## Algebraic Theory I: Homework III

## Thomas Fleming

## Thu 14 Oct 2021 11:07

**Solution** (1). 1. First, we note that if xK = yK for some  $x \neq y$ , then  $\overline{\varphi}(xK) = \overline{x}H$  and  $\overline{\varphi}(yK) = \overline{y}H$ , hence we need to show  $\overline{x}H = \overline{y}H$ . We see  $x^{-1}yK = K$ , hence  $\overline{\varphi}(x^{-1}yK) = \overline{x^{-1}y}H = \overline{x}^{-1}\overline{y}H = \overline{\varphi}(1K) = 1H$ . So,  $\overline{x}^{-1}\overline{y} \in H$ , hence  $\overline{y} \in \overline{x}H$  and similarly,  $\overline{x} \in \overline{y}H$ . So,  $\overline{x}H = \overline{\varphi}(xK) = \overline{y}H = \overline{\varphi}(yK)$ , so  $\overline{\varphi}$  is well defined. Now,

$$\overline{\varphi}(xKyK) = \overline{\varphi}(xyKK)$$

$$= \overline{xy}H$$

$$= \varphi(xy)H$$

$$= \varphi(x)\varphi(y)H$$

$$= \overline{xy}H$$

$$= \overline{xy}HH \text{ as } H = HH \text{ by closure}$$

$$= \overline{x}H\overline{y}H$$

$$= \overline{\varphi}(xK)\overline{\varphi}(yK).$$

Furthermore, we see  $\overline{\varphi}(1K) = \overline{1}H = 1H$  as  $\varphi(1) = \overline{1} = 1$  by homomorphism properties. So,  $\overline{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism.

- 2. First, note that  $Z_0\left(\overline{G}\right)=\{1\}=\overline{Z_0\left(\overline{G}\right)}$ . Now, we induce on n and we see  $Z_{n-1}\left(G\right) \leq G$  and  $Z_{n-1}\left(\overline{G}\right) \leq \overline{G}$  with  $\overline{Z_{n-1}\left(G\right)} \leq Z_{n-1}\left(\overline{G}\right)$  by inductive hypothesis, so  $\overline{\varphi}:Z_n\left(G\right)/Z_{n-1}\left(G\right) \to Z_n\left(\overline{G}\right)/Z_{n-1}\left(\overline{G}\right)$  is a well defined homomorphism. Hence, letting  $\overline{x}\in\overline{Z_n\left(G\right)}$ , hence  $x\in Z_n\left(G\right)$  and hence  $xZ_{n-1}\left(G\right)\in Z_n\left(G\right)/Z_{n-1}\left(G\right)$  implies  $\overline{\varphi}xZ_{n-1}\left(G\right)=\overline{x}Z_{n-1}\left(\overline{G}\right)\in Z_n\left(\overline{G}\right)/Z_{n-1}\left(\overline{G}\right)$ . Hence, we find  $\overline{x}\in Z_n\left(\overline{G}\right)$ . This completes the induction.
- 3. Suppose G is nilpotent and let n be the nilpotence class of G. Then, we see  $\overline{Z_n(G)} = \overline{G} \leq Z_n(\overline{G})$ . Hence,  $\overline{G}$  is of nilpotence class at most n, so we see  $\overline{G}$  is nilpotent.

4.

5. Suppose n is the nilpotence class of G. Then  $Z_n(G) \cap H = G \cap H = H \le Z_n(H)$ , so H is of nilpotence class at most n, hence H is nilpotent.

**Lemma 0.1.** Automorphisms preserve maximality of subgroups. Let  $\varphi:G\to G$  be an automorphism and let M< G be a maximal subgroup. Suppose  $\varphi(M)=M'$  is not maximal. That is, there is a  $\overline{M}'$  such that  $M'<\overline{M}'<\overline{M}'< G$ . Then, we find

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\overline{M}'\right) = \varphi^{-1}\left(M' \cup \left(\overline{M}' \setminus M'\right)\right)$$
$$= \varphi^{-1}\left(M'\right) \cup \varphi^{-1}\left(\overline{M}' \setminus M'\right)$$
$$= M \cup \{\varphi^{-1}\left(m\right) : m \in \overline{M}' \setminus M'\}$$
$$> M.$$

Furthermore,  $\overline{M}' < G$  by assumption, hence  $M < \overline{M}' < G$ .  $\mbecause 1$ 

**Solution** (2). *Proof.* Now, let  $\alpha: G \to G$  be an automorphism of G and denote  $\alpha(M) = M'$ . Then, we see

$$\alpha \left( \Phi \left( G \right) \right) = \alpha \left( \bigcap_{\substack{M < G \\ M \text{ is maximal}}} M \right)$$

$$= \bigcap_{\substack{M < G \\ M \text{ is maximal}}} \alpha \left( M \right)$$

$$= \bigcap_{\substack{M < G \\ M \text{ is maximal}}} M'$$

Then, as M' is maximal and  $\alpha$  is an injection, we see if  $N \neq M$  are both maximal subgroups, we have  $\alpha(N) \neq \alpha(M)$ , hence

$$\{M: \underset{M \text{ is maximal}}{M < G}\} = \{M': \underset{M \text{ is maximal}}{M < G}\}.$$

So, we have

$$\alpha\left(\Phi\left(G\right)\right) = \bigcap_{\substack{M < G \\ M \text{ is maximal}}} M' = \bigcap_{\substack{M < G \\ M \text{ is maximal}}} M = \Phi\left(G\right).$$

**Solution** (3). 1. Let P be a sylow p-group in  $\Phi(G) = \Phi$ . Then, we have  $\Phi \subseteq G$  and  $P \subseteq G$ , so applying frattini's argument yields

$$G = \Phi N_G(P)$$
.

