# Algebraic Theory I

#### Thomas Fleming

### October 4, 2021

## Contents

1	Solvable Groups	2
2	Free Groups	4

## Lecture 17: Nilpotent Groups (4) and Solvable Groups

Fri 01 Oct 2021 11:28

**Recall.** We had a theorem that, for a finite group G, implied G was nilpotent if and only if all maximal subgroups are normal.

- *Proof.* 1. (⇒). Let M < G be a maximal subgroup, so  $M < N \le G$  implies N = G. Let  $N_g(M)$  be the normalizer of M < then M < G, hence  $M < N_G(P)$  by the earlier characterization of finite nilpotent groups. Hence,  $N_G(M) = G$ . But  $M < N_G(M)$  and M ix maximal, hence  $N_G(M)$  if and only if M is normal.
  - 2. ( $\Leftarrow$ ). Assume every maximal subgroup is normal. Note that it suffies to show that all sylow groups are normal in G by the earlier characterization. Let  $P \leq G$  be an arbitrary sylow p-group and let  $N = N_G(P)$ . Let M be a maximal subgroup containing  $N_G(P)$ . We know such a group exists because if we assume indirectly that P is not normal, this implies  $N_G(P) < G$  as every proper subgroup of a finite group is contained in a maximal subgroup.

We now have  $P \leq N_G(P) \leq M < G$  and by hypothesis, we know  $M \leq G$ . Since  $P \leq M$  with P being a sylow group of G implies  $P \leq M$  is a sylow group for M. But now we can applying the frattini argument. We see  $G = N_G(P) M$  but  $N_G(P) \leq M$ , hence  $G \subseteq MM = M < G$ .  $\mnorm{?}{\mnorm{}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$ 

**Remark.** If G is nilpotent, then recall  $Z_0(G) < Z_1(G) < Z_2(G) < \ldots < Z_i(G)$  is the upper central series where  $Z_0(G) = \{1\}$ ,  $Z_1(G) = Z(G)$  and  $Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{i-1}(G))$ .

There is an alternative characterization, let  $G^0 = G$ ,  $G^1 = [G, G] = \langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G \rangle$  and define recursively  $G^i = [G, G^{i-1}] = \langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x \in G, y \in G^{i-1} \rangle$  to be the lower central series. Then, G is nilpotent if and only if there is  $c \geq 0$  such that  $G^c = \{1\}$ . Furthermore, we find  $G^{c-i} \leq Z_i(G)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq c$ , with the minimal constant c being the same in the upper and lower central series.

# 1 Solvable Groups

**Definition 1.1** (Solvable Groups). A group G is **solvable** if there's a chain of subgroups

$$H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \ldots \triangleleft H_n = G$$

such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  are abelian for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

As it turns out there is an equivalent chain condition for solvability closed to our characterizations of nilpotence. Define  $G^{(0)} = G$ ,  $G^{(1)} = [G,G] = G^1$ , Now, define  $G^{(i)} = [G^{(i-1)},G^{(i-1)}] = \langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy:x,y\in G^{(i-1)}\rangle$ . So,  $G^{(n)}$  is essentially the n-th iterated commutator of G. Then, we obtain a chain

$$G^{(0)} \ge G^{(1)} \ge \ldots \ge G^{(c)} \ge \ldots$$

If  $G^{(c)} = 1$  for some  $c \ge 1$ , then G is solvable. We show these two conditions are equivalent. The proof will involve multiple invocations of the basic result that G/H is abelian if and only if  $[G, G] \le H$ .

*Proof.* Assume G is solvable, and the 1st characterization is true with  $1 = H_0 \le H_1 \le \ldots \le H_n = G$  with  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  being abelian for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . We will show by induction that  $G^{(i)} \le H_{n-i}$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . For i = 0 we have  $H_n = G$ , hence  $G^{(0)=G}$  and  $G \le G$ , so the claim holds for i = 0. Now, note that

$$\begin{split} G^{(i)} &= \left[G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}\right] \\ &\leq \left[H_{n-(i-1)}, H_{n-(i-1)}\right] \text{ by inductive hypothesis} \\ &= \left[H_{n-i+1}, H_{n-i+1}\right] \end{split}$$

We also know that  $H_{n-i+1}/H_{n-i}$  is abelian, hence we have  $G^{(i)} \leq [H_{n-i+1}, H_{n-i+1}] \leq H_{n-i}$  by the preceding lemma. This completes the induction. But, we have  $G^{(n)} \leq H_{n-n} = H_0 = \{1\}$ , so  $G^{(n)}$  is trivial.

### Lecture 18: Solvable Groups (2)

Mon 04 Oct 2021 11:28

Recall. A group is solvable if there exists a chain of subgroups

$$\{1\} \leq H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \ldots \leq H_n = G$$

such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is abelian.

We had that this is equivalent to the condition that for  $G^{(n)} = 1$  where  $G^{(0)} = G$  and  $G^{(n)} = [G^{n-1}, G^{n-1}]$ . We showed the forward implication, so now we show the reverse implication.

*Proof.* Suppose  $G^{(n)} = 1$  for some n > 0. Then, we have a chain

$$G = G^{(0)} \le G^{(1)} \le \dots \le G^{(n)} = \{1\}.$$

So, we have

$$\{1\} = G^{(n)} \trianglerighteq G^{(n-1)} \trianglerighteq \dots \trianglerighteq G^{(0)} = G.$$

Furthermore, we know the commutator of  $G^{(i)}$  is a characteristic subgroup, hence it is normal.

