

# Analysis I

Thomas Fleming

November 10, 2021

## Contents

### Lecture 20: Derivatives (2)

Tue 02 Nov 2021 12:58

**Recall.** A monotone function on an interval has well defined limits at both its endpoints.

**Definition 0.1** (Upper/Lower Derivatives). Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

- We define  $\overline{D}f(x) = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \{ \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h} : 0 < |h| < \tau \}$  to be the **upper derivative**.
- We define  $\underline{D}f(x) = \liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \{ \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h} : 0 < |h| < \tau \}$  to be the **lower derivative**.
- If, for some  $x \in \overset{\circ}{S}$ , we find  $\overline{D}f(x), \underline{D}f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ , with the upper and lower derivatives being equal, we say  $f$  is **differentiable** at  $x$ .  
We denote  $f'(x) = \overline{D}f(x) = \underline{D}f(x)$ .

We know, the limits of the upper and lower derivatives to be well defined as the supremum and infimum are monotone functions with respect to  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 0.1.** Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x \in \overset{\circ}{S}$ . Then,  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  if and only if

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

That is, the classical derivative is equivalent to the lebesgue derivative, so we will use the new definition for most proofs, but the old for most computations.

**Theorem 0.1** (Mean-Value Theorem). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and differentiable at every  $x \in (a, b)$ . Then, there exists  $\xi \in (a, b)$  so that  $f(b) - f(a) = f'(\xi)(b - a)$ .

**Lemma 0.1.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be increasing and suppose  $\overline{D}f(x) = \underline{D}f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then,  $\overline{D}f(x)$  and  $\underline{D}f(x)$  are finite almost everywhere. Moreover,  $f$  is differentiable almost everywhere (on  $[a, b]$ ). Furthermore,  $f'$  is an integrable function and

$$\int_{[a,b]} f' \leq f(b) - f(a).$$

*Proof.* Extend  $f$  to  $[a, \infty)$  by letting  $f(c) = f(b)$  for all  $c \geq b$ . Define a sequence  $(g_n)$ ,  $g_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$x \mapsto n \left( f \left( x + \frac{1}{n} \right) - f(x) \right).$$

Then, by assumption, we know  $(g_n(x))$  to be convergent in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  with limit  $f'(x)$  for almost every  $x \in (a, b)$ . Each  $g_n$  is measurable, hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$  is increasing, we see  $g(n) \geq 0$ , hence  $\overline{D}f \geq 0$ .

Applying Fatou's lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a,b]} \overline{D}f &= \int_{[a,b]} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[a,b]} g_n \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left( \int_{[a+\frac{1}{n}, b+\frac{1}{n}]} f - \int_{[a,b]} f \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \underbrace{n \int_{[b, b+\frac{1}{n}]} f}_{=f(b)} - \underbrace{n \int_{[a, a+\frac{1}{n}]} f}_{\leq f(a)} \right) \\ &\leq f(b) - f(a). \end{aligned}$$

We know the final inequality holds because  $f$  is constant on  $[b, b + \frac{1}{n}]$  and though  $f$  is not constant, it is increasing on  $[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]$  hence the upper bound of their difference is attained by  $f(a)$ .

Consequently,  $\overline{D}f$  is integrable (so finite almost everywhere). And, since  $\overline{D}f = \underline{D}f$ , we find  $f'(x)$  exists and equals  $\overline{D}f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ .  $\square$

Later, we will prove equality holds precisely in the case of absolute continuity.

**Definition 0.2** (Vitali Covering). Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We call a collection of closed, bounded intervals (denoted  $\mathcal{C}$ ) of positive length a **Vitali covering** of  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if for every  $x \in S$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we find an  $I \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $x \in I$  and  $l(I) < \varepsilon$ .

**Example.** A Vitali covering of  $S = [0, 1]$  goes as follows. Let  $H = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ , then  $\mathcal{C} = \{[x, x+h] : h \in H, x \in [0, 1]\}$ .  $\diamond$

**Theorem 0.2** (Vitali Covering Lemma). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Vitali covering of the set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $m^*(S) < \infty$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite, disjoint collection of intervals  $\{I_k \in \mathcal{C} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  such that

$$m^*\left(S \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k\right) < \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 0.3** (Lebesgue's Theorem). Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a monotone function on an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $f$  is differentiable at almost every  $x \in I$  and  $f'$  is integrable on every interval  $[a, b] \subseteq I$ . In particular, if  $f$  is increasing, then

$$\int_{[a,b]} f' \leq f(b) - f(a).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $I$  is open and bounded, else we could replace  $I$  by  $\overset{\circ}{I} \cap (-n, n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and we find  $\overset{\circ}{I} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overset{\circ}{I} \cap (-n, n)$ . Similarly, we can assume  $f$  to be increasing. Hence, for all  $x \in I$ , we have  $0 \leq \underline{D}f(x) \leq \overline{D}f(x) \leq \infty$ . So, we need only show  $\overline{D}f(x) = \underline{D}f(x)$  with this quantity being finite for almost every  $x \in I$ .

