

# Stock Assessment Team

*Reflections from the Zoo*

Team Meeting, 19 May 2022



# Things to Achieve in 2022

1. SKJ assessment report and SC meeting (incl. data preparation, CPUE analysis)
2. YFT review, the starting point for YFT and BET 2023 assessments
3. Paper to present at the CAPAM meeting: how the SKJ assessments have been improving over the years, what are the next challenges for SKJ assessments in the Pacific region
4. Exploration and development of a Stock Synthesis (SS) model for an SPC stock assessment, continuing the ALB assessment model developed in 2021
5. Internal report describing the current handling of tags in SS, shortcomings and possible improvements
6. Increasing use of GitHub and TAF to strengthen reproducibility: this year SKJ and YFT

# Challenges

1. Initiate a transition plan to produce SPC assessments in platforms other than MFCL
2. Added workload during the upcoming transition phase, producing exploratory and candidate assessments, while also delivering the normal MFCL assessments and related products
3. How much to invest in learning something for a 3 yrs contract
4. Takes a very long time to acquire SPC-specific knowledge, before being able to produce assessments and related analyses
5. Time frame for assessment data preparation, would be good to make available in a place where we can all see that we're on track and how things are linked together
6. Clear comparisons of data inputs in new assessment and previous assessment
7. Reproducibility varies greatly between SPC analyses, often difficult to pick up previous work to update and extend

## Challenge 1 - Need for a Transition Plan





# Need for a Transition Plan

MFCL may not be used in SPC assessments 5 or 10 years from now

Switching to another software platform involves a development and exploration phase of some years where MFCL and other software platforms are used in parallel and compared

Candidate software platforms for SPC assessments include:

**Stock Synthesis**, including the possibility to expand and improve its ability to handle tagging data

**SAM**, after adding the ability to handle length data and regions

**TMB model** for Patagonian toothfish (by Rich Hillary) – 2 areas, 1 boat, interest in CKMR

**CASAL2**, handles regions, length data, tags (better than Stock Synthesis), first released in 2020

**Gadget**, handles regions, length data, tags

General commentary: CAPAM special issue (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105617>)

# Stock Synthesis

## Pros and cons

Stock Synthesis is used in a large number of tuna assessments, has the largest user base that overlaps with the FIMS project group, so future FIMS stock assessment software will facilitate easy transfer from Stock Synthesis, SPC has a lot of in-house Stock Synthesis expertise

Stock Synthesis is in its second half of its lifetime. This is largely addressed by its close ties to FIMS and the large user base, so there will be continuity between today's Stock Synthesis and future stock assessment software.

Stock Synthesis may also be lacking in some modelling areas that are important in current and future SPC assessments, such as tagging data and close-kin mark-recapture data. There has been interest and experimental development to improve the modelling of tagging data.

# Transition Plan - The Process

## **High priority, Additional work**

The topic of medium-term plans for MFCL and other stock assessment platforms is acknowledged and understood as a high priority by everyone at SPC. The decision makers need input and insights from us stock assessment experts to move forward.

When evaluating alternative stock assessment platforms, Stock Synthesis is a strong contender for the larger SPC assessments. To fast-track the process, SPC could bring in additional Stock Synthesis experts, either as contractors and/or schedule Stock Synthesis workshops including external experts.

The amount of work just doing MFCL assessments and related data preparation and report writing absorbs most of our time, so it may not be realistic to add many layers of work on top of that.

# Transition Plan - The Process

## **Exploration, Improving SS tag module**

It is clear that MFCL has and continues to deliver good science, and no one is criticizing or questioning its use for the next few years.

What is needed on the technical front is exploring and documenting the shortcomings of current Stock Synthesis for handling the SPC tuna stock assessments. Claudio started this process last year and implemented a prototype ALB assessment, and this research effort should continue and increase.

Improving the tagging module in Stock Synthesis is something that SPC could potentially contribute to Stock Synthesis. This could be organized as a FIMS project, to establish collaboration and to design the module so it can be ported to TMB as well.



# Transition Plan - Four Stages

1. The current stage: MFCL is the only assessment model for all SPC tuna stocks.
2. Development and exploration stage: testing and tweaking of candidate models, other than MFCL, for some or all SPC tuna stocks.
3. Overlapping stage: a full candidate model, in addition to MFCL, has been implemented and presented for at least one of the SPC tuna stocks.
4. Decision stage: decision is made regarding the adoption of a new stock assessment platform for at least one of the SPC tuna stocks.

