

Appendix 3

Area 06 - Douglas Gardner CU Chum Salmon

Coastland


2022-12-05

Contents

Study area	2
Douglas Gardner CU	2
Summary figures	3
Escapement: Raw and filtered stream list	3
Douglas Gardner chum: distance from the Kitimat River by system	5
Hatchery Releases: Total and by release site	6
Metrics	8
Escapement, logged escapement, Z-scores, Pavg, and moving average	8
Moving average and LOESS fits	9
Means trends by enhancement rank	10
Recruits per spawners	11
Recruits per spawner by system	11
Log recruits per spawner by system by period	12
Log RPS comparison before and after enhancement	13
Correlation analyses and Dendrograms	15
Cross correlation plots	15
Dendrogram cluster analysis	16
Tanglegrams to compare dendrograms	17
Pre- and post-enhancement correlation analyses	21
Statistical models	26
Candidate Models with AIC scores for log RPS and log escapement	26
Effects plots for top model for log RPS	27
Effects plots for top model for log escapement	28

Study area

Douglas Gardner CU



Escapement: Raw and filtered stream list




Figure 1: Escapement to all Douglas-Gardner chum streams in the PSE database, by enhancement rank.

Area 6 Escapement (filtered streams)




Figure 2: Escapement to filtered in analysis streams for Douglas-Gardner chum. Colour shows the stream level enhancement level from the PSE database.

Table 1: Distance from Kitimat River (major enhancement location for chum systems included in analysis.

Stream	Dist. from enhancement
WATHL CREEK	4.470
BISH CREEK	11.998
DALA RIVER	32.303
KILDALA RIVER	34.146
HUGH CREEK	37.112
WEEWANIE CREEK	39.982
PIKE CREEK	41.635
FOCH RIVER	52.191
GILTTOYEES CREEK	52.216
CRAB RIVER	55.985
KITKIATA CREEK	63.528
EVELYN CREEK	64.768
QUAAL RIVER	65.544
KILTUISH RIVER	91.658
BRIM RIVER	97.710
KEMANO RIVER	111.829
MARMOT COVE CREEK	117.746
SCOW BAY CREEK	122.091
KLEKANE RIVER	124.855
HEAD CREEK	132.104
AALTANHASH RIVER	132.200
MARSHALL CREEK	133.004
KHUTZE RIVER	138.697

Douglas Gardner chum: distance from the Kitimat River by system

Hatchery Releases: Total and by release site




Figure 3: Total releases in the Douglas Gardner CU.

Chum: Douglas Gardner CU
Release site:Origin stock




Figure 4: Releases by release site:origin stock for chum in the Douglas Gardner CU.

Metrics

Escapement, logged escapement, Z-scores, Pavg, and moving average




Figure 5: Various plots for escapement and transformations.

Moving average and LOESS fits




Figure 6: Moving average and LOESS fits on logged escapement by enhancement ranking.

Means trends by enhancement rank




Figure 7: Douglas-Gardner chum: Mean Z-score for analysis streams by enhancement rank. Linear regression over all years with SE are shown.

Recruits per spawners

Recruits per spawner by system




Figure 8: Douglas-Gardner chum: recruits per spawner by system.

Log recruits per spawner by system by period




Figure 9: Douglas-Gardner chum: log recruits per spawner by system fitted with linear regression for the periods pre- and post-enhancement (Aaltanhash to Kildala).

Area 6 Chum




Figure 10: Douglas-Gardner chum: log recruits per spawner by system fitted with linear regression for the periods pre- and post-enhancement (Kiltuish to Weewanie).

Log RPS comparison before and after enhancement




Figure 11: Douglas-Gardner chum: boxplot of log recruits per spawner by system.

Correlation analyses and Dendrograms

Cross correlation plots




Figure 12: Cross correlation plots to compare metrics.

Dendrogram cluster analysis




Figure 13: Dendrogram cluster analysis to compare uses of different metrics. Colours plotted by subinlet; Dala = red; Douglas = blue; Kemano = green; Khutze = yellow; Kitimat arm = black; Quaal = turquoise

Tanglegrams to compare dendrograms




Figure 14: Tanglegram of z-score vs. logged escapements




Figure 15: Tanglegram of z-score vs. standardized escapements




Figure 16: Tanglegram of z-score vs. moving average




Figure 17: Tanglegram of z-score vs. Log RPS

Pre- and post-enhancement correlation analyses




Figure 18: Cross correlation plots of z-scores to compare pre- and post-enhancement.




Figure 19: Tanglegram comparing z-scores pre- and post-enhancement (1980)




Figure 20: Cross correlation plots of Log RPS to compare pre- and post-enhancement.




Figure 21: Tanglegram comparing Log RPS pre- and post-enhancement (1980)




Figure 22: Comparison between correlation coefficients for all pairwise combinations of streams using Z-score and log(RPS) over the pre- and post-1980 periods.

Statistical models

Candidate Models with AIC scores for log RPS and log escapement



Table 2: Candidate models for log RPS and distance from enhancement (dist), total releases (totrel), and year, with AIC scores.

Response	Candidate model	df	AIC
log RPS	Log RPS ~ dist + totrel + year	5	4640.250
log RPS	Log RPS ~ dist + totrel	4	4649.087
log RPS	Log RPS ~ dist	3	4674.840
log RPS	Log RPS ~ dist + year	4	4675.224
log RPS	Log RPS ~ totrel + year	4	5207.678
log RPS	Log RPS ~ releases	3	5213.524
log RPS	Log RPS ~ year	3	5237.491

Table 3: Candidate models for log escapement and distance from enhancement (dist), total releases (totrel), and year, with AIC scores.

Response	Candidate model	df	AIC
log escapement	Log esc ~ dist + year	4	5455.093
log escapement	Log esc ~ dist + totrel + year	5	5457.085
log escapement	Log esc ~ dist + totrel	4	5468.276
log escapement	Log esc ~ dist	3	5478.798
log escapement	Log esc ~ year	3	6220.673
log escapement	Log esc ~ totrel + year	4	6222.325
log escapement	Log esc ~ releases	3	6236.895

Effects plots for top model for log RPS



Effects plots for top model for log escapement

