Bluetooth Low Energy Supported Indoor Location

Ricardo Martins ricardo.pachecomartins@gmail.com Instituto Superior Técnico

Abstract—Abstract

I. Introduction

Indoor positioning systems have greatly evolved in the past few years due to the great success of its counter-part, the global positioning system (GPS), in outdoor environments but failure to reproduce the same results in indoor environments. Since GPS is an outdoor position systems and is based on a network of satelite, when the required scenario for position tracking is inside a building, new constraints are presented onto the process such as the attenuation and reflection of eletromagnetic waves upon collision with building walls and obstacles [1]. As such there was a need to find reliable indoor systems that by nature would already be able to heavily reduce the impact of some of the mentioned constraints.

In order to understand indoor position there is a need to understand the full scope of variables that come to surface when moving from outdoor to indoor. When developing a system there is a need to make sure that it can tackle the chalenges such as small space dimension which reforce the need for higher precision, a higher probability of non existent line of sight, influence of obstacles such as walls, furniture, moveable objects such as doors and human beings[3]. All of the previously mentioned affect the way electromagnetic waves propagate in an indoor environment leading to problems related to severe multipath and reflection on existent surfaces [2]. Besides propagation challenges, there are energy consumption, accuracy and deployment costs that play a critical role in deciding the viability of a proposed indoor location technique.

With the evolution of mobile devices there has been a sizeable number of different technologies that can possibly be used in indoor locationing [2], [4], [5] such as GPS-based technologies, using high sensitivity antenas to overcome GPS's indoor issues, RFID, Wireless LAN and Bluetooth among others, allowing even for hibrid systems which make use of more than one of the technologies mentioned above.

Another important aspect besides the chosen technology is the location detection technique which are widely varied in terms of accuracy and complexity. The simplest available category would be proximity detection which has Cell of Origin (CoO) as its most famous technique, allowing for roombased detection by assuming that the mobile target is at the cell with the strongest receiving power. This technique is widely used by system using RFID, Bluetooth and Infrared. The next category would be triangulation which uses the geometric properties of the triangle to obtain a position. The method utilized to obtain the values used in the position's calculation

can be angle-based, which have implementation costs are their worst limitation; time-based, using Time-of-flight (ToF) or Round Trip Time (RTT) to obtain measures of distance from beacons; signal property based, using received signal strength indicator (RSSI) as a metric, altough it's only possible of using this metric with radio signals; dead reckoning, which calculates the actual position utilizing the last determined position and incrementing based on estimate of the device's speed.

The solution in this paper is based on the Bluetooth Low energy technology using the CoO method to obtain the device's location and as such for what technologies and location methods are concerned, only the used ones will be focused.

In this paper is structured in a way that in II an overview of Bluetooth low energy (BLE) and existent projects and their associated architecture are presented while shedding light on the benefits and limitations of the chosen technologies, in ?? the proposed system is described based on technology and method used as well as the architecture of the would system, IV analyzes the different aspects of the presented solution in terms of energetic efficiency, accuracy and response timings, finalizing in V by overviewing what could be carried out in order to further develop the existing work and by concluding the paper.

- Falta de framework, não tenho bases, vale a pena mencionar na introdução?

II. RELATED WORD

Bluetooth is a wireless technology that was created in 1994 with the objective of replacing cables connecting fixed or portable devices. At this point in time Bluetooth Special Interest Group is in charge of developing and managing this technology characterized by its robustness, low energy consuption and low cost. The Bluetooth Low Energy protocol was introduced with the Bluetooth Core Specification version 4 (also called Bluetooth Smart) circa 2010 alongside two other protocols. Out of the three, BLE standed out for its lower power consuption, lower complexity and lower cost, while allowing for device discovery, connection establishment and connection mechanisms.

The BLE radio operates at the 2.4GHz band and employs a frequency hopping transceiver to combat interference and fading. It also employs two multiple access schemes: FDMA used to separate the 40 available physical channels, 37 of them are used as data channels and the remaining as advertising channels and TDMA in a polling scheme that is used when one device transmits a packet at a predetermined time and a corresponding device responds with a packet after a predetermined interval.




