

**Constructive Notice to the Lincoln County Court Administrator
& the here-in named & accused Criminal Conspirators of Criminal Counter-Complaint
by the People of the Constitutional State of Oregon,
& of their Co-Plaintiff: "Edward Malone Johnston 2nd".**

Notice to the Principal is Notice to Agent; & Notice to Agent is Notice to the Principal.
Accused Criminal Racketeering Conspirators:

Affidavit; &
FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ESTOPPEL.

Re: Lincoln County Circuit Court Case #: 131799.

Letter of Intent to Sue.

Linda Pilson, Thomas O Branford, Paulette Sanders, Rob Bovett, Bruce Parsons, David Enyeart, Ralph Grutzmacher, Jack Dunaway, Jill Lyon, Terri Strom, Michell Johnson, Alma Baxter, Jakckie Kauffman, Michell Amberg, Polly Chavarria, Will Ewing, Michael Pace, Robert Ruark, Dennis Dotson, Aaron Pitcher, Desmond Harpster, Roy Elicker, Brian Timmy, Karen Timmy, Bruce McCumb, Former Toledo public Employee Delmore, Wesley T. Chadwick

Where as, the living and breathing man, Edward Malone Johnston 2nd, affiant, is not a bar-member attorney; here-under, his pleadings can-not lawfully be treated as those of an attorney. In fact, according to the Federal Supreme Court Case of "Haines v. Kerner", 404 U.S. 519 (1972), a complaint "however inartfully pleaded," must be held to "less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers"; and can only be dismissed for failure to state a claim, if it appears "beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." Id., at 520-521, quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957).

"Indeed, no more than affidavits is necessary to make a prima facie case." (See United States v. Kis, 658 F. 2nd, 526, 536 (7th Cir. 1981); Cert Denied, 50 U.S.L.W. 2169; S. Ct. March 22, 1982.)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS:

All Public-Servants Above Named, Take this Public-Notice, that, on this date, & after the previous notices of these claims, & after your failure to respond to those previous notices, that you have now Defaulted, & you are hereby further Estopped from any further contesting of those previous claims, all of which are further articulated here-in, as follows:

You have Violated the Constitutionally-Guaranteed Rights of "We the People" who Organically Compose the Body-Politics of the Constitutional "County of Lincoln", the Constitutional "State of Oregon"; & more specifically, you have Violated the Rights of the Members of these organic Bodies-Politic, & those Violations are Including the Rights of "Edward Malone Johnston 2nd", Sovereigns Of this state, as recognizable under the 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 7th, 14th, & 16th, Amendments to the United States Constitution; & multitudes similar under Oregon's Constitution.

These Violations of Our Constitutionally-Guaranteed Rights Include, but are not limited to, Violations of our Rights to "Remedy" by "Due Process/Course of Law", Piracy, Extortion, Racketeering, Conspiracy, Thief of Taxpayer Service; and similar for the Rights Affirmed under our Nation's "Deceleration of Independence", & of the "Truths" that were there-in declared as being "Self-Evident", & including that "all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable-rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness"; & the "Consent of the Governed". This includes Attempts at Murdering this Co-Plaintiff Edward Johnston 2nd.

I have Demanded that public-servant & acting "Sheriff Dennis Dodson" Deliver Copies of all

the Video-Recording-Files of when I was suffering my Heart Attacks in his Jail-Cell#: 66, with all of the recordings from all of the angles in the building, all as recorded on the dates of June 5th through June 7th, in the year 2013.

Pursuant to the research of my-self & others; we have obtained data which seems to powerfully indicate that all enforcements of all debt-collections in relation to our common people's homes, foreclosures & evictions, vehicles, & credit-cards, Electricity and Water that gives U.S. Our life-blood ; are all supposed to be capable of being "Discharged", through the "U.S. Treasury Window"; & all of this is under authority of, & in compliance with, the "1933 Bankruptcy Act", & with "House Resolution 192". Accused Conspirators: please deny this assertion, if any among you wish to contest its truthfulness.

Let it also be known that almost all modern "Public-Servants", are, "as a matter of law", recognizable as nothing more than "Private Contractors". This all stems from the very nature of "Municipal Corporations", under which almost all modern governments are structured. These include (but are not limited to): Public Servants as tax collectors, police officers, sheriffs department officers, Department Of Transportation employees, All license-tag agents, BAR-attorneys, Judges, Highway Patrol officers, & other assorted elected & appointed officers. Here-under multitudes of un-constitutional & malum-prohibitum based statutes/codes & case-law are routinely being colorably adopted, & the judges who are asked to enforce these un-constitutional statutes against Oregon's common people know this, but they consider that all of this is being done under "Private Contracts", & that is why they like us all to "Sign Documents" at every chance that they get.

For Example; the Lincoln County Sheriff, his Deputies, other officers, and agents, are all involved in these Crimes, by carrying out the Forceful Removal of this Co-Plaintiff "Edward Malone Johnston2nd", from my legal & God given homestead & responsibilities. These Corrupted Public-Servants have all acted through Intrinsic-Fraud, & with-out proper notice, or any due-process-of-law, & with out any lawful jurisdiction.

Please note also that many "Legal Fiction" Names are routinely used by corrupted Judges, Prosecutors, & Bar-Association Attorneys, to Lawlessly & Criminally Railroad many Innocent Oregonians of into Prison. This is all a massive part of the general "Racketeering Conspiracy" complained of here-in. These "Legal-Fiction" Names are usually spelled in "ALL CAPITOL LETTERS"; & they are not only used against Individual Oregonians & Americans; but they are also used in Court Proceedings to give "Color-Of-Law" Legitimacy to Lawlessly Empower such technically Un-Constitutional/De-Facto/Private/Corporation entities as, the "FEDERAL GOVERNMENT", the "UNITED STATES", or one of their Franchised/Licensed Sub-Corporations, Contractors, or Agents; such as: the "STATE OF OREGON", "COUNTY OF ****", "CITY OF ****".

A "Fictitious Entity" has been created by powerfully-influential & Criminally Conspiratorial "Dark Forces" who are supporting "Terrorism", & who are seeking to Endanger our County, State, & National Security, in the name of "EDWARD MALONE JOHNSTON II". These Criminal "Dark Forces" are more fully described in an accompanying or here-by referenced "Memorandum" document which is entitled similarly as: "Memorandum and Article Explaining: The Artificial Over-Lay Composing the Constitutionally-Lawless and De-Facto Government for the State of Oregon."

<http://oregongov.us/SecretaryofState/>

<http://oregongov.us/SecretaryofState/MemorandumonDeFactoOregonGovernment2.pdf>

As described in this memorandum/article, certain "Legal-Fictions" have been Created by Powerfully-Influential & Criminally-Syndicated Racketeering Conspirators, all to Over-Lay & Obscure our Organic/Constitutional "State of Oregon", of which this "Lincoln County" is a "Political-Subdivision". Here-under; all of this "Legal Fiction" stuff descends right on down to each individual

Oregonian, & Including this Co-Plaintiff of "Edward Malone Johnston 2nd". The Criminal Conspirators in Lincoln County, as here-in above named, have come to feel Threatened by this Co-Plaintiff Johnston's efforts at restoring Accountability in our local Lincoln-County Public-Offices. Others of similar mind-sets have been recruited in-to this Local Criminal-Conspiracy against the Constitutionally-Guaranteed Rights of All Oregonians, including this Co-Plaintiff Johnston.

As described in this referenced (& perhaps accompanying) "Memorandum" document, these "Legal Fictions" are formed for purposes of enabling the afore-mentioned "Criminal Conspirators" to Pillage & Plunder "We the People" who organically compose our Constitutional "Oregon State", "Lincoln County", & each of us as Free & Sovereign Americans & Oregonians. Here-under; these afore-said "Legal Fictions" have been created for the specific purpose of enabling the Conspirators to commit acts which are in violation of the "Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations Act", aka "R.I.C.O."

Here-under; the Fundamental "Purpose", "Intent", & "Motive", of the Co-Conspirators from amongst the Prosecutors, Judges, & Administrators, of this "Lincoln County Circuit Court", in using the afore-said "ALL CAPITAL LETTORS" Given Name of "EDWARD MALONE JOHNSTON II", is to Bamboozle & Deceive the common People of this Nation, State, & County, by way of this Criminal "Fraud". These Legal-Fictions were Born in Criminal "Fraud", & their only purpose for existing is to Perpetuate Criminal "Fraud". By their use of this "ALL CAPITALS NAME" of "EDWARD MALONE JOHNSTON II", these Criminal/Terrorists are Conspiring to Obstruct the Constitutionally-Guaranteed Rights of this real man, living and breathing "Edward Malone Johnston 2nd", a common-law man, living under our State & National "Constitutions", & under the Supreme Name & Laws of our God & Creator.

This seems to clearly indicate then that our Constitutional word "State" means an organic political-subdivision of our Constitutional "United States". However; when legislative-assemblies use these "ALL CAPITOL LETTERS", this seems clearly to indicate their intent that their legislation is intended to manage the affairs of the "DE-FACTO/CORPORATE STATE". This is true because research indicates that, other-wise, this would be altering our concept of the word "Constitution", by way of a "Legislative Act", and not through an authorized/ordinary "Constitutional Amendment". That entire process seems to change the meaning of the word "State", from its Constitutional-Definition, to its De-Facto Definition, as: "STATE". Similarly changed would be the phrase "United States" in-to "UNITED STATES"; & similarly when changed into such De-Facto concepts as "CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", INC.. These sorts of technical concerns all clearly seem to be maliciously-intended "Fraud in the Inducement".

"Expatriating a U.S. citizen SUBJECT to the Citizenship Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment on the ground that, after reaching the age of 18, the person has obtained foreign citizenship or declared allegiance to a foreign state generally will not be possible absent substantial evidence, apart from the act itself, that the individual specifically intended to relinquish U.S. citizenship. An express statement of renunciation of U.S. citizenship would suffice." The 14th Amendment creates and defines citizenship of the United States. It had long been contended, and had been held by many learned authorities, and had never been judicially decided to the contrary, that there was no such thing as a citizen of the United States, except by first becoming a citizen of some state."

United States v. Anthony (1874), 24 Fed. Cas. 829 (No. 14,459), 830.

"We have in our political system a government of the United States and a government of each of the several states. Each one of these governments is distinct from the others, and

each has citizens of its own who owe it allegiance, and whose rights, within its jurisdiction, it must protect. The same person may be at the same time a citizen of the United States and a citizen of a state, but his rights of citizenship under one of these governments will be different from those he has under the other.” **U. S. v. Cruikshank**, 92 U.S. 542 (1875).

