

# Algebraic Theory I: Homework IV

Thomas Fleming

Thu 18 Nov 2021 03:10

**Problem (1).** Let  $(X, \subseteq)$  be the set of all ideals not containing  $I$  partially ordered by inclusion.

It suffices to show that for a totally ordered set  $(I_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  with ordered set  $\Omega$ , and ideals  $I_\alpha \in X$  there is an upper bound. Take  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} I_\alpha$ .  $U$  is the union of ideals so it is clearly an ideal. Suppose  $U \notin X$ , that is  $U \supseteq I$ . Then, there is a subsequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and a permutation  $\pi$  so that

$$(x_{\pi(1)}) \subseteq I_{\alpha_1}, (x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}) \subseteq I_{\alpha_2}, \dots, (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) = I \subseteq I_{\alpha_n} \nsubseteq.$$

Hence,  $U \in X$ , and  $U$  contains all  $I_\alpha$  so it is an upper bound. Hence, there is a maximal element  $M \in X$  by Zorn's Lemma.

---

**Problem (2).**

First note that  $2, \sqrt{-D}, 1 + \sqrt{-D}$  are all non-units in  $R$  as their respective inverses in  $\mathbb{C}$  all have noninteger coefficients.

Then define

$$N : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(a + bi) = x \longmapsto x\bar{x} = a^2 + b^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} N((a + bi)(c + di)) &= [(ac - bd) + (bc + ad)i][(ac - bd) - (bc + ad)i] \\ &= (ac - bd)^2 + (bc + ad)^2 \\ \text{and } N(a + bi)N(c + di) &= (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) \\ &= (ac)^2 - 2acbd + (bd)^2 + (ad)^2 + 2adbc + (bc)^2 \\ &= (ac - bd)^2 + (bc + ad)^2 \\ &= N((a + bi)(c + di)) \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $N$  is a ring homomorphism of  $R$ . Next, suppose 2 is not irreducible in  $R$ . Then, there are non-units  $x = a + b\sqrt{-D}, y = c + d\sqrt{-D} \in R$  so that  $(a + b\sqrt{-D})(c + d\sqrt{-D}) = 2$ . Passing to  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N(2) &= 4 = N(x)N(y) \\ &= (a^2 + b^2D)(c^2 + d^2D) \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Since units pull back to units under homomorphisms, we can assume both of these quantities to be non-units. Hence the only possibility is

$$a^2 + b^2D = c^2 + d^2D = 2 \text{ (up to units).}$$

In this case  $D > 2$  so we see  $b = d = 0$ , hence either  $a = 2, c = 1$  or  $a = 1, c = 2$ . In either case  $\nmid$ , as  $x, y$  were assumed nonunits. Hence 2 is irreducible in  $R$ .

Now assume  $\sqrt{-D}$  non-irreducible in  $R$ . Then, we find non-units  $x = a + b\sqrt{-D}, y = c + d\sqrt{-D} \in R$  so that  $\sqrt{-D} = xy$ . Passing to  $N$ , we find

$$N(\sqrt{-D}) = D = (a^2 + b^2D)(c^2 + d^2D).$$

If WLOG  $b = 1$ , then we see  $a = d = 0$  and  $c = 1 \nmid$  as  $y$  is not a unit. If  $b > 1$  or  $d > 1$ , then  $b^2D > D$  so  $\nmid$ . Hence  $b = d = 0$ . Hence,  $D = a^2c^2$ , but  $D$  was square-free  $\nmid$ .

Lastly, suppose  $1 + \sqrt{-D}$  is irreducible in  $R$ . Then, we find non-units  $x = a + b\sqrt{-D}, y = c + d\sqrt{-D} \in R$  so that  $xy = 1 + \sqrt{-D}$ . Hence

$$N(1 + \sqrt{-D}) = 1 + D = (a^2 + b^2D)(c^2 + d^2D).$$

If WLOG  $b = 1$ , then  $d = 0$  otherwise  $1 + D > 2D^2 \nmid$ , and similarly  $c = 1$ . Hence  $y$  is a unit  $\nmid$ . So,  $1 + \sqrt{-D}$  is an irreducible.

---

Now, note that the element  $D^2 + D$  has two distinct factorizations. First, it is again clear that  $D \pm \sqrt{-D}$  is a non-unit as its complex inverse has nonintegral coefficients. Then, we note  $D(D+1) = D^2 + D = (D + \sqrt{-D})(D - \sqrt{-D})$ . We see  $D, (D+1)$  are not units and likewise for  $(D \pm \sqrt{-D})$ . Moreover, the factorizations are not pairwise associate, hence there are two factorizations for  $D^2 + D$ , so  $Z[\sqrt{-D}]$  is not a UFD.

