

# Algebraic Theory I

Thomas Fleming

November 22, 2021

## Contents

### Lecture 37: Polynomials (3)

Fri 19 Nov 2021 11:30

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $K$  be a field, with  $U$  being a finite multiplicative subgroup. Then it is cyclic.

*Proof.* Since  $U$  is a finite additive group, we see  $U = \prod_{i=1}^n P_i$  for some sylow  $p$  groups  $P_i$ . It suffices to show that each subgroup is cyclic as the product of their generators will generate  $U$ . Let  $x \in P_i$  be an element of maximal order  $p^m$  and let  $|P_i| = p^n$  for  $m \leq n$ . Then every  $y \in P_i$  has order  $\text{ord}(y) \mid p^m$ . Hence, they are all roots of  $f = x^{p^m} - 1$  which has at most  $p^m$  roots, so  $p^n = |P_i| \leq p^m$ , hence  $n \leq m$  so equality holds. So,  $x$  has order  $p^n$  implying  $x$  generates  $P_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.**  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 0.1** (Content of a Polynomial). Let  $R$  be a UFD with its quotient field  $K$ . Let  $x \in K$ , then there is a unique (up to units) representation  $x = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a, b \in R$  being coprime (no prime  $p$  has  $p \mid a$  and  $p \mid b$ ). Then, for a prime  $p$ , define  $V_p\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = V_p(a) - V_p(b)$  where  $V_p(x)$  is the power of  $p$  in the unique factorization of  $x$ . We see one of  $V_p(a)$  or  $V_p(b) = 0$ . Leaving results  $V_p(a)$  if  $p \mid a$  or  $-V_p(b)$  if  $p \mid b$ . This is called the  $p$ -**adic** valuation of  $\frac{a}{b}$ . Note  $V_p(0) := \infty$ . Now, let  $f \in K[x]$  with

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_i \in K$ . Then, we define  $V_p(f) = \inf\{V_p(a_i) : i \geq 0\}$ . With this, we define the **content** of  $f$  to be

$$\text{Cont}(f) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} p^{V_p(f)}.$$

**Remark.** The notion of content essentially generalizes the GCD to fraction fields.

**Example.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  so  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $V_2\left(\frac{2}{9}\right) = 1$  and  $V_3\left(\frac{2}{9}\right) = -2$  and  $V_5\left(\frac{2}{9}\right) = 0$ .

Then, let  $f(x) = \frac{3}{4}x^2 + 6x - 3$ , then

$$\text{Cont}(f) = 3 \cdot 2^{-2} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Since  $\text{Cont}(f)$  will always contain all denominators, this allows us to reduce a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to a rational times a polynomial,  $f_1 \in K[x]$  having content  $\text{Cont}(f_1) = 1$ , hence  $f_1 \in R[x]$ .  $\diamond$

**Lemma 0.1.** If  $R$  is a UFD, with  $K$  its quotient field, and  $f \in K[x]$ , then  $\text{Cont}(f) = 1$  implies  $f \in R[x]$ .

**Remark.** It is of note that the converse does not hold, take  $2x^2 + 4$ .

**Definition 0.2.** For a UFD  $R$  and quotient field  $K$ , we say  $f \in K[x]$  is **primitive** if  $\text{Cont}(f) = 1$  (hence  $f \in R[x]$ ).

