

State of New York
Supreme Court :

County of Erie

Sam Floriano

SS# _____ - _____ - _____

Index No. _____

Plaintiff

-vs-

BANK OF AMERICA

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

ID#

Defendant,

Action For Deliberate Use of Fraud

TO THE DEFENDANT

SUMMONS:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff directly whom is presented sui juris in this matter, within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of venue is: Based upon county where plaintiff resides
which is 4591 Southwestern Blvd Hamburg, NY 14075
Dated: 05-15-2013

COMPLAINT:

THE NATURE OF THIS ACTION IS BASED UPON FRAUD DISCOVERED SHORTLY AFTER THE CONTRACT WAS SIGNED AND AGREED UPON. THE INTENT OF THIS ACTION IS TO OBTAIN DISCOVERY, TO LEARN THE EXTENT OF THE FRAUD, ALL ACTIONS TAKEN UNDER UNLAWFULLY OBTAINED AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE, AND TO RECOVER ACTUAL AS WELL AS PUNITIVE DAMAGES FOR THE DEMONSTRATED DELIBERATE AND INTENTIONAL USE OF FRAUD ON THE PART OF THE DEFENDANT, THROUGH OBVIOUS DELIBERATE OBFUSCATION OF AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE "TWINNING A STREAM OF REVENUE". DISCUSSION WAS OFFERED ____/____/_____. AND REFUSED.

THIS ACTION FOR RECOVERY OF DAMAGES IS BASED UPON THE FOLLOWING GROUND OR GROUNDS:

GROUND1

Deliberate, and Intentional use of FRAUD.

GROUND 2

Twinning a Stream of Revenue

GROUND 3

Misuse of “authorized signature”: The ends of which are not currently known but will be made clear in discovery. The misuse of the authorized signature of the plaintiff is based upon the DELIBERATE obfuscation that the signature was in fact an AUTHORIZED signature to begin with. In short, the plaintiff was unaware the signature was actually an authorized signature due to the deliberate fraud and attempt to hide this using microprint, so any and ALL use of the authorized signature is both unlawful and fraudulent, as it was fraudulently obtained by deception.

THE RELIEF SOUGHT BY THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS ACTION IS A JUDGMENT GRANTING THE PAINTIFF 50,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES, 15,000.00 IN ACTUAL DAMAGES FOR VIOLATED RIGHTS.

PLAINTIFF ALSO REQUESTS THAT SUCH JUDGMENT GRANT THE FOLLOWING ITEMS OF ADDITIONAL AND ANCILLARY RELIEF

1. Permanent Injunction forever barring the entity from continuing this practice
2. Referral to teh Department of Justice for criminal prosecution

IN THE EVENT THAT YOU FAIL TO APPEAR OR ANSWER JUDGMENT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU, by default, for the relief demanded in this Summons with Notice.

Dated: ____/____/_____
Orchard Park New York

Yours, etc

Plaintiff PRESENTED Sui Juris
