

Progress report

Workgroup number: E8.01

Repository: <https://github.com/andrsdt/Acme-Toolkits>

Date: 28/02/2022

Name	Corporate e-mail
Carrasco Núñez, Alejandro	alecarnun@alum.us.es
Durán Terrero, Andrés	anddurter@alum.us.es
López Benítez, Pablo Delfín	pablopben@alum.us.es
Núñez Moreno, Pablo	pabnunmor@alum.us.es
Robledo Campa, Pablo José	pabrobcam@alum.us.es
Vázquez Monge, Francisco Javier	fravazmon@alum.us.es

Table of Contents

<i>Executive summary.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Revision table.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Contents.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Conclusions</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Bibliography.....</i>	<i>8</i>

Executive summary

This document contains an evaluation of the performance of the workgroup members, always according to the procedures we decided, and a description of the rewards and punishments we have agreed on.

Revision table

Revision number	Date	Description
v1	26/02/22	Initial version
v1.1	28/02/22	Revision before delivery

Introduction

The contents of a progress report focus on the evaluation of the performance of the workgroup members, which must be computed according to the procedure on which the students agreed, plus a description of the rewards and punishments.

Contents

As we agreed during our meetings, we arrived in the conclusion that our rewards and punishments and definitions of good and bad work should be the following:

- All these conditions must be fulfilled for considering the work of a member as good:
 - All their tasks must be fulfilled within a reasonable period of time.
 - They must have a fluid and good communication with the rest of the team.
 - They must respect the decisions of the manager.
- Any of these conditions can be fulfilled for considering the work of a member as bad:
 - They do not respect or communicate with the other members of the group.
 - They do not complete the tasks or achieve the specified deadlines and periods of time.
 - They made other team members overwork because of the unfinished tasks.

As mentioned in the Workgroup report, at the beginning of each sprint, we will make a jackpot where each member of the group will contribute 2,5 euros:

- After finishing the sprint, the members of the group that have performed a bad work are forbidden to use the money in the jackpot.
- Those members that have performed a good work during the sprint are allowed to use the money of the jackpot.

Performance evaluation:

Member	Evaluation
Alejandro Carrasco Núñez	Good
Andrés Durán Terrero	Good
Pablo Delfín López Benítez	Good
Pablo Núñez Moreno	Good
Pablo José Robledo Campa	Good
Francisco Javier Vázquez Monge	Good

Conclusions

In conclusion, the development of the progress report for this deliverable has been a way of establishing the pillars of our fictitious company in terms of management, being its content something that we will refer to in future deliverables.

Bibliography

Intentionally blank.