

# Algebraic Theory I

Thomas Fleming

October 19, 2021

## Contents

1	Review of Group Theory	1
2	Group Actions	6
3	Conjugacy and Normality Proofs	10
4	Sylow Theorems	12
5	Semidirect Products	18
6	Nilpotent Groups	25
7	Solvable Groups	30
8	Free Groups	32

## Lecture 1: Review of Group Theory

Mon 23 Aug 2021 11:21

## 1 Review of Group Theory

### Textbook

Algebra I will use Dummitt and Foote and Algebra II will also use Lang and Hungerford.

**Definition 1.1** (Group). A **multiplicative group** is a set  $G$  with a binary operation mapping the product of two elements from  $G$  to an element of  $G$ :  $\cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G$ . This operation must be closed, associative, have an identity (1), and have inverses ( $g^{-1}$ ) for all  $g \in G$ . Alternatively, a **additive group** uses the operation  $+$  :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ , this is generally used with commutative groups and we denote the identity 0 and inverse  $-g$ .

**Remark** (Commutativity). Groups need not be commutative. However, inverses and identities always commute ( $1g = g1 = g$  and  $gg^{-1} = g^{-1}g = 1$ ). Groups for which  $gh = hg$  for all  $g, h \in G$  are denoted abelian.

**Definition 1.2** (Subgroup). If  $(G, \cdot)$  is a group, a nonempty subset  $H \subseteq G$  is a **subgroup** if  $H$  forms a group under the same operation  $(\cdot)$ . We denote this  $H \leq G$ . In other words,  $H$  is closed under  $\cdot$  and under inverses. Clearly, associativity and identity are implicitly a part of  $H$  if closure and inverses hold. A subgroup for which  $H \subset G$  is denoted  $H < G$  and is called a **proper subgroup**.

**Example.** The trivial subgroup  $\{1\} \leq G$  is always a subgroup.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 1.1** (Lagrange's Theorem). If  $H \leq G$  and  $|G|$  is finite, then  $|H| \mid |G|$  (The order of  $H$  divides the order of  $G$ ).

**Definition 1.3** (Order). The **order** of an element  $g \in G$  is the least positive integer  $n$  for which  $g^n = 1$ . We denote this  $\text{ord}(g)$  and we define  $g^0 := 1$  for consistency sake.

**Notation** (Additive order). Instead of exponent notation, we use  $ng = g + g + \dots + g$ ,  $n$  times, to denote the repeated application of the group operation in an additive group.

**Definition 1.4** (Homomorphisms). A **group homomorphism** is a map between two groups  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(H, \times)$  which preserves operations. That is,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  such that for  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $\varphi(x \cdot y) = \varphi(x) \times \varphi(y)$ .

**Remark.** It is a direct result of this definition that  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H$  and  $\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Types of Maps). A map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  for which  $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y$  for all  $x, y \in A$  is called an **injection**. A map such that for all  $z \in B$ , there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) = z$  is called a **surjection**. An equivalent notation is that  $f(A) = B$  or to say the range of  $f$  is  $B$ . A map which is both an injection and a surjection is called a **bijection**.

**Remark** (Injection creates bijection). As the quality of surjection is more dependant on our codomain than the map itself, we may alter any map which is an injection to create a bijection. Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an injection, then, restricting the codomain of  $f$  to be exactly  $f(A)$  induces a surjection, and hence a bijection.

**Definition 1.6** (Isomorphism). A group homomorphism which is a bijection is called an **group isomorphism**. If two groups  $G, H$  have an isomorphism between them, then they are called **isomorphic** and we denote this relation by  $G \simeq H$ .

**Remark.** For a group isomorphism it is sufficient to only check that the identity is injective. Restated,  $\varphi$  is injective if  $\ker(\varphi) = \{g \in G : \varphi(g) = 1\} = \{1\}$ , a trivial subgroup of  $G$  (Note that the kernel is always a subgroup of the domain).

**Remark.** If  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, then  $\varphi^{-1} : H \rightarrow G$  is also an isomorphism, hence  $H \simeq G$ . Isomorphism of two groups essentially implies equivalence of the groups in all algebraic ways. It is of note that it is possible to have subgroups  $H, K \leq G$  such that  $H \simeq K$  but,  $H$  and  $K$  possess different properties within  $G$ . Hence isomorphism implies equivalence only when the groups which are isomorphic are the whole of the universe under consideration.

**Definition 1.7** (Automorphism). If  $G$  is a group, we define  $\text{Aut}(G)$  to be the set of all isomorphism from  $G \rightarrow G$ . This is called the **automorphism group** and it does indeed form a group under the operation of composition. An element  $f \in \text{Aut}(G)$  is called an **automorphism** of  $G$ . The group operation is usually denoted, for  $f, g \in \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ , as  $f(g(x))$  or  $(f \circ g)(x)$ .

## Lecture 2: Review of Group Theory Continued

Wed 25 Aug 2021 11:31

Let  $\alpha : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a homomorphism and  $\beta : G_2 \rightarrow G_3$  be another homomorphism. Now, we define the map  $\beta\alpha : G_1 \rightarrow G_3$  to be the homomorphism induced by the composition of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  so that  $(\beta\alpha(x)) = \beta(\alpha(x))$ . In the special case where  $G_1 = G_2 = G_3$ , we see  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $G$  is a group,  $H \leq G$  and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ , then the image  $\varphi(H) \leq G'$ .

**Definition 1.8** (Cosets). The **left  $H$ -coset** is the set of the form  $xH = \{xh : h \in H\}$ . Similarly, the **right  $H$ -coset** is the set of the form  $Hx = \{hx : h \in H\}$ . We call the number of  $H$ -cosets of a group  $G$  (this can be left or right cosets as the number is always equal) to be the **index of  $H$  in  $G$** . We denote this by  $|G : H| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$ .

**Remark.** The left  $H$ -cosets partition  $G$ , that being, two cosets are either equal or disjoint and the union of all unique  $H$ -cosets covers  $G$ . Similarly for the right  $H$ -cosets. Hence, we have either  $xH = yH$  or  $xH \cap yH = \emptyset$ . We call  $x$  a **representative** for the coset of  $H$  and any element  $xh \in xH$  is also a representative.

**Definition 1.9** (Normal Groups). A subgroup  $H \leq G$  is called a **normal subgroup** of  $G$  when  $xHx^{-1} = H$  for all  $x \in G$ . This is equivalent to the statement  $xH = Hx$  for all  $x \in G$ . We denote this relation by  $H \trianglelefteq G$ .

**Remark.** It is important to know this does not imply commutativity, simply that the sets themselves are equal, but there is not necessarily element-wise equality.

**Definition 1.10** (Conjugation Map). For each  $x \in G$  we can define the **conjugation map** by  $x$  as  $d_x : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $x \mapsto d_x(x) = xyx^{-1}$ . This is an automorphism of  $G$ .

**Remark** (Why are normal subgroups important?). If  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$  is a homomorphism, then  $\ker(\varphi) = \{x \in G : \varphi(x) = 1\} \trianglelefteq G$ .

**Definition 1.11** (Quotient Groups). We define the **quotient group**  $G/H = \{xH : x \in G\}$ . Normal groups allow us to define multiplication for this groups as the left and right cosets are equivalent. Thus, presuming  $H \trianglelefteq G$  we have  $(xH)(yH) := (xyH) \in G/H$ . We can think of the quotient  $G/H$  as sending all elements of  $H$  to the identity, or "modding" out by  $H$ .

**Definition 1.12** (Normalizer). If  $S \subseteq G$ , then  $N_G(S) = \{x \in G : xSx^{-1} = S\} \leq G$ . This is called the **normalizer subgroup** of  $S$  in  $G$ . Generally, we assume  $S$  is a subgroup. If  $S$  is a subgroup, then  $N_G(S)$  is the largest subgroup of  $G$  in which  $S$  is normal (though it is not necessarily normal in  $G$ ). That is,  $H \trianglelefteq N_G(H) \leq G$ .

**Definition 1.13** (Centralizer). We define the **centralizer subgroup** of  $H$  in  $G$  to be  $Z_G(H) = \{x \in G : xh = hx \forall h \in H\}$ . As this requires commuting element-wise instead of set-wise, we see  $Z_G(H) \leq N_G(H) \leq G$ . We call  $Z_G(H)$  the **center** of  $G$ .

**Notation.** Sometimes  $Z_G(H) = C_G(H)$  is used alternatively for the centralizer.

**Definition 1.14** (Subgroup Generated by a Subset). For  $X \subseteq G$  we define  $\langle X \rangle \leq G$  to be the **subgroup generated by  $X$** . This is simply the smallest subgroup generated by  $X$ . It is clear to see  $\langle X \rangle = \{x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \cup X^{-1}, n \geq 0\}$  where  $X^{-1} = \{x : x^{-1} \in X\}$ .

**Definition 1.15** (Commutator). We define the **commutator subgroup** of  $G$  to be  $G' = [G : G] = \langle X \rangle$  where  $X = \{ghg^{-1}h^{-1} : g, h \in G\}$ .

**Remark.** We call this the commutator because  $G/G'$  is abelian. Furthermore, if  $G/H$  is abelian for a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , then  $G' \leq H$ . Hence,  $G'$  is the smallest subgroup which must be quotiented to induce an abelian group.

With all of these definitions taken care of we may finally state the most powerful theorems of group theory, the 3 isomorphism theorems.

**Theorem 1.2** (The 3 (4) Isomorphism Theorems). 1. Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a surjective homomorphism, then  $\ker(\varphi) \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G' = \varphi(G) \simeq G/\ker(\varphi)$ .

2. Suppose  $H, K \trianglelefteq G$  and  $K \leq H$ . Then, we have  $G/H \simeq (G/K)/(H/K)$ .

3. Let  $H, K \leq G$  and  $H \leq N_G(K)$ . Then,  $HK = \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\} \leq G$ . Moreover,  $HK/K \simeq H/(H \cap K)$  (Presuming all terms are well defined, hence  $K \trianglelefteq HK$  and  $H \cap K \trianglelefteq H$ ).

4. (Lattice Theorem) Suppose  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  is a surjective homomorphism with  $\ker(\varphi) = K$ , then there is a bijective correspondence between subgroups of  $G'$  and subgroups of  $G$  which contain  $\ker(\varphi)$ . That is, if  $K = \ker(\varphi)$ , then  $H \mapsto H/K = \varphi(H)$  and if  $H \leq G'$  has  $H \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(H) \leq G$  where  $\ker(\varphi) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(H)$ . Furthermore, if we use the first isomorphism theorem to write  $G' \simeq G/K$ , then the subgroups of  $G/K$  are  $H/K$  with  $K \leq H \leq G$ . Finally, this correspondence preserves normality.

## Lecture 3: Group Actions

Fri 27 Aug 2021 11:31

## 2 Group Actions

**Recall** (The Lattice Theorem). Recall that if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  is a surjective homomorphism, then there is a bijective correspondence between subgroups of  $G$  which contain  $\ker(\varphi)$  and subgroups of  $G'$  which preserves normality.

**Definition 2.1** (Permutation Group). Recall

$$\text{Perm}(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \text{ such that } f \text{ is a bijection.}\}$$

is the **permutation group** of  $\Omega$ . This is essentially a shuffling of elements of  $\Omega$ . If  $|\Omega| = n < \infty$ , then  $\text{Perm}(\Omega) \simeq S_n$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Group Action). Let  $G$  be a group and  $\Omega$  to be a collection of elements of  $G$  (a set). Then a **group action** of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is a homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(\Omega)$ . We say  $G$  acts on  $\Omega$ .

**Notation.** 1. We generally use the exponential notation  $x^g := (\alpha(g))(x)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $x \in \Omega$ .

2. Some authors, such as Dummit and Foote, use multiplicative notation  $gx$  or  $g \cdot x$  for the same action.

**Intuition.** Our homomorphism  $\alpha$  essentially characterized how an element within  $G$  will "move around" the elements of  $\Omega$  in some way.

The defining property of a group action is that  $(x^g)^h = x^{hg}$  for all  $h, g \in G$

and  $x \in \Omega$ . That is, group actions turn composition into multiplication. In the function notation this is,

$$\begin{aligned} (x^g)^h &= ((\alpha(g)(x)))^h \\ &= \alpha(h)(\alpha(g)(x)) \\ &= (\alpha(h)\alpha(g))(x) \text{ as } \alpha(g)(x) \in \text{Perm}(\Omega). \\ &= (\alpha(hg)(x)) \text{ By } \alpha \text{ being a homomorphism.} \\ &= x^{hg}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** We know  $x^1 = x$  for  $x \in \Omega$  as  $\alpha(1) = 1$  by homomorphism. This corresponds to the map which leaves all elements of  $\Omega$  in place.

**Example (Conjugation Map).** Let  $G$  act on itself by conjugation, that is  $\Omega = G$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : G &\longrightarrow \text{Perm}(G) \\ g &\longmapsto \alpha(g) = gxg^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(G) \leq \text{Perm}(G). \end{aligned}$$

We see this is simply the conjugation by  $g$  map. Let us verify this is a group action.  $x^1 = 1x1^{-1} = x$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (x^g)^h &= (gxg^{-1})^h \\ &= h(gxg^{-1})h^{-1} \\ &= (hg)xg^{-1}h^{-1} \\ &= (hg)x(hg)^{-1} \\ &= x^{hg}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have confirmed  $\alpha$  is a group action.  $\diamond$

Now, let us examine  $\ker(\alpha) \trianglelefteq G$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\alpha) &= \{g \in G : x^g = x \ \forall x \in G\} \\ &= \{g \in G : gxg^{-1} = x \ \forall x \in G\} \\ &= \{g \in G : gx = xg \ \forall x \in G\} \text{ multiplying by } g \text{ from the right} \\ &= C_G(G) = Z_G(G), \text{ the center of } G. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.3** (Inner Automorphisms). We call  $\alpha(G)$  the **inner automorphisms of  $G$** .

