Dynamic stimulus encoding in S1 Hit vs CR classification **G**using 10 trials only Miss vs CR classification 0:0 0:0 0:0 a e Hit vs CR classification 0 0 0 1 only Rew. only vs CR classification Miss 芸 Rew. <u>-</u>2 -1-1Time (s) Time (s) Time (s) Time (s) Dynamic stimulus encoding in S2 b h Hit vs CR classification using 10 trials only Hit vs CR classification 0 0 0 1 Rew. only vs CR classification Miss Ħ Rew. 3 **-**2 -10 2 -10 2 -2 0 2 -10 Time (s) Time (s) Time (s) Time (s) Dynamic stimulus encoding in S1 using 2.0 second windows Hit vs CR classification (Predicted prob. of hit trial) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 Miss vs CR classification Miss vs CR classification 9:00.00.00.00 Response times and decoded Pearson r between response time and significance: 🕻 decoded prediction for all sessions Hit vs CR classification predictions of all hit trials of 1 session Pearson correlation r 1.00 -0.4 Ħ 0.2 Reward only averaging r = -0.09window p = 0.618n.s. 500 750 0 0 1000 Time (s) Time (s) Response time (ms) Dynamic stimulus encoding in S2 using 2.0 second windows Miss vs CR classification O 0 0 1 0 0 Ħ **-**2 0 **-**2 0 3 Time (s) Time (s)