Citizenship and Domicile as Verified by **President Obama** Exhibit #01.017


Commentary on:

- President Obama's Weekly Address, July 26, 2014
- Title: Closing Corporate Tax Loopholes
- http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2014/07/26/weekly-addressclosing-corporate-tax-loopholes
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qMd4GHT7XRc

Sovereignty Education and Defense Ministry (SEDM)

http://sedm.org

July 26, 2014



Purpose

- We will show that Obama spilled the beans on how to LAWFULLY become a "nontaxpayer".
- We are going to explain the meaning of "<u>citizenship</u>" based on President Obama's Weekly Address on Corporate Tax shelters given on July 26, 2014.
- We will establish that based on the reforms he is suggesting, he is proposing to:
 - Eliminate government.
 - Become the same kind of PRIVATE corporation that he criticizes.
- We will also establish that he is engaging in a protection racket because he refuses to acknowledge people who don't want the only product or service government offers, which is "protection".
- We will also establish that he is LYING by calling what the corporations refuse to pay "taxes".
- We will prove that he is engaged in acts of International Terrorism in violation of Article 4, Section 4 of the United States Constitution.

NOT ANTI-GOVERNMENT

We are NOT:

- Violent.
- Anti-government.
- Terrorists.

We instead are:

- Against <u>GOVERNMENT terrorism</u> as described here.
- Against <u>deception and propaganda</u> of the type exhaustively described here.
- Anti-crime. The crimes we oppose are documented herein.
- Anti-corruption. Government corruption is the origin of the crimes documented here and eliminating the corruption would stop the crimes. See:
 - » Government Corruption; http://sedm.org/GovCorruption/GovCorruption.htm
- Against those who falsely CLAIM to be "government" but refuse to ACT like a government. The first step in ACTING like a government is to protect PRIVATE property and leave us alone. If they won't do that, they have no business being paid to protect you from anyone else.
- Against those who pretend to be a government but ignore the <u>domicile</u> prerequisite to their civil authority and thereby devolve into a PRIVATE, for profit federal corporation millions of times worse than the Enron fraud. See:

<u>Corporatization and Privatization of the Government</u>, Form #05.024 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

COMMENTARY of the video begins on the next slide.

Fleeing the Country to Avoid Taxes

- They aren't paying a "tax" as defined by the U.S. Supreme Court. Taxes can only be paid to a REAL DE JURE government. What they pay is paid to people NOT in GOVERNMENT and even the "government" they pay it to is a DE FACTO GOVERNMENT. See:
 - Loan Association v. Topeka, 87 U.S. 655, 20 Wall. 655-670.
 - <u>De Facto Government Scam</u>, Form #05.043
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- They aren't "fleeing the country"! They instead are <u>CHANGING THEIR DOMICILE</u>, and it has ALWAYS been lawful to do so and can NEVER be made illegal.
- It isn't "unpatriotic" to refuse to consent to a CIVIL domicile or refuse to the obligations that can attach ONLY after one consents to a CIVIL domicile.
- <u>Domicile</u> has always required <u>CONSENT</u> and is the ONLY origin of income tax liability.

Domicile

"domicile. A person's legal [CIVIL] home. That place where a man has his true, fixed, and permanent home and principal establishment, and to which whenever he is absent he has the intention of returning. Smith v. Smith, 206 Pa.Super. 310, 213 A.2d 94. Generally, physical presence within a state and the intention to make it one's home are the requisites of establishing a "domicile" therein. The permanent residence of a person or the place to which he intends to return even though he may actually reside elsewhere. A person may have more than one residence but only one domicile. The legal domicile of a person is important since it, rather than the actual residence, often controls the taxing authorities and iurisdiction of the determines where a person may exercise the privilege of voting and other legal rights and privileges.' [Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 485]

More details on "domicile" and "citizenship"

 Why Domicile and Becoming a "Taxpayer" Require Your Consent, Form #05.002

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm


 Why You are a "national", "state national", and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen, Form #05.006

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 For proof that Obama understands the distinctions he is talking about citizenship, watch his State of the Union address on 2/12/2013. He indicates that there are TWO components to citizenship: POLITICAL status/nationality and LEGAL/CIVIL status:

