## Analysis I

#### Thomas Fleming

#### October 19, 2021

### Contents

1	Lebesque Integration	2
2	Simple Functions	5
3	Littlewood's 3 Principles	6

# Lecture 16: Conclusion of Measure Theory and Lebesque Integration

Tue 19 Oct 2021 13:02

**Recall.** We stated the theorems behind littlewood's 3 principles, now we prove them.

Proof. 1. (2.2). Let J be the collection of all open intervals (a,b) with  $a,b \in \mathbb{Q}$  and a < b. Since J is countable we can order the intervals  $J = \{J_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and first we do the case S is bounded. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a closed set  $C_n \subseteq f^{-1}(J_n)$  and a  $D_n = S \setminus f^{-1}(I_n)$  such that  $\mu(S \setminus (C_n \cup D_n)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ . Since S is bounded,  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  are compact. Let  $K = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (C_n \cup D_n)$  and as  $C_n, D_n \subseteq S$ , we see  $K \subseteq S$ . Furthermore, K is compact and we find  $\mu(S \setminus K) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(S \setminus (C_n \cup D_n)) < \varepsilon$ . Now, we show the restriction is continuous. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then for  $x \in K$  we find  $a,b \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that a < f(x) < b and  $b - a < \varepsilon$ . Hence, there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I_n = (a,b)$ . Consequently,  $x \in f^{-1}(I_n)$  and  $x \notin S \setminus f^{-1}(I_n)$ . So,  $x \in (S \setminus f^{-1}(I_n))^c \subseteq D_n^c$ . As  $D_n$  is closed,  $D_n^c$  is open, hence there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subseteq D_n^c$ . If  $y \in K \cap D_n^c$ , then  $y \in C_n$ , thus  $y \in f^{-1}(I_n)$ , hence a < f(y) < b. So,  $|f(x) - f(y)| < b - a = \varepsilon$  for  $y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ .

Now, we do the unbounded case. As S is unbounded and  $\varepsilon>0$ , we find  $N\in N$  so that  $S'=S\cap [-N,N]$  has the property  $\mu\left(S\setminus S'\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , that is S is approximated by a bounded function arbitrarily well. Since S' is bounded, there is a compact set  $K\subseteq S'\subset S$  so that  $f\mid K$  is continuous and  $\mu\left(S'\setminus K\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then,  $\mu\left(S\setminus K\right)=\mu\left(S\setminus S'\right)+\mu\left(S'\setminus K\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon$ .

2. (2.4). Let  $E^*$  be the set of all  $x \in S$  such that  $(f_n(x))$  does not converge. By assumption,  $\mu(E^*) = 0$ . Since  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  for all  $x \in S \setminus E^*$ , then f is measurable. For  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_{k,\ell} = \{x \in S : |f_{\ell}(x) - f(x)| \ge \frac{1}{k}\}$ . Then,  $E_{k,\ell}$  is measurable. Fix k. If for each

 $n\in\mathbb{N}$  there is a  $\ell\geq n$  so that  $|f_{\ell}\left(x\right)-f\left(x\right)|\geq\frac{1}{k}$ , then  $x\in E^*$  as f does not converge at that point. Hence,  $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{\ell=n}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}\subseteq E^*$ . Since  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}\right)\leq\mu\left(S\right)\leq\infty$ , and the collection  $\{\bigcup_{\ell=n}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}\}$  is clearly descending. Hence,  $\mu\left(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu\left(\bigcup_{\ell=n}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}\right)\leq\mu\left(E^*\right)=0$ . This holds for all  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ . So, for  $\varepsilon>0$  and  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ , we have a  $n_k\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{\ell=n_k}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$ . Thus,  $E=\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{\ell=n_k}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}$  is measurable and  $\mu\left(E\right)<\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{\ell=n_k}^{\infty}E_{k,\ell}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}=\varepsilon$ . If  $x\in S\setminus E$ , then  $|f_n\left(x\right)-f\left(x\right)|<\frac{1}{k}$  for  $k\in\mathbb{N}$  if  $n\geq n_k$ . So,  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly on  $S\setminus E$ .

This concludes measure theory.

