



THE INFAMOUS STRAW MAN

© Authored by PAC info@pacinlaw.org
A Misapplication of Law

A story about the Straw Man

If you have been investigating the issue of freedom you have undoubtedly heard about a person or thing that is referred to as a “Straw Man”. The “Straw Man Theory” has been arranged by some unknown. The theory sets forth that there is this *fictional person* that resembles you in an alternative spelling of your name. Under this premise there have been many people who have been duped into using wrong or *de facto* law forms (*foreign*) such as the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). They think they have *captured* it by doing so. Such methods have been referred to as Redemption, or explained as being a legal process in books such as Cracking the Code.

Well... we're here to tell you there is no such *thing* or *person*. . .

YOU are the *so-called* Straw Man!

One must understand that law consists of principles and doctrines. Most principles and doctrines have been derived from the actions of people of which includes express and implied (or *tacit*) contracts. This pertains not only private contracts with people, but the ones that exist within all levels of government. The outcome of matters in regard to government establish the *status*¹ of a man or woman. Keeping this most important fact in mind, note the word “straw man” is being misapplied. In proper use in law, straw man is defined as the following:

- **straw man.** A “front;” a third party who is put up in name only to take part in a transaction. Nominal party to a transaction; one who acts as an agent for another for the purpose of taking title to real property and executing whatever documents and instruments the principal may direct respecting the property. Person who purchases property for another to conceal identity of real purchaser, or to accomplish some purpose not otherwise allowed.

Black's Law Dictionary, 6th

A “front” is defined by *American Heritage Dictionary* as the following:

- **front.** An apparently respectable person, group, or business used as a cover for secret or illegal activities.

The above definition ties in to the below definition:

- **straw man.** A person who is set up as cover or a front for a questionable enterprise. *American Heritage Dictionary*

Such common definition is further noted in *Ballentine's Law Dictionary, 3rd edition*:

¹ See this information at: www.pacinlaw.org/status

- **straw man.** A dummy. An irresponsible bondsman or surety.² One made to appear as the owner of record who in fact holds title for another.

The word dummy embodies: Irresponsible.

As utilized above, this word as defined by *American Heritage Dictionary*—in law—means: Not mentally and/or financially fit to assume responsibility. The term or word ‘irresponsible’ further encompasses the meaning of not the one truly responsible... in other words: a “front man” is not the one truly liable for any transactions, the principal party actually is the responsible party.

However, now applying the so-called *Straw Man Premise* in a situation of law, unlike an ‘actual’ straw man, in this case a man or woman is the “straw man” as there is no third party. And in a broad sense, he or she (the *third party*) is the principal and the *so-called* “Straw Man”.

Interestingly enough, the craftiness of the ones misguiding people to this wrong approach may have incorporated the word straw man in a *devious* manner. In other words, in relation to the true meaning of the term, this seemingly intentional espionage sets forth that a person using it:

- 1) Is incompetent;
- 2) As the 14th Amendment political system is a questionable enterprise, is acting as a quasi-third party as a Fourteenth Amendment citizen, *i.e.*, not in lawful status under the Law of God; and,
- 3) Has tacitly pledged himself as surety or bondsman responsible for the *so-called* “national debt”.

All three of these things are encompassed in the term straw man.

Because the ones that are professing the *so-called* “Straw Man” premise never gave the proper remedy, only a principle in relation to the problem (which is *14th Amendment* citizenship) and *bogus remedies* that went along to purportedly fix the “Straw Man” problem, this should be considered a crafty deception. These agents cause people to have their “Eyes Wide Shut”.

Conclusion: The *so-called* “Straw Man” is not an imaginary thing or person; it is a real man or woman that is responsible for his or her actions based on express and implied (or *tacit*) contracts; one of such contracts encompassing the “political status”³ of a man or woman. In most cases of these people, they are members of the political system under the Fourteenth Amendment. As the false “nation” under the amendment is false, it creates a *corporate body politic*.⁴

Hence, the *so-called* “Straw Man” premise is really the *status* of being a Fourteenth Amendment citizen. And because the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) was established for commercial interactions between *entities* (as defined in the Code), attempting to “squash” this *so-called* Straw

2 Surety is the act of one (bondsman) who: A pledge or formal promise made to secure against loss, damage, or default; a guarantee or security. One who has contracted to be responsible for another, especially one who assumes responsibilities or debts in the event of default.

3 What is meant by this is: The 14th Amendment creates the legal fiction (presumption) that everyone is a “citizen of the United States”. They are then controlled by statutory law under a system of law called the *Law of Persons*. People are acting in a “capacity” under this system.

4 **BODY POLITIC**, government, corporations. 1. When applied to the government this phrase signifies the state. 2. As to the persons who compose the body politic, they take collectively the name, of people, or nation; and individually they are citizens, when considered in relation to their political rights, and subjects as being submitted to the laws of the state. (*note part 3*) 3. When it refers to corporations, the term body politic means that the members of such corporations shall be considered as an artificial person. *Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856*

Man by using said law form is actually a frivolous endeavor. It is strongly advised that these procedures *not* be followed when attempting to secure rights held under the Constitution.⁵

So why is this “straw man” word/term being misapplied? One has to understand:

- a) There are a plethora of incompetent people out there who profess this and that about law; and,
- b) There are a lot of “disinformation agents” out there that intentionally want to steer people away from the truth and proper legal applications.

Between these two things aforementioned, using the “straw man” premise and its misapplied principles will make one look incompetent to the *government* or *legal profession*. Accordingly people have been floundering in their freedom endeavors. If you do not believe what has been laid out herein, have you ever seen a *so-called* Straw Man in jail or have his property taken?

Because this tactic is frivolous in regard to remedies against the government, many have landed in jail for using it. Mind you not for using the UCC, but because using it in a wrong and/or frivolous manner. Securing your property and dealing with other matters of law is properly done by using contract, statutory and other law forms. To learn the proper method to not be subject to certain private laws (that attach *fictions of law* to legal matters involved), you may want to investigate *nationality* and *status correction*; then legal matters you are involved in will better likely be recognized by the government and legal profession based on *standing* and *status* in law.

Again we emphasize, you will never see the *so-called* Straw Man in jail...

If you use this tactic it will be you that is in jail.⁶ Please excuse the pun, but using the *so-called* Straw Man premise will only make you look like a dummy.⁷

PEOPLE'S AWARENESS COALITION WELCOMES YOU!

The Coalition has been *separating the wheat from the chaff* since 1998.

Please join us in appropriating education and freedom that the republics provide!



Visit People's Awareness Coalition at : www.pacinlaw.org



Join us at the *Not My Government* forum :
www.notmygovernment.us/forum

-
- 5 However, understand that the current system of government is based on socialism (communism). This is fundamentally where the government deals in commercial activities with the people. The Coalition is striving to totally eliminate this “servitude” or activity. Such notwithstanding, do not construe this to mean that using the Uniform Commercial Code practices are proper for one that has not corrected his or her national status.
 - 6 The system of “private law” establishes privileges and duties, which translates into liabilities in most cases. This law is contractual in nature. The liabilities cannot be turned on-and-off at the will of the one accepting any benefit of the statute that governs them. This would go against the maxim of law that states: *Le contrat fait la loi*. The contract makes the law.
 - 7 It is noted that some people have misguided wins using such methods. They may win due to the fact that the courts do not understand the law and/or may not want to deal with the person (and go on to the next sheep to be sheared). That does not mean that the principles are correct and will have a place of longevity for remedy. This only hurts people in the long run as it is not correcting the problem. Hence, the government keeps getting away with its plot.