For  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $p > q > 0$ , define  $E_{p,q} = \{x \in I : \underline{D}f(x) < q < p < \overline{D}f(x) < \infty\}$ . Then,

$$\{x \in I : \underline{D}f(x) < \overline{D}f(x) < \infty\} = \bigcup_{p,q \in \mathbb{Q}^+} E_{p,q}.$$

If  $f$  fails to be differentiable at  $x \in I$ , then either  $x \in E_{p,q}$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\overline{D}f(x) = \infty$ . We know  $\overline{D}f$  to be finite almost everywhere, so by subadditivity, we need only show the other component,  $E_{p,q}$ , has measure 0.

Fix  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and suppose  $m^*(E_{p,q}) = m_0$ . Then,  $m_0 \in [0, \infty)$  by the boundedness assumption. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a nonempty open  $U$  such that  $E_{p,q} \subseteq U$  and  $m(U) < m_0 + \varepsilon$ . Suppose  $x \in E_{p,q}$ . Since  $\underline{D}f(x) < q$  by definition of  $E_{p,q}$ ; for every  $\delta > 0$  we find a  $0 < h < \delta$  such that  $[x, x+h] \subseteq U$  and  $f(x+h) - f(x) < qh$  or  $[x-h, x] \subseteq U$  and  $f(x) - f(x-h) \leq qh$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{L}$  of all such intervals  $[x, x+h]$  or  $[x-h, x]$  for a fixed  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \in E_{p,q}$  forms a Vitali covering of  $E_{p,q}$ . We find all intervals  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{L}$  have the property  $f(b) - f(a) < q(b-a)$  by the earlier observation. Then, by the Vitali covering lemma, there is a finite, disjoint collection of intervals  $\{I_n \in \mathcal{L} : 1 \leq n \leq N\}$  such that for  $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^N I_n$ , we have  $m^*(E_{p,q} \setminus V) < \varepsilon$ . Note that  $m(V) < m_0 + \varepsilon$  since  $V \subseteq U$ . Since  $m^*(E_{p,q} \setminus V) + m^*(E_{p,q} \cap V) \geq m_0$  since the two sets together contain  $E_{p,q}$ , we have  $m^*(E_{p,q} \cap V) \geq m_0 - \varepsilon$ .

Now, we follow a similar construction. If  $x \in E_{p,q} \cap V$ , then  $p < \overline{D}f(x)$  implies for all  $\delta > 0$  there is an  $0 < h < \delta$  such that  $[x, x+h] \subseteq V$  and  $f(x+h) - f(x) \geq ph$  or  $[x-h, x] \subseteq V$  and  $f(x) - f(x-h) \geq ph$ . The collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of all such intervals  $[x, x+h]$  or  $[x-h, x]$  for a fixed  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \in E_{p,q} \cap V$  is a Vitali covering of  $E_{p,q} \cap V$ . Moreover, if  $[c, d] \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $f(d) - f(c) \geq p(d-c)$ . Applying Vitali Covering lemma yields a finite disjoint collection of intervals  $\{I_k \in \mathcal{U} : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$  such that for  $W = \bigcup_{k=1}^K I_k$ , we have  $m^*((E_{p,q} \cap V) \setminus W) < \varepsilon$ . Since

$$m^*((E_{p,q} \cap V) \setminus W) + m(W) \geq m^*(E_{p,q} \cap V)$$

we have that  $m(W) \geq m_0 - 2\varepsilon$ .