Distinction between DOMICILE and NATIONALITY

- President Obama Recognizes separate POLITICAL and LEGAL components of citizenship, SEDM Exhibit #01.013:
- http://sedm.org/Exhibits/EX01.013.wmv
- Notice:
 - He used the phrase "citizens of the United States**"
 - He ended by stated "God bless you and God bless THESE United States***
 of America"



Renouncing "Citizenship"

- Obama just admitted indirectly that <u>DOMICILE</u> is equivalent to <u>CITIZENSHIP</u> for the purposes of taxation.
- The courts agree:

"Citizen" = "domicile" in National Law

"<u>Domicile and citizen are synonymous in federal courts</u>, Earley v. Hershey Transit Co., D.C. Pa., 55 F.Supp. 981, 982; inhabitant, resident and citizen are synonymous, Standard Stoker Co. v. Lower, D.C.Md., 46 F.2d. 678, 683."

[Black's Law Dictionary, Fourth Edition, p. 311]

The terms "citizen" and "citizenship" are distinguishable from "resident" or "inhabitant." Jeffcott v. Donovan, C.C.A.Ariz., 135 F.2d. 213, 214; and from "domicile," Wheeler v. Burgess, 263 Ky. 693, 93 S.W.2d. 351, 354; First Carolinas Joint Stock Land Bank of Columbia v. New York Title & Mortgage Co., D.C.S.C., 59 F.2d. 350, 351. The words "citizen" and citizenship," however, usually include the idea of domicile, Delaware, L. & W.R. Co. v. Petrowsky, C.C.A.N.Y., 250 F. 554, 557; citizen inhabitant and resident often synonymous, Jonesboro Trust Co. v. Nutt, 118 Ark. 368, 176 S.W. 322, 324; Edgewater Realty Co. v. Tennessee Coal, Iron & Railroad Co., D.C.Md., 49 F.Supp. 807, 809; and citizenship and domicile are often synonymous. Messick v. Southern Pa. Bus Co., D.C.Pa., 59 F.Supp. 799, 800.

[Black's Law Dictionary, Fourth Edition, p. 310]

"Citizenship and domicile are substantially synonymous. Residency and inhabitance are too often confused with the terms and have not the same significance. Citizenship implies more than residence. It carries with it the idea of identification with the state and a participation in its functions. As a citizen, one sustains social, political, and moral obligation to the state and possesses social and political rights under the Constitution and laws thereof. Harding v. Standard Oil Co. et al. (C.C.) 182 F. 421; Baldwin v. Franks, 120 U.S. 678, 7 S.Ct. 763, 32 L.Ed. 766; Scott v. Sandford, 19 How. 393, 476, 15 L.Ed. 691."

[Baker v. Keck, 13 F.Supp. 486 (1936)]

"The term 'citizen', as used in the Judiciary Act with reference to the jurisdiction of the federal courts, is substantially synonymous with the term 'domicile'. Delaware, L. & W.R. Co. v. Petrowsky, 2 Cir., 250 F. 554, 557."

[Earley v. Hershey Transit Co., 55 F.Supp. 981, D.C.PA. (1944)]

"Fair Share"?

- Obama used the word "fair share".
- The Internal Revenue Code does NOT define "fair share" but the CONSTITUTION does.
- "Fair share" means every "taxpayer" pays the SAME AMOUNT and the SAME PERCENTAGE of the amount taxed.
- This is because EVERYONE is protected EQUALLY.
- "Fair share" also means that those who are not "taxpayers" because not engaged in the excise taxable activity pay NOTHING. Otherwise, EMINENT domain and an unlawful taking has occurred.

"Fair Share"?

- The Declaration of Independence also defines what "fair share" is. It says that <u>ALL are</u> equal.
- If <u>ALL ARE EQUAL</u>, whatever the government can do to collect payments for its services, YOU also can do TO IT.
- Is the GOVERNMENT paying you THEIR "fair share" for YOUR services to them and permitting YOU to make THEM into YOUR customer, whether they consent or not, like they do to YOU?
- Here is what Obama said in his <u>First</u>
 <u>Inauguration Speech</u> about how YOU are equal to the GOVERNMENT. Was he LYING?