**Example (Conjugation Map on Sets).** Let  $G$  act on the subsets  $A \subseteq G$  by conjugation, that is  $\Omega = \{H : H \subseteq G\}$ . For  $X \subseteq G$  and  $g \in G$ , let

$$X^g = gXg^{-1} = \{gxg^{-1} : x \in X\}.$$

Here,  $g$  is a bijection of the sets as the map  $g^{-1}$  is an inverse map to  $g$ . (hence it is a permutation and thus a group action.). That is,

$$X \xrightarrow{g} X^g \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} (X^g)^{g^{-1}} = X$$

.

◇

**Remark** (Permutations). The two properties  $(x^g)^h = x^{hg}$  and  $x^1 = x$  completely characterizes a group action (and hence a permutation), but sometimes it is easier to check for an inverse map as we did in the example previous.

In general, if  $G$  acts on  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$  is a subset which is closed (meaning  $x \in \Omega', g \in G$  implies  $x^g \in \Omega'$ ), then we can simply restrict the codomain of the group action, hence  $G$  can act on  $\Omega'$  in exactly the same way.

**Example** (Left Multiplication). Let  $G$  act on itself by left multiplication. (right multiplication will be essentially equivalent). Hence  $\Omega = G$  and  $x^g := gx$  for  $x, g \in G$ . Of course,  $x^1 = 1x = x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (x^g)^h &= (gx)^h \\ &= h(gx) \\ &= (hg)x \\ &= x^{hg}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this is a group action, but it will not be an automorphism (as it is not necessarily a bijection). There is, however, an inverse map, simply multiplication by  $g^{-1}$ , so we see it really does map to a permutation of  $G$ . ◇

## Lecture 4: Group Actions (2)

Mon 30 Aug 2021 11:26

**Recall** (Group Actions). The canonical definition of a group action was a map from  $G \rightarrow \Omega$  satisfying  $x^1 = x$  and  $(x^g)^h = x^{hg}$ . Formally, we defined a homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(G)$ ,  $x \mapsto (\alpha(g))(x) := x^g$ , where the homomorphism condition implies the identity condition and the "left action" combined with the rules of composition implies the second condition.

Recall also, that we had for a subset  $X \subseteq \Omega$  then  $G_X = \{g \in G : X^g = X\}$  where  $X^G = \{x^g : x \in X\}$  is called the stabilizer of  $X$ . A common case of this is where  $X = \{x\}$ , where we have  $G_x = \{g \in G : x^g = x\} \leq G$ , denoted the **point stabilizer** of  $x$ .

### Point Wise Stabilizer

$\bigcap_{x \in X} G_x \leq G_X$  is called the **point wise stabilizer** of  $X$ . Essentially, the point stabilizer of a point  $x$  must leave  $x$  in its position, taking the intersection of these yields all of the  $g \in G$  which leaves every element of  $X$  exactly in its place. On the other hand,  $G_X$  can permute the elements within  $X$  provided they stay within  $X$ .



- Definition 2.4** (Properties of Actions). 1. A group action,  $\alpha$ , is **transitive** if for all  $x, y \in \Omega$  there is a  $g \in G$  such that  $x^g = y$
2. The action is **faithful** if  $\ker(\alpha)$  is trivial, that is,  $x^g = x^h$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  implies  $g = h$
3. That is, each element of  $G$  provides a distinct map
4. A **fixed point** of  $\Omega$  is an element  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $x^g = x$  for all  $g \in G$  (hence  $G_x = G$ )
5. If  $X \subseteq \Omega$ , then the **orbit** of  $X$  is the set  $\mathcal{O}_X = \{x^g : x \in X, g \in G\}$

**Remark.** If the action is transitive, then  $\mathcal{O}_X = \Omega$  for all nonempty  $X \subseteq \Omega$ .

**Example.** Let  $G$  act on itself by conjugation ( $x^g = gxg^{-1}$ ). Then,  $G_x = \{g \in G : gxg^{-1} = x\} = \{g \in G : gx = xg\} = Z_G(\langle x \rangle)$ .  $\diamond$

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $G$  act on  $\Omega$ , then  $G_{xg} = gG_xg^{-1}$  for all  $x \in \Omega, g \in G$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{xg} &= \{h \in G : (x^g)^h = x^g\} \\
 &= \{h \in G : x^{hg} = x^g\}. \\
 \text{Now, let us change variables, let } h' &= ghg^{-1}, \text{ then} \\
 &= \{gh'g^{-1} \in G : x^{gh'g^{-1}g} = x^g\} \\
 &= \{gh'g^{-1} \in G : x^{gh'} = x^g\} \\
 \text{Now, note } x^{h'} &= x \Leftrightarrow x^{gh'} = x^g. \text{ So,} \\
 &= \{gh'g^{-1} \in G : x^{h'} = x\} \\
 &= g\{h' \in G : x^{h'} = x\}g^{-1} \\
 &= gG_xg^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose  $G$  acts on  $\Omega$  and let  $x \in \Omega, g, h \in G$ . Then,  $x^g = x^h \Leftrightarrow x, y$  are in the same left  $G_x$ -coset.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x^g = x^h$  and apply the inverse map,  $h^{-1}$  to both sides. This yields

$$\underbrace{(x^g)^{h^{-1}}}_{=x^{h^{-1}g}} = \underbrace{x^{hh^{-1}}}_{=1}.$$

Thus,  $h^{-1}g \in G_x$ , so  $g \in hG_x$ .

Now, Conversely, if  $g \in hG_x$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}g &\in G_x \\ \Rightarrow x^{h^{-1}g} &= x \\ \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x^{h^{-1}g})^h}_{=x^{hh^{-1}g}=x^g} &= x^h \\ \Rightarrow x^g &= x^h. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** (Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem). Suppose  $G$  acts on  $\Omega$ , then  $|\mathcal{O}_x| = |G : G_x|$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . That is, the size of the orbit of  $x$  is equal to the index of the point stabilizer of  $x$ .

*Proof.* Let us induce a bijection between  $\mathcal{O}_x$  and  $[G : G_x]$ . Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} f : \{gG_x : g \in G\} &\longrightarrow \Omega \\ x &\longmapsto f(x) = f(gG_x) = x^g. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous theorem, we know if  $h \in gG_x$ , then  $x^h = x^g$ , so this map is in fact well defined (it doesn't matter which representative we choose). We see  $\text{Im}(f) = \mathcal{O}_x$ . Now, if we prove the map is injective, we have a bijection from the  $[G : G_x] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$ . Now, suppose  $f(gG_x) = f(hG_x)$ , then as  $x^g = x^h \Leftrightarrow gG_x = hG_x$ , then we have the map is injective (as the output being equal implies the input is equal), hence we have a bijection, so the cardinalities are equal,  $|\mathcal{O}_x| = |G : G_x|$ .  $\square$

### 3 Conjugacy and Normality Proofs

#### Lecture 5: Mathematical Justification of Conjugacy

Wed 01 Sep 2021 11:24

**Recall** (Orbit Stabilizer Lemma). If  $G$  acts on a set  $\Omega$  and  $x \in \Omega$ , then  $|\mathcal{O}_x| = |G : G_x|$ . This meant, we could write  $|\Omega| = \sum_{x \in A} |\mathcal{O}_x| = \sum_{x \in A} |G : G_x|$ , where  $A \subseteq \Omega$  was a subset of  $\Omega$  containing one representatives for each orbit.

**Example.** If  $G$  acts on itself by conjugation. We call the orbits of this action the **conjugacy classes** of  $G$ . So  $\mathcal{O}_x = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$  and  $G_x = \{g \in G : gxg^{-1} = x = x^g\} = Z_G(\langle x \rangle)$ . Hence,

$$|\Omega| = |G| = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} |G : Z_G(x)| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}'} |G : Z(x)|$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a set containing 1 representative from each conjugacy class and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is a set containing 1 representative from each conjugacy class of size  $\geq 2$ . This final equivalence comes from the fact that the orbit being of size 1 implies that  $gxg^{-1} = x$  for all  $g$ , hence the centralizer  $Z(x) = Z(G)$ .  $\diamond$

**Definition 3.1** (Subgroup Conjugacy). Two subgroups  $H, K \leq G$  are **conjugate** when  $K = gHg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$ . So,  $K$  is the image of  $H$  under the conjugation by  $g$  automorphism for some  $g \in G$ . Since  $K$  is an isomorphic image of  $H$ , we have  $H \simeq K$  for conjugate groups  $H, K \leq G$ .

We may wish to count the number of conjugate subgroups. For this, let  $G$  act by conjugation on the set of all subgroups conjugate to  $H$ , denoted  $\Omega$ . This is a transitive group action by definition (there is only 1 orbit). So, by the orbit stabilizer lemma, the number of conjugate subgroups which is precisely  $|\Omega| = |G : G_H| = |G : N_G(H)|$ . This is true as

$$\begin{aligned} G_H &= \{g \in G : H^g = H\} \\ &= \{g \in G : gHg^{-1} = H\} \\ &= N_G(H). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $G$  be a group with  $H \leq G$  and  $|G : H| = 2$ . Then,  $H$  is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  act on all conjugate subgroups to  $H$  by conjugation. Then, the number of conjugate subgroups is simply  $|G : N_G(H)|$  by the previous remark. Let us note,  $H \leq N_G(H) \leq G$  and  $|G : H| = 2$ . If  $H < N_G(H)$ , then  $N_G(H)$  would contain 2  $H$ -cosets, whose union would be  $G$  by the index 2 assumption. Thus, wither  $N_G(H) = H$  or  $G$ . If  $N_G(H) = G$ , then  $H$  is normal by definition since  $H \trianglelefteq N_G(H)$ .

Hence, assume the contrary, that  $N_G(H) = H$ . Thus, there are  $|G : N_G(H)| = |G : H| = 2$  conjugate subgroups to  $H$ , denoted  $\Omega = \{H, K\}$ . Thus  $G$  is acting on the two element set  $\Omega$ , hence there is a homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(G) \simeq S_2$ . Let  $\ker(\alpha) = H_0$ .

By definition, we have  $H_0 = \{g \in G : H^g = H \text{ and } K^g = K\}$ , but as  $g$  is a permutation, we see mapping  $H \mapsto H$  implies  $K \mapsto K$ . Hence,  $H_0 = \{g \in G : H^g = H\} = N_G(H) = H$ . As  $H$  is the kernel of a homomorphism it is normal. Hence  $H$  is normal in either case, so  $H \trianglelefteq G$ .  $\square$

Many of the ideas of this proof will be used frequently, such as showing something is the kernel in order to show its normal.

#### Note on the Midterm

The midterm will consist of 2 parts, the first part will consist of novel problems which only require mashing together the theorems and lemmas we already to know in order to make a short (1 paragraph) proof) and the second part will consist of recitation of the proofs of some of the more important theorems.

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p \mid |G|$  be the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup such that  $|G : H| = p$ . Then  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . We see this is a generalization of the previous result as 2 is the "smallest smallest" prime divisor

of all. The one caveat is that this can only be applied to finite groups as  $|G|$  must be well defined.

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  be the set of conjugate subgroups to  $H$  and let  $G$  act on  $\Omega$  by conjugation. As before, as this action is transitive, we know  $|\Omega| = |G : G_H| = |G : N_G(H)|$ . we need to use  $|G : H| = p$  to conclude  $N_G(H) = H$ . In general, we know  $H \leq N_G(H) \leq G$ , hence as  $|G : H| = p$ , then we have

$$p = |G : H| = |G : N_G(H)| \cdot |N_G(H) : H|.$$

Thus,  $|G : N_G(H)| = 1$  or  $p$  as  $p$  is prime so there are no divisors. If  $|G : N_G(H)| = 1$ , then  $N_G(H) = G$ , so  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Hence, let us conclude the contrary, that  $|G : N_G(H)| = p$ . Hence,  $|N_G(H)| = 1$  by the earlier product, hence  $N_G(H) = H$ . The rest of the proof follows directly from the earlier arguments with some minor augmentations, we will show that  $H$  is the kernel of the associated homomorphism, making use of the fact that  $p$  was the smallest prime divisor.  $\square$

## Lecture 6: Conclusion of Lecture 5 and Sylow Theorems

Fri 03 Sep 2021 11:30

**Recall.** We had shown that if  $G$  acts by conjugation on the conjugate subgroups of  $H$ , then the normalizer  $N_G(H) = H$ .

*continued.* Let  $\alpha : G \rightarrow (\Omega) \simeq S_p$  be the associated homomorphism with the group action. Recall  $|\Omega| = |G : N_G(H)| = |G : H| = p$  by the orbit stabilizer theorem. Let  $\text{align}^* H_0 = \ker(\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{g \in G : K^g = K \forall K \in \Omega\} \\
 &= \bigcap_{K \in \Omega} \{g \in G : K^g = K\} \\
 &= \bigcap_{K \in \Omega} N_G(K) \text{ by definition of normalizer} \\
 &\Rightarrow H_0 \leq H = N_G(H) \text{ as } H \in \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

We see  $|\Im(\alpha)| = \left| \frac{G}{H_0} \right|$  as  $\Im(\alpha) \leq S_p$ . This implies  $\left| \frac{G}{H_0} \right| \mid |S_p| = p!$ .

Also,  $\frac{|G|}{|H_0|} = \frac{|G|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{|H|}{|H_0|} = |G : H| \cdot |H : H_0| = p \cdot |H : H_0|$ .

Simplifying, we see  $p |H : H_0| = \left| \frac{G}{H_0} \right|$  and as this divides  $p!$ , we obtain

$$p |H : H_0| \mid p! \Rightarrow |H : H_0| \mid (p-1)!.$$

But,  $|H : H_0| \mid |H| \mid |G|$ , but as  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ , all prime divisors are  $\geq p$  and thus, they would not divide  $(p-1)!$ . Hence, we see  $|H : H_0| = 1$ , hence  $H = H_0 = \ker(\alpha)$ . As the kernel is a normal subgroup, this yields  $H \trianglelefteq G$ .  $\square$

## 4 Sylow Theorems

**Definition 4.1** (P-groups). A group  $G$  is a  **$p$ -group** where  $p$  is prime if the order of every  $g \in G$  is a power of  $p$

**Theorem 4.1** (Cauchy's Theorem). If  $G$  is a (nontrivial) finite group and  $p \mid |G|$  is a prime, then there is a  $g \in G$  such that  $\text{ord}(g) = p$  and hence there is a subgroup  $[g]$  of order  $p$ .