Suppose P is not normal, so  $N_G(P) < G$ . Then, as there is some maximal subgroup M with  $N_G(P) \le M$  and  $\Phi \le M$  for all maximal M, we find  $\Phi N_G(P) = G \le M$ .  $\mit \$ as M was maximal. Hence, we must have  $N_G(P) = G$ .

2. As all P-groups of  $\Phi$  are normal in  $\Phi$  , we have by characterization of nilpotence that  $\Phi$  is nilpotent.

**Lemma 0.2.** [M,M] and  $\langle x^p:x\in M\rangle$  are characteristic in M. Let  $\alpha:M\to M$  be an automorphism. Then, denote  $\alpha(x)=x'$  for  $x\in M$  and we see,

$$\begin{split} \alpha\left([M,M]\right) &= \alpha\left(\left\langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1}:x,y\in M\right\rangle\right) \\ &= \left\langle \alpha\left(xyx^{-1}y^{-1}\right)x,y\in M\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \alpha\left(x\right)\alpha\left(y\right)\alpha\left(x\right)^{-1}\alpha\left(y\right)^{-1}:x,y\in M\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x'y'x'^{-1}y'^{-1}:x,y\in M\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle x'y'x'^{-1}y'^{-1}:x',y'\in M\right\rangle \\ &= [M,M] \,. \end{split}$$

Similairly,

$$\begin{split} \alpha\left(\left\langle x^{p}:x\in M\right\rangle\right) &=\left\langle \alpha\left(x^{p}\right):x\in M\right\rangle \\ &=\left\langle \alpha\left(x\right)^{p}:x\in M\right\rangle \\ &=\left\langle x'^{p}:x\in M\right\rangle \\ &\leq\left\langle x'^{p}:x'\in M\right\rangle \\ &=\left\langle x^{p}:x\in M\right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Then, we see as  $M \subseteq G$  and these two groups are characteristic we also have  $\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle \subseteq G$  and  $[M,M] \subseteq G$ . Furthermore, we note that as  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in M$  for  $x,y \in M$  we have  $\{M,M\} \subseteq \langle x^p : x \in M \rangle$ . Now, Suppose M is not an elementary abelian p-group. Then, we find either [M,M] > 1 or there is an element x of order  $q \neq p$ .

Now, let  $M = p_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots p_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  for primes  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, let P be a sylow  $p_i$ -group in M and we see M is abelian, hence  $P \leq M$ , hence P is characteristic in M, so we see  $P \leq G$  and so P = M as P is assumed nontrivial. So, M is a sylow  $p_i$ -group, so  $|M| = p_i^{\varepsilon_i}$ .

Lastly, note that there is an element  $x \in M$  with ord (x) = p, hence  $x^p = 1 = 1^p$ , so there is no bijection between  $\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle$  and M and we see  $|\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle| \neq |M|$ . Thus, as  $\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle \leq M$ , we see  $\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle < M$ , but as this subgroup is characteristic within  $M \subseteq G$ , we find  $\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle \subseteq G$ , hence  $\langle x^p : x \in M \rangle = \{1\}$ . Thus, all elements  $x \in M$  have ord (x) = p.

**Solution** (5). Let G be finite and solvable and let  $|G| = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_\ell^{\alpha_\ell}$  and define  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i$  to be the sum of all powers in the prime factorization of |G|. If  $\alpha = 1$ , then |G| = p, so  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  has no proper nontrivial subgroups, we see its sole maximal subgroup is  $\{1\}$  and  $|G:\{1\}| = p$ .

We induce on  $\alpha$ . Suppose the case  $\alpha = n$  true and observe the case  $\alpha = n + 1$ . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. If N = G, then we find G has no normal subgroups hence it is simple. Furthermore, G being solvable implies a chain

$$\{1\} = H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq \ldots \trianglelefteq H_c = G$$

and as G is simple, we see  $H_1 = G$  up to prepending copies of  $\{1\}$  to the chain. Hence,  $H_1/\{1\} \simeq G$  is abelian so  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  for some prime q. Hence, |G| = q, so  $\alpha = 1$  f.

so  $\alpha=1$  \( \frac{1}{\ell}.\)
So, we see N < G. Let  $|N| = p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_r^{\beta_r}$  for some  $r \leq \ell$  and  $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$  and define  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i$  be the sum of powers of primes in |N|. Then, we see as  $\{1\} \neq N$  by assumption, we have  $\beta > 0$ , so atleast one  $\beta_i > 0$ . Hence, we find  $|G/N| = p_1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \dots p_{\ell}^{\alpha_{\ell} - \beta_{\ell}} < p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_{\ell}^{\alpha_{\ell}} = |G|$ , hence as the prime bases are the same, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i > \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i - \beta_i$ , so G/N has a sum of prime powers in |G/N|, denoted  $\kappa = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_{\ell} - \beta_{\ell}$ , at most k. Furthermore, letting  $\varphi : G \to G/N$ ,  $x \mapsto xN$ , a surjective homomorphism, we see G/N is solvable and as homomorphisms preserve maximality by the earlier lemma, we have a maximal subgroup  $M \leq G$  having a direct correspondence with the maximal subgroup  $M/N \leq G/N$ . Then, as  $|G/N : M/N| = p^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$  by the inductive hypothesis. And, as  $(G/N) / (M/N) \simeq G/M$ , we see  $|G : M| = p^m$ .