“In other words, you do not have to be a citizen of the United States in order to be a state citizen. This was held to be true by the Maryland Supreme Court in 1966 wherein the state:

Both before and after the Fourteenth Amendment to the federal Constitution, it has not been necessary for a person to be a citizen of the United States in order to be a citizen of his state.” **Crosse v. Bd. of Supvr's of Elections**, 221 A.2d. 431 (1966)

But the phrase "Examination of Applicants" is deceptive in that it is "so worded as to mislead an ordinary mind as to the real purpose and scope of the enactment. (Butler v. Perry, 66 So. 150, 240 US 328) The test of whether a title misleads is whether it would deceive the mind of an ordinary person used to the common meaning of language, not the mind of a precisionist used to technical refinements of terms. (Ison v. Zimmerman, 372 So. 2d 431)" [Fla. Jur. 2d, Statutes, s. 63] The common meaning of the word "applicants" is radically different from the legal, technical meaning, so while the law and its title technically interpreted embrace the proper subject matter, the common man is deceived into thinking he is within the laws when he is not. This violates the spirit of protecting the public from deception caused by the technical use of words; it therefore appears be unconstitutional.

The federal government was never given any authority to encroach upon the private affairs of the Sovereigns in the several states of the union, unless they were involved in import or export activity, neither were they given authority to reach a citizen of Germany living in Germany. In fact, the states could refuse to enforce any act of congress, that they felt was outside the intent of the granting of limited powers to the federal government. This is called interposition or nullification. Several state supreme courts have in the past refused to uphold federal laws within their states.

In fact, in the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 54 (c) shows us that Congress knows and understands that federal laws do not apply within anyone of the several states of the union, but do apply in the Federal State (federal enclave) created by the Buck Act.

(c) Application of Terms. As used in these rules the following terms have the designated meanings. "Act of Congress" includes any act of Congress locally applicable to and in force in the District of Columbia, in Puerto Rico, in a territory or in an insular possession. "State" includes District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, territory and insular possession"

The Buck Act Title 4 U.S.C.S. § 110 (d) and (e) created this De-Facto/Sub-Corporate "Federal State" with-in the boundaries of our Constitutional "States". IF Congress wanted to apply their Acts to our American "Sovereign States", then they would only have to include a statement similar to: "the 50 sovereign States of the Union of several States". But, this they did not do; because, to do so, would be in clear violation of the intended restrictions of the Constitution for the United States of America.

All Free, & Sovereign Oregonians, as common-law men & women, are the beneficiaries of the social-compacts which are known as the "Constitutions" of the "United States", & of "Oregon"; & all with "Un-Alienable Rights" recognized there-in, as have been given to "We the People" by our God & Creator. Here-under; when any Oregonian gets any regular pay-check, from any De-Facto/Non-Constitutional Governmental entity, then the colorably-applicable statutory licensing, rules, codes, or

regulations (which are not Real “Laws”) Do Not Apply to Any of “We the People”. Period!

This Co-Plaintiff Johnston has Publicly Testified, since 1992, at Senate and House Hearings for the Peoples Right's to Travel, & on Subsistence Hunting, Fishing, & Food-Gathering, on all of our Public Lands & Waters, & including all right-of-ways. The Federal “Civil Rights Act” of 1866 is designed to comply with our peoples rights to feed ourselves and our families. The present Director of “Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife”, one: “Roy Elicker”, is specifically named as a Co-Defendant here-in; because of his knowing, willful, & malicious participation in this massive Deception & Obstruction of our People's Constitutionally-Guaranteed Rights to Feed Ourselves, off of our Oregon Public Lands & Waters. Since even before that 1992 date, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston has actively sought to promote the cause of Truth, Sovereignty, Justice, & Liberty, for our Common People of Oregon.

And because there are “Enemies of the State”, who are in Ruthless Criminal Racketeering Conspiracy to perpetuate the Enslavement of Oregon's Sovereign People, such as the here-in-named accused conspirators, & including Elicker. Our entire nation's organic U.S. -Sovereigns & Community-Owners have legitimate interests knowing that each of our smaller governmental jurisdictions is being operated in honorable manners. Here-under; this Co-Plaintiff has annually & publicly Demanded, that the Public-Servants of our Lincoln County & City of Toledo (Corporations) provide my-self with Copies of All of the Publicly-Owned Records, as is the “Public Right” of All of our Local Community Members. We should All have a “Public Consensus” about how much money is actually coming in-to our “Public-Treasury”, as well as for how much money of being Spent by our “Public-Treasury”.

And because I was being so vocal & insistent about Our Common-People's “Right to Know” these critically-important issues of “Public-Concern”. And because the afore-mentioned “Enemies of the State” have Infiltrated into the ranks of the here-in accused local Public-Servants, here-under, this is Why I believe that My House has been Broken In-to, & Robbed of the Documents that I was Holding in the Name of & in the Behalf of “The Public”, aka: “The People” of this Constitutional State of Oregon.

Included in these Demanded Copies of our Local Public-Records are All Bank Statements that are related to this case. For years this Co-Plaintiff has Demanded for a “Full-Accounting” of the In-Coming & Out-Going Funding-Streams for Our Common-People's local governmental jurisdiction's Treasuries. This Includes the “Interest and Divadents” on the “Stocks & Bonds” these Local Municipal Jurisdictions are receiving, & it also Includes the money received from any & all Sales of our Local “Water & Lands”. These sorts of Public-Lands should Never be Sold for Any Purpose. Public-Servants who sign those sorts of Commercial-Instruments are signing “Devil's Contracts”. The officers of our local municipal jurisdictions should never consider them-selves as anything more than “Stewards of the Land”.

This is true because our American & Oregon-State System of “Constitutional-Law” is firmly-rooted in “Common-Law”; & where-under any of these sorts of “Sales-Contracts” of our Public-Lands are Not Legitimate or Lawful, & No-One is Liable there-under, other than perhaps the Incompetent &/or Corrupted Public-Servants who allegedly authorize any such that sales. All of this, properly comprehended, reaches-back to the years prior to the “Norman Conquest of 1066-ad”. There-in was the full-bloom of such concepts as “Squatters-Rights”, & “Adverse- -Possession”; where (& similar to the American Indian traditions), the People on the Land were considered as nothing more than “Stewards of the Land”. This is Why “Common-Law is also known as the “Law of the Land”. This is Why there has been traditional “Conflict of Laws”, between “Common-Law”, & the “Law of the Sea”, that has been grafted into our American system of Laws, through the “Maritime” & “Admiralty” jurisdictions; which, in turn, are related to the “Laws of Commerce”.

<http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/babelaw.htm>

<http://freedom-school.com/admiralty/how-jewish-law-became-english-law.pdf>

<http://constitutionalgov.us/Archive/Charles/ZEditingDocuments/LawNotes/JewishShetar.pdf>

But the larger point is that this Co-Plaintiff has been Lawfully-Demanding a “Full & Open Accounting” for how much money is flowing in-to our Public-Treasuries, & then for How these Funds are being Spent. This is “Public-Treasury Money”, which should Not be Distributed to Any Private Individuals or Corporations, unless there is First this sort of a “Full Accounting” for our entire local Community. For many years these Public-Servants have been Destroying these sorts of Publicly-Owned Documents, which are clearly “Public-Records”, which Evidence Where Our Local-People's Publicly-Owned Money Goes. Yet Not a One of these Public-Servants, including the here-in above named defendants, have provided me with any of these rightfully-demanded documents.

It seems that All modern Public-Servants are Paid for their services in Commerce-Based De-Facto “Debt Notes”. These Debts are being carried on over to our children; who, through the mis-use of their “Birth-Certificates”, are colorably there-by being enslaved to the devil. Instead; we need to be teaching our children how to grow food on both our public & private lands, and right of ways, of our entire Oregon Territory. These are our Publicly-Owned & Sovereign Food Resources.

Further here-under; our Children need to prepare them-selves in manners similar our present concerns for a multitude of Environmental Disasters, such as the Nuclear-Waste that is flowing to our Oregon-Coast from Japan Right Now.

To summarize; while many Public-Servants who are obviously inclined to behave honorably; they need to be aware that they have clear Duties to Protect these Public-Records, which have been Paid For by the “General-Funding” from the Treasuries of Lincoln County & the City of Toledo. Here-under; these Public-Servants need to be further-aware that, when they Destroy valuable Public-Documents such as these, or other-wise Obstruct Full-Accountings of our moneys, which are flowing though our local treasuries; that these acts are clearly lawfully-recognizable by “Reasonable People” as Evidencing that they are becoming involved in Conspiracies, as “Obstructing Justice”, “Obstructing Accountability”, & as their participation in such “Class-A Felony Crimes” as “Racketeering”.

Also; from 2007, until this present date; massive amounts of Evidence that I have been holding in the Public-Interest has been Stolen from my home; much of which has involved “Police Reports” & “Court Cases”, many of which have Never been Lawfully-Settled, because of the Institutionalized “Obstruction of Justice” by Criminal-Racketeering Co-Conspirators, such as those named here-in.

Also: this Co-Plaintiff has also been subjected to the Filing of Lawless, Harassing, & False Police-Reports and threats by my neighbors Brian & Karen Timmy. Specifically, these people have fled false police-reports complaining about the condition of my home & yard & family 2000-2004; & have Also accused me of faking my physical disability. “Michell Johnson Is Brian's Former Wife”

Time-Line of the Situational “Facts” of this case:

These times generally began near 1990, & they continued through this date in 2013.

Sometime near November of 1989:

At this time; I was employed as one of the door-men & “on the job” at a Newport bar named the “Pipe-Tide Lounge”; I was severely stuck in the head by one of the local wealthy-family's kids, who was named “Kevin Bates”. There-by; I became disabled with a “closed head injury & several blows to the head ” Resulted in two blown discs in my spinal-column; all from an “on the job injury”. This

entire altercation, & my health injuries there-in, were all Covered-Up by corrupted local Police, & a Judge named "Robert Huckleberry".

Sometime in 1997:

At this time, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was Assaulted, Kidnapped, & "Held for Ransom", by men acting as the Newport Municipal City-Police. That event is associated with Lincoln County Court This case was f. In that case; I was illegally & colorably convicted by a man acting as a Lincoln County Circuit-Court Judge, one "Robert Huckleberry". I was there-by colorably convicted for a D.U.I. Charge, & all under breathalyzer test-results that showed my blood alcohol-level to only be at . 0002 Below 0008. That corrupted judge Huckleberry appointed a corrupted "defense attorney" to help me with my defense in this case; & he was named on court file. This corrupted defense- -attorney clearly implied a Threat against me, when he said to me that If I Filed an Appeal with Oregon's "Court of Appeals", & there-in alleged that Lincoln County Circuit-Court Judge "Robert Huckleberry" was a part of a larger Racketeering Conspiracy, that I Might "Just Disappear". File with the 2012 Case #12-600

At this same time, I was active in the Oregon State Legislature, & working in opposition to an un-constitutional & malum-prohibitum based so-called "No Tolerance" drug & alcohol bill. I was lobbying in opposition to that criminally-conspiratorial bill. Former Lincoln County District-Attorney "Bernice Barnett" was lobbying in support of that criminally-conspiratorial bill; & Barnett used this case of mine to support her criminal racketeering agenda in a Hearing before the Oregon State Legislative Assembly.