*Proof.* We will break the proof into 2 cases.

1.  $G$  is abelian.
2.  $G$  is nonabelian.

Note that we will use 1 as the identity for this part of the proof as the groups are abelian. For the first case we will proceed by induction. If  $|G| = p$ , then any nonzero element of  $x \in G$  has  $\text{ord}(x) = p$  as  $\text{ord}(x) \mid |G|$  and the order is not 1 so it must be  $p$ .

We will use this as the base case. Let  $x \in G$  be a nonzero element and let  $H = \langle x \rangle$ , so  $|H| = \text{ord}(x)$ . So,  $\{H = x, x^2, \dots, x^{\text{ord}(x)}\}$ . If  $p \mid |H|$ , then  $\text{ord}(x^{H/p}) = p$ , so such an element exists. In the other case ( $p \nmid |H|$ ). Then,  $p \mid |G/H|$  as  $p \mid |G| = |G/H| \cdot |H|$ . This is well defined as  $G$  is abelian, so  $H$  must be normal. Let  $\varphi_H : G \rightarrow G/H$  be the canonical homomorphism, then  $|G/H| < |G|$  as  $H$  is nontrivial and  $p \mid |G/H|$  so the inductive hypothesis implies there is a  $y \in G$  such that  $\text{ord}(\varphi_H(y)) = p$ . Let  $m = \text{ord}(y)$ . Then,  $y^m = 1$ , so  $\varphi(y^m) = \varphi(y)^m = 1$ , so  $\text{ord}(\varphi(y)) = p \mid m$  (and  $m = \alpha p$ ). Hence,  $\text{ord}(y^\alpha) = p$ . This completes the proof of this case.

For the nonabelian case, we will make use of the class equation, so let us recall:

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} |G : Z_G(x)|$$

where  $\mathcal{C} \leq G$  is simply a set of representatives for all conjugacy classes in  $G$  of size  $\geq 2$ . Now,  $Z(G)$  is the center of  $G$ , so it is abelian by definition. If  $p \mid |Z(G)|$  then we may simply apply the abelian case to yield an element,  $x \in Z(G) \leq G$ , of order  $p$ . Hence, assume  $p \nmid |Z(G)|$ . Then, we see there must be at least one  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $p \nmid |G : Z_G(x)|$  (else we would have all parts of the right side of the class equation are divisible by  $p$  except the centralizer, so  $|G| = |Z(G)| \pmod{p} \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ ). So,  $p \nmid |G : Z_G(\langle x \rangle)| = \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(x)|}$ . But,  $p \mid |G| = \left( \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(x)|} \right) |Z_G(x)|$ , so  $p \mid |Z_G(x)|$ .

If  $Z_G(x) < G$ , then we could proceed by induction on  $|G|$  and apply the inductive hypothesis to  $Z_G(x)$  to complete the proof (with base case  $|G| = p$ ). Hence, we must have  $Z_G(x) = G \Rightarrow x \in Z(G)$ . This is a contradiction, as we assumed  $|G : Z_G(x)| = \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(x)|} \geq 2$ . That is,  $x$  was chosen to be an element not in the center, but if  $Z_G(x) = G$ , then  $x$  commutes with everything, so  $x \in Z(G)$ .  $\nmid$ . Hence, we must have that  $p \mid |Z(G)|$  or  $Z_G(x)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , so this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** If  $H$  is a finite  $p$ -group, then  $|H| = p^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* If this fails, then there is a  $q \mid |H|$  with  $q \neq p$  being prime. Then, Cauchy's theorem implies there is an element of order  $q \neq p$ , so  $H$  is not a  $p$ -group.  $\nmid$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.2** (Sylow Subgroup). If  $G$  is a finite group,  $p$  is a prime, and  $p^n$  is the maximal power of  $p$  such that  $p^n \mid |G|$ . Then, any subgroup  $H \leq G$  with  $|H| = p^n$  is called a **Sylow  $p$ -subgroup**.

**Example.** If  $|G| = 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 7$ . Then a subgroup with  $|H| = 8$  is a Sylow 2-group. Similarly,  $|H| = 9$  implies  $H$  is a Sylow 3-group and  $|H| = 7$  implies  $H$  is a Sylow 7-group.  $\diamond$

## Lecture 7: Sylow Groups (2)

Wed 08 Sep 2021 11:20

**Recall.** If  $G$  is a finite group, then a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $p^n$  is the maximal power of  $p$  such that  $p^n \mid |G|$ , then  $H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -group.

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $G$  is a finite group and  $p$  is a prime, then  $G$  has a Sylow  $p$ -group.

*Proof.* We will use induction. For the first cases, if  $|G| = p^n$  then the subgroup  $H = G$  is a Sylow  $p$ -group. Also if  $p \nmid |G|$ , then the trivial subgroup is a Sylow  $p$ -group. Hence, we can assume  $p \mid |G|$  with  $\hat{p} \mid |G|$  for some prime  $\hat{p} \neq p$ .

First, recall the class equation,  $|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{x \in I} |G : G_x|$  where  $I$  is a set of representatives of each orbit of size  $\geq 2$  when  $G$  acts on itself by conjugation.

**Observation.** If  $K < G$ , then we can assume  $p^n \nmid |K|$  else a Sylow  $p$ -group for  $K$  would also be a Sylow  $p$ -group for  $G$ , which we would know to exist by induction hypothesis. Hence, we can assume  $p \mid |G : K|$ .

Now, note that every  $G_x$  with  $x \in I$  has that  $G_x < G$ , else its index would be 1 and  $x$  would not be in  $I$ . Hence, we have  $p \mid |G : G_x|$  for all  $x \in I$ . And, as  $p \mid |G|$ , we see  $p \mid |Z(G)|$  by the class equation. This implies the center is nontrivial.

Hence, by Cauchy's theorem, there is an  $x \in Z(G)$  such that  $\text{ord}(x) = p$ . Then,  $\langle x \rangle \leq Z(G) \trianglelefteq G$ . Furthermore, every subgroup of  $Z(G)$  is normal by definition of the center, hence  $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ .

Let us now examine  $G/\langle x \rangle$ . We see  $|G/\langle x \rangle| = \frac{|G|}{p}$ , hence  $p^{n-1}$  is the highest power which divides  $G/\langle x \rangle$ . Using the induction hypothesis yields a Sylow  $p$ -group of  $G/\langle x \rangle$  and by the lattice theorem, we know the  $p$ -group has the form  $H/\langle x \rangle$  for a subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that  $\langle x \rangle \leq H$ . Again, we see  $|H/\langle x \rangle| = \frac{|H|}{p} = p^{n-1} \Rightarrow |H| = p^n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group acting on the finite set  $\Omega$ , then the number of fixed points in  $\Omega$ , denoted  $n$ , has  $n \equiv |\Omega| \pmod{p}$

*Proof.* Recall

$$|\Omega| = \sum_{x \in I} |G : G_x|$$

where  $I$  is a set of representatives for the orbit of each action. As  $x$  is a fixed point, we see  $G_x = G$ , hence let us separate the equation and define  $\mathcal{O}$  to be the set of representatives from each orbit of size  $\geq 2$  and  $n$  to be the aforementioned number of fixed points.. Then

$$|\Omega| = n + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}} |G : G_x|.$$

As  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group, we know  $|G : G_x| \geq 2$ , hence  $|G : G_x| = p^m$  for some  $m$ , hence  $p \mid |G : G_x|$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &\equiv n + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}} |G : G_x| \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv n + 0 \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv n \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $G$  be finite group,  $p$  be prime,  $P$  is a sylow  $p$ -group in  $G$ . If  $H \leq N_G(P)$  then  $H \leq P$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H \leq N_G(P)$  we must have  $HP \leq G$  with  $P \trianglelefteq HP$ . Hence  $\frac{HP}{P} \simeq \frac{H}{H \cap P}$  by the 2nd isomorphism theorem. Thus,  $\underbrace{\left| \frac{HP}{P} \right|}_{= \frac{|HP|}{|P|}} = \left| \frac{H}{H \cap P} \right| = \frac{|H|}{|H \cap P|}$ . This

yields  $|HP| = \frac{|H| \cdot |P|}{|H \cap P|}$ .

Since  $|H|$  and  $|P|$  are both powers of  $p$ , we have  $|H| \cdot |P|$  is also a power of  $p$ . By definition  $p^n = |P|$  is the maximum power of  $p$  dividing  $|G|$ , so  $|HP| \leq p^n = |P|$  by Lagrange's theorem, but  $p \leq HP$ , so  $|P| \leq |HP| \leq |P|$ , hence  $|P| = |HP|$  and since there is only 1  $P$ -coset, we see  $HP = P$  implies  $H \leq P$ . □

**Theorem 4.3** (Sylow Theorems). Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $p$  a prime with  $n_p$  being the number of sylow  $p$ -groups in  $G$ .

1.  $n_p \geq 1$  for all  $p$ .
2. If  $H \leq G$  is a  $p$ -group, then there exists a sylow  $p$ -group,  $P \leq G$  with  $H \leq P$ .
3. All sylow  $p$ -groups are conjugate.
4.  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .
5.  $n_p = |G : N_G(P)|$  where  $P$  is a sylow  $p$ -group in  $G$ . In particular,  $n_p \mid \frac{|G|}{p^n}$ .

*Proof.* 1. We have already proved this theorem

2. Let  $P$  be a sylow  $p$ -group in  $G$  (which we know to exist). Let  $\Omega = \{A : A \text{ is a subgroup conjugate to } P\}$ . Let  $G$  act by conjugation on  $\Omega$ . Then, as  $\Omega$  is simply one orbit,  $|\Omega| = |G : G_P|$  where  $G_P = \{g \in G : gPg^{-1} = P\} = N_G(P)$ . Hence,  $|\Omega| = |G : N_G(P)|$ . As  $P \leq N_G(P)$  and  $|P| = p^n$  is the maximum power of  $p$  such that  $p^n \mid |G|$ , then by definition of a sylow group,  $p \nmid |\Omega| = |G : N_G(P)|$ . Let  $H \leq G$  be a  $p$ -group in  $G$ . Then, restrict the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  to an action of  $H$  on  $\Omega$ . By the previous lemma, we have the number of fixed points in  $\Omega$  under the action of  $H$ , denoted  $m$  is  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus, there is some  $P' \in \Omega$  that is a fixed point for  $H$ , meaning  $hP'h^{-1} = P'$  for all  $h \in H$ , hence  $H \leq N_G(P')$ . Now,  $P'$  is conjugate to  $P$  as  $P' \in \Omega$ , so  $P' \simeq P$  with  $|P'| = |P| = p^n$ . So,  $P'$  is also a sylow  $p$ -group in  $G$ .

Taking the previous lemma and applying it to  $P'$  yields  $H \leq P'$ , so this completes the proof of (2).  $\square$

The rest of the proofs will be completed next lecture.

## Lecture 8: Sylow Groups (3)

Fri 10 Sep 2021 11:23

**Recall.** We proved Sylow's 2nd theorem, that every  $p$ -group in  $G$  is contained within some  $p$ -group.

*3rd and 4th theorems.* 3. Recall we let  $G$  act on  $\Omega$ , being the set of all subgroups conjugate to  $P$ , by conjugation and we showed any  $p$ -group  $P' \leq G$  has some  $P'' \in \Omega$  such that  $P' \leq P''$ .

Now, let  $P'$  be an arbitrary sylow  $p$ -group. By the above we have the existence of a  $P'' \in \Omega$  such that  $P' \leq P''$ . But  $|P'| = |P''| = p^n$  as this is the maximum power of  $p$  dividing  $|G|$  by definition of sylow groups.

Hence  $P' = P'' \in \Omega$ , so  $P'$  is conjugate. Hence, every sylow  $p$ -group is conjugate to the fixed sylow  $p$ -group so they are all conjugate by transitivity.

- 4 Now that we know all sylow  $p$ -groups are conjugate, we know there is a  $n_p = |\Omega|$  with  $\Omega$  being a single orbit in the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$ . So, the orbit



stabilizer lemma yields

$$n_p = |\Omega| = |G : G_P| \text{ where } G_P = \{x \in G : P^x = P\} = \{x \in G : xPx^{-1} = P\} \\ = N_G(P).$$

Now, we restrict the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  to an action of  $P$  on  $\Omega$ . Hence,  $P$  is a  $p$ -group, hence finite, acting on the finite set  $\Omega$ . And, as we know the number of fixed points  $n_p = |\Omega| \pmod{p}$ .

So, we must only examine the fixed points now. Let  $P' \in \Omega$  be an arbitrary subgroup such that  $P'$  is fixed by all  $x \in P$ . That is,  $xP'x^{-1} = P'$ . If  $P' = P$  this is clearly true. By definition, we know  $P \in N_G(P')$ , but by an earlier lemma, we know that  $P \leq P'$ , both were  $p$ -groups of maximal cardinality so both sylow groups are equal. Hence,  $P' = P$  is the only fixed point. This completes the proof as  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . □

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $G$  be a group with  $|G| = p^2$  with  $p$  being prime. Then,  $G$  is abelian.

