

# Algebraic Theory I

Thomas Fleming

November 1, 2021

## Contents

### Lecture 28: Ring Theory (3)

Fri 29 Oct 2021 11:31

Recall  $R$  will be a commutative ring unless otherwise noted.

**Definition 0.1** (Prime Ideal). Recall an ideal  $P \subseteq R$  is a **prime ideal** when  $xy \in P$  implies one of  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . This is equivalent to the statement that  $R/P$  is an integral domain.

**Definition 0.2** (Maximal Ideals). A proper ideal  $M \subseteq R$  is maximal if it is not strictly contained in any other proper ideal. That is, the only ideals containing  $M$  are  $M$  and  $R$ . Equivalently, an ideal  $I$  is maximal if and only if  $R/I$  is a field.

We prove these two definitions to be equivalent.

*Proof.* First, assume  $I$  maximal. Then, note that an ideal in  $R/I$  has the form  $J/I$  with  $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$  and  $J$  being an ideal in  $R$ . Hence, as  $I$  is maximal, we find  $J = I$  or  $J = R$ . Hence,  $R/I$  is a field by prior characterization.

Now assume  $R/I$  is a field for some ideal  $I$ . Then, the only ideals of  $R/I$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $R/I$ . Suppose  $I$  nonmaximal, then we find a  $I \subset J \subset R$  corresponding to a proper nontrivial ideal  $J/I \subseteq R/I$ ,  $\nsubseteq$  as  $R/I$  is a field.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.1.** In a commutative ring  $R$  any maximal ideal is prime.

*Proof.* Since  $M \subset R$  and  $R/M$  is a field (hence integral domain), we find  $M$  to be a prime ideal by the quotient characterization.  $\square$

**Example.** If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(0)$  is a prime ideal, but it is obviously not maximal.  $\diamond$

In order to prove some theorems concerning maximal ideals, we need to state some results from basic set theory.

**Definition 0.3.** If  $(X, \preceq)$  is a poset (partially ordered set), with a totally ordered subset  $Y \subseteq X$ , then an **upper bound** of  $Y$  is an element  $x \in X$  so that  $y \leq x$  for all  $y \in Y$ . A **maximal element** of  $X$  is a  $x \in X$  so that for all  $y \in X$ ,  $x \leq y$  implies  $x = y$ .

**Law 1** (Zorn's Lemma). If  $(X, \preceq)$  is a nonempty poset, with every totally ordered subset having an upper bound, then we find a maximal element  $x \in X$ .

Of course, this is equivalent to axiom of choice, so we must take it as an axiom. Using Zorn's lemma, we find that every ideal is contained in a maximal ideal (as with subgroups).

**Theorem 0.1.** If  $R$  is a commutative ring with  $I \subset R$  being a proper ideal. Then there is a maximal ideal  $M \subset R$  with  $I \subseteq M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \subseteq)$  be the set of all proper ideals of  $R$  which contain  $I$  partially ordered by inclusion. As  $I$  is proper, we see  $I \subseteq I$  hence  $I \in X$ , so  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Any maximal element  $m \in X$  will be a maximal ideal of  $R$  containing  $I$ . Hence, we need only show the existence of a maximal element.

Let  $(I_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  be a nonempty totally ordered subset of  $X$ . Hence, each  $I_\alpha$  is a proper ideal containing  $I$  with either  $I \subseteq I_\alpha \subseteq I_\beta$  or  $I \subseteq I_\beta \subseteq I_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ . Let  $J = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} I_\alpha$ , clearly,  $I_\alpha \subseteq J$  for all  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , so we need only show  $J \in X$ . Clearly,  $I \subseteq I_\alpha \subseteq J$ , so  $J$  is nonempty and contains  $I$ . Now, let  $x, y \in J$  with  $x \in I_\alpha, y \in I_\beta$ . By total ordering WLOG, let  $I_\alpha \subseteq I_\beta$ . Hence,  $x, y \in I_\beta$ . Hence,  $x - y \in I_\beta \subseteq J$  as this is an ideal and  $rx \in I_\beta \subseteq J$  for all  $r \in R$ , hence  $J$  is an ideal. Finally, suppose  $J = R$ , then  $1 \in J$ , so  $1 \in I_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , as  $I_\alpha$  is assumed proper. Hence,  $J \in X$  is an upper bound of  $(I_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ , so there is a maximal element  $M \in X$  which is clearly a maximal ideal.  $\square$

## Lecture 29: Ring Theory (4)

Mon 01 Nov 2021 11:31

We will again denote all rings  $R$  to be commutative.