In & near 1999:

A friend named "Frank Landry" came with this Co-Plaintiff Johnston to the Newport water reservoir, where we were audio and video Recording our meeting of people who were concerned about ecological-damage by Public-Servants of the City of Newport & it's contractors. This was then being reported to State Senator "Gary George". This Co-Plaintiff was then gathering this information from & for Senator George's constituents, because we all were concerned about insuring that we had safe drinking-water in the City of Newport. Here-by; Senator George did a wonderful job in caring for his constituents.

In this case; one employee of the "Oregon Department of Forestry", named "Jack Dunaway" ordered a state police-officer too arrest Frank Landry & my-self; because, allegedly, he viewed our Recording of that Public-Meeting as being some-how "Un-Lawful". In conformity with his mind-control programming as a jack-booted nazi, the stupid & corrupted cop did Arrest only Frank Laundry; but I some-how escaped his clutches.

A court-case ensued; but Mr Landry won that court case. The jury there-in said that the audio and video recording of our elected and public-servants was & is lawful. Years later, corrupted Public-Servants in Lincoln County Stole Frank Laundry's land, in efforts to gain profits of their private "Lincoln County municipal-corporation. Frank Laundry later Died from a Massive Heart-Attack; which seems logically to have been caused, at least in part, from the Shock of his suffering having his Land being Lawlessly & Criminally Stolen by these Racketeering-Usurpers, who were then Acting as Public-Servants, & especially including the then acting Lincoln County Sheriff ?????.

in or near 2005

Co-plaintiff Johnston was UN-lawfully Arrested for going to a Child care and mandatory reporting commercial state class For Oregon State Senator Geary George Oregon State Representative Representative Wayne Krieger Has a copy of the Video Assault ,Grand thief auto of my motor-carriage. To men acting Newport police-officers

In or near the year 2006:

Toledo Mayor, City Council members , the Toledo Police-Chief Don Dennison, the Fire-Chief. & the Toledo City-Attorney James Reggurie and others; all joined together in filing a Complaint with SSI-Disability; & there-in alleging that this Co-Plaintiff Ed Johnston was faking his dis-ability claim. Shortly there-after; the federal "Department of Justice" began an investigation of these allegations that this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was faking his disability. Toledo Police-Chief Dennison assisted in that federally-sponsored investigation. Former City Attorney James Reggurie was then a "Business Partner" with this Co-Plaintiff's neighbor, one "Brain Timmy". Toledo City council woman Michell Johnson is Brian Timmy's former Wife. www.oregontrackers.com/Don_Denison_case_.html

In the November 2006:

The federal "Department of Justice" issued an "Inspector-General's Report", which drew conclusions regarding the allegations of the above-mentioned Complaints from the local Toledo City Public-Servants and others. In that Report the Inspector-General declared that she was Persuaded from the Evidence before him, that, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was "Limited" in my ability to function in a productive work-place capacity; aka, essentially declaring that In fact I Am "Disabled"; & in effect, he there-by Rejected the complaints of the local Toledo City Public-Servants, as being Not in harmony with the available Evidence.

Sometime in 2007:

At this time, & along with the Oregon State Attorney's Assassination, & with Senator Gary George, and numerous others; this Co-plaintiff Johnston did Speak in a public-hearing in Opposition to & Against one "Senate Bill 111". That Senate-Bill was there-in referred to as the "Deadly Force Bill"; & it colorably required local District Attorneys to "Cover-Up" their investigations of accusations that local cops had used deadly-force to murder people in our local counties under Commercial Statues and codes.

March 3 2008

Since the year 2000; this this Co-Plaintiff Edward Malone Johnston 2nd, has been subjected to many instances of assaults, harassment, and threats, by the Public-Servants, Employees, & Agents of Lincoln County, & from the same in the Cities of Toledo & Newport. This specific event, on Sunday the third, happened two days after a Friday event, where this Co-plaintiff had called in-to the radio talk-show of one "Lars Larson". I had there-in publicly-advocated support for a "Petition" that I had started, in support of Oregon's Common-People's un-obstructed Right to Subsentence, by way of gathering our own food from Public Lands, through such activities as hunting, fishing, & food gathering. There-in, I had further publicly advocated that: there is no Constitutional-Laws which

legitimize any mandate that Oregon's Common-People be Required to Pay any Fees for any Privileges or Licenses to "live off of the land", because our Creator gave us the Right to do so, so that we would not be forced to starve. I further preached that; there does exist a "Mandate of God in Heaven", that we are "Sovereigns", who are Crated in His Image; & further here-under, that any & all such Statutes and Codes that purport to require us to Pay Fees, for alleged "Privileges" to Gather Food from Our Public-Lands, do No Apply to any of U.S. .

Then, "Only Two Days Later", & on this date of Sunday the Third, this Co-Plaintiff had gone to "Nye Beach", to briefly walk my dog, in Newport. I had parked my motor-carriage in a turn-around zone in the parking-lot. I did Not notice that, a seeming recent change had happened there, in that the section that I had parked in, had been painted yellow, which was apparently intended by local city officials to give public-notice of a restriction on the ability of the public to use that section for general parking. In larger-context, people had commonly parked in that same space since that parking-area had been first built; & further, I had a "Disabled" card clearly placed on my vehicle to show to everyone that I was not to be subjected to such restrictions.

Then, as I was returning from my walk on the beach with my dog, & I was trying to enter my motor-cartage to leave, two men who were dressed as, & other-wise acting as, "Newport City Police Officers", pulled up their vehicle & they stepped out of it, in manners which seemed a bit hostile to me. I kindly waived to them, & said that "I am just leaving". One of them then hollered at me, to the effect that: "Your Not Going Anywhere"; & they both then immediately rushed towards me & began Severely Assaulting & Beating me; all of which resulted in "Five Disks" in my back's vertebra becoming Collapsed & "Blown" & with the lower-disk in my back becoming completely "Crushed".

Sometime in or near February of 2010:

At this time, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did Complain in a Public-Meeting of the Newport City-Council, concerning the then publicly known "Theft" and "Destruction" of "Public-Documents", as was committed by one "Bill Bain", who was then acting as the "Mayor of Newport Oregon". This Theft & Destruction of these Public-Documents, was a "Criminal Act", as is recognizable under "Oregon Revised Statutes", at ORS 162.305, & Entitled as "Tampering With Public-Records", & which is there-in recognizable as a "Class C Felony". To the best of my recollection, & in my sincere belief, this Co-Plaintiff then did clearly inform all present in that Newport City-Council meeting, that all of this was in Violation of these Oregon Criminal Codes & Statutes.

Also in 2010; District Attorney Rob Bovett denied this Co-Plaintiff's rightful demand that he furnish me with copies of these sorts of public records. All of these records are rightfully Demandable by all members of the Public, such as this co-plaintiff, because they are funded by the taxes which we all pay. It then became apparent that I would not be allowed copies of these records, especially concerning the "False Police Reports" that some people in my neighborhood have filed against me.

Sometime in or near April of 2010:

At this time, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did File a Written Criminal-Complaint, with one "Lincoln County District Attorney", named "Rob Bovett". There-in, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did allege the "Theft" and Destruction of "Public-Documents", as committed by one "Bill Bain", who was then acting as the "Mayor of Newport Oregon". This Theft & Destruction of these Public-Documents, was a "Criminal Act", as is recognizable under "Oregon Revised Statutes", at ORS 162.305, & Entitled as "Tampering With Public-Records". This citation of this Oregon Criminal Code & Statute was specifically cited in this Co-Plaintiff's Written Criminal Complaint, as filed with "Lincoln County D.A. Bovett".

Further, & at this same time; this Co-Plaintiff did attend another "Newport City-Council

Meeting”, & there-in I did clearly Speak concerning this very issue, & in my words presented there, I did clearly explain about, & complain of, all of these “Criminal Acts”, by “Bill Bain”; & also about the Conspiratorial Assistance given to Bain by local “County D.A. Bovett”.

Further, here-under; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did attempt a “Public Arrest” of the then Acting “Mayor Bain”, because of his afore-mentioned “Crimes”. How-ever; I was “Hindered” in my attempts at completing this Arrest & Prosecution of accused Criminal Bain, by the corrupted Newport Police Officers, who were then clearly standing by on the meeting, & who were then clearly pre-disposed towards intimidating & Coercing me waway from being able to complete my Arrest of & Prosecution of Criminal Bain, This is all Criminal; as ois recognizable under ORS 162.305, “Tampering with public records”. A link to a News-Article related to all of this follows here: Clearly stating the laws don't apply. <http://www.newslincolncounty.com/archives/99>

5-February-2012:

At this time, & under Lincoln-County Court-Case 12-600; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was falsely charged with “Mis-Use of 9-11 Emergency Services”. Entangled in this case, there occurred a “False Arrest” of this Co-Plaintiff Johnston. The circumstances of this entire event occurred after I called 9-11; to report that my home had been broken in-to. Soon after I made this phone-call; Two Men showed up at my home, who were dressed like & acting like Toledo City Police-Officers.

These men, dressed as Toledo City Cops, were quite clearly & entirely “Un-Concerned” with the Crime that I was Attempting to Report; & they then directly retaliated against me. Cop Delmore then directly Assaulted me, he put a tazer-gun to my neck, there-by threatening my very life; & he then twisted my arm back behind my shoulders, there-by causing extreme pain in my arm, neck, & back; all of which was entirely with-out any sort of Justification, which means it was all part of the Crime of “Assault”. Toledo Cop “Micheal Pace” was the Last Person in my home, before I was Assaulted, Kidnapped, & Held for Ransom in the Lincoln County Jail. Cops Delmore & Michael Pace there-after did Trespass & Breach the Peace on the land of this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, and my land is clearly posted with “No Trespassing” signs.

Common-Knowledge recognizes that there are “Taxes”, which are automatically included in every Oregonian's Phone-Service Bills, & which their proponents Allege to be “Voluntary”. Common-Knowledge further recognizes that a portion of these Taxes are used to Support this “9-11 Emergency Service”, which this Co-Plaintiff Called to Report this Crime. This funding-stream was Not even put in front of we the people as voters for our approval. Yet we are coerced in-to paying these Taxes, effectively at gun-point; all of which makes this entire enterprize recognizable as being in violation of Oregon-State & Federal “RICO” Statutes.