We know each interval  $J_k = [c_k, d_k]$  from  $W$  must be contained in  $V$ , furthermore it is contained in an interval  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  of  $V$ . As each interval is disjoint and monotonic, we must have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K (f(d_k) - f(c_k)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^N (f(b_n) - f(a_n)).$$

Now, since  $I_n \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $J_k \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p \sum_{k=1}^K (d_k - c_k) &= pm(w) \\ &\leq qm(V) \\ &= q \sum_{n=1}^N (b_n - a_n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $p(m_0 - 2\varepsilon) \leq q(m_0 + \varepsilon)$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $pm_0 \leq qm_0$  and as  $p > q$ , we must have  $m_0 = 0$ , so  $f$  is differentiable on all but sets of measure 0, so it is differentiable almost everywhere.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** If the function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation on the interval  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then it is differentiable at almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ . Consequently, if  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then it is differentiable at almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Bounded variation implies  $f = g - h$  for increasing functions  $g, h$ . Applying Lebesgue's theorem yields  $g, h$  are differentiable almost everywhere, hence  $f$  is differentiable almost everywhere.  $\square$

## Lecture 21: Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Thu 04 Nov 2021 13:03

For the duration of this lecture,  $[a, b]$  will denote a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , principally, it is not in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Lemma 0.2.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is integrable. Then,  $f = 0$  almost everywhere if and only if  $\int_{[a, x]} f = 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* If  $f = 0$  almost everywhere, then the integral must be 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$  so the forward implication holds.

Conversely, assume  $\int_{[a, x]} f = 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then, let  $E = \{x \in [a, b] : f(x) > 0\}$  and assume  $m(E) > 0$ . Then, there is a closed set  $C \subset E$  so that  $m(C) > 0$ . Letting  $O = (a, b) \setminus C$  (an open set) we see  $\int_{[a, b]} f = \int_C f + \int_O f$  and as  $\int_C f > 0$  as  $C \subseteq E$  with  $m(C) > 0$ . Hence, we find  $\int_O f \neq 0$ . Hence,  $m(O) > 0$ , and there is an interval  $(c, d) \subseteq O$  so that  $\int_{[c, d]} f \neq 0$ . Since  $\int_{[a, d]} f = 0$  by assumption, then we find  $\int_{[a, d]} f = \int_{[a, c]} f + \int_{[c, d]} f$ , hence  $\int_{[a, c]} f \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 0.2.** Suppose  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. For every  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < b - x$  such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{x, x+h} (g - g(x)) \right| < \varepsilon \text{ for } 0 < h < \delta.$$

*Proof.* Write  $g(x) = g(x)\chi_{[x, x+h]}$ . Then the claim immediately follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.4** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I). Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is integrable. Then the function

$$F : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \longmapsto F(x) = \int_{[a, x]} f$$

is absolutely continuous and differentiable almost everywhere with  $F' = f$  almost everywhere.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $F$  is absolutely continuous and differentiable almost everywhere by a result from last lecture and the fact that absolute continuity  $\Rightarrow$  bounded variation  $\Rightarrow$  differentiable a.e.

Moreover, we can assume  $f \geq 0$ , otherwise replacing  $f$  by  $f^+$  or  $f^-$ . We can temporarily assume  $f$  is bounded (though we will later remove this requirement). Let  $f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then, extend  $f, F$  to functions on  $[a, \infty)$  by letting  $f(x) = f(b)$  for all  $x \geq b$ . Define the following sequence of continuous functions  $(g_n)$

$$g_n : [a, b] \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$x \longmapsto g_n(x) = n \left( F \left( x + \frac{1}{n} \right) - F(x) \right) = n \left( \int_{a, x + \frac{1}{n}} f - \int_{a, x} f \right)$$

$$= n \int_{[x, x + \frac{1}{n}]} f.$$

Then, we find the sequence is pointwise convergent with limit  $F'(x)$  for almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ . Furthermore,  $F'$  is measurable and  $0 \leq g_n \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . So, applying dominated convergence and the previous proposition yields  $g_n$  is dominated by  $M$  with pointwise limit  $F'$ , so  $F' \leq M$  almost everywhere. So,  $F'$  is integrable and for all  $x \in [a, b]$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a, x]} F' &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[a, x]} g_n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left( \int_{[a + \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}]} F - \int_{[a, x]} F \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left( \int_{[x, x + \frac{1}{n}]} F - \int_{[a, a + \frac{1}{n}]} F \right) \\ &= F(x) - F(a) \\ &= F(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $f$  was unbounded, then define the sequences  $(f_n)$  and  $(F_n)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} f_n : [a, b] &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\ x &\longmapsto f_n(x) = \inf\{f(x), n\} \\ F_n : [a, b] &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\ x &\longmapsto F_n(x) = \int_{[a, x]} f_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f - f_n \geq 0$ , we see  $F - F_n$  is increasing for each  $n$ . Hence,  $F - F_n$  is differentiable almost everywhere with  $(F - F_n)' \geq 0$  almost everywhere. Consequently for  $x \in [a, b]$  we see

$$\int_{[a, x]} F' \geq \int_{[a, x]} F'_n$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since  $F_n$  is bounded for all  $n$ , we see  $\int_{[a, x]} F'_n = F_n(x)$  by the bounded case. Thus,  $\int_{[a, x]} F' \geq F_n(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

