## Algebraic Theory I

Thomas Fleming

September 29, 2021

## Contents

## Lecture 16: Nilpotent Groups (3)

Wed 29 Sep 2021 11:25

Corollary 1. A finite abelian group is the direct product of its sylow groups.

This follows directly from the theorem from last class.

**Corollary 2.** If G is a finite group such that for all  $n \mid |G|$  such that there are at most n elements  $x \in G$  with  $x^n = 1$ , then G is cyclic.

*Proof.* Let p be an arbitrary prime with  $p \mid |G|$ . Let P be a sylow p-group with  $|P| = p^{\alpha}$ . We know for any  $x \in P$ , we have  $x^{|P|} = 1$ , hence there are  $|P| = p^{\alpha}$  elements  $x \in P$  such that  $x^{p^{\alpha}} = 1$ . By hypothesis there is infact equality. If there was another distinct sylow p-group we would have elements  $y \notin P$  such that  $y^{p^{\alpha}} = 1$ . Hence, P is unique. Hence, as every p-group is unique, so normal, we see G is the product of its P-groups.

Denote  $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots P_t$  with the  $P_i$ s being the distinct sylow  $p_i$ )  $- \operatorname{groupsof} G$ . Also, if  $|P_1| = p_1^{\alpha_1}$ , then all  $x \in P_1$  have  $\operatorname{ord}(x) \mid p_1^{\alpha_1}$  and there are at most  $p_1^{\alpha_1-1} < p_1^{\alpha_1}$  such x with  $\operatorname{ord}(x) \mid p_1^{\alpha_1-1}$ . Since  $|P| < p_1^{\alpha_1-1}$  we see there is an  $x \in P_1$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(x) = p_1^{\alpha_1} = |P|$ , hence  $\langle x \rangle = P_1$ . So,  $P_1$  is cyclic. Likewise, all other  $P_i$  are shown cyclic by the same argument, with  $P_i = \langle x_i \rangle$ . Then, the element  $x = \prod_{i=1}^t x_i$  is a generator of G, so G is cyclic.

**Theorem 0.1** (Frattini's Argument). Let G be a finite group,  $H \leq G$ ,  $P \leq H$  being a sylow p-group in H. Then,

 $G = HN_G(P)$  and  $|G:H| | |N_G(P)|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ , we wish to show  $g \in HN_G(P)$ . We know this to be a

subgroup as  $H \subseteq G$ . Let G act by conjugation on its sets. Now

$$P^{g} = gPg^{-1}$$

$$\leq H^{g}$$

$$= gHg^{-1}$$

$$= H \text{ by normality.}$$

Then, we see as  $|P^g| = |P|$ , then  $P^g$  is another sylow p-group in H. And, as we know all sylow p-groups are conjugate. Hence, there is an  $h \in H$  such that  $P^h = P^g$ . Hence,  $P = P^{h^{-1}g}$ , hence  $h^{-1}g \in N_G(P)$ . Then, we see  $g \in hN_G(P) \subseteq HN_G(P)$ . So, we see  $G = HN_G(P)$ 

Now, we show the other result. Note that by the second isomorphism theorem, we have

$$G/H = (HN_G(P))/H \simeq \frac{N_G(P)}{H \cap N_G(P)}.$$

Thus,  $|G:H|=|N_G(P):H\cap N_G(P)|$ . As we know this divides  $|N_G(P)|$ , hence  $|G:H|\mid |N_G(P)|$ .

**Theorem 0.2.** if G is a finite group, then G is nilpotent if and only if every maximal subgroup in G is normal in G.

## Lecture 15: Nilpotent Groups (2)

Tue 28 Sep 2021 17:46

**Lemma 0.1.** If H, K are groups, then  $Z(H \times K) = Z(H) \times Z(K)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x,y) \in H \times K$ . If  $(x,y) \in Z(H \times K)$  then

$$\underbrace{(a,1)(x,y)(a,1)^{-1}}_{=(axa^{-1},1)} = (x,y).$$

Hence,  $x \in Z(H)$  and similarly,  $y \in Z(K)$ . Hence,  $Z(H \times K) \subseteq Z(H) \times Z(K)$ . The other direction of inclusion is trivial and left as an exercise.