**Remark.** This is a generalization of the theorem that every group of order  $p$  is cyclic, hence abelian.

**Lemma 4.3.** If  $G$  is a finite nontrivial  $p$ -group, then  $Z(G)$  is nontrivial.

*Proof of lemma.* By the class equation

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{x \in I} |G : Z_G(x)|.$$

But, as each  $Z_G(x)$  with  $x \in I$  has  $p \mid |Z_G(x)|$  hence  $p \mid |Z(G)|$ . We have actually already argued this same fact before, so the details are omitted. Hence, as  $p \mid |Z(G)|$ , then  $Z(G)$  is nontrivial. □

*Proof of theorem.*  $Z(G)$  is nontrivial by the lemma, hence  $|Z(G)| = p$  or  $|Z(G)| = p^2$  by lagrange's theorem. In the second case  $G$  is abelian hence we need only examine the case  $|Z(G)| = p$ . As groups of order  $p$  are cyclic, any nonidentity element  $x \in Z(G)$  will be a generator. Now, we know  $Z(G) \trianglelefteq G$  and  $|G/Z(G)| = \frac{p^2}{p} = p$ , so  $G/Z(G)$  is also a group of order  $p$ , let it be generated by  $xZ(G)$ , where  $x \in G$ . Then,  $G = \langle Z(G), x \rangle$ . So, any arbitrary element of  $G$  is a product  $xy$  with  $y \in Z(G)$ , and as  $x$  commutes with everything in  $Z(G)$ , we have  $xy = yx$ . □

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose  $G$  is a group and  $|G| = pq$  for distinct primes  $p < q$  with  $p \nmid q - 1$ . Then,  $G$  is abelian.

*Proof.* Let  $P, Q$  be sylow  $p$ -groups and  $q$ -groups respectively. Let  $n_p$  to be the number of sylow  $p$ -groups in  $G$  and similarly for  $n_q$ . By sylow's theorems, we know  $n_p \mid \frac{|G|}{p}$ . So,  $n_p = 1$  or  $q$  and  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . If  $n_p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,

this is a contradiction as  $p|q-1$ .  $\nmid$  Hence,  $n_p = 1$ . Likewise,  $n_q|\frac{|G|}{q} = p$ , so  $q \equiv 1$  or  $p \pmod{p}$  and if  $n_q \equiv p \equiv 1 \pmod{q} = 1$ , then  $p = xq + 1$  for some positive  $x$ , hence  $p \geq q + 1$ .  $\nmid$  So  $n_q = 1$ .

This means every  $g \in G$  fixes the unique sylow  $q$ -group  $Q$  by conjugation ( $gQg^{-1} = Q$ ), hence  $Q \trianglelefteq G$  and likewise  $P \trianglelefteq G$ .

Consider the subgroup  $PQ$ . Since  $P, Q$  are normal  $P \leq N_G(Q) = G$  and  $Q \leq N_G(P) = G$ , so  $PQ$  is a subgroup by the 2nd homomorphism theorem. Furthermore,  $|P| \mid |PQ|$  and  $|Q| \mid |PQ|$ . Hence,  $pq \mid |PQ| \leq pq$ . Thus,  $PQ = G$ . Now,  $|P| = p$ , so  $P = \langle x \rangle$  for some  $x \in G$  and  $|Q| = q$ , so  $Q = \langle y \rangle$  for some  $y \in G$ . As  $p, q$  are prime these groups are cyclic hence abelian. So, we need only show  $xy = yx$ . We see  $xyxy^{-1} = x' \in P$  as  $P \trianglelefteq G$ . Hence,  $yx = x'y = y'x'$  for some  $y' \in Q$  as  $Q \trianglelefteq G$ . As  $PQ = G$  with  $|P| = p$  and  $|Q| = q$ , hence  $|G| = pq$  so each element  $x \in G$  has a unique expression  $x = ab$  with  $a \in P$  and  $b \in Q$ . Hence  $x = x'$  and  $y = y'$ , so  $xy = yx$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** It is a general technique that if a sylow group is unique, it is normal in  $G$ .

## Lecture 9: Semidirect Products and Basic Results

Mon 13 Sep 2021 11:26

### 5 Semidirect Products

**Definition 5.1** (Direct Product). Let  $H, N$  be groups. Their (external) **direct product** is  $N \times N = \{(x, h) : x \in N, h \in H\}$  with  $(x_1, h_1)(x_2, h_2) = (x_1x_2, h_1h_2)$ .

**Definition 5.2** (Semidirect Product). Let  $H, N$  be groups and let  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ . Thus  $H$  acts on  $N$  by  $x^h = \alpha(h)(x)$ . We define the (external) **semidirect product** to be  $N \rtimes_\alpha H = \{(x, h) : x \in N, h \in H\}$ . This forms a group with  $(x_1, h_1)(x_2, h_2) = (x_1x_2^{h_1}, h_1h_2)$

Let us verify this is a group. We see this is a well defined map as  $H$  is closed and  $x_2^{h_1} \in N$  and  $N$  is closed. Now, let us find the identity. We see  $(1, 1)$  has  $(x, h)(1, 1) = (x1^h = h_1) = (x, h)$  and  $(1, 1)(x, h) = (1x^1, 1h) = (x, h)$ . Hence,

$(1, 1) = e$  is the identity. Next, the inverse of  $(x, y)$  is  $(x^{-1})^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1}$ . We see

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x, y) \left( (x^{-1})^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1} \right) &= \left( x \left[ (x^{-1})^{h^{-1}} \right]^h, hh^{-1} \right) \\
 &= \left( x (x^{-1})^{hh^{-1}}, 1 \right) \\
 &= \left( x (x^{-1})^1, 1 \right) \\
 &= (xx^{-1}, 1) \\
 &= (1, 1) \text{ and} \\
 \left( (x^{-1})^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1} \right) (x, h) &= \left( (x^{-1})^{h^{-1}} x^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1}h \right) \\
 &= \left( (x^{-1}x)^{h^{-1}}, 1 \right) \text{ By } h^{-1} \text{ being an homo(auto)morphism} \\
 &= \left( 1^{h^{-1}}, 1 \right) \\
 &= (1, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

We see this holds as  $(xy)^h = \alpha(h)(xy) = \alpha(h)(x)\alpha(h)(y) = x^h y^h$ .

Lastly, let us show associativity. Let  $(x_1, h_1), (x_2, h_2), (x_3, h_3) \in N \rtimes H$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((x_1, h_1)(x_2, h_2))(x_3, h_3) &= (x_1 x_2^{h_1}, h_1 h_2)(x_3, h_3) \\
 &= (x_1 x_2^{h_1} (x_3)^{h_1 h_2}, h_1 h_2 h_3) \\
 (x_1, h_1)((x_2, h_2)(x_3, h_3)) &= (x_1, h_1)(x_2 x_3^{h_2}, h_2 h_3) \\
 &= \left( x_1 (x_2 x_3^{h_2})^{h_1}, h_1 h_2 h_3 \right) \\
 &= (x_1 x_2^{h_1} x_3^{h_1 h_2}, h_1 h_2 h_3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence this is indeed a group. Lastly, let us observe  $|N \rtimes H| = |N||H|$ .

Now, note that  $N \times \{1\}$  has  $(x, 1)(y, 1) = (xy^1, 1 \cdot 1) = (xy, 1)$  so  $N \times \{1\} \simeq N$ .

Hence, we often refer to  $N$  as having  $N \leq N \rtimes H$  even though it is technically  $N \times \{1\} \leq N \rtimes H$ . Likewise  $\{1\} \rtimes H$  has  $H \leq N \rtimes H$ .

The reason this is of interest is that  $N$  is normal in  $N \rtimes H$ , with the notation being purposely similar to  $N \triangleleft H$  in order to remind one which group will be

normal. We see for  $(x, 1) \in N$  and  $(y, h) \in N \rtimes H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (y, h)(x, 1)(y, h)^{-1} &= (y, h)(x, 1)\left((y^{-1})^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1}\right) \\
 &= (yx^h, h)\left((y^{-1})^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1}\right) \\
 &= \left(yx^h\left((y^{-1})^{h^{-1}}\right)^h, hh^{-1}\right) \\
 &= \left(yx^h(y^{-1})^{hh^{-1}}, 1\right) \\
 &= (yx^hy^{-1}, 1) \\
 &\in N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Se  $N$  is indeed normal in  $N \rtimes H$ .

If  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  being the trivial homomorphism, we see every element is the identity map, hence  $N \rtimes H = N \times H$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $H, N$  be groups with  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  being a homomorphism.  $H \trianglelefteq N \rtimes_{\alpha} H \Leftrightarrow N \rtimes_{\alpha} H = N \times H$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $H \trianglelefteq N \rtimes_{\alpha} H$ . So,  $(x, 1)(1, h)(x^{-1}, 1) = (1, h') \in H$  for all  $x \in N$  and  $h \in H$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x, 1)(1, h)(x^{-1}, 1) &= (x \cdot 1^1, 1 \cdot h)(x^{-1}, 1) \\
 &= (x, h)(x^{-1}, 1) \\
 &= \left(x(x^{-1})^h, h\right) \\
 &= (1, h')
 \end{aligned}$$

Implying  $h = h'$  and  $(x^{-1})^h = x^{-1}$ , for all  $h \in H$ . Then, as every  $h$  acts as the trivial map, we see this is simply the special case yielding the direct product. The other direction of the proof is left as an exercise.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3** (Internal Semidirect Products). Let  $G$  be a group with  $H, N \leq G$  and suppose  $H \leq N_G(N)$  and  $H \cap N = \{1\}$ . Then  $NH \simeq N \rtimes_{\alpha} H$  where  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N), h(x) \mapsto \alpha(h)(x) = h x h^{-1}$ . We define this to be the **internal semidirect product**.

## Lecture 10: Semidirect Products (2)

Wed 15 Sep 2021 11:26

**Recall.** We introduced the semidirect product  $G \rtimes_{\alpha} H$  with  $(x, h)(y, g) = (xy^h, hg)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $G$  be a group with  $H, N \leq G$  and  $H \leq N_G(N)$  and  $H \cap N = \{1\}$ . Then,  $NH \simeq N \rtimes_\alpha H$  is a group when

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : H &\longrightarrow \text{Aut}(N) \\ h &\longmapsto \alpha(h) = \text{conjugation by } h. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $H \leq N_G(N)$  this implies  $NH \leq G$  with  $N \trianglelefteq NH$  (by the 2nd isomorphism theorem). Furthermore,  $\frac{NH}{N} \simeq \frac{H}{N \cap H}$ . As the intersection is trivial, we see  $|NH : N| = \frac{|NH|}{|N|} = |H|$ , hence  $|NH| = |N| |H|$ . So, there are  $|H|$   $N$ -cosets in  $NH$ .

But  $NH = \{xh : x \in N, h \in H\} = \bigcup_{h \in H} Nh$  and as there are  $|H|$   $N$ -cosets, we see each  $Nh$  is distinct. Hence, every element has a unique representation of the form  $xh$  with  $x \in N$  and  $h \in H$ . Thus, the map  $\varphi : NH \rightarrow N \rtimes_\alpha H$ , with  $xh \mapsto (x, h)$  is well defined (as there is only 1 way to represent each element) and bijective. Last, we must show it is a homomorphism. Let  $x_1h_1, x_2h_2 \in NH$  be arbitrary elements with  $x_1, x_2 \in N$  and  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1h_1x_2h_2 &= x_1h_1x_2h_1^{-1}h_1h_2 \\ &= x_1x_2^{h_1}(h_1h_2) \\ \text{where } x^h &:= hxh^{-1} = \alpha(h)(x) \\ \text{furthermore, } x_1x_2^{h_1} &\in N \text{ and } h_1h_2 \in H \\ \text{so, } x_1x_2h_1h_2 &= x_1x_2^{h_1}h_1h_2 \in NH. \\ \text{Hence } x_1h_1x_2h_2 &\mapsto \varphi(x_1h_1x_2h_2) = (x_1x_2^{h_1}, h_1h_2) \\ &= (x_1, h_1)(x_2, h_2) \\ &= \varphi(x_1h_1)\varphi(x_2h_2). \end{aligned}$$

We know  $G$  can act on itself by conjugation with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : G &\longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G) \\ g &\longmapsto \alpha(g) = \text{conjugation by } g. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$  is also a homomorphism as each  $\alpha(h)|_N$  is an automorphism of  $N$  as  $N \trianglelefteq HN$  and  $H \leq N_G(N)$ .

Hence our original bijective map  $\varphi$  is also a homomorphism, hence  $NH \simeq N \rtimes_\alpha H$ .  $\square$

This implies the semidirect product,  $N \rtimes_\alpha H$  is completely characterized by

- What is  $N$  isomorphic to?
- What is  $H$  isomorphic to?
- What possibilities for a homomorphism  $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  exist?

Hence semidirect products are a robust way to construct new nonabelian groups from a given  $N, H$ .

**Example.**  $D_{2n} \simeq C_n \rtimes_\alpha C_2$

$\diamond$

**Definition 5.4** (Simple Groups). A group  $G$  is **simple** if the only normal subgroups are  $\{1\}$  and  $G$  itself (It has no proper nontrivial normal subgroups).

This definition clearly implies there are no nontrivial quotients of a simple group. The main use of simple groups is as a sort of "prime" group which allows us to decompose arbitrary groups by decomposition into simple groups by the quotient of a normal subgroup.

**Example.** Finite groups of prime order  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are simple. Furthermore, there are many families of finite simple groups as well as some particular sporadic groups which form the complete classification of finite simple groups.  $\diamond$

## Lecture 11: Homework Review and Sylow Groups (4)

Fri 17 Sep 2021 11:36

## Solution to Questions 4 and 5 From Homework I

1. For question 4 part 1 we needed to show  $\mathcal{O}_i^g \in \mathcal{O}$  for all  $i$  and  $g \in G$ . We note that if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_i$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_i = x^H$ , hence  $\mathcal{O}_i^g = x^{Hg} = x^{gH} = (x^g)^H = \mathcal{O}_j$  for whichever  $\mathcal{O}_j \ni g$ .
2. For question 5 part 3 we needed to show that  $G_x$  being a maximal subgroup for every  $x \in G$  is equivalent to the existence of no trivial blocks  $B \subseteq \Omega$ . One direction was simple, so we only show the other. Assume there is a  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $G_x < H < G$  for some  $H \leq G$ , then we wish to find a nontrivial block  $B$ . Define  $B = x^H = \{x^h : h \in H\}$ . First, we show this is a block. Suppose  $B \cap B^g \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\exists x^{h_1} \in B$  and  $x^{gh_2} \in B^g$  for some  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  with  $x^{gh_2} = x^{h_1}$ , implying  $x^{h_1^{-1}gh_2} = x^{h_1^{-1}h_1} = x$ . Hence,  $h^{-1}gh_2 \in G_x \leq H$ , so  $g \in h_1 H h_2^{-1} = H$ . But, if  $g \in H$ , we have  $B^g = (x^H)^g = x^{gH} = x^H = B$ , hence  $B$  is a block and furthermore,  $G_B = H$ . Now, if  $B = \{x\}$ , then  $G_B = H = G_x$ ,  $\nmid$ . Furthermore, if  $B = \Omega$ , then  $G_B = H = G$ ,  $\nmid$ . Hence  $B$  is a proper nontrivial block.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $G$  be a group of order  $|G| = 7 \cdot 3^3$ . Then,  $G$  is not simple.