**Lemma 0.2** (Gauss Lemma). Let  $R$  be a UFD with  $K$  its quotient field. If  $f, g \in K[x]$ , then  $\text{Cont}(fg) = \text{Cont}(f) \text{Cont}(g)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c_1 = \text{Cont}(f)$ ,  $c_2 = \text{Cont}(g)$ . Then,  $f = c_1 f_1$  and  $g = c_2 g_1$  for some  $f_1, g_1 \in R[x]$  with  $\text{Cont}(f_1) = \text{Cont}(g_1) = 1$ . So,  $fg = \text{Cont}(f) \text{Cont}(g) f_1 g_1$ . Thus, it suffices to show  $\text{Cont}(f_1 g_1) = 1$ . Since  $f_1, g_1 \in R[x]$ , we see  $f_1 g_1 \in R[x]$ . Hence, we need to show no  $p$  divides all the coefficients of  $f_1 g_1$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $p$  is a prime dividing all coefficients of  $f_1 g_1$ . Then, the map

$$\varphi : R[x] \longrightarrow R/(p)[x] = \overline{R}[x]$$

Clearly  $(p)$  is a prime ideal, so  $\overline{R}$  is an integral domain with  $0 = \varphi(f_1 g_1) = \varphi(f_1) \varphi(g_1)$ . Hence either  $\varphi(f_1) = 0$  or  $\varphi(g_1) = 0$ , so WLOG  $p \mid a_i$  for all  $a_i$  in the representation of  $f_1$ , hence  $\text{Cont}(f_1) \geq p \nmid 1$ . So the claim holds.  $\square$

## Lecture 38: Polynomials (4)

Mon 22 Nov 2021 11:31

**Recall.** We found the content of a polynomial over a UFD,  $R$ , and its quotient field  $K$ , essentially being its generalized gcd in order to reduce polynomials in  $K$  to polynomials in  $R$ .

Moreover, for  $f, g \in K[x]$ , then  $\text{Cont}(f) \cdot \text{Cont}(g) = \text{Cont}(fg)$ .

Now, let  $f \in R[x]$  with  $f = gh$  for  $g, h \in K[x]$ ,  $K$  being the quotient field of  $R$ . Then, denote  $c_g = \text{Cont}(g)$  and  $c_h = \text{Cont}(h)$ . Then, we find  $f = (c_g c_h) g_1 h_1$  for some  $h_1, g_1 \in R[x]$ .

Then, we see  $\text{Cont}(f) = \text{Cont}(h) = c_g c_h$ . Since  $f \in R[x]$ , we see  $\text{Cont}(f) \in R$ . This implies all factorizations over  $K$  admit a factorization over  $R$ .

Now, if  $f, g \in R[x]$  with  $h \in K[x]$  and  $f = gh$ , then the same argument shows  $\text{Cont}(f) = \text{Cont}(g) \text{Cont}(h)$ . Hence if  $f, g$  are primitive, we find  $\text{Cont}(h) \in R$ , so  $h \in R[x]$ .

**Theorem 0.2.** Let  $R$  be a UFD with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $f \in R[x]$  (we will prove the case  $f$  primitive for simplicity, though the non-primitive case is completely analogous). Then, we find  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$  if and only if  $f$  is irreducible in  $K[x]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  irreducible in  $K[x]$  but not in  $R[x]$ . Denote  $f = gh$  with  $g, h \in R[x]$  being non-units (in  $R[x]$ ).

We know  $\text{Cont}(f) = \text{Cont}(g) \text{Cont}(h) = 1$ .  $f = gh$  is a factorization in  $K$  unless  $g$  or  $h$  is a unit. So, assume WLOG  $g$  is a unit in  $K[x]$ , hence  $g$  is constant and  $\text{Cont}(g) = g$  hence  $g^{-1} = \text{Cont}(h)$ . So  $g$  is a unit in  $R \not\subset$ .

Now, assume  $f$  irreducible in  $R[x]$  but not in  $K[x]$ .

