Assignment A0: Team 27

Robin van Hoorn Oana Radu Bas Witters

1 Agent Description

Explain, in your own words, the workings of your AI agent. Pay special attention to the following:

- If your search strategy *only* employs straightforward minimax tree search, make sure to mention this; for any techniques beyond this, explain all of them clearly but concisely. Consider using pseudocode for this, and then also provide an explanation of the pseudocode.
- How does your agent use the (unknown) amount of time it has to compute its next move? Can it reasonably be expected to find a better move when given more time?
- Explain the (heuristic) evaluation function used for numerically scoring board positions. What is the intuition/reasoning behind it?
- For all of the points above, as a general rule of thumb, consider whether the explanation is clear to your fellow students. Whenever you base your design on existing work/methods, provide references to the appropriate literature.

2 Agent Analysis

After implementing your agent, perform the following experiments to measure its performance against the provided alternate agents.

- Let your AI agent play a number of games against the random_player agent, on a grid with n=m=3, each time starting from an empty board position, and having each agent be the starting player in an equal number of games. Repeat this process several times, while varying the compute-time allotted per move as 0.1, 0.5, 1, and 5 seconds. Plot the average performance (e.g. win/loss/draw statistics) of your agent as a function of this time.
- As above, but now instead of starting from an empty board position, use the non-empty initial board positions and sizes provided in the boards folder.
- Repeat the above two experiments, now using the greedy_player agent as the opponent
 of your AI agent.
- If you want to perform and include further experiments, then you are of course free to do so. In this case, make sure you clearly explain the setup of your additional experiments.

In your report, include all the graphs that are generated in this manner. Also provide some analysis; can you qualitatively summarize the observed performance of your agent on each of these tasks? Moreover, can you think of a possible explanation for these observations?

Table 1: This is a table. Notice the position of the caption.

left	\mathbf{right}		
this	is		
a	table		

3 Reflection

What do you think are strong points of your agent's design? Which properties of the problem domain does it exploit? Is there an inherent strength to the minimax approach for finding good moves in this application?

Conversely, what do you think are weak points? Are there certain properties of the problem domain for which you think your design is unsuitable? Is there an inherent weakness to the minimax approach for finding good moves in this application?

General Guidelines for Your Report

Please remove this section from your submitted report

This section contains some general guidelines that you should keep in mind when preparing your report:

- The **maximum** length of your report is 5 pages, **excluding** references but **including** all figures and tables.
- Please do not modify the style of the template to squeeze in more content. Make sure all text is legible (not too small).
- Please carefully proofread your report for typos and grammatical errors; use a spellchecker.
- References to literature are best added in the report_template.bib BibTeX file, and invoked in your report using the \cite command. For example, "Shannon [1950] proposed an approach for ..." or "...as discussed in the literature [Shannon, 1950]".
- Tables can be added through the \tabular command, for example Table 1.
- Figures and graphs are best added through the figure environment, possibly using the \includegraphics command; see e.g. Figure 1.

References

Claude E. Shannon. XXII. Programming a computer for playing chess. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 41(314):256–275, 1950. doi:10.1080/14786445008521796.

5	3			7				
6			1	9	5			
	9	8					6	
8				6				3
8 4 7			8		3			1
7				2				1 6
	6					2	8	
			4	1	9			5 9
				8			7	9

5	3	4	6	7	8	9	1	2
6	7	2	1	9	5	3	4	8
1	9	8	ന	4	2	5	6	7
8	5	9	7	6	1	4	2	3
4	2	6	8	5	3	7	9	1
7	1	3	9	2	4	8	5	6
9	6	1	5	3	7	2	8	4
2	8	7	4	1	9	6	3	5
3	4	5	2	8	6	1	7	9

Figure 1: Left: Example of a sudoku puzzle with n=m=3. Right: The solved puzzle; original clues are in black, with the values to be entered shown in red.

Initial state 1 2			3	4
1 2	1 -	1 2 - 4		4 2
3 4	2 -	1 -	_ _	3

Score: 0 - 0

Calculate a move for player 1

Best move: (1,0) -> 4

Error: $(1,0) \rightarrow 4$ is not a legal move. Player 2 wins the game.

Figure 2: Code test output for A0; can be removed for other reports.