerge in social networks?*

Acknowledgments

The contents is partly inspired by the flow of Chapters 1 and 2 of [Barabási, 2016]. <http://barabasi.com/networksciencebook/>

References i



Barabási, A.-L. (2016).

Network Science.

Cambridge University Press.



Easley, D. and Kleinberg, J. (2010).

Networks, Crowds, and Markets: Reasoning about a Highly Connected World.

Cambridge University Press.



Newman, M. (2010).

Networks: An Introduction.

Oxford University Press.



Watts, D. J. and Strogatz, S. H. (1998).

Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks.

Nature, 393(6684):440–442.