First Obama Inauguration Speech, SEDM Exhibit #02.008

http://sedm.org/Exhibits/EX02.008.wmv


"Fair Share"?

 The U.S. Supreme Court also defined what happens when people like Obama try to make people pay an <u>UNEQUAL</u> amount or percentage of the amount taxed:

"Fair Share"?

"Here I close my opinion. I could not say less in view of questions of such gravity that go down to the very foundation of the government. If the provisions of the constitution can be set aside by an act of congress, where is the course of [abuse of taxation power for THEFT and wealth transfer] to end? The present assault [WAR!] [PRIVATE property] is but the beginning. It will stepping-stone to others, larger and more sweeping, political contests [in the jury box and the ballot box between the HAVES and the HAVE <u>NOTS] will become a war of the poor</u> against the rich,-a war constantly growing in intensity and bitterness. 'If the court sanctions the power of discriminating GRADUATEDI *[UNEQUAL]* taxation. and uniformity mandate of the constitution,' as said by one who has been all his life a student of our institutions, 'it will mark the hour when the sure decadence of our present government will commence.""

[Pollock v. Farmers Loan and Trust Co., 157 U.S. 429 (1895)]



Right Here in the "United States"

 Most Americans are not <u>DOMICILED</u> on federal territory or within the STATUTORY "<u>United States</u>" and therefore NOT "taxpayers" under the Internal Revenue Code.

TITLE 26 > Subtitle F > CHAPTER 79 > Sec. 7701. [Internal Revenue Code]

Sec. 7701. – Definitions

(a)(9) United States


The term "<u>United States</u>" when used in a geographical sense includes only the States and the District of Columbia.

(a)(10) State

The term "State" shall be construed to include the District of Columbia, where such construction is necessary to carry out provisions of this title.

Right Here in the "United States"

- That alleged Harvard Law education didn't do you much good, now did it, Mr. Obama? It looks like you haven't even read the definitions in the Internal Revenue Code.
- Why are you giving a speech to "All Americans" that only applies to a very limited subset <u>domiciled on federal</u> <u>territory</u>?
- You are trying to deceive us!



Cherry Picking Taxes

- There is no practical difference between:
 - People who are NOT statutory "taxpayers" and not domiciled on federal territory avoiding taxes.
 - A government that illegally engages in "cherry picking" of people outside <u>its jurisdiction</u> to fleece them through deception and coercion and word games. See:


Meaning of the Words "includes" and "including", Form #05.014

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Isn't deliberately deceiving people about what the tax codes say and falsely calling EVERYONE "taxpayers" (customers) ALSO "cherry picking"?

"Damages the Country's Finances"?

- What REALLY "damages the countries finances" is:
 - Refusing to balance the budget.
 - Spending 50% more than you take in.
 - Refusing to cut spending.
- This type of damage is FAR worse than not consenting to become a "customer" of government called a "citizen".
- The <u>Declaration of Independence</u> says we have a RIGHT to NOT be a "customer" called a STATUTORY "<u>citizen</u>" and to provide our OWN protection. That's what these corporations are doing. Earth calling Obama!
- If you refuse to do your job, Mr. Obama, the <u>Declaration</u> of <u>Independence</u> makes it our DUTY to fire you, <u>change</u> <u>our domicile</u>, and stop subsidizing tyrants like you.
- Are you implying that following the <u>Declaration of</u> <u>Independence</u> is "unpatriotic"?



"Investing in America"

 Government has NO BUSINESS "investing" public funds or "taxes" into private enterprises.

To lay, with one hand, the power of the government on the property of the citizen, and with the other to bestow it upon favored individuals to aid private enterprises and build up private fortunes, is none the less a robbery because it is done under the forms of law and is called taxation. This is not legislation. It is a decree under legislative forms.

Nor is it taxation. 'A tax,' says Webster's Dictionary, 'is a rate or sum of money assessed on the person or property of a citizen by government for the use of the nation or State.' 'Taxes are burdens or charges imposed by the Legislature upon persons or property to raise money for public purposes.' Cooley, Const. Lim., 479.

