

# Algebraic Theory I

Thomas Fleming

November 10, 2021

## Contents

### Lecture 32

Wed 10 Nov 2021 17:32

### Lecture 33

Wed 10 Nov 2021 17:33

**Recall.** Recall  $R$  denotes a commutative ring. If  $S \subseteq R$  is a multiplicative subset, we see  $x, y \in S$  implies  $xy \in S$  and  $0 \notin S$  but  $1 \in S$ .

Then, we define  $S^{-1}R = \{X/s : x \in R, s \in S\}$ . Then, we see  $\frac{x_1}{s_1} = \frac{x_2}{s_2}$  if and only if there is an  $s \in S$  so that  $s(s_2x_1 - s_1x_2) = 0$ . Of course, if  $R$  is an integral domain we see this implies  $s_2x_1 - s_1x_2 = 0$ , the normal definition of fraction equality.

Now, we turn this set into a ring. We define  $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{x_2}{s_2} := \frac{x_1x_2}{s_1s_2}$  and  $\frac{x_1}{s_1} + \frac{x_2}{s_2} = \frac{s_2x_1 + s_1x_2}{s_1s_2} = \frac{s_2x_1 + s_1x_2}{s_1s_2}$ . Now, we need to show that  $+, \cdot$  are well defined (meaning they do not vary for different representatives of a given equivalence class). This fact is easily checked by symbolic manipulation so we omit the proof. For the addition case suppose  $\frac{x_1}{s_1} = \frac{x'_1}{s'_1}$  and similarly for  $\frac{x_2}{s_2}$  then take the multiplicative representation of the fraction and multiply the  $\frac{x_1}{s_1}$  representation by  $-s_2s'_2ts$  and the  $\frac{x_2}{s_2}$  representation by  $-s_1s'_1st$  and by adding together these representations we see terms cancel and we obtain that addition is in fact well defined. Moreover, it is trivial to check that the ring axioms hold.

**Definition 0.1** (Ring Localization). We denote this new fraction ring  $S^{-1}R$  to be the **localization of  $R$**  with additive identity  $\frac{0}{1}$ , multiplicative identity  $\frac{1}{1}$  and  $\frac{tx}{ts} = \frac{x}{s}$  for all  $t \in S$ .

Note that  $s \in S$  is nonzero by definition, so  $\frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = 1_{S^{-1}R}$ , so every element has an inverse.

**Proposition 0.1.** If  $R$  is a commutative ring with  $S \subseteq R$  being a multiplicative subset. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : R &\longrightarrow S^{-1}R \\ x &\longmapsto \pi(x) = \frac{x}{1}\end{aligned}$$

is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, if  $S$  has no zero-divisors, then  $\pi$  is an injection.

*Proof.* If  $x, y \in R$  then  $\pi(x \pm y) = \frac{x \pm y}{1} = \frac{x}{1} \pm \frac{y}{1} = \pi(x) \pm \pi(y)$ . Furthermore  $\pi(1) = \frac{1}{1} = 1$ .

Lastly,  $\pi(xy) = \frac{xy}{1} = \frac{x}{1} \frac{y}{1} = \pi(x) \pi(y)$ . Hence,  $\pi$  is a ring homomorphism. Now consider  $\ker(\pi) = \{x \in R : \frac{x}{1} = \frac{0}{1}\}$ . We see this implies an  $s \in S$  so that  $s(1x - 1 \cdot 0) = sx = 0$ , hence  $s$  is a zero divisor  $\nmid$ .  $\square$