Then, define  $H_i = G^{(n-i)}$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ . We need only show the quotients to be abelian. We see  $H_i/H_{i-1} = G^{(n-i)}/G^{(n-i+1)}$ . But,  $G^{(n-i+1)} = [G^{(n-i)}, G^{(n-i)}]$  by definition. Hence,  $G^{(n-i)}/G^{(n-i+1)}$  is abelian by the lemma from last class. So, the chain condition holds and G is solvable.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a solvable group with H being a subgroup. Then, H is solvable.

Proof. We simply show  $H^{(n)} \leq G^{(n)}$  for all n by induction. For the base case we know  $H = H^{(0)} \leq G^{(0)} = G$ . Then, we note  $H^{(n)} = \left[H^{(n-1)}, H^{(n-1)}\right] \subseteq \left[G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}\right] = G^{(n)}$  by inductive hypothesis. Since G is solvable, we find a  $n \geq 0$  such that  $G^{(n)} = \{1\}$ . Then,  $H^{(n)} \leq G^{(n)} = \{1\}$ , so  $H^{(n)} = \{1\}$  hence H is solvable.

**Theorem 1.2.** If G is solvable and  $\varphi:G\to G'$  is a homomorphism, then  $\varphi(G)$  is also solvable.

*Proof.* We see  $\varphi(G^{(0)}) = \varphi(G)^{(0)}$ . So,  $\varphi(G^{(0)}) = \varphi(G)^{(0)}$ . We induce on n. We see

$$\varphi\left(G^{(n)}\right) = \varphi\left(\left[G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}\right]\right)$$

$$= \varphi\left(\left\langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G^{(n-1)}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$= \left\langle \varphi\left(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G^{(n-1)}\right)\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \varphi\left(x\right)^{-1}\varphi\left(y\right)^{-1}\varphi\left(x\right)\varphi\left(y\right) : x, y \in G^{(n-1)}\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \overline{x}^{-1}\overline{y}^{-1}\overline{x}\overline{y} : \overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \varphi\left(G^{(n-1)}\right)\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \overline{x}^{-1}\overline{y}^{-1}\overline{x}\overline{y} : \overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \varphi\left(G\right)^{(n-1)}\right\rangle \text{ by the inductive hypothesis.}$$

$$= \left[\varphi\left(G\right)^{(n-1)}, \varphi\left(G\right)^{(n-1)}\right]$$

$$= \varphi\left(G\right)^{(n)}.$$

Since G is solvable, we find an  $n \ge 0$  such that  $G^{(n)} = \{1\}$ . Hence,  $\varphi(G^{(n)}) = \varphi(\{1\}) = \{1\} = \varphi(G)^{(n)}$ , so  $\varphi(G)$  is solvable.

**Theorem 1.3.** If G is a group with  $H \subseteq G$ , then G is solvable if and only if H and G/H are solvable.

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$ . We know all subgroups and homomorphic images to be solvable, hence this direction is already proven.

 $(\Leftarrow)$ . Assume H and G/H are solvable. As H is solvable it has a normal chain

$$H_0 \unlhd H_1 \unlhd \ldots \unlhd H_n = H$$

with  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is abelian for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Similarly, since G/H is solvable there is a normal chain

$$\{1\} = K_{n+0} \le K_{n+1} \le \dots K_{n+s} = G/H$$

With  $K_{n+i}/K_{n+i-1}$  being abelian for all  $i \geq 1$ . We know by the lattice theorem that there are groups  $H_{n+i}$  such that  $K_{n+i} = H_{n+i}/H$  for some  $H_{n+i} \leq G$  and  $H \leq H_{n+i}$ . Then, we have

$$\{1\} = H/H \le H_{n+1}/H \le \dots \le H_{n+s}/H = G/H.$$

Then, we have  $H_n = H$  and  $H_{n+s} = G$  and, as each contains the kernel, this correspondence preserves normality, hence we have

$$H_n = H \leq H_{n+1} \leq H_{n+2} \leq \dots H_{n+s} = G.$$

Then, note that  $H_{n+i}/H_{n+i-1} = (H_{n+i}/H)/(H_{n+i-1}/H) = K_{n+i}/K_{n+i-1}$  which we know to be abelian. Hence all successive quotients are abelian. So,

$$\{1\} = H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq \ldots \trianglelefteq H_n \trianglelefteq H_{n+1} \trianglelefteq H_{n+2} \trianglelefteq \ldots H_{n+s} = G.$$

with  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  being abelian, so G is solvable.

**Remark.** Subgroups and quotients of nilpotent groups are nilpotent, but this converse does not hold in general for nilpotent groups.

# 2 Free Groups

**Recall.**  $\langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^n = 1, \tau^2 = 1, \tau \alpha \tau = \alpha^{-1} \rangle = D_{2n}$  is the dihedral group of order 2n. This is technically ill defined. In general, we have generators  $\alpha, \tau$  and a set of relations that allow us to say when products of generators are equal. Similarly, we find  $\langle \alpha : \alpha^n = 1, \alpha^{n+1} = 1 \rangle = \{1\}$ . We have not, however, ensured that these form groups. This problem motivates the definition of free groups.

If S is a set, then we let  $S^{-1}$  be a disjoint set of formal symbols with  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ , so  $S = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $S^{-1} = \{a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}\}$ . Then, let F(S) to be the set of all formal products of elements from  $S \cup S^{-1} \cup \{1\}$ . Next class we will define an equivalence relation which takes these products into a group.