And now when some among us who are active for Common-Law-Justice & Accountability from our Public-Servants actually attempt to use this alleged “Service”; then suddenly uniformed police-officers begin coming to life very similarly as Hitler's Jack-Booted Nazis; & stomping into our homes, & beating the shit out of us, & brutally assaulting us, & threatening us with instantaneous death.

This entire economics-of-evil dynamic flows Incoming From the Economic-Life-Blood of All of our Common-People, To our local Public -Municipal Treasuries; & all of which are Coercively-Imposed through our allegedly-lawful “Bonded-Indebtedness”. All of this has Colorably been Authorized by our County or City “Commissioners”, to Purchase Bonds, to Finance the Construction of Jails, Prisons, &/or FEMA-Camps; & bogus “9-11 Emergency Services”, that do Not work worth a Dam. All of this is flowing as Out-Go From our Local Treasuries, In-to the Construction-Companies of the Prisons; & to the Bankers, & Lawyers, & assorted other Privates/Special-Interest-Groups which there-by & Parasitically Profit, both Personally & Privately. Here-by; not only is our Land

Destroyed, but also is the Food-Recourses which naturally flow there-from.

These Parasitically-Hi-Jacked Economic-Life-Blood Funding-Streams as Paid-For, not only through our "Phone-Bills", but also through our general UN-constitutional Property-Taxes, & their accompanying & Coercively-Imposed Foreclosure-Tax-Sales of our Homes & land.

The more deeply Evil of these Criminally-Syndicated Social-Parasites exist at the Higher-Levels as Bankers & Attorneys, & other assorted Corporate CEO's & Foreign-Agents. They are there-by acting like Nazis, because they obtain their Coercively-Extorted share of our organic body-politic's economic-life-blood, through their pillage & plunder of our local Treasuries, all by way of their Attorneys advocating our Purchase of their Bogus Private/Corporate Stocks & Bonds. This is called "Bonded Indebtedness", & "Municipal Bonds".

Here-by; are Financed, the Pay-Checks to the lower-mussel-levels of their mindless & reptilian-brained paracitical-body; such as Cops Delmore, Pitcher, & Raurk. Both upper & lower levels of that larger social-parasite-class are feeding-off-of our common-people's organic body-politic, through the incompetent purchase & investment by our local municipal city & county commissioners, & school & fire district representatives, of these sores of "Municipal Bonds", & "Bonded Indebtedness".

For example; in 1999, a similarly Jack-Booted-Nazi Orientated "Senate-Bill 449" was passed in Oregon's Legislature, which supported the ability of "Social Services Workers" to bamboozle disgruntled school-children in-to snitching on their own parents. This entire evil mind-set is clearly becoming Epidemic, & it is destroying all that is good in the very fabric of our entire democratic-republic society.

On this same date; numerous Files which I was working on, & which documented local police & sheriffs abuses of local community members, were stolen from my custody. Those files included the documentation of my "False-Arrest" at the Lincoln County DMV Office, on the date of 3-December-2012; when I attempted to register my motor-carriage with the DMV. This case was "Dis-missed" Promptly; because it was "Political". Many Newport City Policemen who were caught in the middle of these political attacks on me, later, did Contact me, and they then did Apologize to me, personally, for there un-lawful involvement. I hold these men in Respect, because they acted as honorable public-servants & men; & I hope that they keep-up with their honor.

My research has lead me to believe that these "Traffic Tickets" are recognized by the modern Federal "Internal Revenue Service" as legitimizing their authority to coercively extract economic-recourses, as "Taxable Income", from the cash-flow from our many modern corporate/municipal State, County, & City governmental jurisdictions. Here-under, & in bold-faced violation of the Rights of our Common-People to Travel on Our "Public Highways"; Economic-Life-Blood is being coercively extracted from our local body-politic. Further here-under; these local municipal governmental jurisdictions seem to be required to file IRS Form "1099". And even further, here-under; all modern "Traffic Tickets" seem recognizable as merely Commercial "Revenue Generating" Devices, for the entity that is acting as our constitutional "Federal Government".

"An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed."

Norton vs Shelby County 118 US 425 p.442

"The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and the name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it."

16th American Jurisprudence 2d, Section 177, late 2nd, Section 256.

"Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions,

which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property...and is regarded as UNALIENABLE."

16 C.J.S., Constitutional Law, Sect.202, p.987.

"An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property."

Title 18 U.S.C. 891-896, quoting Section 891.

Sometime in April of 2013:

At this time, and inside of the geographical-boundaries of the City of Toledo, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was subjected to what is commonly known as a "Traffic Stop", by one "Aaron Pitcher", who was then acting as a "Toledo City Police Officer". Both Pitcher & this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did step out from our separate vehicles at about the same time. As Officer Pitcher was stepping out of his car, he partially pulled his hand-gun out of his holster, as in motion to suggest that he was about to murder this Co-Plaintiff Johnston. Pitcher then said: "Johnston, where is your gun?"; when he realized that I was not carrying my side arm, as I have a public second amendment reputation of doing, as a public-service, to assist in "keeping the peace", in my home community here.

At that time, this Co-Plaintiff did inform Officer Pitcher that I was Traveling on our Public-Highways as a Matter of Public-Right. However; Officer Pitcher's response to this Co-Plaintiff, was that, People do Not have any Rights to Travel on our Public-Highways with-out their first being given Permission/License from some sort of an Oregon State regulatory agency. Pitcher then called other Toledo City Police Officers for back-up, & two other officers appeared shortly there-after, & they were named as "Sargent Raurk", & "Desmond Harpster".

After these two other Toledo City Police Officers had arrived, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did return to my own motorized carriage, & I obtained there-from copies of paper-work that communicates testimony from US-Supreme-Court Decisions, that clearly articulates that Common Americans have the Constitutional Rights to Travel, With-Out Licenses, on our Public Highways. As conversation regarding all of this ensued, this Co-Plaintiff clearly demanded that all three of these police-officers communicate to me Evidence of any "Lawful Authority" that they might have for making their "Traffic Stop" of me, & for their further harassment, in that they were also demanding that I show them paper-work that evidenced that I had an "Insurance" policy, & current "Tags" on my License-Plates.

Officer Raurk responded that he did "Not Recognize" the US Supreme-Court decisions that I had then presented to him; & all three of these officers Refused to present to me any Evidence of any of their Presumed "Lawful Authority" for their making their Victimless-Crime "Traffic-Stop", & for their subsequent Demands that I produce Evidence that I had an "Insurance" policy, & current "Tags" on my vehicle.

In essence, I then said to Raurk: "What about the "Investigation" on Officer Delmore, for him putting the tazer at my on throat, on that 5-February-2012 date? That "Investigation" was clearly required from you, by City Manager Michelle Amberg, who wanted you to there-by investigate this September 2012. knowing & willful endangerment of my life by your Co-Police-Officer Delmore. Corrupted "Police-Officer Raurk's" Response was that he "decided that Delmore acted appropriately."

in a assault of a sovereign. In then said to Raurk: "So Why did You Not Inform either City-Manager Amberg, or my-self, about Your Decision that your Co-Officer Raurk had acted appropriately?

Raurk then said, in essence, that: "Neither You nor Manager Amberg needed to be informed of my decision."

I there-after called Amberg about Raurk's clear dereliction of his duties as a competent public-

-servant here; & Amberg said that she would investigate the matter further. Amberg has never communicated back to me on this issue.

On the later Date of May 2013; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did demand at the Toledo City Council deliver copies of the publicly-owned Audio & Video Recordings of the assault, harassment, and stalking, as Recorded from the publicly-owned cars, of Public-Servants, Sergeant Raurk, Aaron Pitcher, Desmond Harpster, during their Criminal "Traffic Stop". As already mentioned, Cop Raurk clearly stated that does Not Recognize the Supreme court-case-law of: As Co-plaintiff owns his private outright motor-cartage an is not for hire plate owned 449 cbk.

"Laws penalize right to travel if they deny person necessity of life, such as free medical care."
(Pottinger v. City of Miami, 810 F.Supp. 1551 (1992)) [6 Fla. D 2d-393, Const. Law]

"Compelling state interest" is not satisfied despite existence of substantial and desirable government interests. See William v. Rhodes, 393 U.S. 23, 31-34, 89 S.Ct. 5, 10-12, 21 L.Ed.2d 24 (1968). [From Pottinger v. Miami, at 1581]

16-May-2013:

On this date, an audio-recording was made of further Harassment by acting Toledo City Police Chief David Enyeart; where-in, Enyeart did clearly Threaten this Co-Plaintiff with lawless/felony (in essence) Assault & Kidnapping, if I might be so bold as to exercise my Constitutionally-Guaranteed Rights to Travel on Our Public-Highways. Also; in this recording, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did clearly declares, in essence: "With Respect to you, Enyeart, I do not consent to, or comply with, any statues, codes, or anything else that is not lawful supreme-court case-law, decision or precedent."

Acting Police Chief Enyeart then (effectively) said "Just comply (with this deception), and pay the bill that we are (effectively extorting &) charging you with". The portion of this audio-recording of acting Chief Enyeart, warning this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, that, my safety would here-by endangered by his (lawless) cops, starts at just after the 9-minute-mark in this audio/video.

Enyeart also here-in declares that his cops would (effectively) commit these Crimes against me, even if I was having another heart-attack, & was traveling to the Hospital to get Medical Assistance.

The following recording is evidence of these Criminal Threats by Enyeart:

www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTXTZOI8Oqg

Also in this same recording is acting Chief Enyeart also clearly declaring that he would send a copy of the video-file of the recording of the "Traffic Stop" that was done by his Toledo City Police Officers, against this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, back in April of 2013. To this date, no Toledo City Public-Servant, including acting Police-Chief Enyeart, has never sent that promised video-file-recording to this Co-Plaintiff Johnston.

5-June-2013:

On this date: two men, acting as "Toledo City Police Officers" came to Laura Weaver's home, and threatened to arrest Laura. How-ever; they instead arrested this co-plaintiff Edward M Johnston, for sticking up for the rights of Laura & myself. This event began with one "Robert Raurk" (who is here-in-after referred to as "Raurk"), who was acting as a Public-Servant & "Sargent" in the "Police-Department" of the "City of Toledo" (Corporation). On this date, & at about the time of 2:13-pm, "Raurk" did Un-Lawfully, & with Criminal-Intent, "Assault", "Kidnap", "Arrest", "Holding for Ransom", this Co-Plaintiff Edward Johnston. The neighbor of this Co-Plaintiff Johnson, one "Brian Timmy", did Witness this Lawless Arrest; and even told this Co-Plaintiff Edward how I should not have been arrested at all; because, Mr Timmy clearly saw that this Co-Plaintiff was Not doing anything wrong or un-lawful.