Then  $f = gh$  for some  $g, h \in K[x]$  being non-units in  $K[x]$ . Hence, we find  $g, h$  are nonconstant polynomials in  $K$ . Denote  $c_g = \text{Cont}(g), c_h = \text{Cont}(h)$  with  $g = c_g g_1$  and  $h = c_h h_1$  for  $g_1, h_1 \in R[x]$  being primitive. Thus,  $f = (c_g c_h) g_1 h_1$  with  $c_g, c_h = \text{Cont}(f) \in R[x]$  by hypothesis. Since  $g, h$  are nonconstant,  $g_1, h_1$  are nonconstant, hence nonunits and nonzero, so this is a factorization of  $f$  over  $R[x] \not\subset$ . So the claim is shown.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.3.** A ring  $R$  is a UFD if and only if  $R[x]$  is a UFD. Moreover if  $R$  be a UFD with quotient field  $K$  then  $f \in R[x]$  is prime if and only if one of the following hold

1.  $f = p \in R$  is a constant with  $p$  being prime in  $R$ , or
2.  $f$  is irreducible over  $K[x]$  with  $\text{Cont}(f) = 1$ .

*Proof.* We begin by examining the prime elements of  $R[x]$ . First, we show constant polynomials with prime content are prime in  $R[x]$ .

Let  $f = p \in R[x]$  with  $p \in R$  being a prime in  $R$ . To show  $f$  is prime in  $R[x]$ , suppose  $p \mid gh$  with  $g, h \in R[x]$ . Then let  $c_g = \text{Cont}(g)$  and  $c_h = \text{Cont}(h)$  so  $g = c_g g_1$  and  $h = c_h h_1$  for primitive  $g_1, h_1 \in R[x]$ . So,  $p \mid (c_g c_h) g_1 h_1$ , so  $p \mid c_g c_h$ . So  $p \mid c_g$  or  $c_h$ , WLOG suppose the case  $c_g$ . Then,  $p \mid g$ , so  $p$  is prime in  $R[x]$ .

Now, suppose  $f \in R[x]$  with  $f$  primitive and  $f$  irreducible over  $K[x]$ . Since  $K$  is a field,  $K[x]$  is a PID, hence UFD, so primes are irreducible, hence  $f$  is prime in  $K[x]$ . Suppose  $f \mid gh$  (over  $R$ ), sometimes denoted  $f \mid_R gh$ , with  $g, h \in R[x]$ . Then,  $f \mid_{K[x]} gh$ , so  $f \mid_{K[x]} g$  or  $h$ . Assume WLOG the case  $g$  and suppose  $f = gt$  for some  $t \in K[x]$ . Since  $\text{Cont}(g), \text{Cont}(f) \in R$  we see  $\text{Cont}(t) \in R$ , hence  $t \in [x]$ , so  $f \mid_{R[x]} g$ , hence  $f$  is prime.

Now, let  $f \in R[x]$  be prime. First, suppose  $f = p \in R$  is a constant polynomial which is prime in  $R[x]$ . If  $p \mid_{R[x]} ab$  with  $ab \in R$ , then we see  $p \mid_R ab$ . So,  $pq = ab \in R$  for a polynomial  $q$  implies  $\deg(q) \leq 1$ . That is,  $p \mid_{R[x]} ab$  and since  $p$  is prime in  $R[x]$  we find WLOG  $p \mid_{R[x]} a$ . So,  $p \mid_R a$  by a similar argument, and we see  $p \in R$  is prime.

Otherwise, suppose the prime  $f \in R[x]$  has  $\deg(f) \geq 1$ . We wish to show

$\text{Cont}(f) = 1$  and  $f$  irreducible over  $R[x]$ . But,  $f = \text{Cont}(f) f_1$  with  $f_1 \in R[x]$  being primitive and  $\deg(f) = \deg(f_1) \geq 1$  implies  $f_1$  is a nonunit (in  $R[x]$  and  $K[x]$ ). If  $\text{Cont}(f) = 1$  this is a contradiction as  $f$  is prime (hence irreducible) over  $R[x]$ . So,  $\text{Cont}(f) = 1$ .

Finally, we must show  $f$  irreducible over  $K[x]$  but the preceding lemma handles precisely this case.

Next class we show the final piece of the theorem, that  $R$  is a UFD if and only if  $R[x]$  is a UFD.  $\square$