Fig. 1: Bluetooth low energy architecture

When looking at the BLE's architecture, which can be seen at 1, the Generic Access Profile (GAP) is one of the most relevant since it's responsible for working in conjunction with Generic Attribute (GATT) to define the base funcionality of BLE devices. The services that are made available by the GAP are: BLE device discovery, connection modes, security, authentication, association models and service discovery. In addiction to this, it also defines four different roles to describe a device, allowing for the controllers to be optimized in funtion of the device's desired roles: Broadcaster, role optimized for transmitter-only applications; Observer, role optimized for receiver-only applications and it's complementary to the broadcaster role; Peripheral, role optimized for devices that only want to suppot a single connection, allowing for a much less complex controller due to the fact that it only needs to support the slave role and not the master one; Central, role supports multiple connections and funtions as the initiator for all of them. These connection are all made with Peripheral devices and its controller must support the master role in a connection and allow for more complex funtions, in comparison to the remaining roles.

Another important component is the Attribute Protocol (ATT) Protocol which is responsible for implementing the Peer-to-peer(P2P) protocol between an attribute server and client. This communication happens in a dedicated fixed channel and a server can send through it responses, notifications and indications, while the client can send requests, commands and confirmations. The ATT allows the clients to read and write values of attributes on a peer device acting as a server.

The last component is the Generic Attribute (GATT) Profile which is responsible for creating a framework for the ATT, in which it's represented the funcionalities of an ATT server. This profile describes the hierarchy of services, characteristics and attributes existent in the server and provides an interface for discovering, reading, writing and indicating service characteristics and profiles.

Bluetooth low energy devices utilize profiles which define the required functionalities of the device. It also defines application behaviour and data formats and as such when two devices comply with all the requirements of a Bluetooth pro-




Fig. 2: Gatt-based profile hierarchy

file, application interoperability is achieved. Each Bluetooth profiles describes its requirements necessary for devices to create a connection, to find available services and connection information required for making application level connections.

The base profile that any Bluetooth system needs to include is the GAP. From this point, any additional profile implemented will be a superset of GAP, where GATT is included and specifies the profile hierarchy, or the structure in which profile data is exchanged. Figure 2 shows the hierarchy in a Gatt-based profile, with the profile being the top level and services and characteristics below. A profile is composed by one or more services. A service is a collection of data and associated behaviors to accomplish a particular function or feature of a device or portions of a device. A service is composed of characteristics and/or references to other services. A Characteristic is a value that is used in a service that has properties and configuration information that descrive how the value should be accessed as well as information on how to display the value. A characteristic is defined by its declaration, its properties, its value and may also be defined by its descriptor, which describes the value or permit configuration of the server relative to the value.

When looking at what's possible to achieve using the BLE technology there is the example of Apple's creation iBeacon which was presented in 2013 with the porpuse of implementing proximity sensing systems. The device is capable of playing on the broadcaster role and as such its objective is to send nearby compatible receivers certain information. Some examples of application are to track customers or trigger location-based actions on devices such as push notifications or checking in on social media, with pratical cases such as the usage of iBeacons by McDonalds to offer special offers to their customers in their fast-food stores. An indoor location system utilizing this technology was presented by Jingjing Yang et al [7], where these devices were used to indicate a pacient of his whereabouts through the proximity sensing proprieties and this information was later transfered over to a server in order to give clients a variaty of different services, from pacient counting, to nearby department's information and offer indoor guidance to the nearest available bed.

When utilizing BLE for indoor location the usual metric used to calculate distances is the RSSI. This metric withint

the context of bluetooth brings to surface several issues such as the fact that RSSI as a metric is very accurate only when the target is within a meter of the beacon, since the value decreases as the inverse of the square of the distance to the beacon . As such when developing solutions for indoor location that require system with high accuracy capable of tracking moving objects, the usage of RSSI can't be utilized without further work. Faragher et al [8] tackled one of the techniques used to improve BLE system's accuracy, fingerprinting, by verifying the effects caused by the device deployment density within the required location. This experiment also puts into evidence one of the downsides of the bluetooth technology being that its scalability is low, besides requiring higher density in order to increase accuracy, due to their low range any need to increase coverage leads to increased costs.

- -Cricket
- -Active Bat
- -Radar
- -active badge
- recent stuff

In 2011, LifeMap [9] introduced one of the first to attempt to track indoor location with unconstrained phone placement. Not Bluetooth

Zonith [10] introduced a bluetooth based location system with the objective of tracking the position of workers in dangerous environments. Any device registered in the zonith implemented network would be continuously tracked and accounted for in each of the system's functionalities such as, sounding an alarm whenever a lone worker doesn't move or responde within a time interval (Lone worker protection) or providing a quick an precise location of any worker that has requested for help. This system's installation requires planing of the best locations to place the beacons and number required of beacons in order to be able to provide enough courage and make sure the system provides the required quality.

