

Algebraic Theory I

Thomas Fleming

November 10, 2021

Contents

1 Noetherian Rings	1
--------------------	---

Lecture 32

Wed 10 Nov 2021 17:32

Lecture 31: Noetherian Rings

Fri 05 Nov 2021 11:34

1 Noetherian Rings

Recall. A commutative ring is noetherian if it satisfies the ascending chain condition on ideals. We claimed this to be equivalent to the property that all ideals are finitely generated.

Proof. First, we assume R to be noetherian. Suppose there is an ideal I which is not finitely generated. Then, let $x_1 \in I$ be a nonzero element of I . Hence, we have $(0) \subset (x_1)$ with $(x_1) \neq I$ by assumption. Moreover, there is an $x_2 \neq x_1$ which is also nonzero such that $(0) \subset (x_1) \subset (x_1, x_2)$ and $(x_1, x_2) \neq I$ by assumption. Recursing, we see there are $x_1, x_2, \dots \in I$ such that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subset (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \subset I$ for all n . Hence, letting $I_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we obtain an infinite strictly ascending chain of ideals \nmid . Hence, $I_n = I$ for some n , so I is finitely generated.

Now, assume all ideals are finitely generated. Suppose there is an infinite proper chain of ideals

$$I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots$$

with each containment being proper. Then, we see $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} I_k = I$ is an ideal. Moreover since I is finitely generated there are $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in I$ such that $I = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then, since $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} I_k$, we see each one is in I_k for some k . Since each $I_k \subset I_{k+1}$, let I_m be an ideal containing all y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . Then, we see $I \subset I_m$, but this is a contradiction as $I \neq I_m$ by the proper containment assumption and $I \not\subseteq I_m$ as I_m is within the union. \nmid . Hence, the chain cannot be strictly ascending. \square

Proposition 1.1. Let R be a commutative ring. If R satisfies the ascending chain condition on all principal ideals, then every nonzero element in R has a factorization.

Proof. Let $x \in R$ be a nonzero, nonunit. If x is irreducible, $x = x$ is a factorization. Hence, we can assume $x = x_1x_2$ with x_1, x_2 being nonzero, nonunits. Similarly, we see x_1, x_2 cannot both be irreducible else this would be a factorization. Hence define $x_1 = x_{11}x_{12}$ and $x_2 = x_{21}x_{22}$ with at least 3 of $x_{11}x_{12}x_{21}x_{22}$ being non-units. Hence, $x_1 = x_{11}x_{12}x_{21}x_{22}$. Recursing n times yields

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} x_i$$

with at least 2^{n-1} elements being nonunits. If for some n , we find all x_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ to be irreducible (or units), then x has been factored. Hence, we may assume at least one x_i to be not an irreducible for all n . Then, we see there must be a sequence k_i such that $(x) \subset (x_1) \subset (x_{k_1}) \subset (x_{k_2}) \subset \dots$ as each x_{k_i} splits into a product of elements which are not both irreducible or units. Moreover, each containment must be proper, so letting n grow yields \nexists , as such a chain will continue indefinitely unless all x_i are irreducible or units at some step. Hence we must have at some point all x_i to be irreducibles, hence x is factorable. \square

Theorem 1.1. If R is a noetherian domain then R is a unique factorization domain if and only if all irreducible elements are prime.

Proof. Note, we have already shown all primes to be irreducible in an integral domain (hence noetherian domain) and we know UFD implies primes are irreducibles. Hence, only one implication remains to be shown, that all irreducible being prime implies UFD.

Since R is a noetherian domain, factorizations exist. Hence, we need only show these factorizations are unique. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ux_1x_2 \dots x_n \\ &= u'y_1y_2 \dots y_t \end{aligned}$$

with u, u' being units and x_i, y_i being irreducibles for each i . We proceed by induction on $|\text{Fac}(x)|$. If $|\text{Fac}(x)| = 1$, then x is irreducible and the claim is obviously true. Of course the case $|\text{Fac}(x)| = 0$ implies x a unit, hence not factorable, so the claim is vacuously true in this case.

Now, assuming the case $n - 1$, if $|\text{Fac}(x)| = n$ (as is the case in the original x), we see $x_1 \mid x$ with x_1 being irreducible, hence prime. Supposing the claim false, we see $x_1 \mid u'y_1y_2 \dots y_t$, so WLOG, $x_1 \mid y_1$ up to units. As y_1 is irreducible and divided by x_1 , we see $y_1 = x_1r_1$ with r_1 being a unit, hence $x_1 = y_1$ up to units. Repeating yields for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x_i = y_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq t$ (up to permutation of the y_i 's) up to units, hence

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ux_1x_2 \dots x_n \\ &= \hat{u}x_1x_2 \dots x_ny_s \dots y_t \text{ for a unit } \hat{u} \text{ and some } s \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

This yields, $y_1y_2 \dots y_t = 1$ up to units, \nexists as the y_i 's were assumed nonunits. \square