Chances are that many, if not all of us, will have moved from SPC before we reach stage 4. That makes it even clearer that the process is not about personal preferences but about critical needs of SPC in the medium term. It is our responsibility as the current stock assessment team to move the process to stages 2 & 3 in the short term.

# Model Development and Exploration - SS, SAM, TMB

## **Stock Synthesis and other platforms**

Although Stock Synthesis will likely be the primary focus, it would also be wise to allocate effort and resources into exploring SAM (adding a length module) and other TMB assessment platforms.

Current research efforts in fisheries science have shown that TMB allows highly efficient and stable estimation of complex models, involving a large number of random effects but relatively few estimated parameters. This modelling approach is central to the design of TMB and seems especially relevant for the SPC tuna stock assessments.

# FIMS Collaboration

## **Develop new TMB modules**

Acknowledging that MFCL might not be used anywhere 5 or 10 years from now, it becomes important to carry forward the best features of MFCL (tags, migrations, etc.) to make them available as modules that can be included in future stock assessment software.

This is a good strategy to ensure that future stock assessment software will exist that matches the needs of SPC. This effort fits perfectly with how the FIMS project operates, as it's centered on international collaboration and implementation of TMB modules that can be linked together.

## Challenge 7 - Reproducibility of SPC Analyses



# Reproducibility of SPC Analyses

## Problem

Difficult to pick up previous work to update and extend

- ⇒ Time lost
- ⇒ Prevents us from producing the highest quality analyses and deliverables

## Proposed solution

Increase the use of GitHub and TAF to strengthen reproducibility

- ⇒ GitHub workshop (April)
- ⇒ TAF workshop (upcoming)

# Transparency in Fisheries Management

Transparent = **open** and **reproducible**

as a result, reviewable and traceable

A growing question in all fisheries around the world:

⇒ **Is the management of this stock based on open and reproducible science?**

*If not, which criteria are still missing?*



# How Reproducible?

A gradient from low → high quality of science, in terms of reproducibility:

1. Here's the management advice – trust me, I did the math
2. I used the model published in this paper and here are the data tables and results
3. I used these exact equations and preprocessed the data in this manner
4. Here are some scripts that give the general idea
5. Here are scripts that run on my computer, as a complete workflow without errors
6. Here are scripts that should run on your computer, along with all input files and software dependencies
7. I've cleaned up the directory to include only files required to run the core analysis, tested on another computer, with exact instructions on how to run
8. Adopted a standard reproducible format for the analysis

Non-reproducible results are not accepted in fields like climate research and medical research

Reproducibility distinguishes between arbitrary analyses and science

# GitHub vs. Shared drive

	GitHub	Shared drive
<b>Backups</b>	Every change is saved as snapshot	Some
<b>Collaboration</b>	Pull requests, view contributions, issues	Difficult to see what others do
<b>Open science</b>	Can share with the world	Local network only
<b>Large files</b>	Each repo < 1 GB plus assets	No limits
<b>Expertise</b>	Requires Git/GitHub skills	Easy to copy files
<b>Used for</b>	Analyses, software, data hub, information	Same
<b>Expectation</b>	Should (ideally) run on any computer	Can be anything
<b>Style</b>	Minimalistic, organized	Kitchen sink

# Standard Repositories for SPC Assessments

## Data preparation

1. skj-2022-cpue-purse-seine, skj-2022-cpue-pole-and-line, skj-2022-cpue-archipelago
2. skj-2022-tags
3. skj-2022-length-comps

## Assessment

1. skj-2022-stepwise
2. skj-2022-diagnostic
3. skj-2022-sensitivities
4. skj-2022-grid
5. skj-2022-retro

## Write up

1. skj-2022-plots
2. skj-2022-report

# Reproducibility - Tasks

## **This year**

GitHub and TAF workshops

GitHub and TAF support

Track progress: which repositories were created for the SKJ assessment

## **Ideas**

Develop a standard check of whether and how reproducible an analysis is

Maybe just a list of check boxes to calculate score

Maybe an R function to semi-automate this, your friendly Reproducible Robot

# Challenges

1. Initiate a transition plan to produce SPC assessments in platforms other than MFCL
2. Added workload during the upcoming transition phase, producing exploratory and candidate assessments, while also delivering the normal MFCL assessments and related products
3. How much to invest in learning something for a 3 yrs contract
4. Takes a very long time to acquire SPC-specific knowledge, before being able to produce assessments and related analyses
5. Time frame for assessment data preparation, would be good to make available in a place where we can all see that we're on track and how things are linked together
6. Clear comparisons of data inputs in new assessment and previous assessment
7. Reproducibility varies greatly between SPC analyses, often difficult to pick up previous work to update and extend