- BLE Projects
- Architecture similar stuff?

III. IMPLEMENTATION

The solution presented in this paper was made with the objective of presenting a generic indoor location system using bluetooth low energy. The system's architecture is presented in figure 3 and is divided three parts: the bluetooth low energy device, in section III-A a description of the used technologies and the changes made are present;the server, whose funcionalities and stored information are described in section III-B; and the smartphone application, whose process is described in section III-C alongside figures that show the functional prototype. For each of these parts an explanation will be given, containing a description of each of its components specific to the presented system alongside the requirements for each to work.

A. BLE beacon

The beacons that were utilized are Texas Instruments CC2650STK devices which can be visualized in figure 4.




Fig. 3: System's Architecture



Fig. 4: TI cc2650stk sensortag

Alongside the device, which comes with a pre-installed blue-tooth low energy program capable of giving information on each of its ten sensors through its predefined profiles, there is a texas smartphone application that can connect to a single device and read from its sensors. By using the texas Code Composer Studio (CSS), the pre-defined ble profile existant on the device could be altered. Upon further analysis of the profile, a characteristic was found for which the Universal Unique Identifier (UUID) of the service and the characteristic itself was found and as such this was the one that ended up being used to store the device's owner server's address. Since the device was already set to work as a pheripheral and it now stored the information relevant to the system, there was no need to do further work.

B. Server

The webserver was implement in Python 3 programming language. The program implements a simple tcp server capable of receiving multiple request at the same time. Each request starts with information sent from an application which include a pair of MAC address and associated RSSI value for each ble device that the same application found. Afterwards the list of pairs is filtered in order to remove any existant devices that are not present in the server's database of devices.

Each server has a database that includes only ble devices. An entry (description of a device) in this database is composed by the device's mac address, its longitude and latitude and its building, floor and room name. In addition to the database, a server when initiated can store additional location info such as the server's street, number, zipcode, city and country, allowing this information to be transmited to the client in order to offer an additional level of location description to the user. The whole location specific information can be visualised in figure 7

Upon having filtered the initial list of pairs, the Cell of Origin (CoO) technique is applied by verifying which of the devices produced a stronger signal on the receptor. Upon obtaining the closest device an answer is sent to the application containing all of the information associated to the server and the selected device.

- Describe the Database (insert image example of database with a few entries??)
- Mention capability to Insert aditional info, at the moment it displays geocoding on pop up menu in figure 7.

C. Application

The Smartphone application was developed for Android using the Android Studio IDE. The Application is divided in two primary functional blocks, the Mock location Provider and the Google Maps Integrated Display, as can be visualized in figure ??.

The Mock Location Provider is implemented if it was a Location provider, such as gps. The application functions works as a listener to a Location provider, in this case it listens to the Mock Provider that was implemented. By implementing the whole process of obtaining a location inside a service (the mock provider), a new level of abstraction is added to the application. As such, whenever the application is signaled to obtain the user's current location, a request is made to the associated location provider and the application only need to listen for the answer that eventualy arrives.

The Mock Location Provider incorporates the first three steps present in figure 5, which will now be explored individualy. The first step indicates the gathering of information of the surroundings of the user's device. When a request is made to the provider, a scan for nearby bluetooth low energy devices is made which will put the smartphone in a state of listening for incoming ble advertisement packets for half a second (NEED TO VERIFY VALUE AND JUSTIFY, THERE WAS A PAPER ON THIS). During this scanning period, each time a device is found, the advertisement is registered in a list, which have a duplication prevention mechanism implemented. Once the period is over, the provider has avaliable a list of all the ble devices within range.