Coulter, J., in Northern Liberties v. St. John's Church, 13 Pa. St., 104 says, very forcibly, 'I think the common mind has everywhere taken in the understanding that taxes are a public imposition, levied by authority of the government for the purposes of carrying on the government in all its machinery and operations—that they are imposed for a public purpose.' See, also Pray v. Northern Liberties, 31 Pa.St., 69; Matter of Mayor of N.Y., 11 Johns., 77; Camden v. Allen, 2 Dutch., 398; Sharpless v. Mayor, supra; Hanson v. Vernon, 27 Ia., 47; Whiting v. Fond du Lac, supra."

[Loan Association v. Topeka, 20 Wall. 655 (1874)]

"Investing in America"


- Government only has ONE job: Protect PRIVATE rights.
- The first step in protecting PRIVATE rights is to keep them from being converted to PUBLIC rights or government property without the EXPRESS CONSENT of the owner.
- You're not a "government" if you don't require <u>CONSENT</u> of the owner to procure their PRIVATE property. You can't STEAL it because <u>we are all equal</u> and I can't steal YOUR property.
- "Investing" ought to be left EXCLUSIVELY to PRIVATE industry.

Sticks You with a Tab

- The only people sticking you, the viewers, with a tab is President Obama, who refuses his constitutional duty to balance the budget or cut spending, and is running up a huge debt that our children must pay and which will never be fully paid off.
- His reckless fiscal mismanagement will eventually:
 - Produce anarchy and civil unrest.
 - Bankrupt the government.
 - Destroy all our liberties in the process.
 - Work a purpose every bit as destructive as any violent terrorist, but without the necessity of physical violence.

Sticks You with a Tab

- The <u>Thirteenth Amendment</u> makes it a crime to engage in "involuntary servitude" and peonage. Hence, it is an unconstitutional crime to make the average American surety for public debt and a peon who has to pay it off, without AT LEAST procuring his <u>consent</u> to choose a <u>domicile</u> and therefore become a <u>STATUTORY "citizen"</u>.
- YOU are the one "sticking us with a tab" for a bill that only <u>federal domiciliaries</u> are required to pay and then kidnapping our identity to make us LOOK like <u>federal domiciliaries</u>. That too is a crime under <u>18 U.S.C. §911</u>. THAT "citizen" in turn is a <u>federal domiciliary</u> and not a state citizen.



Totally Legal

- It's NOT a "loophole" in the tax codes. It's a loophole in ALL CIVIL LAW.
- Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17 is what makes it "perfectly legal".
- Here is the provision the corporations are using:

IV. PARTIES > Rule 17.

Rule 17. Parties Plaintiff and Defendant; Capacity

(b) Capacity to Sue or be Sued.

Capacity to sue or be sued is determined as follows:

- (1) for an individual who is not acting in a representative capacity, by the law of the individual's <u>domicile</u>;
- (2) for a corporation, by the law under which it was organized; and
- (3) for all other parties, by the law of the state where the court is located, except that:
- (A) a partnership or other unincorporated association with no such capacity under that state's law may sue or be sued in its common name to enforce a substantive right existing under the United States Constitution or laws; and
- (B) 28 U.S.C. §§754 and 959(a) govern the capacity of a receiver appointed by a United States court to sue or be sued in a United States court.

[Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b)]

You can't make it ILLEGAL


- The minute you remove or disrespect the <u>domicile</u> <u>prerequisite</u> that is required for all civil enforcement actions, is the minute you:
 - Cease to be a de jure government and devolve to a <u>PRIVATE</u>, <u>FOR PROFIT corporation</u> just like the corporations you complain about. HYPOCRITE!
 - Become an international terrorist organization that collects "protection money" called "<u>taxes</u>". See:

Great IRS Hoax, Form #11.302

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

DIRECT LINK: http://famguardian.org/Publications/GreatIRSHoax/GreatIRSHoax.htm

- Engage in organized extortion, by disregarding the <u>consent</u> that is the foundation of all of your JUST authority according to the <u>Declaration of Independence</u>.
- Make everyone who pays you "protection money" into criminals subsidizing international terrorism, where the states of the Union are the "nations" being invaded in violation of Article 4, Section 4 of the United States Constitution.