Even though Brian Timmy is my neighbor, & is a favorable witness in this instance, Mr Timmy is not at all prejudice in my favor. Since the year 2000, & to the best of my knowledge; Mr Timmy has filed complaints with the Toledo Police-Department against me, where-in he has alleged two points, that I am not physically-disabled, & also that I am Not maintaining my land & property in he's opinion of a socially-responsible manner.

To be fair; Mr Timmy is probably under immense peer-pressure to fabricate these sorts of complaints against me; because the afore-mentioned & local public-servants have been consumed by some sort of a "vendetta" against me; & all in their efforts to get revenge for my shedding light on their local corruption of the public-offices which they hold. By these local corrupted public-servants peer-pressuring my neighbor, Brian Timmy, To my understanding into lending support, by filing these sorts of false-complaints against me, they can there-by hope to gain sufficient popular-support, to shift the light of my public-exposure of their criminal-corruption of their local city-offices, over & away from their own corruption, & off on-to their allegations that I am faking my physical disability. As I was forced to put my family on the street With there conspire with County and City members.

As declared in the previous 2005 section of the time-line part of this complaint/notice, Brian Timmy was a business-partner with then City Attorney Reggurie, & all of this lends credibility to they allegation that there is a massive "Vendetta" out to get me, from corrupted local "powers that be" city public-servants. My Neighbor, Brain Timmy also has a discrepancy in our property lines, that David Loomis Survey has done illegal surveys on my land hied by others. The rummor is he had to move the property line over three feet so his son above Both our property's could build a condominium, This Was stated to me by Brain Timmy in 2009. Loomis survey was done with a survey on my land with out my authority. I have gone by the Original Recorded Fillings that are in the Lincoln County Records Office, at the time of purchase, when I claimed stewardship/ownership of said Land, on November of 1996.

Immediately Following this Assault & Kidnapping, this Co-Plaintiff Edward Johnston did Demand that Raurk Deliver this Co-Plaintiff Johnston to a Magistrate for a Hearing, as was this Co-Plaintiff's Constitutionally-Guaranteed Right. Acting Public-Servant "Raurk" then did knowingly & willfully Refused to Grant this Co-Plaintiff Johnston my Constitutionally-Guaranteed/Due-Process Right to be Arraigned before a Magistrate in a timely-manner; & "Raurk" then did throw this Co-Plaintiff Johnston in "Cell 66" of the Lincoln County Jail.

At about 3:30-pm, & still in this jail-cell, this co-plaintiff Johnston did begin suffering from all of the symptoms of a "Heat Attack"; & I then immediately informed the attending sheriff's deputies of my then suffering from these heart-attack symptoms. The deputies said that they would notify the "Jail Nurse" of my complaints; & they delayed in giving me any medication for about 4-hours, or until about 7:30-pm, when they finally gave me two nitroglycerin tablets.

This co-plaintiff Johnston was then lawlessly & criminally held in this Class-A Felony "Kidnapping" condition for another two days, past this June 5th date, on over & continuing through the 6th & 7th; of 2013.

Here-under; Officer Raurk is in Violation of his Duty to Honor his Oath of Office as a Sargent in Oregon's Toledo City Police Department. In turn; this is also in direct violation of the United States Code, at Title 18 Sections 241-242; & at Title 5, at Sections: 2906, & 3331-3333. These sections recognize that the salary of all public-servants, such as Raurk, is directly-linked to their honoring of their oath to up-hold & support our State & National Constitutions & Laws. These are the Duties of All Public-Servants & Peace-Keepers; & all pursuant to their Privileges to Contract as Employees with "We the People" of any of our various constitutional governmental jurisdictions.

6-June-2013;

On this date, this Co-Plaintiff Edward Malone Johnston 2nd of the Boyd Family, was brought about 30-feet from the jail-cell that I had been incarcerated in, to a different room of the jail building, & which contained a video-camera & video-monitor. On that video-monitor, was displayed the image of one "Thomas O Branford", who was then acting as a "Judge" of the "Lincoln County Circuit Court". This Co-Plaintiff Johnston later learned that there were other witnesses to these events in that video-televised court room; who included, but were not limited to, my friend & neighbor "Laura Weaver", an unnamed court recorder, the Lincoln County D.A. "Rob Bovett", & public-defender attorney who was "appointed" without any authority delegated from my-self, but named as one "Bruce McCrumb".

Branford's voice then did become audible to this Co-Plaintiff & the deputies in this video-conference room with me. Branford's voice then did call my name & read a case-number to me. This Co-Plaintiff Johnston then did speak back to the image & voice of Branford, in this video-conference, so-as to inform Branford that I did not consent to, & was objecting to, becoming contractually-entangled in their commerce-based proceeding. Branford then replied that He would "Speak For" this Co-Plaintiff Edward Johnston; & he also then directed the deputies, directly, to "Keep That Man Quiet".

This Co-Plaintiff Johnston then did further & strenuously object to & deny any authority for Branford to exercise his criminally-lawless & presumed jurisdiction over the constitutionally-guaranteed liberties of this Co-Plaintiff Johnston. More specifically, this Co-Plaintiff then did clearly declare, on the record, that I did Not then Comply to his presumption of jurisdiction over me, & that any such presumption were in direct Violation of the US-Code at Title-5, Sections 2906, 3331, & 3333; and also that it was in violation of Title-18, Sections 241, 242; & also of Title 17, which goes directly into common-law "Breach of Peace" and "Trespass" crimes, against all Americans. Also; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston there-in did Twice declare that I was a "Living & Breathing Man".

During this entire video-conference based proceeding, the female deputy who seemed to be in charge of the video & audio equipment, was then tampering with & unplugging & re-plugging the connections to the microphones that were being used by Branford & this co-plaintiff to communicate with each other. This female deputy then sarcastically & arrogantly said to this co-plaintiff Johnston: "we are having technical difficulties."

The recordings of this video-conference do clearly Evidence that Judge Branford did then knowingly & willfully involve him-self & others acting as officers of the court, to Commit "Crimes" against this Co-Plaintiff Johnston. There-by; he did, knowingly and willfully, aid and abet in, the same Criminal-Acts; which includes his Denial of my Rights to Due Process of Law, Kidnapping, Holding for Random, Assault, Racketeering, Threatening Life, Personal Injuries; & Denial of Medical-Help of heart-medicine of nitroglycerin, when I was incarcerated & suffering from heart-attacks.

This was all in violation of his Judges "Oath of Office", as described in the US-Code, at Title-5, Sections 2906, 3331, 3333; & also of USC at Title-9, which prohibits the Crime of: Breach of the Peace; & at Title 7, of Trespass, Kidnapping, & Holding for Ransom. All of these Crimes were committed with no legal or moral jurisdiction to have done so; & they were not only committed against the Rights of this Co-Plaintiff "Edward Malone Johnston 2nd", of the Boyd Family; but also against the rights of the People of Lincoln County, & of Oregon state.

After this video-conference was concluded, & at about 3-pm, on this same date, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was told by a Sheriff's Deputy that "Your Attorney is here to talk to you". I then was moved to another conference-room in the Lincoln County Jail, where I was then introduced to a Defense-Attorney named "Bruce McCrumb". I there-by learned that McCrumb had been allegedly Appointed by acting Judge Branford, to Assist this Co-Counter-Plaintiff Johnston in defending against the charges that were initially brought against me in this case.

McCrumb then said that the charges that have been alleged against me were "Harassment", and with "Interfering with a Police-Officer". I responded to both of these issues by saying to McCrumb that I knew that he was fully aware of my past case with one "Frank Landry", back in the year 1999, where a Lincoln County Circuit Court had clearly declared that it is Lawful to audio and video Record our elected Public-Employees. And further here-under; I told McCrumb that this charge was all about my having similarly Video-Recorded Toledo City Police Officers Raurk & Desmond; & all before they lawlessly "turned the tables" on me, so that hey could make this lawfully baseless counter-accusation against me, all just like the criminally-syndicated racketeering conspirators which they lawfully are.

McCrumb's only response to my entire counter-argument here was that: "We are not going to talk about that.". I then felt that the actor McCrumb was pretty-much entirely useless in my efforts to defend my constitutionally-guaranteed Rights; & here-under, I then said to McCrumb, in essence, that I did not comply with, or understand, what he was referring to; & that I had been assaulted and kidnapped by people acting as police-officers, but who were acting out-side of their lawful-authority; and that I was now effectively being held for ransom (aka: Lawlessly as "Bail"). This Co-Plaintiff also then told McCrumb that I knew, that he knew me well enough to know that, I have sever chronic-pain, and that I also have a severe heart-health-condition.

Bruce McCrumb's response was effectively that he knew that we needed to talk with the sheriff deputy's about getting this Co-Counter-Plaintiff some medication, & an extra bed-pad. He then repeated that they are Charging me with "Harassment", and with "interfering with a police officer".

I effectively said: "What harassment? They have been Harassing Me for years about my rights to travel on the public-highways; and they destroyed my family with slander and personal attacks on myself and my former faience on her children, and you know that. And I also successfully Recalled the Lincoln county school board back in 2002. And I also was the one who raised the issue of back in 2004, when Pattie Burk Deceptively mis-informed the public of the Lawless 2004 labor-day "Fishing Closure" attempt by the Leaders in Oregon's Department of "Fish & Wildlife". All of these have been Assaults & Harassments Against Me. As My bumper sticker in 2004 Said "ODFW the real Grinch Who Stole Christmas". These crooked-cops are co-conspirators who are just trying to "turn the tables' on me, in Their Efforts to Distract from the Crimes of Them-Selves & their Co-Conspirators."

McCrumb then said something similar as: "Yes Ed, we all know that what happen to your family. But that is not the issue."

I told McCrumb something similar as: "there is video is on Youtube of: David Enyart, who is the man who is acting as Toledo's police-chief, & where he is clearly threatening me, & where Enyart clearly stated that he "has no control over his officers. They are union-members; & I (Enyart) am a private contractor." <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTXTZOI8Oqg>

McCrumb's response was effectively that "I sure would like to see that video." McCrumb then further asked this Co-Counter-Plaintiff to comply by responding to the accusations against me.

This Co-Counter-Plaintiff then effectively answered by asking him "With this injustice?" I then told McCrumb that I would not comply with any foreign-agents who were conspiring to rob me of my sovereignty and life.

Please Note that: All BAR attorneys are prohibited from representing John Q. Public; & that, under our De-Jure State & National Constitutions, they can Only Represent Government Officials, and Employees within their own agencies. Their BAR-Charter says precisely this. So; to respond to the facts of law in this case, the past involvement of bar-attorneys has caused "Laches" to occur. All of this causes Duties under the Oaths of the Judges of our Courts to Protect our Common People's Rights; all as is recognizable under 5-USC- 2906-3331-3333, & at Title 17 & Title 18: 241-242.