## 1 Lebesque Integration

**Definition 1.1** (Lebesque Integral: Nonnegative Simple Functions). Let s be a nonnegative simple function of the form  $s = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \chi_{S_k}$  where  $\{S_k : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$  is a disjoint collection of measurable sets. Then, the **Lebesque Integral** of s is defined to be

$$\int s = \int s(x) dx = \int s d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \mu(S_k).$$

**Proposition 1.1.** If s is nonnegative and simple with two representations,  $s = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \chi_{S_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} b_j \chi_{T_j}$  for disjoint collections of measurable sets  $\{S_k : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$  and  $\{T_j : 1 \leq j \leq J\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \mu\left(S_k\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} b_j \mu\left(T_j\right).$$

In particular,  $\int s$  is well defined.

The proof of this is trivial.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let s, t be nonnegative and simple and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Then

$$\alpha \cdot \int s = \int \alpha \cdot s$$
 and  $\int (s+t) = \int s + \int t$ 

.

*Proof.* Clearly, multiplying the sum times  $\alpha$  yields  $\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \mu\left(S_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha a_k \mu\left(S_k\right)$ . For the second claim. Suppose  $s = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \chi_{S_k}$  and  $g = \sum_{j=1}^{J} b_j \chi_{T_j}$  are canonical representations. Then,  $s + t = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(a_k + b_j\right) \chi_{S_k \cap T_j}$  with

 $\{S_k\cap T_j: 1\leq k\leq K, 1\leq j\leq J\}$  is a disjoint collection and

$$\int (s+t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (a_k + b_j) \mu (S_k \cap T_j)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mu (S_k \cap T_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} b_j \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mu (S_k \cap T_j)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \mu (S_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} b_j \mu (T_j)$$

$$= \int s + \int t.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** Let s,t be nonnegative and simple such that  $s \leq t$ . Then,  $\int s \leq \int t$ .

Proof.

$$\int t = \int (t - s + s)$$

$$= \int \underbrace{(t - s)}_{\geq 0} + \int s$$

$$\geq \int s.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $f: S \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , then the **zero extension** of f to  $\mathbb{R}$  is

$$f^*: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$x \longmapsto f^*(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in S \\ 0, & x \notin S \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, this function preserves measurability.

**Definition 1.3** (Lebesque Integral of a General Nonnegative Function). Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a nonnegative measurable function and  $\mathscr{S}(f)$  be the collection of all nonnegative simple functions, s, such that  $s \leq f$ . Then, the **Lebesque Integral** of f over  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined to be

$$\int f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = \sup \{ \int s : s \in \mathscr{S}(f) \}$$

If  $f: S \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is nonnegative and measurable, then

$$\int_{S} f = \int_{S} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{*}$$

.

**Theorem 1.1** (Chebyshev's Inequality). Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be nonnegative and measurable. Then, for any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , then

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f\left(x\right) \ge \lambda\right\}\right) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \int f.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \ge \lambda\}$ . This is the preimage of an extended borel set, hence measurable. Let  $s = \lambda$ 

# Lecture 15: Measurable Functions (3) and Simple Functions

Thu 14 Oct 2021 13:01

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions  $f_n: S \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then, we define  $f, g, F, G: S \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  with

- $f(x) = \sup\{f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$
- $g(x) = \inf\{f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$
- $F(x) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ ,
- $G(x) = \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$

all being measurable.

*Proof.* • Note that f(x) > c if and only if there is an n such that  $f_n(x) > c$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}((c,\infty]) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n^{-1}((c,\infty))$  is measurable.

- It it clear  $g(x) = -\sup\{-f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Next, note that  $F(x) = \inf\{\sup\{f_k(x) : k \geq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $G(x) = \sup\{\inf\{f_k(x) : k \geq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , hence they are measurable by the first two theorems.

**Remark.** It is also true that for a measurable function  $f: S \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is measurable implies

$$f^{+}(x) = \sup\{f(x), 0\}$$
  
 $f^{-}(x) = \sup\{-f(x), 0\}$ 

are also measurable.

### 2 Simple Functions

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\chi S : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \longmapsto \chi_S(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in S \\ 0, & x \notin S \end{cases}$$

is the characteristic function of S .

A measurable function  $s: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is a **simple functions** if  $s(\mathbb{R})$  is finite.

