

This is even more legit. I have no income taxes to pay. Just as I do not file a whiskey distilling return annually, I do not file an income tax return (1040) annually, for the same reason I do not file the whiskey distilling return. I do not distill whiskey. Likewise, I do not derive TAXABLE INCOME from any SOURCE derived as I do not engage in any of the privileged activities from a SPECIFIC SOURCE listed in the operative sections of the code (26 CFR 1.861-8(f)(1) ) that give rise to STATUTORY GROUPINGS of GROSS INCOME, which MUST FIRST BE DETERMINED in order to arrive at TAXABLE INCOME.

STATUTORY GROUPINGS of gross income, which means the gross income from a specific source or activity which MUST FIRST BE DETERMINED in order to arrive at 'TAXABLE INCOME. ' 26 CFR 1.861-1 through 26 CFR 1.861-14T determine the SOURCES of income for the purposes of the income tax PER Section 26 CFR 1.861-8(f)(1).

I would challenge anyone to find someone else who can articulate this more clearly than I, using their own code against them. ALL of this being said, I engage solely in TRADE at LAW, I do not engage in commerce. The earnings which I use to live, derive from the exercise SOLELY of a fundamental right, NOT from ANY privilege or permit from the State. RIGHTS cannot BE taxed, ONLY privileges can be taxed. This is why they want you to claim to be an employee on a W-4 and a U.S. Citizen. By doing so you are claiming to be an employee of a foreign corporation and claiming protections under a treaty. There is AMPLE protection at LAW regarding private contracts, there is NO NEED for ANYONE to ever enter into the traditional employee employer relationship as they have today en mass. EVEN IF YOU DO desire to enter into a standard employee contract, you STILL have no obligation to file a W-4 agreement. It is an agreement it says so right on it, it CANNOT BE an obligation AND a contract, and it IS a contract. Also do not confuse your natural inability to be taxed with EXEMPTION. A W-4 form filled out EXEMPT is STILL a withholding AGREEMENT. It is frivolous on its face because it is an agreement to allow NOTHING. It is a waste of paper and time to process such a request. Those who are exempt FILL OUT NOTHING. There is no such thing as an obligation of law to agree. It is the statements sworn under oath contained in 26 CFR 31.3402-(n)(1) which create what is lawfully known as a WITHHOLDING "EXEMPTION" CERTIFICATE, not any specific government form. 26 CFR 31.3402(f)(2)-1(e) AND 26 CFR 31.3402(f)(2)-1(g) are the sections that give the rules for determining INVALID withholding exemption certificates where an individual claims a complete exemption from withholding, and again in good conscience anyone who understands the law as I do, can make the statements in 26 CFR 31.3402-(n)(1) without any moral reservation under penalty of perjury.

Consequently, if you HAVE had the misfortune of entering into a WITHHOLDING ALLOWANCE CERTIFICATE agreement (W-4) you CAN terminate that agreement at any time. I have linked below the 2 documents which are self explanatory, feel free to research them, you will confirm everything in them easily, and you have to do due diligence to be able to swear them under penalty of perjury. You use these to terminate ANY existing W-4 contract. AND, it is true that I always use a 3 notice series, but that there are only 2 notices below. The reason for this is that I have never had to create the third. That means that everyone whom has used these notices, has experienced complete compliance and termination for all withholding AFTER the employer has received the SECOND NOTICE. And you will see exactly why. These employers are ridiculous. They will actually lie and claim they cannot pay you

without a W-4 agreement. It is an obvious lie because everyone has an obligation of law to pay for the contracts they enter. ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW NOTWITHSTANDING, if you do work you MUST get paid, otherwise the entity refusing to pay you has oppressed you and reduced you to the status of involuntary servitude.

These contracts at law where people think they are breaking law by working UNDER THE TABLE, are the most lawful contracts that exist. There was an episode of people's court where a guy sued the employer for not paying him. The contract was off the books. The judge ORDERED the guy to pay the contract. Now if the contract was in any way unlawful, if it is a crime to work under a contract at law like this, then the judge could not have ordered it to be paid. Because a judge cannot order someone to commit a crime. So it is OBVIOUSLY lawful to work like this. it is actually UNLAWFUL to try to force someone into peonage under employment against their will.

Notice to Terminate W-4 Agreement.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/suijurislawtheselfgoverning/438010062952044/>

2nd Notice W-4 Termination.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/suijurislawtheselfgoverning/438010126285371/>

See <http://taxfacts.tripod.com> for more on this, the whole website is free, just click and read.

Furthermore and finally, 5 CFR 1320.6 Public Protection (a) is your complete defense and bar against any action related to forms 1040, W-4, W-2, W-9, 1099 and many others. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THESE BOOTLEG REQUESTS.