*Proof.* Let  $n_3, n_7$  be the number of sylow 3-groups and 7-groups respectively. Then, by Sylow's Theorems  $n_7 \mid \frac{|G|}{7} = 3^3$ , and  $n_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . So,  $n_7 = 1, 3, 9, 27$  by the first requirement, and the second requirement implies  $n_7 = 1$ . Hence there is a unique Sylow 7-group, hence it is normal by an earlier proposition. Thus, there is a normal subgroup of order 7, so  $G$  is not simple. Note that had we tried with  $n_3$  instead of  $n_7$ , we would get  $n_3 \mid 7$  and  $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  implying that  $n_3$  could be 7, hence only 1 direction worked.  $\square$

**Example.** We can show that no group of  $|G| = 30$  is simple. Suppose  $|G| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ , using  $n_2$  yields essentially no results as all other primes are odd. Hence, we try with  $n_3$ , this yields possibilities  $n_3 = 1$  or  $n_3 = 10$ . If  $n_3 = 10$ , we know  $G$  is not simple, so let us assume  $n_3 = 10$ .

Now, trying with  $n_5$  yields  $n_5 = 1$  or  $n_5 = 6$ . Again, we know if  $n_5 = 1$ , then  $G$  is not simple so let us assume  $n_5 = 6$ .

Let  $P_1, P_2$  be 2 sylow 3-groups. Then, either  $P_1 = P_2$  or  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$ , as  $|P_1| = |P_2| = 3$  is prime. Thus, the 3-groups may only intersect trivially as they are of prime order. Hence, there are at least  $n_3 \cdot (3 - 1)$  elements of order 3 in  $G$ . Hence, there are at least 20 elements of order 3 in  $G$ .

Similarly, we see there must be at least  $n_5 \cdot (5 - 1)$  elements of order 5 in  $G$  hence there are 24 elements of order 5, but as no element can have order 3 and 5, and we have  $|G| = 30 < 24 + 20 + 1$  (the 1 being the identity which we did not count yet), we see either  $n_3$  or  $n_5 = 1$ . Hence,  $G$  cannot be simple as it must have either a normal 3-group or a normal 5-group.  $\diamond$

## Lecture 12: Classification of Finite Groups

Mon 20 Sep 2021 11:13

**Recall.** We showed that for a finite group  $G$  we could exploit the number of sylow  $p$ -groups,  $n_p$  to set up a congruence system with the only solution being  $n_p = 1$  for some  $p$ , hence  $G$  was not simple (as  $n_p = 1$  guarantees the corersponding  $p$ -group to be normal). Failing this, we found we could assume a sylow  $p$ -group of order  $p$  had only trivial intersection to attain a lower bound on the size of the group which was larger than  $|G|$ , implying once again that  $n_p = 1$  for a particular  $p$ , so  $G$  was not normal.

We wish to continue this example to classify all possible groups of  $|G| = 30$ .

We had that either a sylow 3-group, denoted  $P$ , or a sylow 5-group, denoted  $Q$ , must be normal, hence either  $P \trianglelefteq G$  or  $N \trianglelefteq G$  (with  $Q_G(P) = G$  or  $P \leq N_G(Q) = G$ ). Hence  $PQ$  is a group by the 2nd homomorphism theorem. Hence as  $P, Q \leq PQ$ , we have  $|P| = 3 \mid |PQ|$  and  $|Q| = 5 \mid |PQ|$ , so  $15 \mid |PQ|$ . Furthermore, as  $P \cap Q = \{1\}$  (all nonidentity elements of  $P$  have order 3, and all of  $Q$  have order 5). As  $3 \mid 5 - 1$ , then we know by an earlier theorem (a group of order  $pq$  with  $p \nmid q - 1$  is abelian) we have an abelian group. Hence  $PQ \simeq C_{15}$ . Using cauchy's theorem yields an element  $t$  of order 2, then we have  $t \notin PQ$  as  $PQ$  had no elements of even order. Hence,  $\langle PQ, t \rangle = G$ .

Let  $H = \langle t \rangle \simeq C_2$  and let  $N = PQ \simeq C_{15}$ . Clearly,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $H \cap N = \{1\}$ . By another theorem from class, we have that  $G = HN \simeq N \rtimes_{\alpha} H$  by some automorphism  $\alpha : C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{15})$ . It remains only to determine what automorphisms  $\alpha$  are possible in this case. As  $C_2 = \{1, x\}$  for some  $x$  of order 2, then we see  $\alpha$  is completely characterized by the value of  $\alpha(x)$  and as

$$\underbrace{\alpha(t^2)}_{=\alpha(1)=1} = (\alpha(t))^2$$

we see  $\text{ord}(\alpha(t)) \mid 2$ .

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(C_{15}) &= \text{Aut}(C_3 \times C_5) \\ &\simeq \text{Aut}(C_3) \times \text{Aut}(C_5) \\ &= C_2 \times C_4 \end{aligned}$$

and as there are 4 elements in  $C_2 \times C_4$  of order 1 or 2, we have at most 4 possible automorphisms  $\alpha$  (though some could give rise to isomorphic groups). It turns out that there are 4 such automorphisms, yielding nonisomorphic groups  $C_{30}, D_{30}, C_3 \times D_{10}, C_5 \times S_3$ .

We now introduce a second trick for inducing normal subgroups by exploiting low-index subgroups.

*Proof.* Assume  $G$  is finite and  $H \leq G$  with  $|G : H| = k$ ,  $k$  being sufficiently small. Let  $G$  act on the left  $H$ -cosets by left multiplications. This is of course transitive as  $aH \mapsto bH$  by  $ba^{-1}$ .

Let  $\alpha : G \rightarrow S_k$  be the associated homomorphism. If  $\ker(\alpha) = G$ , then there is a  $g \in G$  such that  $x^g = 1$  hence  $k = 1$  by transitivity, hence  $\ker(\alpha) = G \Leftrightarrow H = G$ . Similarly, if  $\ker(\alpha) = \{1\}$ , then  $\alpha$  is an injection. Thus,  $G \leq S_k$  up to isomorphism. Hence, knowledge of the subgroups of  $S_k$  may yield that  $G \trianglelefteq S_k$ , hence



a contradiction. If we have a contradiction, then  $\{1\} < \ker(\alpha) < G$ , so we have a nontrivial normal subgroup.

One easy way to exploit this is to compare  $|G|$  and  $|S_k| = k!$ . Clearly,  $|G| \nmid k!$  or  $G \not\leq S_k$ . So, if  $|G| \mid k!$  we have the kernel is nontrivial so there is a proper nontrivial subgroup  $K = \ker(\alpha) \trianglelefteq G$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Recall that  $n_p = |G : N_G(P)|$  where  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -group. Hence, if  $n_p$  is small (but larger than 1), we can use  $N_G(P)$  to be our group of small index.  $\diamond$

## Lecture 13

Fri 24 Sep 2021 11:30

I originally missed this lecture so it is transcribed from a classmate's notes.

## 6 Nilpotent Groups

### Lecture 14: Nilpotent Groups

Fri 24 Sep 2021 11:30

Let  $G$  be a group, and  $Z_0(G) = \{1\}$  with  $Z_1(G) = Z(G)$ . Thus,  $G/Z_1(G)$  is a group which has  $Z(G/Z_1(G)) = \frac{Z_2(G)}{Z_1(G)}$  where  $Z_2(G)$  is the preimage of  $Z(G/Z_1(G))$ , that being the subgroup of  $G$  containing  $Z_1(G)$ . We see we may continue

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2(G)/Z_1(G) &= Z(G/Z_1(G)) \\ \text{then, } (G/Z_1(G)) / (Z_2(G)/Z_1(G)) &\simeq G/Z_2(G) \\ \text{which has a center } Z(G/Z_2(G)) &= Z_3(G)/Z_2(G). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 6.1** (Nilpotence). We recursively define  $Z_i(G)$  to be the subgroup such that  $Z(G/Z_i(G)) = Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G)$ . This yields a growing sequence  $Z_0(G) \trianglelefteq Z_1(G) \trianglelefteq Z_2(G) \trianglelefteq \dots$ . We say a group  $G$  is **nilpotent** if  $G = Z_n(G)$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . The minimal  $n \geq 0$  for which this is the case is called the **nilpotent class** of  $G$ .

**Example.** The trivial group  $\{1\}$  is nilpotent with class  $c = 0$ . A nontrivial abelian group is nilpotent with class  $c = 1$ .  $\diamond$

**Theorem 6.1.** Every finite  $p$ -group is nilpotent.

*Proof.* We know the center of a nontrivial  $p$ -group to be nontrivial and its subgroups and quotient groups will also be  $p$ -groups. Hence  $Z_1(G)$  is nontrivial except in the case  $G$  is trivial. Hence we have that  $Z_2(G)/Z_1(G)$  is nontrivial unless  $Z_2(G) = G$ . Hence either  $Z_1 < Z_2$  or  $Z_2 = G$ . Now, denote  $|G| = n$ . Then either  $1 = |Z_0| < |Z_1| < \dots < |Z_n|$  hence  $Z_n = G$  or  $Z_i = G$  for some  $i < n$ , so  $Z_n = G$ . Hence,  $G$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

**Definition 6.2.** A subgroup  $H \leq G$  is **characteristic** if for every automorphism of  $G$ , we have  $\alpha(H) = H$ . This is equivalent to  $\alpha(H) \leq H$  for all automorphisms as  $\alpha^{-1} : G \rightarrow G$  is also an automorphism, hence  $H \leq \alpha(H)$ , so equality holds. Since conjugation is always an automorphism, being characteristic implies normality.

#### Proving vs. Using Characteristicness

This means that in order to show that something is characteristic we need only show  $\alpha(H) \leq H$ , but when we use that something is characteristic we will often use the full equality.

**Lemma 6.1.** As we know  $K \trianglelefteq H$  and  $H \trianglelefteq G$  does not imply  $K \trianglelefteq G$ . On the other hand,  $K$  being characteristic in  $H$  and  $H \trianglelefteq G$  does yield  $K \trianglelefteq G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_x : G \rightarrow G$  be the conjugation by  $x$  map. We know this to be an automorphism of  $G$ , hence as  $H$  is normal, we have  $\alpha_x|_H : H \rightarrow H$  is an automorphism of  $H$ , and since  $K$  is characteristic in  $H$ , we see an automorphism of  $H$  fixed  $K$ , hence  $\alpha_x(K) = xKx^{-1} = K$  for all  $x \in G$ , hence  $K \trianglelefteq G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $p$  being prime and  $P$  being a sylow  $p$ -group in  $G$ . Then, the following are equivalent

1.  $P$  is the unique sylow  $p$ -group in  $G$ .
2.  $P \trianglelefteq G$ .
3.  $P$  is characteristic in  $G$ .
4. Any subgroup generated by elements whose orders are each powers of  $p$  is itself a  $p$ -group.

*Proof.* 1. We have already shown  $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$ .

2. As conjugation is always an automorphism, we see  $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$  is trivial.

3. We show  $1 \Rightarrow 3$ . Let  $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$  be an arbitrary automorphism of  $G$ . Then,  $\alpha(P) \leq G$  and  $|P| = |\alpha(P)|$ . As  $P$  is the unique sylow  $p$ -group, we see there is no distinct group of cardinality  $|P|$ , hence  $\alpha(P) = P$ .

4. Now we show  $1 \Rightarrow 4$ . Let  $X$  be a set satisfying  $\text{ord}(x) = p^n$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then each  $\langle x \rangle$  is contained in a  $p$ -group, and as there is a unique maximal  $p$ -group, we have that  $\langle x \rangle \subseteq P$  for each  $x \in X$ . Hence,  $\langle X \rangle \subseteq P$  and as  $X$  is a  $p$ -group we have that  $X = P$ .

5.  $4 \Rightarrow 1$ . Let  $X$  to be the union of all sylow  $p$ -groups in  $G$ . By hypothesis,  $\langle X \rangle$  is a  $p$ -group and thus it is contained in some sylow  $p$ -group so WLOG, we have  $\langle X \rangle \subseteq P$ . But if there were distinct  $p$ -groups,  $P' \neq P$  then  $P' \subseteq X$  and  $P \subset \langle P' \cup P \rangle \subseteq X \subseteq P$ .  $\nmid$ . Hence  $P$  is the unique sylow  $p$ -group.  $\square$

## Lecture 15: Nilpotent Groups (2)

Tue 28 Sep 2021 17:46

**Lemma 6.3.** If  $H, K$  are groups, then  $Z(H \times K) = Z(H) \times Z(K)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in H \times K$ . If  $(x, y) \in Z(H \times K)$  then

$$\underbrace{(a, 1)(x, y)(a, 1)^{-1}}_{=(axa^{-1}, 1)} = (x, y).$$

Hence,  $x \in Z(H)$  and similarly,  $y \in Z(K)$ . Hence,  $Z(H \times K) \subseteq Z(H) \times Z(K)$ . The other direction of inclusion is trivial and left as an exercise.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a homomorphism with  $\ker(\varphi) = K$  and  $H \leq G$  such that  $K \leq H$ . Then,  $N_G(H) = \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H)))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in N_G(H)$ , so  $xHx^{-1} = H$ . Hence,

$$\varphi(H) = \varphi(xHx^{-1}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(H)\varphi(x)^{-1}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &\in N_{G'}(\varphi(H)) \\ \Rightarrow x &\in \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H))) \\ \Rightarrow N_G(H) &\subseteq \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H))). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(N_{G'}(\varphi(H)))$ , hence  $\varphi(x) \in N_{G'}(\varphi(H))$ .

