PABLO GARCÍA FERNÁNDEZ UO276824

Activity 1. Measuring execution times

1. How many more years can we continue using this way of counting?

We can use it until it overflows that is in 292 million years.

2. What does it mean that the time measured is 0?

It means that it takes less than a millisecond to the program to be executed.

3. From what size of the problem do we start to get reliable times?

We start getting reliable times at n = 1000000

Activity 2. Grow of the problem size

1. What happens with time if the size of the problem is multiplied by 5?

Happens that Vector2 takes less time than Vector3 to execute the method because the size of Vector3 increases exponentially if in both classes you introduce the same size.

2. Are the times obtained those that were expected from linear complexity O(n)?

Yes, because it takes a little and it increases in a linear way.

3. Use a spreadsheet to draw a graph with Excel. On the X axis we can put the time and on the Y axis the size of the problem.

| N Vector2(ms) Vector3(ms |
|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
|-----------|----|-----|
| 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 | 0 | 0 |
| 10000 | 0 | 1 |
| 100000 | 1 | 3 |
| 1000000 | 4 | 6 |
| 10000000 | 7 | 25 |
| 100000000 | 43 | 197 |

Activity 3. Taking small execution times

| n | fillIn(t)*10^-7 ms | sum(t)*10^-7 ms | maximum(t)*10^-7 ms |
|---------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 10 | 32 | 100 | 1296 |
| 30 | 56 | 128 | 1765 |
| 90 | 209 | 352 | 7130 |
| 270 | 759 | 972 | 20596 |
| 810 | 2520 | 2710 | 60630 |
| 2430 | 7670 | 7856 | 182428 |
| 7290 | 22900 | 23496 | 541520 |
| 21870 | 68912 | 69588 | 1622015 |
| 65610 | More than an hour | More than an hour | More than an hour |
| 196830 | More than an hour | More than an hour | More than an hour |
| 590490 | More than an hour | More than an hour | More than an hour |
| 1771470 | More than an hour | More than an hour | More than an hour |

1. What are the main components of the computer in which you did the work (process, memory)?

AMD Ryzen7 1700 Eight-Cores 3.00 GHz 16 GB RAM DDR4

Activity 4. Operations on matrices

| n | sumDiagonal1(t)*10^-6 ms | sumDiagonal2(t)*10^-6 ms |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 10 | 79 | 23 |
| 30 | 503 | 34 |
| 90 | 4609 | 78 |

| 270 | 38483 | 312 |
|---------|---------|--------|
| 810 | 324108 | 1189 |
| 2430 | 2773059 | 27403 |
| 7290 | Crash | 121930 |
| 21870 | Crash | Crash |
| 65610 | Crash | Crash |
| 196830 | Crash | Crash |
| 590490 | Crash | Crash |
| 1771470 | Crash | Crash |

1. What are the main components of the computer in which you did the work (process, memory)?

AMD Ryzen7 1700 Eight-Cores 3.00 GHz 16 GB RAM DDR4

2. Do the values obtained meet the expectations?

SumDiagonal1() is O(n^2) and it looks like it in the practical measures and SumDiagonal2() is O(n) and the measures look like it.

Activity 5. Benchmarking

1. Why you get differences in execution time between the two programs?

Because of the different efficiency in both languages.

2. Regardless of the specific times, is there any analogy in the behaviour of the two implementations?

Also different implementation as it is done changes performance because none of both languages are equal so their implementation is different so the execution time it is also different.