Totally Wrong

- Its totally wrong for a government created to deliver protection that:
 - Refuses to procure <u>your consent</u> BEFORE they protect you or demand payment for the protection.
 - Refuses respect the <u>requirement for consent</u> in <u>choosing a domicile</u> to procure the protection.
 - Forces you to pay for "protection" you DO NOT want and DO NOT need. Its called a CRIMINAL "protection racket". See 18 U.S.C. Chapter 95.
- It is TOTALLY WRONG for any government to go into debt, because it produces unconstitutional "PEONAGE" in violation of the <u>Thirteenth Amendment</u> in having to pay off the debt if those paying did not <u>EXPRESSLY CONSENT</u> to the status of STATUTORY "citizen" that made them liable.

Totally Wrong

- It is TOTALLY WRONG to BRIBE otherwise private citizens with PUBLIC monies called "benefits", because it:
 - Makes what is paid to the government no longer a "tax" as legally defined. It is just a bribe to get them to "leave you alone" and STEAL wealth that can be redistributed.
 - Criminally corrupts the political process and the courtroom. It has always been a crime to bribe or influence a voter or jurist.
- It is TOTALLY WRONG to treat jurists and voters as <u>public officers</u> for any purpose OTHER than serving on jury and voting. This causes income tax to become a poll tax and criminally violates <u>18 U.S.C. §912</u>.



You Don't Get to Pick Rules or Tax Rate

- Yes you DO get to "pick your own rules"!
 - If you don't <u>CONSENT</u> to a <u>civil domicile</u> within the <u>national government's jurisdiction</u> on federal territory, then you don't have to live by their CIVIL rules.
 - If you don't <u>CONSENT</u> to <u>lawfully occupy a public office</u>
 in the national government, then you can't lawfully act as
 a statutory "<u>taxpayer</u>" so you don't have to live under the
 oppressive tax code.
 - If you don't <u>CONSENT</u> to incorporate in the District of Columbia, then you aren't a "corporation" and therefore "person" under federal law and don't have to live by their rules. That's what <u>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17</u> says.
- Under the civil statutory laws, you are only subject to and obligated by the laws of the place that you <u>CONSENT</u> to have a CIVIL legal domicile in.

National Government Gets to "Pick its Own Rules"

- The national government does EXACTLY the same thing as the "dastardly" corporations are doing that Obama hypocritically complains about.
- In fact, the "<u>UNITED STATES</u>" is ALSO a corporation and it makes its OWN RULES!
 - 28 U.S.C. §3002(15)(A) says the "United States" is a federal corporation.
 - Under the concept of "sovereign immunity", the national government is ONLY subject to the specific laws it EXPRESSLY consents to.

National Government Gets to "Pick its Own Rules"

 For proof that we no longer have a de jure government, but a private, for profit corporation just like the ones Obama hypocritically attacks, see:


> <u>Corporatization and Privatization of the Government</u>, Form #05.024

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/CorpGovt.pdf


National Government Gets to "Pick its Own Rules"

- All of the authority to "make those rules" to begin with was delegated to the national government by WE THE PEOPLE.
- You can't delegate what you DO NOT HAVE!
- Therefore, YOU as an American whose <u>CONSENT</u> is the source of all of their civil power (per the Declaration of Independence) must:
 - Have the SAME sovereign immunity that the GOVERNMENT claims to have.
 - Be <u>EQUALLY able</u> to "choose your CIVIL rules" by <u>refusing to consent</u> to a <u>civil domicile</u> within their <u>CIVIL</u> <u>jurisdiction</u>.
- Obama, YOU ARE A LIAR AND A HYPOCRITE.



Neither Should These Companies

- Neither should the GOVERNMENT be EXEMPT from taxes WE levy on our PRIVATE property that they want to STEAL or BORROW either.
- "taxes", after all, are merely fees for government services.
- If the government can FORCE you to buy and pay for its services, then WE have an <u>EQUAL</u> <u>right</u> to collect fees for THEIR use of OUR private property or services without THEIR <u>EXPRESS consent</u> either.
- To deny this is to deny everyone "equal protection and equal treatment" that is the foundation of the United States Constitution.