Then, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(H) &= \varphi(x)\varphi(H)\varphi(x^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi(xHx^{-1}) \\ \Rightarrow xHx^{-1} &\subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(H)) \\ &= \langle H, \ker(\varphi) \rangle \\ &= H \text{ as } \ker(\varphi) \subseteq H. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $xHx^{-1} \subseteq H$ , so  $x \in N_G(H)$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Now, recall that if  $G$  is a finite group with  $P$  being a sylow  $p$ -group, then TFAE

1.  $P$  is unique.
2.  $P \trianglelefteq G$ .
3.  $P$  is characteristic.
4. Any subgroup generated by elements whose orders are powers of  $p$  is itself a  $p$ -group.

**Theorem 6.2.** If  $G$  is a finite group, then the following are equivalent:

1.  $G$  is nilpotent.
2.  $H < G \Rightarrow H < N_G(H)$ .
3. All sylow  $p$ -groups are normal.
4.  $G$  is the direct product of its sylow  $p$ -groups.

*Proof.* •  $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ . Let  $P$  be a sylow  $p$ -group of  $G$ . Assume  $P$  is not normal, then denote  $N = N_G(P) \subset G$ . Hence, by the preceding lemma,  $P$  is characteristic in  $N$ . Then, as  $N \trianglelefteq N_G(N)$ , we see  $P \trianglelefteq N_G(N)$ . But  $N = N_G(P)$  was the largest subgroup in which  $P$  was normal, hence  $N_G(P) = N_G(N)$ . So, by contrapositive of the assumption,  $(2)$ , we have  $N = N_G(N)$ , so  $N = G$ , hence  $P \trianglelefteq G$ .

•  $(3 \Rightarrow 4)$ .

•  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ . Let  $G$  be nilpotent. If  $G$  is abelian, then  $N_G(A) = G$  for all  $A \leq G$ , hence any proper subgroup  $H < G$  has  $H < N_G(H) = G$ . Hence, assume  $G$  is non-abelian and proceed by induction on  $|G|$  with base case  $|G| = p$  being already completed  $p$ -prime. Suppose indirectly that there is an  $H < G$  such that  $H = N_G(H)$ . Now, we note that  $Z(G) \leq N_G(H) = H$  by definition of  $Z(G)$ . That is,  $Z(G) \leq H$ . Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G/Z(G)$ ,  $x \mapsto \varphi(x) = xZ(G)$ . Since  $G$  is nilpotent,  $Z(G) = 1 \Leftrightarrow G = 1$ , but we assumed  $G$  to be nonabelian, so this is not the case. Hence, we can assume  $Z(G) = \{1\}$ , hence  $|G/Z(G)| < |G|$ . As we know,  $G$  being nilpotent implies  $G/Z(G)$  is nilpotent. Lastly, we note that  $Z(G) \leq H < G$ , so by the lattice theorem, we have  $H/Z(G) < G/Z(G)$ . Applying the induction hypothesis yields  $H/Z(G) < N_{G/Z(G)}(H/Z(G))$ . Recalling the lemma from last class,  $\varphi^{-1}(N_{G/Z(G)}(H/Z(G))) = N_G(H)$ . Then, we note

$$\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(H)) < \varphi^{-1}(N_{\varphi(G)}(\varphi(H))) = N_G(H).$$

And as  $\ker(\varphi) = Z(G) \leq H$ , we have  $H < N_G(H)$ . □

## Lecture 16: Nilpotent Groups (3)

Wed 29 Sep 2021 11:25

**Corollary 2.** A finite abelian group is the direct product of its sylow groups.

This follows directly from the theorem from last class.

**Corollary 3.** If  $G$  is a finite group such that for all  $n \mid |G|$  such that there are at most  $n$  elements  $x \in G$  with  $x^n = 1$ , then  $G$  is cyclic.

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be an arbitrary prime with  $p \mid |G|$ . Let  $P$  be a sylow  $p$ -group with  $|P| = p^\alpha$ . We know for any  $x \in P$ , we have  $x^{|P|} = 1$ , hence there are  $|P| = p^\alpha$  elements  $x \in P$  such that  $x^{p^\alpha} = 1$ . By hypothesis there is infact equality. If there was another distinct sylow  $p$ -group we would have elements  $y \notin P$  such that  $y^{p^\alpha} = 1$ . Hence,  $P$  is unique. Hence, as every  $p$ -group is unique, so normal, we see  $G$  is the product of its  $P$ -groups.

Denote  $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_t$  with the  $P_i$ s being the distinct sylow  $p_i$  groups of  $G$ . Also, if  $|P_1| = p_1^{\alpha_1}$ , then all  $x \in P_1$  have  $\text{ord}(x) \mid p_1^{\alpha_1}$  and there are at most  $p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} < p_1^{\alpha_1}$  such  $x$  with  $\text{ord}(x) \mid p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}$ . Since  $|P| < p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  we see there is an  $x \in P_1$  with  $\text{ord}(x) = p_1^{\alpha_1} = |P|$ , hence  $\langle x \rangle = P_1$ . So,  $P_1$  is cyclic. Likewise, all other  $P_i$  are shown cyclic by the same argument, with  $P_i = \langle x_i \rangle$ . Then, the element  $x = \prod_{i=1}^t x_i$  is a generator of  $G$ , so  $G$  is cyclic. □

**Theorem 6.3** (Frattini's Argument). Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $P \leq H$  being a Sylow  $p$ -group in  $H$ . Then,

$$G = HN_G(P) \text{ and } |G : H| \mid |N_G(P)|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ , we wish to show  $g \in HN_G(P)$ . We know this to be a subgroup as  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Let  $G$  act by conjugation on its sets. Now

$$\begin{aligned} P^g &= gPg^{-1} \\ &\leq H^g \\ &= gHg^{-1} \\ &= H \text{ by normality.} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we see as  $|P^g| = |P|$ , then  $P^g$  is another Sylow  $p$ -group in  $H$ . And, as we know all Sylow  $p$ -groups are conjugate. Hence, there is an  $h \in H$  such that  $P^h = P^g$ . Hence,  $P = P^{h^{-1}g}$ , hence  $h^{-1}g \in N_G(P)$ . Then, we see  $g \in hN_G(P) \subseteq HN_G(P)$ . So, we see  $G = HN_G(P)$ .

Now, we show the other result. Note that by the second isomorphism theorem, we have

$$G/H = (HN_G(P))/H \simeq \frac{N_G(P)}{H \cap N_G(P)}.$$

Thus,  $|G : H| = |N_G(P) : H \cap N_G(P)|$ . As we know this divides  $|N_G(P)|$ , hence  $|G : H| \mid |N_G(P)|$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4.** if  $G$  is a finite group, then  $G$  is nilpotent if and only if every maximal subgroup in  $G$  is normal in  $G$ .

## Lecture 17: Nilpotent Groups (4) and Solvable Groups

Fri 01 Oct 2021 11:28

**Recall.** We had a theorem that, for a finite group  $G$ , implied  $G$  was nilpotent if and only if all maximal subgroups are normal.

*Proof.* 1. ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Let  $M < G$  be a maximal subgroup, so  $M < N \leq G$  implies  $N = G$ . Let  $N_G(M)$  be the normalizer of  $M$  then  $M < G$ , hence  $M < N_G(P)$  by the earlier characterization of finite nilpotent groups. Hence,  $N_G(M) = G$ . But  $M < N_G(M)$  and  $M$  is maximal, hence  $N_G(M) = G$  if and only if  $M$  is normal.

2. ( $\Leftarrow$ ). Assume every maximal subgroup is normal. Note that it suffices to show that all Sylow groups are normal in  $G$  by the earlier characterization. Let  $P \leq G$  be an arbitrary Sylow  $p$ -group and let  $N = N_G(P)$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup containing  $N_G(P)$ . We know such a group exists because if we assume indirectly that  $P$  is not normal, this implies  $N_G(P) < G$  as every proper subgroup of a finite group is contained in a maximal subgroup.

We now have  $P \leq N_G(P) \leq M < G$  and by hypothesis, we know  $M \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $P \leq M$  with  $P$  being a Sylow group of  $G$  implies  $P \leq M$  is a Sylow group for  $M$ . But now we can apply the Frattini argument. We see  $G = N_G(P)M$  but  $N_G(P) \leq M$ , hence  $G \subseteq MM = M < G$ .  $\nmid$

□

**Remark.** If  $G$  is nilpotent, then recall  $Z_0(G) < Z_1(G) < Z_2(G) < \dots < Z_i(G)$  is the upper central series where  $Z_0(G) = \{1\}$ ,  $Z_1(G) = Z(G)$  and  $Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{i-1}(G))$ .

There is an alternative characterization, let  $G^0 = G$ ,  $G^1 = [G, G] = \langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G \rangle$  and define recursively  $G^i = [G, G^{i-1}] = \langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x \in G, y \in G^{i-1} \rangle$  to be the lower central series. Then,  $G$  is nilpotent if and only if there is  $c \geq 0$  such that  $G^c = \{1\}$ . Furthermore, we find  $G^{c-i} \leq Z_i(G)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq c$ , with the minimal constant  $c$  being the same in the upper and lower central series.

## 7 Solvable Groups

**Definition 7.1** (Solvable Groups). A group  $G$  is **solvable** if there's a chain of subgroups

$$H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_n = G$$

such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  are abelian for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

As it turns out there is an equivalent chain condition for solvability closed to our characterizations of nilpotence. Define  $G^{(0)} = G$ ,  $G^{(1)} = [G, G] = G^1$ . Now, define  $G^{(i)} = [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}] = \langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G^{(i-1)} \rangle$ . So,  $G^{(n)}$  is essentially the  $n$ -th iterated commutator of  $G$ . Then, we obtain a chain

$$G^{(0)} \geq G^{(1)} \geq \dots \geq G^{(c)} \geq \dots$$

If  $G^{(c)} = 1$  for some  $c \geq 1$ , then  $G$  is solvable. We show these two conditions are equivalent. The proof will involve multiple invocations of the basic result that  $G/H$  is abelian if and only if  $[G, G] \leq H$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $G$  is solvable, and the 1st characterization is true with  $1 = H_0 \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_n = G$  with  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  being abelian for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We will show by induction that  $G^{(i)} \leq H_{n-i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For  $i = 0$  we have  $H_n = G$ , hence  $G^{(0)} = G$  and  $G \leq G$ , so the claim holds for  $i = 0$ . Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(i)} &= [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}] \\ &\leq [H_{n-(i-1)}, H_{n-(i-1)}] \text{ by inductive hypothesis} \\ &= [H_{n-i+1}, H_{n-i+1}] \end{aligned}$$

We also know that  $H_{n-i+1}/H_{n-i}$  is abelian, hence we have  $G^{(i)} \leq [H_{n-i+1}, H_{n-i+1}] \leq H_{n-i}$  by the preceding lemma. This completes the induction. But, we have  $G^{(n)} \leq H_{n-n} = H_0 = \{1\}$ , so  $G^{(n)}$  is trivial. □

## Lecture 18: Solvable Groups (2) and Free Groups

Mon 04 Oct 2021 11:28

**Recall.** A group is solvable if there exists a chain of subgroups

$$\{1\} \trianglelefteq H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_n = G$$

such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is abelian.

We had that this is equivalent to the condition that  $G^{(n)} = \{1\}$  where  $G^{(0)} = G$  and  $G^{(i)} = [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}]$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . We showed the forward implication, so now we show the reverse implication.

*Proof.* Suppose  $G^{(n)} = 1$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then, we have a chain

$$G = G^{(0)} \trianglelefteq G^{(1)} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq G^{(n)} = \{1\}.$$

So, we have

$$\{1\} = G^{(n)} \supseteq G^{(n-1)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq G^{(0)} = G.$$

Furthermore, we know the commutator of  $G^{(i)}$  is a characteristic subgroup, hence it is normal.

Then, define  $H_i = G^{(n-i)}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . We need only show the quotients to be abelian. We see  $H_i/H_{i-1} = G^{(n-i)}/G^{(n-i+1)}$ . But,  $G^{(n-i+1)} = [G^{(n-i)}, G^{(n-i)}]$  by definition. Hence,  $G^{(n-i)}/G^{(n-i+1)}$  is abelian by the lemma from last class. So, the chain condition holds and  $G$  is solvable.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.1.** Let  $G$  be a solvable group with  $H$  being a subgroup. Then,  $H$  is solvable.

*Proof.* We simply show  $H^{(n)} \leq G^{(n)}$  for all  $n$  by induction. For the base case we know  $H = H^{(0)} \leq G^{(0)} = G$ . Then, we note  $H^{(n)} = [H^{(n-1)}, H^{(n-1)}] \subseteq [G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}] = G^{(n)}$  by inductive hypothesis. Since  $G$  is solvable, we find a  $n \geq 0$  such that  $G^{(n)} = \{1\}$ . Then,  $H^{(n)} \leq G^{(n)} = \{1\}$ , so  $H^{(n)} = \{1\}$  hence  $H$  is solvable.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2.** If  $G$  is solvable and  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  is a homomorphism, then  $\varphi(G)$  is also solvable.