Now, applying *MCT*, we see  $(f_n)$  is a pointwise convergent sequence of functions which are increasing the  $F_n$ s also converge pointwise to  $F$  on  $[a, b]$ . Hence,  $\int_{[a, x]} F' \geq F(x)$  for ever  $x \in [a, b]$  by passing the earlier inequality to the limit. Since  $f$  is nonnegative, we see  $F$  is increasing, so we also have  $\int_{[a, x]} F' \leq F(x) - F(a) = F(x)$ . Hence  $\int_{[a, x]} F' = F(x)$  since

$$\int_{[a, x]} (F' - f) = \int_{[a, x]} F' - \int_{[a, x]} f = \int_{[a, x]} F' - F(x) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in [a, b].$$

□

In order to prove the other part of the fundamental theorem of calculus, we will need the following lemma:

**Lemma 0.3.** If the function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous with  $f' = 0$  almost everywhere then  $f$  is a constant function.

*Proof.* We will show  $f(c) = f(a)$  for all  $c \in (a, b]$ . Fix  $c \in (a, b]$  and let  $E = \{x \in (a, c) : f' \text{ exists at } x, f'(x) = 0\}$ .

By assumption,  $m(E) = c - a > 0$ , hence for  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose  $\delta > 0$  such that absolute continuity holds. For each  $x \in E$  and  $k > 0$ , we see there is an  $h \in (0, k)$  with either  $[x, x+h] \subseteq [a, c]$  and  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| < \varepsilon h$  or  $[x-h, x] \subseteq [a, c]$  and  $|f(x-h) - f(x)| < \varepsilon h$  (or both). Then, the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of these intervals for all  $k > 0$  and  $x \in E$  is a Vitali covering of  $E$ . By the Vitali covering lemma, we find a finite disjoint collection  $\{[x_k, y_k] \in \mathcal{C} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  so that  $V = \bigcup_{k=1}^n [x_k, y_k]$  has  $m(E \setminus V) < \delta$ . Reindex these intervals such that  $x_k < x_{k+1}$  for all  $k$  and let  $y_0 = a$ ,  $x_{n+1} = c$ . Then, we see

$$a = y_0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots < x_n < y_n \leq x_{n+1} = c.$$

Hence, the set  $P = \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n+1\} \cup \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$  is a partition of  $[a, c]$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - x_k) = m(V) > m(E) = c - a - \delta$$

we see the leftover pieces

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (x_{k+1} - y_k) \leq m(E \setminus V) < \delta.$$

Since  $f$  is absolutely continuous, we see  $\sum_{k=0}^n |f(x_{k+1}) - f(y_k)| < \varepsilon$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(c) - f(a)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f(y_k) - f(x_k)| + \sum_{k=0}^n |f(x_{k+1}) - f(y_k)| \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon (y_k - x_k) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \varepsilon (c - a) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so we see  $f(c) - f(a) = 0$  for all  $c \in (a, b]$  and the claim follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.5** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II). Suppose the function  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous. Then,  $F$  is differentiable almost everywhere and its derivative,  $F'$ , is integrable with

$$\int_{[a, x]} F' = F(x) - F(a)$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is absolutely continuous, it is of bounded variation, so there are two increasing functions,  $T, S : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $F = T - S$ . Moreover, the derivatives  $T', S'$  exist almost everywhere and are integrable.

Hence,  $F'$  exists almost everywhere and  $F' = T' - S'$  almost everywhere, so it is integrable as well.

Then, letting  $G(x) = \int_{[a, x]} F'$ . We see  $G$  is absolutely continuous, so  $F - G$  must be absolutely continuous. Then, by the FTC part 1, we see  $(F - G)'$  exists almost everywhere and  $(F - G)'(x) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ . Hence  $F - G$  is a constant function. So, we see  $F(x) - G(x) = F(x) - \int_{[a, x]} F' = F(a)$  by letting  $x = a$ .  $\square$