**Lemma 0.2.** Let  $\varphi: G \to G'$  be a homomorphism with  $\ker(\varphi) = K$  and  $H \leq G$  such that  $K \leq H$ . Then,  $N_G(H) = f^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H)))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in N_G(H)$ , so  $xHx^{-1} = H$ . Hence,

$$\varphi(H) = \varphi(xHx^{-1}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(H)\varphi(x)^{-1}$$
.

Thus,

$$\varphi(x) \in N_{G'}(\varphi(H))$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H)))$$

$$\Rightarrow N_{G}(H) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H))).$$

Conversely, let  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H)))$ , hence  $\varphi(x) \in N_{G'}(\varphi(H))$ . Then, we see

$$\varphi(H) = \varphi(x) \varphi(H) \varphi(x^{-1})$$

$$= \varphi(xHx^{-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow xHx^{-1} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(H))$$

$$= \langle H, \ker(\varphi) \rangle$$

$$= H \text{ as } \ker(\varphi) \subseteq H.$$

Hence,  $xHx^{-1} \subseteq H$ , so  $x \in N_G(H)$ . This concludes the proof.

Now, recall that if G is a finite group with P being a sylow p-group, then TFAE

- 1. P is unique.
- $2. P \leq G.$
- 3. P is characteristic.
- 4. Any subgroup generated by elements whose orders are powers of p is itself a p-group.

**Theorem 0.3.** If G is a finite group, then the following are equivalent:

- 1. G is nilpotent.
- 2.  $H < G \Rightarrow H < N_G(H)$ .
- 3. All sylow p-groups are normal.
- 4. G is the direct product of its sylow p-groups.
- *Proof.* (2 ⇒ 3). Let P be a sylow p-group of G. Assume P is not normal, then denote  $N = N_G(P) \subset G$ . Hence, by the preceding lemma, P is characteristic in N. Then, as  $N \leq N_G(N)$ , we see  $P \leq N_G(N)$ . But  $N = N_G(P)$  was the largest subgroup in which P was normal, hence  $N_G(P) = N_G(N)$ . So, by contrapositive of the assumption, (2), we have  $N = N_G(N)$ , so N = G, hence  $P \leq G$ .
  - $(3 \Rightarrow 4)$ .
  - $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ . Let G be nilpotent. If G is abelian, then  $N_G(A) = G$  for all  $A \leq G$ , hence any proper subgroup H < G has  $H < N_G(H) = G$ . Hence, assume G is non-abelian and proceed by induction on |G| with base case |G| = p being already completed p-prime. Suppose indirectly that there is an H < G such that  $H = N_G(H)$ . Now, we note that  $Z(G) \leq N_G(H) = H$  by definition of Z(G). That is,

 $Z\left(G\right) \leq H.$  Let  $\varphi: G \to G/Z\left(G\right)$ ,  $x \mapsto \varphi(x) = xZ\left(G\right)$ . Since G is nilpotent,  $Z\left(G\right) = 1 \Leftrightarrow G = 1$ , but we assume G to be nonabelian, so this is not the case. Hence, we can assume  $Z\left(G\right) = \{1\}$ , hence  $|G/Z\left(G\right)| < |G|$ . As we know, G being nilpotent implies  $G/Z\left(G\right)$  is nilpotent. Lastly, we note that  $Z\left(G\right) \leq H < G$ , so by the lattice theorem, we have  $H/Z\left(G\right) < G/Z\left(G\right)$ . Applying the induction hypothesis yields  $H/Z\left(G\right) < N_{G/Z\left(G\right)}\left(H/Z\left(G\right)\right)$ .

Recalling the lemma from last class,  $\varphi^{-1}\left(N_{G/Z(G)}\left(H/Z\left(G\right)\right)\right)=N_{G}\left(H\right)$ . Then, we note

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(H\right)\right) < \varphi^{-1}\left(N_{\varphi\left(G\right)}\left(\varphi\left(H\right)\right)\right) = N_{G}\left(H\right).$$

And as  $\ker (\varphi) = Z(G) \le H$ , we have  $H < N_G(H)$ .