Simplifying Tax Code for "Everybody"

- Obama is unconstitutionally "PRESUMING" that "EVERYBODY" and "taxpayers" are synonymous. This is a LIE. All presumptions that prejudice constitutional rights are an unconstitutional violation of due process of law, in fact.
- Here is the proof:

"Revenue Laws relate to taxpayers [instrumentalities, officers, employees, and elected officials of the national Government] and not to non-taxpayers [non-resident non-persons domiciled in states of the Union without the exclusive jurisdiction of the national Government]. The latter are without their scope. No procedures are prescribed for non-taxpayers and no attempt is made to annul any of their Rights or Remedies in due course of law. With them [non-taxpayers] Congress does not assume to deal and they are neither of the subject nor of the object of federal revenue laws."

[Economy Plumbing & Heating v. U.S., 470 F.2d, 585 (1972)]



Stopping Renouncing Citizenship Cannot Wait

OTHER things that "CANNOT WAIT"

- Balancing the federal budget by REDUCING spending rather than INCREASING taxes.
- Eliminating the Federal Reserve FRAUDULENT money system. See:

The Money Scam, Form #05.041

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/MoneyScam.pdf

ONLY "taxing" members of the club who <u>CONSENT</u> to be called STATUTORY "<u>citizens</u>". See:

Why You are a "national", "state national", and Constitutional but not Statutory Citizen, Form #05.006

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/WhyANational.pdf

Stopping Renouncing Citizenship Cannot Wait


- IMMEDIATELY terminating the CRIMINAL IDENTITY THEFT that occurs by UNCONSTITUTIONALLY <u>presuming</u> that:
 - » EVERYONE in states of the Union are "persons" under federal law. They are <u>NON-RESIDENT NON-PERSONS</u>.
 - The "<u>United States</u>" includes states of the Union within the Internal Revenue Code. It does NOT.
 - "Internal Revenue Districts" exist within Constitutional states. The ONLY remaining one is the District of Columbia. 26 U.S.C. §7601 limits IRS enforcement to "Internal Revenue Districts".
 - » EVERYONE is a "<u>taxpayer</u>" and therefore a "<u>public officer</u>" in the NATIONAL government, whether they <u>consent</u> or not. See:

The "Trade or Business" Scam, Form #05.001

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm


DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/TradeOrBusScam.pdf

 IRS Targeting of activism groups trying to ensure the government "lives by the rules" MUST STOP. And the COVER-UPS of the targeting must also stop and those covering up should have their pensions terminated and be put in jail for a criminal conspiracy against PRIVATE rights.



Closing Unpatriotic Tax Loopholes For Good

- It's "unpatriotic" to impute or enforce powers to a government that the people themselves do not have. <u>ALL are</u> <u>equal under the law</u>.
- It is also "unpatriotic" for the government to impute "superior" or "supernatural" powers to itself and thereby turn a <u>de jure government</u> into a civil religion in which:
 - "Taxes" are tithes. They represent "rent" on property, because the government is presumed to OWN everything, just like God.
 - Political leaders are "gods".
 - Courtrooms are church buildings.
 - <u>Franchise</u> judges are "priests" of the <u>civil religion</u>.
 - Licensed Attorneys are "deacons" who supervise the "worship services".
 - "Worship services" occur when court hearings are held, or there is voting or juries serving.
 - "The Code" is the state sponsored "bible" that regulates how "worship" is conducted.
 - "Tax returns" are "confessions" to the priests, deacons, and terrorists in what Mark Twain calls "The District of Criminals".
 - Everyone is a parishioner and "<u>public officer</u>" called a "<u>citizen</u>" whether they want to or not. <u>Domicile</u> and <u>consent</u> are irrelevant. ALL must worship or DIE and be COMMERCIALLY DESTROYED.



