

WHAT ARE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS?

Performance indicators are used to evaluate how well candidate management procedures (MPs) are expected to perform in relation to fishery management objectives^[1]. They can be used to select a preferred MP from a range of candidates, where the preferred MP is the one that is most likely to achieve the objectives.

INTERPRETING PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Performance indicators should be used to compare the *relative* performance of the candidate MPs, i.e. "MP A outperforms MP B on performance indicator X". An important factor is the *trade-offs* between the indicators because some candidate MPs will score highly on some indicators but less well on others.

CURRENTLY AVAILABLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

There are currently 6 performance indicators calculated for WCPO South Pacific albacore. It is anticipated that more will be added in the future. Apart from $SB/SB_{F=0}$, the larger the value of the indicator, the better the MP is thought to be performing. The average value of each indicator is calculated over different time periods: short-term (2017-2026), medium-term (2027-2036) and long-term (2037-2046). The range of values should also be considered as it gives information on the level of certainty in the indicator value.

| Name | Performance Indicator | Range | Notes |
|--|--|-------|--|
| Biological | | | |
| $SB/SB_{F=0}$ | $SB/SB_{F=0}$ | 0 - 1 | A higher value is not necessarily better. Ideally, the value should be above the LRP and close to the TRP. Note that it is possible to use <i>PI 1</i> and <i>PI 8</i> to measure how close $SB/SB_{F=0}$ is to the LRP and TRP respectively. |
| PI 1. Prob. above LRP | Probability of $SB/SB_{F=0} > LRP$ | 0 - 1 | The higher the value, the smaller the chance of falling below the LRP. For example, a value of 1 means that there is no chance of falling below the LRP and a value of 0.9 means that there is a 10% chance of falling below the LRP. WCPFC has agreed that risks higher than 20% (a probability greater than 0.2) would lead to an MP being rejected. |
| Economic | | | |
| PI 3. Relative Catch (rel. to 2014-2016) | Catch relative to the average catch in 2014-2016 ^[2] . | 0 - X | A value of 1 means the catch is the same as the average catch in 2014-2016. |
| PI 4. Relative CPUE (rel. to 2013 with 8 percent increase) | CPUE relative to the CPUE in 2013 with 8 percent increase ^[3] . | 0 - X | A value of 1 means the CPUE is the same as the CPUE in 2013 with 8 percent increase. |
| PI 6. Catch stability | Based on the average annual catch variability ^[2] . | 0 - 1 | The higher the value, the more stable the catches or effort, meaning that they are less variable over time. A value of 1 means the catches or effort do not change over time. A low value means the catches or effort vary relatively strongly over time compared to the other MPs. |
| PI 7. Effort stability | Based on the average annual effort variability ^[3] . | 0 - 1 | |
| PI 8. Proximity to TRP | The average distance of $SB/SB_{F=0}$ from the TRP. | 0 - 1 | The higher the value, the closer $SB/SB_{F=0}$ is to the TRP on average. A value of 1 means that $SB/SB_{F=0}$ is exactly at the TRP. If $SB/SB_{F=0}$ is above or below the TRP, the value of the indicator will be less than 1. |

^[1] A management procedure (MP) comprises the data collection process, the estimation model and the harvest control rule (HCR). When testing candidate HCRs the MP is considered as a whole.

^[2] Calculated for different model areas and fisheries.

^[3] Only calculated for the longline fisheries in all model areas.