"I do solemnly swear that I will support, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States; that I will do no falsehood, or consent that any be done in court and if I know of any I will

give knowledge thereof of the judges of the court, or some one of them, that it may be reformed; I will not wittingly, willingly or knowingly promote, sue, or procure to be sued, any false or unlawful suit, or give aid or consent to the same; I will delay no person for lucre or malice, but will act in the office of attorney according to my best learning and discretion, with all good fidelity as well to the court as to my client, so help me God."

7-June-2013:

About 4:30-am; an un-known Sheriff's Deputy came in-to this Co-Plaintiff Johnston's Solitary-Confinement Cell in the Lincoln County Jail, for about the fifth time in the last three-hours, & the same Deputy, to again take this Co-Plaintiff Johnston's blood-pressure. This un-known Sheriff's Deputy then apparently concluded that this Co-Plaintiff Johnston was actually suffering from a "Heart Attack", because he then said: "Wait here; I'll be back to take you to the hospital."

Then, at about 5-am, an un-known Sheriff's Deputy drove this Co-Plaintiff to "Pacific Community Hospital". At about 11-am more Sheriff-Deputies came in and unhand-cuffed this Co-Plaintiff from the hospital bed. Sheriff-Deputies then returned my cloths to me, and said that I was free to go. About a hour later a doctor came in and said that my entire situation had stabilized. He then wrote me a prescription for some heart-medicine/drugs, & he told me that I was free to go.

20-July-2013:

On this date; I was in my home/office, & I happened to look out of my window, & noticed a man dressed as a sheriff-deputy in my drive-way. I immediately called my neighbors, Chris & Laura, to inform them about this. I then proceeded to go out of my front-door to see why this man was trespassing on-to my land. As I came out of my front-door, a man dressed as a Lincoln-County Deputy-Sheriff, one "Eric Larson", pointed his hand-gun directly at my chest. I then turned to my left & saw that a Toledo City Police-Officer named "Michael Pace" was pointing his hand-gun directly at the side of my head. Pace then said "You are under Arrest".

After I peaceably-surrendered to their superior fire-power; I then asked both Larson & Pace to show me their "Arrest Warrants". Both of these men Refused to show me any Arrest-Warrants that might give any color-of-justification to their potentially-murderous behavior. Here-under; both of these two potentially Murderous Criminal-Conspirators did act out-side of any constitutionally-lawful authority to Assault, Kidnap, & Hold for Ransom, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston.

On the way to there jail this Co-Plaintiff Johnston began experiencing "Chest-Pains", all of which my experience indicated was a sign of a "Heart-Attack". After this Co-Plaintiff was lawlessly incarcerated in-to the Lincoln County Jail, I then asked the on-duty Sheriff's Deputies to give me some of the nitroglycerin tablets that had been in my possession. These Sheriff's Deputy then refused to give me my nitroglycerin tablets. A jail-nurse named "Danny" came to the holding-cell that I was incarcerated in, and he gave me two nitroglycerin tablets, from his own sources.

Man dressed like and acting like a deputy-sheriff,& who went by the name of "Steve Frey"; then asked me to come up to the booking-counter. I then walked-up not well to the booking-counter. Frey then told me that he would only authorize my release if I signed a "Release" paper-document. Although I was then suffering from a "Heart Attack", & there-under my memory of these events is a bit fuzzy; the essence of the conversation that followed here was that Frey then said to me, that: "The state is the 'Victim' in this case; & if you do not not sign this 'Release' document, then you will be sent back to a jail-cell to die."

This Co-Plaintiff was then "finger-printed" and "photographed"; all against my objections & protests, & against my will. Under the "Duress" of the fact that I needed to get to the hospital to escape what amounted to my being Murdered by these uniformed Nazi criminal-conspirators; I no choice but

to sign the "Release" document. We all then proceeded to the main Hospital in Salem Oregon, Once at the Hospital the Doctor in the ER room Clearly stated your heat can not continuing taking those assaults on your life.

10-September-2013:

Regarding the events on the older-date of 5-June-2013, when the Criminally-Lawless Arrest of this Co-Plaintiff Johnston occurred; All of these Crimes were committed by individuals who were then dressed as, & acting as, "Public Servants"; & they were then also "Getting Paid" as "Public Servants", when they were in the acts of performing Criminal "Extortion" against, not only my-self, but similarly also against All Members of the Public. There-in; my Fingerprints and Photographs were also Lawlessly & Criminally Taken from me, under Knowingly & Willfully administered Coercive Threat of Lawless Brute-Force, & Against My Protests. Those Fingerprints & Photographs of me were also Paid For as "Public Records", at the Lincoln County Tax Payer's Burden. Here-under; All of these Crimes were committed, not-only against my-self, but also against the People of this State of Oregon, & of Lincoln County; & they amounted also to "Theft" & "Racketeering" from the Publicly-Owned Treasuries of the State of Oregon, & of Lincoln County.

Here-under; & on this date of 10-September-2013; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did send by "Certified Mail", about 27-pages of documents, to Lincoln County Property-Tax Assessor "Linda Pilson" one Certified-Mailing #: "7012-2210-0002-3843- -5400"; & Including a Green-Card with "Returned-Receipt" requested; (& received, & with the Signature from the Assessor's-Office, there-by proving that the Delivery was actually completed). Copies of all of these 27-pages were also sent to each of the following: Oregon's U.S. Congressman "Kurt Schrader"; Oregon' State Governor "John Kitzharber"; Oregon Attorney- -General "Ellen Rosenblum"; Oregon Senator Ted Ferrioli, Lincoln County Council "Wayne Belmont", Lincoln County District-Attorney "Rob Bovett", Lincoln County Sheriff "Dennis Dotson"; & County Commissioners Bill Hall, Terry Thompson, Doug Hunt.

There-in, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did set forth much argument, with much supportive-citations of case-law & statutory authority, to the effect that Johnston & other Lincoln County Residents are "Not Liable" for "Property-Tax Assessments" as made by her or any other Municipal/ /De-Facto County Public-Servant. Edward Malone Johnston 2nd's Privately-owed land is Un-Alleniable.

Further there-in, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did Demand that if Assessor Pilson has any Citations of Laws, Contractual-Entanglements, Evidence, or Argument to the Contrary, that she Produce the same with-in the time-period referenced there-in, or else be considered to have "Admitted by Default" to the Correctness of the Interpretation of Law of this Co-Plaintiff Johnston. Specifically there-in; this Co-Plaintiff did Demand that Pilson produce any such Proof which might seem to show or evidence Any Liability that this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, or any others in Lincoln County, are Not Lawfully Required to Pay "Property Taxes".

Also there-in was Demanded that Assessor Pilson produce copies of the Identification-Numbers & Contacts of all Elected-Officials and Public-Employees & Agents of Lincoln County, & of the Cities of both Newport & Toledo.

In this same letter, & under the Presumption that this Co-Plaintiff Johnston's interpretations of applicable "Law" are Correct; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston did Demand that Assessor Pilson Remove the Listing of this Co-Plaintiff Johnston's Property from the Municipal/De-Facto County's and city's Tax-Rolls; Permanently.

On this same date of 10-September-2013; this Co-Plaintiff Johnston then gave Assessor Pilson Thirty-Days Notice to Respond to this Demand. To this date, Assessor Pilson has Refused to Answer these demands placed on her.

Congress does not have the authority and jurisdiction to regulate commerce within the 50 states of the Union. United States v. Scarborough, 431 U.S. 563

11 September 2013:

At this time, this Co-Plaintiff Edward Malone Johnston 2nd, was again Assaulted and Kidnapped, and held for Ransom, by two men, one of which was acting like a Toledo Police Officer, named "Aaron Pitcher"; & the other man, was acting as a Lincoln-County Sheriff's-Deputy. These two men claimed that they had a Lawful Warrant for the Arrest of this Co-Plaintiff Edward Johnston; how-ever, they did not have any paper-documents even resembling any such of a "Warrant of Arrest" physically with them, at the time of their lawless Arrest, Assault, & "Class-A Felony Kidnapping" held for ransom.

In the publicly-owned vehicle, being driven to their holding cell, I clearly informed De-Facto, Corrupted, Police-Officer "Aaron Pitcher", that I was Not a Member of the "Private Jurisdiction" of their Private "City of Toledo" Corporation; & that I have "No Contract" with them, or with their Sub-Corporation of the "Toledo City Police-Department". There-after; I Demanded that Corrupted Police-Officer Pitcher Inform Me of any Lawful-Nature of the Authority that he was presuming to exercise through his use of Deathly/Deadly Force of Arrest over me. Pitcher's response was simplistically that: "I love my job as a criminal-code enforcer.". "AKA Nazi"

I then again informed Pitcher that he was in Violation of his Oath of Office, & that he was acting Criminally, & that he could be held "Personally Liable" for these sorts of "Crimes" that he was there-by Committing against this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, & there-by against Every Member of Oregon's Socially-Compacted Organic Body-Politic. "Then Take me to a magistrate"

As I got to the prison cell I started have chest pains. I then asked Pitcher for my nitroglycerin-medicine. Pitcher denied my request here. I suffered much unnecessary Pain because of Pitcher's Refusal to allow me to have my Heart-Medicine, & I believe that I also suffered additional Heart-Damage because of that Refusal by him.

Ed's Kidnapping 09/11/2013 by Toledo Police Department Corporation
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nEm5wkwJzEU>

September 12th 2013:

At this time, this Co-Plaintiff Edward Malone Johnston 2nd, was again lawlessly imprisoned in the Lincoln County Jail, & I was again lawlessly coerced to a small room with video & audio equipment in it, & with 4 or 5 other men in the room. I was there-in further lawlessly coerced in-to engaging in a video-conference with an individual who was acting as a "Lincoln County Circuit Judge", one "Thomas O. Bradford". As I was walking in-to this illegal video-conference room, & before any recording equipment was turned on, a man acting like & dressed as a sheriff's-deputy stated to all in the room that we all were not going to be allowed to make any phone-calls for any other reason than to get bail-money. He also said that making phone-calls in efforts to protect your rights only happens in the movies, & that those sorts of phone-calls were not going to be allowed there-in.

After the other 4 or 5 men were processed, I was the last one to interact by video-conference with De-Facto/Legal-Fiction Judge Bradford. Bradford started the discussion with me. Bradford informed me of the alleged code violations.

I responded by saying that I was Not Voluntarily Complying with their legal-fiction/de-facto private/corporate jurisdiction. I further told Bradford, in essence, that I did not understand him, & that I did Not voluntarily Comply with his coercive-demands; & that he & the de-facto sheriff's deputies all seemed to me to be involved in Criminal Kidnapping of my-self, & that they all seemed further involved in a "Racketeering Conspiracy", that was all centered around holding me for a "Ransom".