Fortunate Few Play By Own Rules


- The "fortunate few" are NOT "corporations".
- The "fortunate few" are those in what Mark Twain called "The District of Criminals".
 - They write the rules to "benefit" only themselves.
 - They "selectively enforce" against political enemies by abusing IRS enforcement powers. Example: IRS Targeting of Conservatives.
 - They refuse to prosecute their own and only use the law to persecute enemies OUTSIDE the government.
 - They can pick and choose the rules they want to be subject to by UNCONSTITUTIONALLY asserting sovereign immunity to protect <u>PRIVATE</u> <u>CORPORATE business activity</u> and <u>franchises</u>.
 - They can make a UNCONSTITUTIONAL BUSINESS out of alienating rights that the Declaration of Independence says are SUPPOSED to be UNALIENABLE, meaning INCAPABLE of <u>consenting</u> to give or contract them away.
 - They can become "like god" by denying the <u>EQUAL right</u> of ALL AMERICANS to ALSO assert their sovereign immunity by REFUSING to <u>CONSENT</u> to a <u>civil domicile</u> within any government.
 - They can spend with reckless abandon like a drunken sailor and sink the fiscal ship of state.
 - They can <u>counterfeit currency</u> while we go to jail for it.
 - They are accountable to NO ONE because they don't need the permission of Americans when they borrow money. Just print more.

Fortunate Few Play By Own Rules

- The "fortunate few" is only possible because government is not subject to ALL the same laws as everyone else and because it has to <u>CONSENT</u> to the laws it IS subject to:
 - This is called "anarchy".
 - Those in government are "anarchists".
- Here is the type of GOVERNMENT anarchy we are talking about:

"No man in this country [including legislators of the government as a legal person] is so high that he is above the law. No officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All the officers of the government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it. It is the only supreme power in our system of government, and every man who by accepting office participates in its functions is only the more strongly bound to submit to that supremacy, and to observe the limitations which it imposes upon the exercise of the authority which it gives," 106 U.S., at 220. "Shall it be said... that the courts cannot give remedy when the Citizen has been deprived of his property by force, his estate seized and converted to the use of the government without any lawful authority, without any process of law, and without any compensation, because the president has ordered it and his officers are in possession? If such be the law of this country, it sanctions a tyranny which has no existence in the monarchies of Europe, nor in any other government which has a just claim to well-regulated liberty and the protection of personal rights," 106 U.S., at 220, 221.

[United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196, 1 S. Ct. 240 (1882)]




Patriotism Means We Rise and Fall Together

- NO, Mr. LYING Obama. Patriotism instead means:
 - PRIVATE property BEYOND any and all control or taxation by any government.
 - INDIVIDUAL rights that are EQUAL to collective rights.
 - ABSOLUTE equality between the GOVERNED and the GOVERNORS under the civil laws.
 - NO civil statutory law and ONLY the <u>common law</u>, to ensure that <u>equality</u> and PRIVATE property are completely protected.
 - NO financial conflicts of interest in judges, juries, or voters. That means those receiving public benefits cannot serve in any of these positions and are prosecuted by PRIVATE grand juries without attorney general involvement when they err.
 - Total accountability of those in the government to the people. Ultimate
 accountability is ensured by the ability to REMOVE your <u>domicile</u> and
 sponsorship when governments become abusive, as the <u>Declaration of Independence</u> REQUIRES and permits.
- What you advocate is COLLECTIVISM, not PATRIOTISM.
 That FRAUD is described in:
 - Collectivism and How to Resist It, Form #12.024

FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/LibertyU/Collectivism.pdf

The Financial Enslavement of the West, G. Edward Griffin

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q-uCUjurKOw



Reward Ordinary Americans


- The only people government can lawfully "reward" are those who work in the government.
- It is an abuse of the taxing power to pay PUBLIC money to PRIVATE citizens.
- The only "reward" I want is to be LEFT ALONE by the government and not have my property STOLEN, regulated, or taxed or be forced to participate in <u>any franchise</u> or government program.
- The definition of "justice", in fact means "the right to be left alone".

"Justice"

PAULSEN, ETHICS (Thilly's translation), chap. 9.