**Proposition 2.1.** If s is a simple function. Then, there exists a finite, disjoint collection of measurable sets  $\{S_k : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$  and a finite sequence of distinct real numbers  $(a_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}$  such that  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k=1}^K S_k$  and  $s = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \chi_{S_k}$ . Furthermore, this combination is unique up to permutation of the  $a_k, s_k$ . This representation is called the **canonical representation**.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be nonnegative and measurable with  $f(\mathbb{R})$  being bounded, then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a nonegative simple function s such that  $f \geq s$  and  $f(x) - s(x) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. There is a M>0 such that  $f(\mathbb{R})\subseteq [0,M)$ . Given  $\varepsilon$ , let  $y_k=k\varepsilon$  for  $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ . Since,  $y_k-y_{k-1}=\varepsilon$ , there is  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $[0,M]\subseteq\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}[y_{k-1},y_k)$ . Let  $S_k=f^{-1}([y_{k-1},y_k))$  for  $1\leq k\leq N$ . Define  $s=\sum_{k=1}^N y_{k-1}\chi_{S_k}$ . Then,  $s\geq 0$  and s is simple. Furthermore, for each  $x\in\mathbb{R}$ , there is a unique k, with  $1\leq k\leq N$  such that  $f(x)\in[y_{k-1},y_k)$ . Consequently,  $s(x)=y_{k-1}\leq f(x)< y_k$ . Hence,  $f(x)-s(x)< y_k-y_{k-1}=\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is measurable if and only if there is a sequence of simple functions  $(s_n)$ a such that  $(s_n)$  converges pointwise to f and  $|f| \ge |s_n|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the sequence  $(s_n)$ . Then, f is measurable as

$$f = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \limsup_{n \to \infty} s_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} s_n.$$

Now, assume f is measurable. Then,  $f = f^+ - f^-$ . Both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are measurable and nonnegative. Since the difference of two simple functions is simples, it suffices to assume  $f \geq 0$ , that is  $f^- = 0$ . Let  $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq n\}$  and  $g_n = f\chi_{B_n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $g_n(x) = \inf\{f(x), n\chi_{B_n}\}$ . Then, we see  $g_n$  is measurable as f and the simple function  $n\chi_{B_n}$  are measurable. Furthermore,  $g_n$  is bounded. Hence, there is a measurable simple function  $r_n$  such that  $g_n \geq r_n$  and  $g_n(x) - r_n(x) < \frac{1}{n}$  for all x. Finally, define

$$s_n = r_n + n\chi_{B_n^c}$$
.

Then, we find  $(s_n)$  is the sequence of functions desired.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions  $f_n: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then,  $x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_k(x)$  is measurable. In particular, if  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  are nonnegative and measurable, then so is f+g.

*Proof.* For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n = \sum_{k=1}^N f_k$ . For each k there is sequence of simple functions  $(s_{k,n})_n$  such that  $(s_{k,n})_n$  converges pointwise to  $f_k$  and  $f_k \geq s_{k,n} \geq 0$  for all n. Hence,  $\left(\sum_{k=1}^N s_{k,n}\right)_n$  is a sequence of nonnegative simple functions such that  $F_N \leq \sum_{k=1}^N s_{k,n}$  for all n and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} s_{k,m} (x) = F_N (x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

So,  $F_N$  is the limit of a sequence of measurable functions, so it is measurable. Furthermore, we have that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(F_{N(x)})_N$  is increasing, we find

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k = \limsup_{N \to \infty} F_N = \lim_{N \to \infty} F_N.$$

## 3 Littlewood's 3 Principles

**Remark.** 1. Every measurable set is "nearly" the union of a finite collection of intervals.

- 2. Every measurable function is "nearly" continuous.
- 3. Every pointwise convergent sequence of measurable functions is "nearly" uniformly continuous.

We state these princeiples rigorously in the following way:

**Theorem 3.1.** If S is measurable, with  $\mu(S) < \infty$ , then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite disjoint collection of open intervals  $\{I_k : 1 \le k \le n\}$  such that for  $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k$  we find

$$\mu\left(S\triangle U\right)<\varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Lucin's Theorem). Let  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  be measurable with  $\mu(S) < \infty$ . Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a compact  $K \subseteq S$  such that  $f|_K: K \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $\mu(S \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Lucin's Theorem for functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be measurable. Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a continuous  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and a closed set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that f = g on E and  $\mu(E^c) < \varepsilon$ . Moreover,  $\sup\{|g(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}\} \le \sup\{|f(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

**Theorem 3.4** (Egoroff's Theorem). Let S be measurable with  $\mu(S) < \infty$ . Suppose  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of measurable functions  $f_n: S \to \mathbb{R}$  which converges pointwise almost everywhere to  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a measurable  $E \subseteq S$  such that  $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$  and  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to f on  $S \setminus E$ .