Even though Bradford seemed to have recognized this man, Co-Plaintiff Johnston, as a Living and Breathing Man, & Sovereign; he then did an about-face, to Maliciously & Criminally tell his de-facto/private/corporate deputy-sheriffs/co-conspirators, to "Keep That Man Quiet". One such acting sheriff's deputy then started to tamper with & push buttons on the recording equipment; & he specifically pushed the "Mute Button", so that this Co-Plaintiff could not get my statements on-to the recording of the proceeding. How-ever; this Co-Plaintiff then reached over & pushed the "Mute Button" again, to "Un-Mute" it; & I then immediately declared, in that recording, that: this proceeding was all a clear Violation of Title 5 Title 17 & "Title 18" of the U.S. Criminal-Code, Sections 141 & 142.

The corrupted/de-facto Deputy Sheriff then again pushed the "Mute Button". That sheriff's deputy was then in a big panic, & he was speaking loudly & abusively at me, & so much so that his voice over-ran what the Judge was trying to say to me, & I could not hear what the Judge said. I again un-muted the recording, & there-in I did clearly declare, in essence, that this was all a "Fraud Up-on the Court". The Sheriff's Deputy then said to me, in essence, that, "the judge is speaking, and you are to be quiet". I responded by saying that this entire proceeding is "A Criminal Conspiracy".

The acting Judge Bradford then declared a ransom/bail amount of \$20,300.00; & I had to produce the ransom of \$2,030.00 to get out of that criminally-lawless-imprisonment. Bradford also then set a pleading-date for me to appear on September 23, 2013.

After that proceeding with Bradford & his co-conspiring/racketeering & kidnapping deputy-sheriffs; a "Mental-Health Counselor" then or came to my cell, & began a conversation with me, & where-in my studies of law had indicated to me that he was very likely attempting to establish a secretive "Contract" with me, & where-under I could be colorably "Presumed" to have "Consented to be Governed" as a "Slave", under their private/corporate evil-empire military-jurisdiction.

I specifically mentioned this "Presumption of Contracting" process that I knew was being routinely employed in these sorts of proceedings; & this Mental-Health Counselor then began to laugh, and he said that he was not interested in any Contracts with me.

Some time after that, a man claiming to be a Jail-Doctor came to the cell, along with two deputy's. I asked the Doctor for some nitroglycerin pills for my heart-condition, & for pain medications, because I was in extreme chest pain. I also asked him for an extra pad to lay down on, & to add a bit more insulation for me from the concrete-bed thin foam pad in my jail-cell. Then he asked the deputies if I was "the alcoholic" that they had apparently discussed previously. One deputy said "No, he's in the next cell". The Doctor then suddenly pushed on my chest to see if I was really in pain. That act definitely produced much more pain for me; & after I loudly protested that, I asked him for nitroglycerin medicine to help me through my chest-pain & heart-attack symptoms. The man acting like the doctor then said he would ask a deputy-sheriff to get nitroglycerin-medicine and something for the pain for me; & and then they all left my jail-cell. I never did receive that promised nitroglycerin-or pain medicine.

A bit later I told a female deputy-sheriff that I wanted to call some-one, to see if I could arrange bail "Ransom". The female deputy then said "ok; then you can use the phone". Then I was moved to another solitary-confinement cell in the jail-building. I then made a call for the ransom/extortion/bail money, which was provided for me before midnight. I was released from lawless-imprisonment at about 2-pm on the following day.

I here-after demand copies of all of the audio and video recordings from my public servants of these illegal actions by these corrupted & criminally-abusive public-servants. I also Demand information concerning the "Bonds" that they are all suppose to have, to insure that members of the public will be economically- -compensated for abuses like these. These corrupted public-servants have steadfastly Refused to provide me with any of this information, all of which is lawfully required to be

“Public Information”.

As based on the insights presented in the accompanying or attached “legal-history & arguments” section of this complaint, I have not consented to allow any of these corrupted public-servants to use my “birth-certificate account number” at all.

13-September-2013:

This morning was the first time that I received any kind of medication from any public-servants in the Lincoln Count jail. The Lisinopril drug-medicine that I was given, is designed to be given to bring the blood-pressure down, & it is frequently used in-place & instead of pain-medication. This Lisinopril drug-medicine was the only medication then provided for me. I was Not given the extra bed-pad that I had been promised. I was Denied any kind of medicinal-use pain-medication; & I was Denied “klor-con” for my gout; & I was Denied both “metoprolol” & “nitroglycerin” (pill or patches) for my heart-condition. I continued having severe chest-pains.

A man acting as Sheriff-deputy then said, in essence: “I can't help you. This is the only medication the jail doctor has aloud for you.”

I was Released early in the afternoon of the 13th of September. At this time, the person acting as the Releasing Sheriff's-Deputy then said to me that “The State is the 'Victim' in the Case of the Criminal-Accusation that was being brought against me. I then wrote on the release paper that I had been Kidnapped and Held for Ransom. The Person acting like a Sheriff's Deputy, in essence, said: “Are you not going to sign the paper?” I responded by saying: “I did; by placing my right thumb-print in all four-corners of the unlawful document.” “It state I was kidnap and held for ransom”

I found out after I returned to my land, the ransom had been paid before midnight, on 12-September-2013.

Federal-Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C. 891-896, & quoting Section 891: “An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property.”

Here-under; it seems clear that the legitimate Job-description of every Municipal Police-Officer, is narrowly-focused on their private municipal/corporate duties to “Self-Police”, & there-under to Arrest any of Their Own Commercial-Employees, who might be in violation of Their Own Commercial-Codes. (Sapp v. Tallahasee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, Reiff v. City of Philadelphia 477 F. Supp. 1262, Lynch v. N.C. Dept of Justice 376 S. E. 2nd. 247.)

***** **End of Time-Line:** *****

History of Law:

“The Oregon Treaty of 1846” was an agreement with “Great Britain” that gave the “U.S. Undisputed claim to the Pacific -Northwest territory, South of the 49th parallel.” By this Treaty; the States carved out of this territory, the present States of Oregon, Washington, Idaho and the South West Corner Of Wyoming. This Treaty with Great Britain was Signed on 15-June-1846; as recorded in 19 stat. 869.

Here-under: all federal land patents in the States flow from this treaty, and all of this falls under the “supremacy clause” of the US-Constitution. Therefore; NO STATE, Private Banking CORPORATION, or other FEDERAL-AGENCY, can question the superiority of these Land-Patents.

Title to land owners who have perfected their claims by federal land patent can not be brought in-to question through any person bringing any claim to invoke the jurisdiction of any state court. Any

such claims would be invalid, since federal land patents cannot be collaterally attacked, as to their validity and authenticity, because such land-patents are the highest evidence of title to the land in question. Here-under; No mortgage-institution can claim title to patented-land by way of their "lien" against such land. Certificated federal land-patents are given free and clear title with no encumbrances, then or now.

The land case that said treaty law cannot be interfered with by a state legislature is to be found in Ware v Hylton: 1796 3 dell. 13 U.S. 199. In this Supreme law of the land (Article VI, Section 2); "the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or the laws of any State to the contrary, notwithstanding".

No any act of the legislature can stand in its way, because, a treaty is the declared will of the people and of the laws of all these "Unites States"; and shall be superior to the Constitutions and laws of any individual State.

No claim in any state court can lawfully challenge any federal land patent. This sort of case-law from the US Supreme Court, that is here-in quoted, clearly bars the putting into evidence of any such claim. Land-patents clearly flow from the United States treaty, and therefore no court has jurisdiction to adjudicate the title or ownership to these sorts of claims, because, they trace their source back to the paramount or common source of title from the United States government. The interests of banks and other private corporations are notwithstanding, because, federal land pattens can not be given to corporations, but only to private citizens AKA Sovereigns. This point is well drawn-out by the fact that the term "Private Land Claim", or "PLC", is precisely the wording that the Federal Bureau of Land Management uses to reference these original land-patents.

Referencing the leading-case-law for the Louisiana-purchase, it is declared in "American Insurance Company V Canter" (1828), 1 pet, (26 U.S. 5 11); & here-in Justice Marshall held that the power to make treaties in an absolute power of the United States government, and from that power arises their own right to self-govern, & to govern the States. Here-under; "Treaty Law" is superior to any state laws, and is the supreme law of land, zoning laws included.

Thomas Jefferson addressed this issue, as follows:

"Compacts then, between a nation and a nation, are obligatory on them as by the same moral law which obliges individuals to observe their compacts. There are circumstances, however, which sometimes excuse the non-performance of contracts between man and man; so are there also between nation and nation. When performance, for instance, becomes impossible, non-performance is not immoral; so if performance becomes self-destructive to the party, the law of self-preservation overrules the law of obligation in others".

(From Page 317 of: "The Life and Selected Writings of Thomas Jefferson"; A. Koch & Wm. Peden, Random House 1944, renewed 1972.)

Jefferson also said in a letter to Wilson C. Nicholas on Sept. 7, 1803, Ibid. at Page 573:

"Our peculiar security is in the possession of a written Constitution. Let us not make it a blank paper by construction [interpretation]. I say the same as to the opinion of those who consider the grant of the treaty making power as boundless. If it is, then we have no Constitution."

"This Court has also repeatedly taken the position that an Act of Congress, which MUST comply with the Constitution, is on full parity with a treaty, the statute to the extent of conflict, renders the treaty null. It would be completely anomalous to say that a treaty need not comply with the Constitution when such an agreement can be overridden by a statute that must conform to that instrument."

"This [Supreme] Court has regularly and uniformly recognized the supremacy of the Constitution over a treaty." Reid v. Covert, October 1956, 354 U.S. 1, at pg 17.

At this point the Court paused to quote from another of their Opinions; *Geofroy v. Riggs*, 133 U.S. 258 at pg. 267 where the Court held at that time that,
Reid v. Covert, October 1956, 354 U.S. 1

The U.S. Supreme court could not have made it more clear : TREATIES DO NOT OVERRIDE THE CONSTITUTION, AND CANNOT, IN ANY FASHION, AMEND IT !!! CASE CLOSED.

State Rights | Treaties Do Not Supersede the Constitution: ... let alone alien to our entire constitutional history and tradition ... No law or treaty supersedes the Supreme Law of the Land.

HERE ARE THE CLEAR IRREFUTABLE FACTS OF LAW:

The U.S. Supreme Court has made it very clear that:

- 1) Treaties do not override the U.S. Constitution.
- 2) Treaties cannot amend the Constitution. And last,
- 3) A treaty can be nullified by a statute passed by the U.S. Congress (or by a sovereign State or States if Congress refuses to do so), when the State deems a treaty the performance of a treaty is self-destructive. The law of self-preservation overrules the law of obligation in others.