**Recall.** An ideal  $I$  is principal if  $I = (x)$ , that is  $I$  is generated by one element, so  $I = Rx$ .

**Notation.** We say  $x \mid y$  if  $y = rx$  for some  $r \in R$ , hence  $y \in (x)$ .

**Proposition 0.2.** If  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid x$ , then  $(x) = (y)$ .

*Proof.*  $x \mid y$  implies  $y \in (x)$ , so  $(y) \subseteq (x)$ .

Similarly,  $y \mid x$  implies  $x \in (y)$ , so  $(x) \subseteq (y)$ .

Conversely, if  $(x) = (y)$ , then  $x = ry$  and  $y = sx$  for some  $r, s \in R$ , hence  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid x$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 0.3.** If  $R$  is an integral domain with  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid x$  if and only if  $y = mx$  for a unit  $m \in R$ .

*Proof.* If  $(x) = (y)$ , then  $y = rx$  and  $x = sy$  for some  $r, s \in R$  hence  $x = sy = srx$ , so  $sr = 1$ , hence  $s$  and  $r$  are units. The other direction is immediately clear, if  $x = my$ , then  $x \in (y)$  so  $m^{-1}x = y \in (x)$ , hence  $(x) = (y)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $x = my$  for a unit  $m$ , then we say  $x$  and  $y$  are associated if  $x$  and  $y$  are equal up to multiplication by a unit.

**Definition 0.4** (Principal Ideal Domain). A commutative integral domain  $R$  in which every ideal is principal is called a **principal ideal domain** (or PID).

**Definition 0.5** (Euclidean Domain). Suppose  $R$  is an integral domain and there is a size function (sometimes called a norm)  $f: R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  such that for all  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$ , there is  $q, r \in R$  such that  $a = qb + r$  and either  $r = 0$  or  $f(r) < f(b)$ , then  $R$  is a **euclidean domain** or ED.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is also a euclidean domain under norm  $|x|$ .  $\diamond$

**Proposition 0.4.** A euclidean domain is a principal ideal domain.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a proper nontrivial ideal and let  $x \in I$  be a nonzero element with  $f(x)$  being minimal (where  $f$  is the norm from the definition). We know such an  $x$  to exist by the well ordering of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Now, let  $y \in I$  and we find by the division algorithm that  $y = qx + r$  for some  $q, r \in R$  with  $f(r) < f(x)$  and  $r = 0$ . Hence, we find  $r = y - qx \in I$  as  $x \in I$ ,  $y \in I$ . Suppose  $f(r) < f(x)$ , then  $\frac{1}{2}$  as  $x$  is the minimal element of  $I$ , hence, we find  $r = 0$ , so  $y = qx$ . Hence, we find  $y \in (x)$ , so  $I = (x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 0.6** (Factorization). Let  $R$  be a commutative ring

- A non-zero, non-unit  $p \in R$  so that for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $p \mid xy$  implies  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$  is called a **prime element**.
- A non-zero, non-unit such that  $x = yz$  with  $y, z \in R$  implies either  $y$  or  $z$  is a unit is called an **irreducible** or an **atom**.

**Proposition 0.5.**  $p \in R$  is prime implies  $(p)$  is prime.

*Proof.* Suppose  $xy \in (p)$ , so  $p \mid xy$ . Hence,  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$  as  $p$  is prime. Hence,  $x \in (p)$  or  $y \in (p)$ . As  $p$  is not a unit, we see  $(p) \neq R$ , so  $(p)$  is prime.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.6.** If  $p \in R$  is irreducible, then  $(p)$  is maximal by inclusion among all proper principal ideals of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(p) \subset (x) \subset R$ , that is  $x$  is not a unit. Then,  $p \in (p) \subset (x)$ , so  $p = rx$  for some  $r \in R$ , but  $p$  is irreducible, so either  $r$  or  $x$  is a unit, but we know  $x$  to be a non-unit, so  $r$  must be a unit. So,  $(p) = (rx) = (x)$ ,  $\nmid$ , as the unit will not change the ideal generated and  $(p)$  must be properly contained in  $(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** If  $R$  is a PID, then  $p \in R$  being irreducible implies  $(p)$  is maximal.

**Proposition 0.7.** If  $R$  is an integral domain with  $p \neq 0$  and  $(p)$  being maximal among all proper principal ideals, then  $p$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* Suppose  $p = xy$ , hence  $p \in (x)$  and  $p \in (y)$ . Hence,  $(p) \subseteq (y)$  and as  $(p)$  is maximal, we have  $(y) = (p)$  or  $(y) = R$ . If  $(y) = (p)$ , then  $p = uy$  for some unit  $y$ . But,  $p = xy = uy$ , hence  $x = u$  as we're in an integral domain (with  $x, y \neq 0$ ), so  $x$  is a unit. If  $(y) = R$ , then  $y$  is a unit, hence  $p$  is irreducible by an earlier lemma.  $\square$