Module 2 - lecture 1 Solutions

1 Exercise 2.1 Solutions

- 1. Denote by w_A^G and w_B^G the weights of the global minimum variance portfolio invested respectively in assets A and B.
 - (a) The standard deviation of the portfolio return is given (see slide 22) by

$$\sigma_{\Pi}(w_A, w_B) = \sqrt{w_A^2 \sigma_A^2 + w_B^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2\rho_{AB} w_A w_B \sigma_A \sigma_B}$$
 (1)

The budget equation $w_A + w_B = 1$ tells us that the investor's wealth must be fully invested in the portfolio. This equation implies that $w_B = 1 - w_A$. Substituting in equation (1), we can now express the standard deviation of the portfolio return as a sole function of w_A :

$$\sigma_{\Pi}(w_A) = \sqrt{w_A^2 \sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2\rho_{AB} w_A (1 - w_A) \sigma_A \sigma_B}$$

Developing and factoring, this expression yields

$$\sigma_{\Pi}(w_A) = \sqrt{w_A^2(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B) + 2w_A\sigma_B(\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - \sigma_B) + \sigma_B^2}$$

From this relation, we deduce an equation for the **variance** $\sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)$ of the portfolio return as a sole function of w_A :

$$\sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A) = w_A^2(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B) + 2w_A\sigma_B(\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - \sigma_B) + \sigma_B^2$$

and we note that $\sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)$ is a quadratic function of w_A .

(b) To derive the weight w_A^G of the global minimum variance portfolio invested in A, we need to solve the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{w_A} \sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A) \tag{2}$$

Differentiating the objective function $\sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)$ yields the first order (necessary) condition

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)}{dw_A} \right|_{w_A^G} = 0$$

which implies that

$$2w_A^G(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B) + 2\sigma_B(\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - \sigma_B) = 0$$

and in turns results in the candidate solution

$$w_A^G = \frac{\sigma_B(\sigma_B - \rho_{AB}\sigma_A)}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B}$$
 (3)

Before concluding, we need to check that the candidate solution w_A^G defined in equation (3) actually yields a minimum point for the function σ_{Π}^2 . By the second order (sufficient) condition we need to have

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)}{dw_A^2} \Big|_{w_A^G} > 0$$

for a minimum to be reached at w_A^G

Here,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)}{dw_A^2}\Big|_{w_A^G} = 2(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B)$$

Because $-1 \le \rho_{AB} \le 1$, we observe that

$$2(\sigma_A - \sigma_B)^2 \le \frac{d^2 \sigma_{\Pi}^2(w_A)}{dw_A^2}\Big|_{w_A^G} \le 2(\sigma_A + \sigma_B)^2$$

which implies that $\left.\frac{d^2\sigma_\Pi^2(w_A)}{dw_A^2}\right|_{w_A^G}>0$ as long as either

- $\sigma_A \neq \sigma_B$, or;
- \bullet $\rho_{AB} < 1$
- 2. Denote by w_A^t and w_B^t the weights of the tangency portfolio invested respectively in assets A and B.
 - (a) The slope S of the tangency line is equal to the Sharpe ratio:

$$S = \frac{\mu_t - r_f}{\sigma_t} \tag{4}$$

where r_f is the risk-free return and the return μ_t of the tangency portfolio and the standard deviation σ_t of the tangency portfolio are respectively given by

$$\mu_t = w_A^t \mu_A + w_B^t \mu_B$$

and

$$\sigma_t = \sqrt{(w_A^t)^2 \sigma_A^2 + (w_B^t)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2\rho_{AB}(w_A^t)(w_B^t) \sigma_A \sigma_B}$$

Substituting into equation (5), we find a functional form $S(w_A^t, w_B^t)$ for the slope of the tangency line:

$$S(w_A^t, w_B^t) = \frac{w_A^t \mu_A + w_B^t \mu_B - r_f}{\sqrt{(w_A^t)^2 \sigma_A^2 + (w_B^t)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2\rho_{AB}(w_A^t)(w_B^t)\sigma_A \sigma_B}}$$
(5)

(b) Because the tangency portfolio is fully invested in risky assets, the budget equation $w_A^t + w_B^t = 1$ applies. Substituting the budget equation into equation (5), we can express the slope of the tangency line as a sole function $S(w_A^t)$ of the weight w_A^t invested in asset A:

$$S(w_A^t) = \frac{w_A^t \mu_A + (1 - w_A^t) \mu_B - r_f}{\sqrt{(w_A^t)^2 \sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A^t)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2\rho_{AB}(w_A^t)(1 - w_A^t)\sigma_A \sigma_B}}$$

$$= \frac{w_A^t (\mu_A - \mu_B) + \mu_B - r_f}{\sqrt{(w_A^t)^2 (\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A \sigma_B) + 2(w_A^t)\sigma_B(\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - \sigma_B) + \sigma_B^2}}$$
(6)

(c) As long as $\mu_B > r_f$ or $\mu_A > r_f$, the slope of the tangency line will be positive. In this case, rather than finding w_A^t as the maximizer of $S(w_A^t)$, we could instead find w_A^t as the maximizer of $S^2(w_A^t)$ by solving

$$\max_{w_A^t} S^2(w_A^t)$$

with

$$S^{2}(w_{A}^{t}) = \frac{\left(w_{A}^{t}(\mu_{A} - \mu_{B}) + \mu_{B} - r_{f}\right)^{2}}{(w_{A}^{t})^{2}(\sigma_{A}^{2} + \sigma_{B}^{2} - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_{A}\sigma_{B}) + 2(w_{A}^{t})\sigma_{B}(\rho_{AB}\sigma_{A} - \sigma_{B}) + \sigma_{B}^{2}}$$

Denote by

$$f(w_A^t) := (w_A^t(\mu_A - \mu_B) + \mu_B - r_f)^2$$

and by

$$g(w_A^t) := (w_A^t)^2 (\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B) + 2(w_A^t)\sigma_B(\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - \sigma_B) + \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_t^2(w_A)$$

so that

$$S^2(w_A^t) = \frac{f(w_A)}{g(w_A)}$$

Considering the first order (necessary) condition associated with this optimization problem, we are looking for w_A^t such that

$$\frac{dS^2(w_A^t)}{dw_A^t} = 0$$

i.e. such that

$$\frac{dS^{2}(w_{A}^{t})}{dw_{A}^{t}} = \frac{f'(w_{A})g(w_{A}) - f(w_{A})g'(w_{A})}{g^{2}(w_{A})} = 0$$

where

$$f'(w_A^t) = \frac{df(w_A^t)}{dw_A^t}$$

= $2(\mu_A - \mu_B) (w_A^t(\mu_A - \mu_B) + \mu_B - r_f)$

and

$$g'(w_A) = \frac{dg(w_A^t)}{dw_A^t}$$
$$= 2(w_A^t)(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B) + 2\sigma_B(\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - \sigma_B)$$

After some rather tedious calculations (substituting, simplifying as much as possible and concentrating exclusively on the numerator since the denominator is positive), we finally get

$$w_A^t := \frac{\sigma_B ((\mu_B - r_f)\rho_{AB}\sigma_A - (\mu_A - r_f)\sigma_B)}{-(\mu_a - r_f)\sigma_B^2 - (\mu_B - r_f)\sigma_A^2 + (\mu_A + \mu_B - 2r_f)\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B}$$
(7)

Checking the second order (sufficient) condition for a maximization, that is

$$\frac{dS^2(w_A^t)}{dw_A^{t\,2}} < 0$$

is equally unpleasant.

We will develop a much more efficient approach to this problem using matrix algebra in Lecture 2.2.