

XX Some Supreme Court Decisions  
5th Amend. is not just the Federal level  
The Privilege is not limited to testimony  
as ordinarily understood But extend to every  
means by which, by one may be compelled  
to produce information which ~~will~~ may  
incriminate,

Boyd vs United States supra Brown  
Waterk 161 US 591 Wilson v US 221 US 612

The Supreme Court stated, that all Rights  
and Safe guards contained in the first  
eight Amendments to the Federal Constitution  
are equally applicable in every state  
criminal action, "because a denial of them  
would be a denial of Due Process of Law"

William Malby v Patrick J. Logan 378 U.S.  
1 84 S.Ct. 1489 (1964) "GOOD"

The Supreme Court said in Mapp v Ohio 367 US 643 81 S.Ct 1684

X We find That, as to The Federal Gov. the  
4th and 5th Amendments and, as to the States  
The Freedom from Unconscionable Invasions  
of Privacy and the Freedom from convictions based  
upon coerced confessions do enjoy an  
"intimate relation" in ~~there~~ Their perpetuation  
of principles of Humanity and civil Liberty (secured)  
~~only after years~~ Brown v United States