

## ***Laboratory work 8***

### CONTROLLER DESIGN USING KESSLER'S MAGNITUDE OPTIMUM AND SYMMETRICAL OPTIMUM DESIGN CRITERIA

#### 1. THE GOALS

- Controller tuning with Kessler's methods
- Closed loop system performance assessment

#### 2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Kessler's Magnitude Optimum and Symmetrical Optimum criteria are used for controller tuning in processes without time delays, that contain one or two dominant time constants and a small, parasite, time constant, denoted by  $T_\Sigma$ . The resulting controller is a PID-type controller with the following transfer function:

$$H_R(s) = V_R \left( \frac{1 + T_d s}{1 + \alpha T_d s} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{s T_i} \right) \quad (7.1)$$

where  $\alpha = (0.125 \div 0.1)$ .

The tuning procedure consists in the usage of an “optimum” form of the open loop system. The methods should be applied to a fast process, without time delay. Both magnitude optimum and symmetrical optimum criteria ensure a good closed loop system performance for certain references and disturbance rejection scenarios.

## 2.1 Magnitude Optimum Criterion

In the case of the Magnitude Optimum criterion the following open loop system is imposed:

$$H_d^*(s) = H_R(s) \cdot H_f(s) = \frac{1}{2T_\Sigma s(T_\Sigma s + 1)} \quad (7.2)$$

resulting  $H_R(s)$  as:

$$H_R(s) = \frac{H_d^*(s)}{H_f(s)} \quad (7.3)$$

The performance is analyzed using the closed loop system given by

$$H_0(s) = \frac{H_d^*(s)}{1 + H_d^*(s)} = \frac{1}{2T_\Sigma^2 s^2 + 2T_\Sigma s + 1} = \frac{1}{\frac{s^2}{\omega_n^2} + 2\frac{\xi}{\omega_n}s + 1} \quad (7.4)$$

Equating the coefficients from (7.4) gives

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}T_\Sigma}; \quad \xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (7.5)$$

The settling time and overshoot are computed as

$$t_r = \frac{4}{\xi\omega_n} = \frac{4}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}T_\Sigma}} = \frac{4}{\frac{1}{2T_\Sigma}} = 8T_\Sigma \quad (7.6)$$

$$\sigma = e^{-\frac{\pi\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}} \cong 4.3\% \quad (7.7)$$

Note that  $T_\Sigma$  is the small, parasite, time constant, that contributes to a small settling time, as can be deduced from (7.6). In addition, the overshoot is a fixed value of approx. 4.3%, as shown by (7.7). The obtained performance makes the Modulus Optimum criterion ideal when step response performance is considered.

Furthermore, the velocity coefficient can be estimated using

$$c_v = \frac{\omega_n}{2\xi} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}T_\Sigma}}{2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} = \frac{1}{2T_\Sigma} \quad (7.7)$$

resulting the steady state error velocity as

$$\xi_{stv} = \frac{1}{c_v} = 2T_\Sigma \quad (7.8)$$

Hence, it can be concluded that for a ramp reference, the performance is better if the parasite time constant is smaller.

## 2.2 Symmetrical Optimum Criterion

The Symmetrical Optimum Criterion ensures a good closed loop system performance for a ramp reference. The open loop system is imposed as

$$H_d^*(s) = H_R(s) \cdot H_f(s) = \frac{4T_\Sigma s + 1}{8T_\Sigma^2 s^2 (T_\Sigma s + 1)} \quad (7.9)$$

The method ensures the following step reference performance:

$$\begin{aligned} t_s &\cong 11 T_\Sigma \\ \sigma &\cong 43\% \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

The steady state velocity error is obtained as

$$\varepsilon_{stv} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s \cdot H_d(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s \cdot \frac{4T_\Sigma s + 1}{8T_\Sigma^2 s^2 (T_\Sigma s + 1)}} = 0 \quad (7.11)$$

It can be observed that the Symmetrical Optimum method increases both the settling time and overshoot. However, the method proves to be particularly useful for ramp reference inputs, ensuring a 0 steady state velocity error.

## 3. PROBLEMS

For the processes given below, compute the controllers using the Modulus and Symmetrical Optimum criteria. Check the performance using Matlab.

a)  $\frac{2}{s+1}$

b)  $\frac{2}{s(s+1)}$

$$\text{c) } \frac{2}{(s+1)(10s+1)}$$

$$\text{d) } \frac{2}{s(s+1)(10s+1)}$$

$$\text{e) } \frac{2}{(s+1)(10s+1)(20s+1)}$$

$$\text{f) } \frac{2}{s(s+1)(10s+1)(20s+1)}$$