*Proof.* We see  $\varphi(G^{(0)}) = \varphi(G)^{(0)}$ . So,  $\varphi(G^{(0)}) = \varphi(G)^{(0)}$ . We induce on  $n$ . We see

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(G^{(n)}) &= \varphi([G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}]) \\ &= \varphi(\langle x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G^{(n-1)} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \varphi(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) : x, y \in G^{(n-1)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(y)^{-1} \varphi(x) \varphi(y) : x, y \in G^{(n-1)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{x}^{-1} \bar{y}^{-1} \bar{x} \bar{y} : \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \varphi(G^{(n-1)}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{x}^{-1} \bar{y}^{-1} \bar{x} \bar{y} : \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \varphi(G)^{(n-1)} \rangle \text{ by the inductive hypothesis.} \\ &= [\varphi(G)^{(n-1)}, \varphi(G)^{(n-1)}] \\ &= \varphi(G)^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  is solvable, we find an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $G^{(n)} = \{1\}$ . Hence,  $\varphi(G^{(n)}) = \varphi(\{1\}) = \{1\} = \varphi(G)^{(n)}$ , so  $\varphi(G)$  is solvable.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.3.** If  $G$  is a group with  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $G$  is solvable if and only if  $H$  and  $G/H$  are solvable.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). We know all subgroups and homomorphic images to be solvable, hence this direction is already proven.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Assume  $H$  and  $G/H$  are solvable. As  $H$  is solvable it has a normal chain

$$H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_n = H$$

with  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is abelian for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Similarly, since  $G/H$  is solvable there is a normal chain

$$\{1\} = K_{n+0} \trianglelefteq K_{n+1} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq K_{n+s} = G/H$$

With  $K_{n+i}/K_{n+i-1}$  being abelian for all  $i \geq 1$ . We know by the lattice theorem that there are groups  $H_{n+i}$  such that  $K_{n+i} = H_{n+i}/H$  for some  $H_{n+i} \leq G$  and  $H \leq H_{n+i}$ . Then, we have

$$\{1\} = H/H \trianglelefteq H_{n+1}/H \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_{n+s}/H = G/H.$$

Then, we have  $H_n = H$  and  $H_{n+s} = G$  and, as each contains the kernel, this correspondance preserves normality, hence we have

$$H_n = H \trianglelefteq H_{n+1} \trianglelefteq H_{n+2} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_{n+s} = G.$$

Then, note that  $H_{n+i}/H_{n+i-1} = (H_{n+i}/H) / (H_{n+i-1}/H) = K_{n+i}/K_{n+i-1}$  which we know to be abelian. Hence all successive quotients are abelian. So,

$$\{1\} = H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_n \trianglelefteq H_{n+1} \trianglelefteq H_{n+2} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_{n+s} = G.$$

with  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  being abelian, so  $G$  is solvable.  $\square$

**Remark.** Subgroups and quotients of nilpotent groups are nilpotent, but this converse does not hold in general for nilpotent groups.

## 8 Free Groups

**Recall.**  $\langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^n = 1, \tau^2 = 1, \tau\alpha\tau = \alpha^{-1} \rangle = D_{2n}$  is the dihedral group of order  $2n$ . This is technically ill defined. In general, we have generators  $\alpha, \tau$  and a set of relations that allow us to say when products of generators are equal. Similarly, we find  $\langle \alpha : \alpha^n = 1, \alpha^{n+1} = 1 \rangle = \{1\}$ . We have not, however, ensured that these form groups. This problem motivates the definition of free groups.

If  $S$  is a set, then we let  $S^{-1}$  be a disjoint set of formal symbols with  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ , so  $S = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $S^{-1} = \{a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}\}$ . Then, let  $F(S)$  to be the set of all formal products of elements from  $S \cup S^{-1} \cup \{1\}$ . Next class we will define an equivalence relation which takes these products into a group.

## Lecture 19: Free Groups (2)

Wed 06 Oct 2021 11:33

Recall we had a set of letters  $X = \{a, b, c, \dots, a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}, \dots, 1\}$ . Then, we define a word on the alphabet  $X$  to be a string  $\omega = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} x_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots, x_s^{\varepsilon_s}$  where



$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in X$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ . For example with  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  we have a word  $x_1 x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_1 x_3$  for example. Then, define 1 to be the empty product, that being a string with no symbols. Now, we define an equivalence relation on the words to induce a group.

We say two words  $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$  if we can transform  $\omega_1$  into  $\omega_2$  with a finite sequence of the following operations

- Remove a sequential pair  $xx^{-1}$  or  $x^{-1}x$  from the string.
- Insert a substring  $xx^{-1}$  or  $x^{-1}x$  into the string.

So, we see  $x_1 x_2 x_3^{-1} x_4 \sim x_1 x_2 x_3^{-1} x_2 x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_1 x_4$  and so on. It is trivial to verify this to be an equivalence relation, so we omit the proof. Henceforth, we will denote the equivalence class of a word  $\omega$  by  $[\omega]$ . So, we see if  $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$ , we have  $[\omega_1] = [\omega_2]$ .

Now, let  $F(X)$  be the set of all equivalence classes on  $X$  and define  $[\omega_1][\omega_2] := [\omega_1 \omega_2]$  with  $\omega_1 \omega_2$  simply being the concatenation of the two words. First, we verify this to be well-defined. Suppose  $w' \sim w$  and  $v' \sim v$  are 4 words. Hence, there is a simple sequence taking  $v \mapsto v'$  and  $w \mapsto w'$ . It is easy to see then, that the same operations applied to their respective parts will take  $vw \mapsto v'w'$  and  $wv \mapsto w'v'$ , hence  $[vw] = [v'w']$ .

Next, we show this forms a group. We see  $[w][1] = [w \cdot 1] = [w]$  and likewise  $[1][w] = [w]$ , so 1 is the identity.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} [w]([u][v]) &= [w][uv] \\ &= [w(uv)] \\ &= [(wu)v] \\ &= [wu][v] \\ &= ([w][u])[v] \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $F(X)$  is associative. Lastly, we show inverses exist. Let  $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_s^{\varepsilon_s}$ , then let  $w^{-1} = x_s^{-\varepsilon_s} \dots x_1^{-\varepsilon_1}$  and we see  $ww^{-1} \sim 1$ , so  $F(X)$  has inverses.

**Definition 8.1** (Free Group). For an alphabet  $X$ , we define  $F(X)$  to be the **Free Group on  $X$** . More generally, the free group  $F$  on  $X$  is a group  $F$  together with an injection  $\sigma : X \hookrightarrow F$  such that any  $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ , with  $G$  being an arbitrary group, extends to a unique homomorphism  $\beta : F \rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta \circ \sigma = \alpha$ .

Next, recall a homomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$  is determined by the images of generators of  $H$ . Let  $H = \langle X \rangle$ . Then for an arbitrary  $h \in H$  with  $h = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  we find  $\varphi(h) = \varphi(x_1)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots \varphi(x_n)^{\varepsilon_n}$  with  $x_i \in X$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ .

Now, let  $G$  be a group with  $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$  being a map and  $\sigma : X \hookrightarrow F$  be the inclusion map. Let  $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  and let  $(w) = \alpha(x_1)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots \alpha(x_n)^{\varepsilon_n}$  with  $x_i \in X$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ . Then, we define  $\beta([w]) = [\beta(w)]$ . It is simple to check this is well defined as we may always insert or delete substrings of the form  $\alpha(x_i)^{\varepsilon_i} \alpha(x_i)^{-\varepsilon_i}$



Figure 1: In this commutative diagram solid lines represent given maps and dotted lines represent maps that must then exist

in order to induce an equivalence. We see  $\beta$  is also a homomorphism as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta([w][v]) &= \beta([wv]) \\
 &= \beta(wv) \\
 &= \beta(w)\beta(v) \\
 &= \beta([w])\beta([v]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we see the map  $\beta$  is unique as a homomorphism is completely characterized by where it sends the generators.

## Lecture 20: Free Groups (3)

Fri 08 Oct 2021 11:26

**Recall.**  $F$  is a free group on the set  $X$  when there is an injection  $\sigma : X \xrightarrow{F}$  such that for all maps  $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ , there is a homomorphism  $\beta : F \rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta \circ \sigma = \alpha$ .

**Remark.**  $F$  is also a free group on  $\sigma(X) \subseteq F$ , using a similar inclusion map, so often we will assume  $X \subseteq F$ .

**Theorem 8.1.** If  $F_1$  is free on  $X_1$  and  $F_2$  is free on  $X_2$  and  $|X_1| = |X_2|$ , then  $F_1 \simeq F_2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|X_1| = |X_2|$  we find a bijection  $\alpha : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  and we can assume WLOG that  $X_1 \subseteq F_1$  and  $X_2 \subseteq F_2$ . Then, the free property of  $F_1$  implies there is a unique homomorphism  $\beta : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  such that  $\beta(x) = \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in X_1$ . Similarly, there is a unique map  $\gamma : F_2 \rightarrow F_1$  extending  $\alpha^{-1} : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$  such that  $\gamma(y) = \alpha^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in X_2$ . So, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta|_{X_1} : X_1 &\longrightarrow X_2 \\
 x &\longmapsto \beta(x) = \alpha(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma|_{X_2}: X_2 &\longrightarrow X_1 \\ y &\longmapsto \gamma(y) = \alpha^{-1}(y)\end{aligned}$$

are inverses.

Hence, we have  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are a pair of inverse homomorphisms as  $X_1$  generates  $F_1$  and likewise  $X_2$  generates  $F_2$ .

Then, for an arbitrary element in  $F$  of the form  $x = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell}$  with  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_i \in X_1$ , then we see  $\gamma(\beta(x)) = x$ , hence this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.2.** Let  $F$  be a free group with  $H, G$  being groups. Suppose  $\alpha : F \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism and  $\beta : G \rightarrow H$  is a surjective homomorphism. Then, there is a  $\gamma : F \rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta\gamma = \alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be free on  $X \subseteq F$ . Then, each  $x \in X$  has  $\alpha(x) \in H = \text{Im}(\beta)$ . Then, there is some  $g_x \in G$  such that  $\beta(g_x) = \alpha(x)$ . By the universal mapping property of  $F$ , we have the map  $X \rightarrow G, x \mapsto g_x$  extends to a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma : F &\longrightarrow G \\ x &\longmapsto \gamma(x) = g_x.\end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $x \in X$  we see  $\beta(\gamma(x)) = \beta(g_x) = \alpha(x)$ , so  $\beta \circ \gamma = \alpha$  on  $X$  which generates  $F$ , so  $\beta \circ \gamma = \alpha$  on  $F$  as  $\beta \circ \gamma, \alpha$  are homomorphisms.  $\square$

**Definition 8.2** (Group Presentations). Any group  $G$  is a homomorphic image of a free group  $F$ . An explicit homomorphism  $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$  with  $F$  is called a **presentation** of  $G$ . Its kernel  $N = \ker(\alpha) \trianglelefteq F$  has  $F/N \simeq G$ . So, we may write  $\langle X : Y \rangle = G$  where  $F$  is a free group on  $X$  and  $Y \subseteq F$  has normal closure,  $\bigcap_{H \trianglelefteq G, Y \leq H} H = N$ .

**Example.**  $D_{2n} = \langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^n, \tau^2, \tau\alpha\tau\alpha \rangle$ . Here, we see  $F$  is free on the set  $\{\alpha, \tau\}$  and  $N$  is the normal closure of  $\langle \alpha^n, \tau^2, \tau\alpha\tau\alpha \rangle$ , that being the smallest normal subgroup of  $F$  containing these three elements.

In general if  $H \leq G$ , then  $\bigcap_{N \trianglelefteq G, H \leq N} N \trianglelefteq G$  is the normal closure of  $H$ .  $\diamond$

**Remark.** In general, a group of relations can generate other relations that we may not account for, so it is good to know what elements in the normal closure look like. If  $X \subseteq G$ , we find elements in the normal closure  $N$  of  $\langle X \rangle$  in  $G$  include inverses and products of elements from  $X$ . Furthermore, arbitrary conjugates and their products/inverses will be in  $N$ . We see this yields

$$N \supseteq \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (g_i x_i g_i^{-1}) : \ell \geq 0, g_i \in G, x_i \in X \cup X^{-1} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, we see this set is in fact a normal subgroup itself, so equality holds.

## Lecture 21: Homework and Free Groups (4)

Wed 13 Oct 2021 11:23

## Homework II

We spent the majority of class reviewing homework problems.

**Theorem 8.3.** Let  $G = \langle X : R \rangle$  and  $H = \langle X : R' \rangle$  be groups generated by  $X$  following relations  $R$  and  $R'$ . Suppose all generators for  $H$  satisfy all defining relations for  $G$ . That is,  $R$  is a subset of  $R'$ . Then, we find  $H$  is a homomorphic image of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Recall  $G = F(X)/N$  where  $N$  is the normal closure of  $R$  in  $F(X)$  and  $H = F(X)/N'$  where  $N'$  is the normal closure of  $R'$  in  $F(X)$ . But, since all relations on  $R$  are satisfied by  $H$ , we have  $N \leq N'$ . Then, since  $F(X)/N' = (F(X)/N)/(N'/N) = G/(N'/N)$ , hence  $H$  is a homomorphic image of  $G$ .  $\square$

## Lecture 22: Free Groups (5)

Fri 15 Oct 2021 11:21

**Recall.** Let  $G, H$  be groups with presentations  $\varepsilon : F \rightarrow G$  and  $\delta : F \rightarrow H$  for some free group  $F$ . If every relator of  $G$  is also a relator for  $H$ , then there is a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ ,  $\varepsilon(x) \mapsto \delta(x)$ .

**Definition 8.3** (Reduced Word). We define a word  $w$  to be **reduced** if no string  $xx^{-1}$  or  $x^{-1}x$  occurs within  $w$  for any  $x \in X$ . We find any word is equivalent to some reduced word by applying our relations.

**Theorem 8.4.** Every word is equivalent to a unique reduced word.

*Proof.* We proceed fancily (he really said this). Let  $R$  be the set of reduced words on the alphabet  $X$ . For each  $m \in X$ , define a map

$$m' : R \rightarrow R, x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell} \mapsto \begin{cases} mx_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell}, & m \neq x_1^{-\varepsilon_1} \\ x_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell}, & m = x_1^{-\varepsilon_1} \end{cases}$$

We see  $m'$  is a bijection as  $(m^{-1})' = m'^{-1}$ . Hence,  $m'$  is simply a permutation of the set  $R$ .