Justice, as a moral habit, is that tendency of the will and mode of conduct which refrains from disturbing the lives and interests of others, and, as far as possible, hinders such interference on the part of others. This virtue springs from the individual's respect for his fellows as ends in themselves and as his co equals. The different spheres of interests may be roughly classified as follows: body and life; the family, or the extended individual life; property, or the totality of the instruments of action; honor, or the ideal existence; and finally freedom, or the possibility of fashioning one's life as an end in itself. The law defends these different spheres, thus giving rise to a corresponding number of spheres of rights, each being protected by a prohibition. . . . To violate the rights, to interfere with the interests of others, is injustice. All injustice is ultimately directed against the life of the neighbor; it is an open avowal that the latter is not an end in itself, having the same value as the individual's own life. The general formula of the duty of justice may therefore be stated as follows: Do no wrong yourself, and permit no wrong to be done, so far as lies in your power; or, expressed positively: Respect and protect the right.

[Readings on the History and System of Common Law, Second Edition, 1925, Roscoe Pound, p. 2]



Restore the American Dream

The ONLY purpose of forming government is "justice".

"Justice is the end of government. It is the end [PURPOSE] of civil society. It ever has been, and ever will be pursued, until it be obtained, or until liberty be lost in the pursuit."

[James Madison, Federalist Paper #51, 1788]

"That no free Government, or the blessing of liberty, can be preserved to any people but by a <u>firm adherence to justice...</u>"

[George Mason, Virginia Declaration of Rights (1776)]

"<u>The best antidote for crime is justice</u>. The irony we often fail to appreciate is that the more justice people enjoy, the fewer crimes they commit. Crime is the natural offspring of an unjust society."

[Gerry Spence "With Justice For None" p.124]

- A government that won't protect you from ITSELF is NOT a "government" but a terrorist organization.
- Your "taxes", which in fact are not "taxes" as legally defined but "bribes" and "protection money", are funding a terrorist organization.

Why The "United States" is a Terrorist Organization

 <u>Securiotic</u> -how governments have created a fictional war on terror to themselves become terrorists

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Dk2awGVJ-g

Pirates and Emperors

http://famguardian1.org/Mirror/SEDM/LibertyU/PiratesAndEmperors.wmv

Devil's Advocate Movie Clip

http://famguardian1.org/Media/DevilsAdvocate-Part13.wmv

We Bomb for Cash

http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Military/Humor/pent2.jpg

How the World Works

http://famguardian1.org/Mirror/SEDM/LibertyU/How_the_world_works.wmv

Government Mafia


http://famguardian1.org/Mirror/SEDM/Media/MafiaGovt.wmv

<u>Terrorstorm</u> (OFFSITE LINK)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrXgLhkv21Y

Statism and Terrorism - your government is terrorist.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3IRbwpc2XV4



Have a Great Weekend

- Have a "great weekend" if you are a "taxpayer", "sponsor", "citizen", and "customer".
- If you don't like us or refuse to be a "customer" for our "protection racket", then:
 - You can go fuck yourself.
 - We will bomb you with a drone.
 - We will sick the IRS and Lois Lerner on you, hide the evidence that we did, and launder the stolen loot to our cronies so they get reelected.
 - We will refuse to clear your financial transactions through the <u>Federal Reserve counterfeiting</u> <u>franchise</u> until you snitch on all your customers and essentially become a <u>federal employment recruiter</u> for "<u>taxpayers</u>".

Have a Great Weekend

 We aren't here to serve YOU. We only serve and protect OURSELVES with money we <u>STOLE from you</u>.

Summary

- A free people MUST:
 - EXPRESSLY consent to the specific "services" they want from government.
 - Pay ONLY for the services they <u>expressly consent</u> to.
- DOMICILE is the method of consenting.
- The First Amendment forbids governments from punishing people or calling them "unpatriotic" for refusing to consent to become "customers" called "citizens" or "residents".
- If they punish people, they transition from a de jure government to a <u>de facto TERRORIST</u> government. See:

De Facto Government Scam, Form #05.043

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

Summary

• What "corporation" OTHER than the "United States" can FORCE you to be a customer of its products or services (protection) and throw you in jail if you refuse to be a customer? That is why government has become a pagan god with supernatural and superior rights that no other human or corporation can lawfully possess. See:

<u>Socialism: The New American Civil Religion</u>, Form #05.016

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Let's make the playing field equal so we can force THEM to be OUR customer and to PAY for and BUY our services because we refuse to be THEIR customer.