---

**Problem (3).** Let  $I, J \subseteq R$  be ideals of a commutative ring  $R$ . Then, let  $x, y \in IJ$  with  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i=n+1}^m a_i b_i$  for  $a_i \in I$   $b_i \in J$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then, we see  $x + y = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i \in IJ$ .  
Next, if  $r \in R$ , and  $x \in IJ$  with  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$  for some  $a_i \in I$   $b_i \in J$ , then  $rx = r \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n r a_i b_i$  with  $ra_i \in I$  by absorption property and  $b_i \in J$  by assumption for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence  $rx \in IJ$ , so  $IJ$  is an ideal.

---

**Problem (4).** Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R$  and  $I_i = \{x_i : x \in I\} \subseteq R_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . First, fix  $i$  and let  $r_i \in R_i$ . Then, there is an  $\mathbf{r} \in R$  so that  $\mathbf{r}$  has  $r_i$  in its  $i$ 'th coordinate. Hence, we see  $\mathbf{r}\mathbf{x} \in I$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in I$ , so  $r_i x_i \in I_i$  for all  $x_i \in I_i$  by the pointwise multiplication. Similarly, fix  $i$  and let  $x_i, y_i \in I_i$ . Then there are  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in I$  having  $x_i, y_i$  in their  $i$ 'th coordinates respectively and  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in I$ . Hence,  $x_i + y_i \in I_i$ . So, each  $I_i$  is an ideal. Now, we show  $I$  to be the product of the  $I_i$ 's.

As each  $I_i$  is simply the projection of  $I$  into its  $i$ 'th coordinate it is clear  $I \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ . Hence, let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ . Then, we see there are vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in I$  each having  $x_i$  in their  $i$ 'th coordinates respectively and

chosen by axiom of choice such that  $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot j_i = \left(0, \dots, \underbrace{x_i}_{\text{position } i}, \dots, 0\right) \in I$

for  $j_i \in R$  being the indicator vector in the  $i$ 'th coordinate. Hence the sum  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i j_i \in I$  by closure of addition. So, equality holds.

---

**Problem (5).** 1. It is trivial that  $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  (taking  $n = 1$  for all  $x \in I$ ). To show  $\sqrt{I}$  an ideal, let  $x_1, x_2 \in \sqrt{I}$  with  $x_1^p \in I$  and  $x_2^{p^2} \in I$ . Then, there are  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p+q} \in R$  so that

$$(x_1 + x_2)^{p+q} = a_{p+q}x_1^{p+q} + a_{p+q-1}x_1^{p+q-1}x_2 + \dots + a_px_1^px_2^q + \dots + a_1x_1x_2^{p+q-1} + a_0x_2^{p+q}.$$

We know each term of this sum to be in  $I$  by the absorption property of  $x_1^p$  and  $x_2^q$ , hence the sum is in  $I$ , so  $x_1 + x_2 \in \sqrt{I}$ . Next, let  $x \in R, a \in \sqrt{I}$  with  $a^n \in I$ , then  $(xa)^n = x^n a^n \in I$  by absorption, so  $xa \in \sqrt{I}$ , so  $\sqrt{I}$  is an ideal.

2. Suppose  $\sqrt{I} = R$ . Then,  $1 \in \sqrt{I}$ , hence  $1^n = 1 \in I$ , so  $I = R$ . Conversely,  $I = R \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  so the claim holds.
3. Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal among inclusion and  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $M \subseteq \sqrt{M}$  with  $\sqrt{M}$  being an ideal so either  $\sqrt{M} = R$  or  $\sqrt{M} = M$  if  $\sqrt{M} = R$ ,  $\nsubseteq$  by previous part, so  $\sqrt{M} = M$ . Moreover, as  $M^n \subseteq M$ , we see  $\sqrt{M^n} \subseteq \sqrt{M}$ . Hence, we need only show the reverse inclusion. Let  $x \in \sqrt{M}$ . Then,  $x^m \in M$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then, we see  $x^{mn} = \underbrace{x^m \cdot x^m \cdot \dots \cdot x^m}_{n \text{ times}} \in M^n$ , so  $x \in \sqrt{M^n}$ . Hence equality holds.