Now, using the universal mapping property on  $F(X)$ , we define a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : F(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Sym}(R) \\ [m] &\longmapsto m' \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Sym}(R)$  is simply the set of all permutations of  $R$ . Now, suppose  $w = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell}$  and  $w' = y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_s^{\delta_s}$  are two reduced words that are equivalent, that is  $[w] = [w']$ . Then, we have  $\theta([w]) = (x_1^{\varepsilon_1})' \dots (x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell})'$ . Then, we see  $\theta([w])(1) = w$ . Hence,  $\theta([w']) = \theta([w]) = y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_s^{\delta_s}$ . Hence, we see  $x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell} = y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_s^{\delta_s}$  as words. Hence, there is at most one distinct reduced word in  $[w]$ . And, as there is always at least 1 reduced word, we see this completes the proof.

□

**Remark.** We define  $x^n = \underbrace{x \dots x}_{n \text{ times}}$  and  $x^{-n} = \underbrace{x^{-1}x^{-1} \dots x^{-1}}_{n \text{ times}}$ . Then, we see any reduced word has the form  $x_1^{\ell_1} \dots x_s^{\ell_s}$  with  $\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x_i \neq x_{i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . This is called the normal form of a word.

**Definition 8.4.** With the normal form of a word, we define a **multiplicity function**. For  $x \in X$  and a word  $w = x_1^{\ell_1} \dots x_s^{\ell_s}$  we define  $V_x(w) = \sum_{x_j=x} \ell_j$ .

We note that if  $w \sim w'$ , we have  $V_x(w) = V_x(w')$  for all  $x \in X$ . Furthermore,  $V_x(w) = V_x(v^{-1}wv)$  for all  $x \in X$  and words  $v, w$ . Moreover,  $V_x(wv) = V_x(w) + V_x(v)$ , so it's a homomorphism from  $F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 8.5 (Rank).** Recall that if  $|X| = |Y|$ , we had  $F(X) \simeq F(Y)$ . We define  $\text{Rank}(F(X)) = |X|$ . We have yet to show this is well defined, but the next theorem will take care of this.

**Theorem 8.5.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets with  $F(X) \simeq F(Y)$ , then  $|X| = |Y|$ .

We will prove this claim next class.

## Lecture 23: Free Groups (6)

Mon 18 Oct 2021 11:26

Recall, we defined the rank of a free group to be the size of its underlying alphabet. In order to ensure this was well defined, we needed to prove the following claim

**Proposition 8.1.** If  $F(X) \simeq F(Y)$  via the isomorphism  $\varphi$ , then  $|X| = |Y|$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $G = F(X)$  and  $G' = F(Y)$  and let  $H = \langle g^2 : g \in F(X) \rangle$ . We know this to be a characteristic subgroup by the homework problem. Hence, we have  $H \trianglelefteq F(X)$ . Consider  $G/H$  and note that  $\varphi(H) = H' = \{h^2 : h \in F(Y)\}$ . Since,  $\varphi(H) = \{\varphi(g^2) = \varphi(g)^2 : g \in F(X)\} = \{h^2 : h \in \varphi(F(X)) = F(Y)\}$ . Hence,  $G/H \simeq \varphi(G)/\varphi(H) \simeq G'/H'$  as  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. We show that  $G/H \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}_{|X| \text{ times}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|X|}$ .

First, note  $xyxy = (xy)^2 = 1$  in  $G/H$  for all  $x, y \in G/H$  by definition. Hence,  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = xyxy$  as  $x^2 = y^2 = 1$  for every  $x, y \in G/H$ . Hence,  $xyx^{-1} = y$ , so  $G/H$  is an abelian 2-group. Now, note that  $\langle xH : x \in X \rangle = G/H$  and denote  $xH = \bar{x}$  for each  $x \in G$ . Then  $G/H = \{\bar{x} : x \in X\}$ . Note that an element  $g \in G/H$  has

$$\overline{x_1 x_2 \dots x_\ell}$$

with all  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_\ell$  being distinct.

Suppose  $\bar{x}_1 \dots \bar{x}_\ell = \bar{y}_1 \dots \bar{y}_s$ . We claim that  $\ell = s$  and there is a permutation

such that  $x_i = y_i$  for all  $i$ . Suppose the contrary, so WLOG  $x_1 \notin \{y_1, \dots, y_\ell\}$ . Hence,  $w = \overline{x_1} \dots \overline{x_\ell y_s} \dots \overline{y_1} = 1$ , so  $w \in H$ . Furthermore, we find  $V_{x_1}(w) = 1$ . But, for any generator  $g^2 \in H$ , we have  $V_{x_1}(g^2) = 2n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . So, we must have  $V_{x_1}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^m V_{x_1}(g_i^2) = 2\hat{n}$  for generators  $g_i$  and some  $\hat{n} \geq 0$ .  $\nmid$ . Hence there is a unique representation in  $G/H$ . This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} G/H &= \langle \overline{x} : x \in X \rangle \\ &= \bigoplus_{x \in X} \langle \overline{x} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with each  $\langle \overline{x} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  as  $\text{ord}(\overline{x}) = 2$ . Hence,

$$G/H = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

We know this to be a vector space over a 2 element field,  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , consisting of elements  $(\varepsilon_x)_{x \in X} \mapsto \prod_{x \in X} \overline{x}^{\varepsilon_x}$  with almost all (finitely many)  $\varepsilon_x = 0$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G/H) = |X|$  as  $\overline{X}$  is a basis for  $G/H$ . As  $G/H \simeq G'/H'$ , we see  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G'/H') = |X|$ . But by the same argument, we see  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(G'/H') = |Y|$  as well. Hence,  $|X| = |Y|$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $F \simeq F(X)$  is free and  $H \leq F$ , then  $H$  is free. Similarly, if  $|F : H| = m < \infty$  then  $\text{Rank}(H) = \text{Rank}(F) \cdot m + (1 - m)$  for some  $m \geq 0$ .

#### Midterm

The test Wednesday will be proofs of  $\sim 4$  (choose 2 out of 4) theorems, propositions, lemmas we proved in class. There will be a second part consisting of short answers consisting of applying theorems, lemmas, ... from class to prove simple or concrete results.

## Lecture 24: Summary of Lectures Thus Far

Mon 18 Oct 2021 18:06

This is a study guide for the midterm and not an actual lecture.

**Theorem 8.6** (Isomorphism Theorems). The isomorphism theorems go roughly as follows:

- Kernel's of surjective homomorphisms are normal subgroups.
- Quotients behave like division:  $\frac{G}{H} = \frac{\frac{G}{K}}{\frac{H}{K}}$  (if  $K \leq H$ ).
- Quotients "cancel" into simpler quotients:  $\frac{HK}{K} = \frac{H}{H \cap K}$ .
- Quotients preserve group structure: Bijection between  $H \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\frac{H}{K} \trianglelefteq \frac{G}{K}$  if  $\ker(\varphi) \trianglelefteq H$ .

**Definition 8.6.** We denote the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} G_x &= \{g \in G : x^g = x\} \\ G_X &= \{g \in G : x^g = x \forall x \in X\} \\ N_G(X) &= \{y \in G : yXy^{-1} = X\} \\ Z_G(X) &= \{y \in G : yxy^{-1} = x \forall x \in X\} \\ [X, Y] &= \{xyx^{-1}y^{-1} : x \in X, y \in Y\} \\ \mathcal{O}_X &= \{x^g : x \in X, g \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 8.7** (Group Action). A group  $G$  acts on  $\Omega$  by permuting its elements. Formally  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(\Omega)$  such that each  $g$  permutes  $\Omega$ . A special group action is the conjugation map  $x \mapsto yxy^{-1}$ .

**Remark.** We need only check  $(x^g)^h = x^{hg}$  and  $x^1 = x$ .

**Definition 8.8.** A group action is faithful if it has trivial kernel.

**Theorem 8.7.**  $G_{x^g} = gG_xg^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Allude to definitions and take a change of variables to the conjugation.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.8.**  $x^g = x^h$  if and only if  $x, y$  are in a common left  $G_x$ -coset.

*Proof.* Show  $g \in hG_x$  by definitions.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.9** (Orbit-Stabilizer).  $|\mathcal{O}_x| = |G : G_x|$ .  
 $|\Omega| = |Z_G(G)| + \sum_{x \in \Omega} |G : Z_G(x)|$ .

*Proof.* Take the map  $f : \{gG_x : g \in G\} \rightarrow \Omega$ ,  $x \mapsto f(gG_x) = x^g$  and show its a bijection. For the second equation let the orbit be the whole set and peel of the first term of the summation.  $\square$

**Definition 8.9.**  $H$  and  $K$  are conjugate if  $K = gHg^{-1}$  for some  $g$ . Note that the number of subgroups conjugate to  $H$  is  $|G : N_G(H)|$  by appealing to definitions.

**Theorem 8.10.** A subgroup of index 2 is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  act on all conjugate subgroups by conjugation. It is trivial that  $N_G(H) = H$  or  $G$ .  $G$  is proof and if it is  $H$  we see there are two conjugate subgroups  $\Omega = \{H, K\}$  so there is a homomorphism into  $S_2$  and its kernel is  $H$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** A subgroup of index of the smallest prime divisor of  $G$  is normal by the same argument.

A group is a  $p$ -group if the order of every element is  $p^n$ . A subgroup is a sylow  $p$ -group if its order is the highest prime power of  $p$  in  $|G|$ .

**Theorem 8.11** (Cauchy's Theorem). If  $p \mid |G|$  then there is a  $\text{ord}(g) = p$  (hence a subgroup of order  $p$ ).

*Proof.* There are two cases, the abelian and nonabelian.

- For the abelian case we proceed as follows:
- Let  $H = \langle x \rangle$  and note that if  $p \mid |H|$ , then  $\text{ord}(x^{|H|/p}) = p$ , so such an element exists.
- If  $p \nmid |H|$ , then appeal to the quotient group so  $p \mid |G/H|$  and define a homomorphism to the quotient where the IH guaranteed an element of order  $p$  which we can pullback.
- For the nonabelian case we cite the class equation. If  $p \mid |Z(G)|$ , then appeal to the abelian case. Else, we find atleast one  $p \nmid |G : Z_G(x)|$  by appealing to the class equation mod  $p$ . Then, we see  $p \mid |Z_G(x)|$ . If  $Z_G(x)$  is smaller than  $G$  we apply IH else we see if a point centralizer is  $G$  this implies that element is in  $Z(G)$ , a contradiction.

□

**Theorem 8.12.** A  $p$  group acting on a finite set has a number of fixed points congruent to  $|\Omega| \pmod p$ .

*Proof.* Separate out all orbits of index  $\geq 2$  and note that  $|G : G_x| = p^m$ , and the congruency follows. □

**Theorem 8.13.** A sylow  $p$ -group has  $H \leq N_G(P) \Rightarrow H \leq P$ .

*Proof.* Appeal to the 3rd isomorphism theorem to see  $|HP|/|P| = |H|/|H \cap P|$ . Then, we sandwich  $|HP|$  between  $|P|$  to induce the result. □

**Theorem 8.14** (Sylow's Theorem). •  $n_p \geq 1$ .

- A  $p$ -group is contained in a sylow  $p$ -group.
- $p$ -groups are conjugate.
- $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod p$
- $n_p = |G : N_G(P)|$  hence  $n_p \mid \frac{|G|}{n^p}$

*Proof.* • 1 is already shown

- Let  $\Omega$  be the set of subgroups conjugate to  $P$  and  $G$  act by conjugation.  $G$  acts transitively, hence  $|\Omega| = |G : G_P|$  Then,  $p \nmid |G : N_G(P)|$ . Then,



restricting the action to  $H$  yields by an earlier lemma the number of fixed points a multiple of  $p$ . Hence, there is some fixed point  $P'$  which is conjugate to  $P$  and  $H \leq P'$ .

- We find a  $P'$  conjugate to  $P$  and we see  $P' \leq P$  but  $|P| = |P'|$ , so equality holds and we see the claim holds.
- As all  $p$ -groups are conjugate applying orbit stabilizer yields  $n_p = |\Omega| = |G : G_P| = N_G(P)$  hence  $n_p \equiv |\Omega| \pmod{p}$ . Letting  $P'$  be another  $P$  group which is fixed we see  $P' = P$  and  $P \subseteq N_G(P')$  and  $P' = P$  is the only fixed point so  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

□

**Theorem 8.15.** A group of order  $p^2$  is abelian.

**Theorem 8.16.** A nontrivial  $p$ -group admits a nontrivial  $Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* Appeal to the class equation to see  $p \mid |Z(G)|$ . As the center is nontrivial we see it has order  $p$  or  $p^2$ . If  $|Z(G)| = p$  hence cyclic hence  $G = Z(G) \cup G/Z(G)$ . Then, we see generators  $x, Z(G)$  which commute, so  $G$  is abelian. □

**Theorem 8.17.** If  $|G| = pq$   $p < q$  and  $p \nmid q - 1$ , then  $G$  is abelian.

*Proof.* We see  $n_p = 1 = n_q$  by sylow's theorem, Hence every  $g \in G$  fixes  $P, Q$  by conjugation. Then, we see  $pq \mid |PQ|$ , so  $|PQ| = G$  Then appealing to the size of the subgroups and normality yields  $xy = yx' = x'y' = xy \Rightarrow xy = yx$ . □

**Definition 8.10.**  $(x, y)(a, b) = (xa^y, b)$

**Remark.**  $(x, y)^{-1} = ((x^{-1})^{h^{-1}}, h^{-1})$

**Theorem 8.18.** If  $H \trianglelefteq N \rtimes_{\alpha} H$ , then  $\alpha = 1$

*Proof.* Examine  $(x, 1)(1, h)(x^{-1}, 1)$  and we find  $(x^{-1})^h = x^{-1}$  □

**Theorem 8.19.**  $NH \simeq N \rtimes_{\alpha} H$  if  $\alpha : h \mapsto h x h^{-1}$ .