The second step involves taking the created list of devices, obtain a server adress and forward the same list to it. Once the first step is completed, the provider will analyze each entry at a time. For each device the provider will attempt to respond to the caught advertisement packet, resulting in a created connection. Once the connection is created the provider asks for the available services of the paired device. Upon receiving an answer, the list of services is swoop while looking for the service with the wanted UUID. If the device doesn't have the UUID that the provider is looking for, it can assume that the paired ble is not a beacon of our system, as such the connection is terminated. When the provider identifies that the device has the system's UUID, it requests the device to provide the service's existant characteristics. The provider will receive a list composed of the service's characteristics and it will search in it for the system's characteristics UUID, the one which contains the device's server's address. This search has the objective of confirming that the service existant in this device is indeed the one that was implemented for the system and not a device with another service that happened to have the




Fig. 5: Mock Location Provider Workflow

same UUID. For any service outside those that are documented in the Bluetooth Special Interest Group (SIG), who have a specific UUID attached to them, the UUID is generated randomly and as such there is a small chance of collision. Once the wanted characteristic is found, the provider requests the device to read its value and stores the received value in a list. This list will contain the servers of the devices that were found, and for each address there will be a list corresponding to each device, and their corresponding rssi values, from the same owner. In order to quicken the previously described process, the provider keeps in cache the most recent contacted devices. Before attempting a connection, the provider confirms that the device isn't found in cache and when finishing a process, the associated device is inserted into the cache.

When every device has been contacted, a voting system is actioned which will decide from the list of servers which one it will send the collected information to. The voting system uses an exponential function in order to attribute a weight to each server. INSERT FUNCTION AND EXPLAINATION.

The voting system was implemented with the objective providing a thin security layer by allowing multiple devices of the same server to overcome a single attacker's device which happened to be close to the user. After obtaining each server's values, the one with the highest value is chosen and sent the list with all the devices.

The Third step... CONTINUE

- -Explain step two: Ask each of found devices for their Owner; Explain the whole Communication process (create image with the message process??) The process would be, connect -> request services -> Find wanted service -> Request Characteristics -> Find Characteristic wanted -> Read Value; Mention Cache properties available to speed up this step; Server Calculation of which to Contact (the 2^X formulausedtoobtainmostvaluable, Votingsystem).
- Explain step three: TCP communcation; Formulate The message and send; Obtain Answer -> Gather Aditional Info (that which is used in PopUp menu) -> Return a Location to the APP

One of the current limitations of the android API that deals with BLE is that the interface on the smartphone that is used to connect with a device has a fixed timeout time. MIGHT JUST NEED TO BE IMPROVED

- Google Map Integration:



Fig. 6: Application screen showing a focused location on a room



Fig. 7: Application screen showing additional information of location

- Explain Set four: We use the Mock Location Provider obtained Location to focalise the camera, insert location marker and update PopUp Menu
- Possible: Study Google Maps Possibility of load our own maps (i believe it's possible, need to check api), this would explain scalability Map wise.
- The Final result is the following two images, figure 6 and figure 7.

IV. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

- Energy Consumption in general
- Energy consumption vs Cycle time vs Accuracy?
- Location Accuracy
- Time for request completion
- Data Requiremnts?

V. FUTURE WORK

- Possible Improvement: Paralel ble connections; Improvements on lateration algorithm; Rest depends on the Performance results
 - Conclusions

REFERENCES

- [1] Hakan Koyuncu, Shuang Hua Yang; A Survey of Indoor Positioning and Object Locating Systems http://paper.ijcsns.org/07book/201005/20100518.pdf
- [2] Hui Liu; Survey of Wireless Indoor Positioning Techniques and Systems http://www.pitt.edu/ dtipper/2011/Survey1.pdf
- [3] Zahid Farid, Rosdiadee Nordin, and Mahamod Ismail; Recent Advances in Wireless Indoor Localization Techniques and System
- [4] Hakan Koyuncu, Shuang Hua Yang; A Survey of Indoor Positioning and Object Locating Systems; http://paper.ijcsns.org/07 $_book/201005/20100518.pdf$
- [5] Jiang Xiao, Zimu Zhou, Youwen Yi and Lionel M. Ni; A Survey on Wireless Indoor Localization from the Device Perspective; http://www.tik.ee.ethz.ch/zzhou/paper/csur16-xiao.pdf
 [6]
- [7] Jingjing Yang, Zhihui Wang and Xiao Zhang; An iBeacon-based Indoor Positioning Systems for Hospitals; http://www.sersc.org/journals/IJSH/vol9n072015/16.pdf
- [8] R. Faragher and R. Harle; An Analysis of the Accuracy of Bluetooth Low Energy for Indoor Positioning Applications; http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/ rmf25/papers/BLE.pdf
- [9] Yohan Chon and Hojung Cha; LifeMap: A SmartphoneBased Context Provider for Location-Based Services;