In all the legislation from the U.S. Congress, those legislators Fail to Define the phrase “United States”. For example, here are some citations of how confused this entire matter is:

28 U.S.C. § 3002 Definitions

15) United States Means:

- A) a Federal Corporation
- B) An Agency, Department, Commission, Board, or
- C) An Instrumentality of the United States.

These citations all show that this phrase “United States” is subject to many Different Definitions, to the point where it becomes hopelessly “Confusing” to the average honest American, who is attempting to use these definitions in his efforts to protect the Rights of himself, & his friends, & neighbors. Case-law explaining this point further is cited else-where here-in.

I believe readers will find this entire problem of “Confusing Definitions” of this important phrase originally began in the year 1779. This is the date when America's Revolutionary Founding-Fathers Won Control of our Nation from the Despotic King George.

Final Notifications:

All parties take note that a copy of this “Notice of Default & Estoppel” document has been sent to the Lincoln County Circuit Court Clerk; for her to file in-to the “Public Record” & file that is associated with this case #: 131799. “Including the Acting governor John Kitzhaber & other Public-Servants”.

All parties further take note that “Judge Branford”, who has been sitting on this case, up until this Counter-Complaint has been filed; is now & here-by being Accused of Conspiring in the Crimes of Racketeering, Assault, & numerous others. Here-under; “Judge Branford” is clearly “Dis-Qualified” to sit on this case any further; & there is now a clear Necessity of a “New Judge” from out side of this Lincoln County to be Appointed to preside over any & all further proceedings here-in. Due to time-constraints; a formal written-motion to that effect may not be in accompaniment here-with; however, this Propria-Persona Co-Plaintiff intends to file such a Motion-document in this court, in the very near

future.

Further here-under; the criminally-lawless "Warrant" which has apparently been issued against this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, needs to be immediately "Vacated", "Obliterated"; & sent to the pit of hell, from whence it came. A paper "Motion" -document, to that effect, should be in accompaniment here-with.

Further here-under; which-ever Judge might be appointed by the Supreme Judge, from here on out, to preside over this case, is here-by having "Motion" placed before him, to Grant the Following Relief:

(1.) That this Counter-Complaint Not be Dismissed through any "Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Complaint up-on which Relief can be Granted"; as is commonly done through the Federal Rules, at FRCP 12(b)(6), & which, back in 1991, was Codified in Oregon's Rules of Civil Procedure at Rule 21, A, 8.

Due to her Default & Failure to Respond to this Co-Plaintiffs previous Notices filed in this alleged court, & all under applicable Rules of Commercial & Administrative Law; everything stated to the De-Facto Lincoln County Tax Collector, "Linda Pilson" is "Unrebutted", & is there-fore presumably "True and Correct", 100% Factual; & all due to the general principles of "Presumption" and "Acquiescence/Silence" under said Rules.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs file this Final Notice of Default and Estoppel in order to give notice to the parties/defendants, and to perfect a legal action in Common Law federal court, due to exhaustion of all administrative remedies, acquiescence, and an unrebutted presumption of guilt; which has result in default, in favor of these plaintiffs. This notice reaffirms and establishes default judgment, and bars any defenses of the defendants due to estoppel. Anyone refuting any of the aforementioned and/or the following issues must do so on the public record, in writing, by way of sworn written affidavit under penalties of an assessment of \$25,000,000.00 for each issue and occurrence of perjury/false and misleading information, and or unproven misleading statements/ /assertions. No other refuting documents will be accepted. Co-plaintiff is owed for September 11th 12th 13th 2013, 7oz Gold, 7 oz of Silver, for false incarceration & kidnapping, & being held for ransom, & assaulted, & denial of my medicinal/medical medication for my heart attacks sever chronic and increased spinal pain in their jail cell Allowed only 4 nitroglycerin pills, September 11th 12th 13th about 50 hours billed at 7oz gold per hour comes too 350 oz of 99.9 gold, including silver billed 50 hours times seven oz of at 350 oz of 99.9 must be done so in person in public or in writing and mailed to the plaintiff/affiant via US Cert Mail

Under applicable commercial & administrative law; this shall be paid in free Electricity and Water to the common sovereign People of Lincoln County. "This is not Discrimination." No Public-Servant or Foreign Agent Shall not lawfully benefit from this effort at reducing utility-costs for the common people.

Based upon all of the said facts, this "Default Judgment" and "Estoppel" is Just and Lawful.

I again Demand all Publicly paid Copy's of All Paper-Work, Audio & Video Recordings, Emails, Data-Base Records, progress notes, Complaints, that are in Any Manner Related to this case. More specifically, I Demand that these Public-Records be provided to me by Lincoln County District Attorney Rob Bovett, & from all others concerned with this matter. These Demands of this Evidence, is "Lawful", because, in all court-related cases, such Evidence is "Publicly Owned".

Boyd Family Trust:

This Co-Plaintiff Johnston's Grand-father was one Kenneth Raymond Boyd; who was the former Director and General Manager of the San Francisco California Water-Department. My grandfather had become a wealthy & influential man; & he was involved in numerous "Union Organizing" activities. Here-under, my grandfather left a "Family Trust" of some significance to his heirs. This Co-Plaintiff Johnston is a rightful Heir to One-Third of that Family Trust. That in in conjunction with the Norman Francis Boyd will.

To this date "No Accountability" has been provided on this significant family trust, even though this Co-Plaintiff has requested such Accountability on numerous occasions. This all seems quite possibly to be some-how be related to the malicious-prosecutions, assaults, & beatings that this Co-Plaintiff has suffered, at the hands of corrupted local public-servants in Lincoln County.

Personal Health Issues:

MY Medical Records clearly state that I have seventeen blown discs in my spinal-column, four in the spinal canal, Spinalstenoses, including a crushed lower-disk; I have stage-2 to stage-3 kidney-failure; & I also have Lung and Heart disease. I do Not Consent to Give-Up Any of my Rights, to Anyone; & that includes "Medical Providers". I am Lawfully Recognizable as being "Disabled"; & I should be allowed to Live with-out any Interference or Trauma by any corrupted public-servants, for the rest of my days.

Conclusions of Law:

"Persons faced with an unconstitutional licensing law which purports to require a license as a prerequisite to exercise of right... may ignore the law and engage with impunity in exercise of such right." Shuttlesworth vs. Birmingham 394 U.S. 147 (1969)

An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his Liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery." State v Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260

***"The right to travel is a part of the liberty of which the citizen cannot be deprived without due process of law under the Fifth Amendment." Kent v. Dulles, 357 US 116, 125.**

1: Due-Process Rights Violated by way of the Common-Law Breach-of-the-Peace and Trespass of De-Facto Private/Municipal/Corporate Toledo-City Cops Raurk, Pace, Delmors, & Pitcher.

2: This Co-Plaintif Johnston then did suffer from severe Pains in my Chest & multiple other body areas, a further damaged spinal condition, all of which was exacerbated by being forced to sleep on the floor of the jail and concrete bed.

3: The "Funding-Stream" for the Private/Municipal/Corporate "City of Toledo", is controlled by the City's Mayor & City-Council Members. That funding goes directly to finance the jack-booted nazi tactics imposed on Toledo's Common-People, by such reptilian-brained neanderthals as De-Facto Police-Officers, "Robert Raurk", Pace, Delmors, & Pitcher. This entire private/municipal/corporate criminal-syndicate is also regularly receiving funding-grants from the public life-blood Treasuries of both Lincoln County & the State of Oregon.

4: This co-plaintiff has repeatedly demanded the budgetary-information of the City of Toledo & of Lincoln County, & of the local School Board for years. To this date, No Public-Servant from any of these municipal-governments have ever responded to these lawful-demands of this Co-Plaintiff, by producing these "Publicly Paid-for & Owned" documents & information.

Since approximately the year 2002, this Co-Plaintiff Johnston has demanded copies of all Documents & Recordings of all Communications which these Public-Servants have obtained as related to this case, & about my-self, from all Public-Servants that are concerned with these matters.

Specifically, I have made demands for copies of this Evidence from acting Toledo Mayor Grutzmacher, since when he was first elected; & shortly later from acting Lincoln-County District Attorney Bovett. To this date, None of these Public-Servants have delivered Any of this lawfully-demanded Evidence to this Co-Plaintiff.

Specifically this Co-Plaintiff Johnston has demanded & continues demanding copies of the video & audio recordings of the 5-June-2013 "Arrest" event when Ruark lawlessly Arrested, bodily injuries & threw this co-plaintiff into the Lincoln County Jail.

I have begun composing a confidential document, which is designed to be invoked in this case, if perhaps any skulduggery might happen to this living breathing man, & Co-Plaintiff, known as "Edward Malone Johnston 2nd". If any such evils might befall this Co-Plaintiff Johnston, the Primary Suspects in such Criminal behaviors, would be, the above-listed co-defendants & criminal-conspirators. Here-under; various other individuals have been specifically named as being authorized to carry-on with this case, if necessary. Also; the very nature of the associated &/or here-in presented "Quo-Warranto/State-Ex-Rel" Criminal Counter-Complaint, will authorize Any other honorable Member of the Organic Body-Politic of the People of this "State of Oregon", to Step-Into My Shoes, if it might become necessary for anyone to Fill any Vacancy which might there-by be left by my-self.

I Demand that DeFacto Executive Officers from the Lincoln County Sheriff's Department, & the Cities of Toledo & Newport, commit No Further Harm or attempts at Murdering, of this living breathing man Edward Malone Johnston 2nd; or those around him/me. Similarly; my Travailing Rights are Not to be Impeded; & that includes My Rights for Medical-Treatment. Nor shall any Impediments be made against my life-subsistence necessities, such as my rights to engage in hunting, fishing, food gathering. Also ALL Second-Amendment Rights-to-Bear-Arms shall Not be Infringed; because, all of these are Natural-Born Rights, given to All People by our Creator. Similarly; All elected and public employee members, & foreign agents, shall Honor Title 5-USC-2906-3331, Title 6 Title 11 Title 17 Title 18 241-241. The living man Edward Malone Johnston 2nd, Is the Only living man who Can Add, Subtract or Change any this document.

District Attorney Rob Bovett is personally aware that on several occasions I have been pulled-over by local cops, & those cops have pointed their hand-guns directly at my head. Also, there was one time where a tazer-pistol was held by one of these nazis directly to my throat. Even though I have formally complained of these crimes to Bovett, he has Refused to Honor his Duty as County District-Attorney there-in, to Prosecute my Criminal Complaints against these corrupted jack-booted nazi cops. Bovett is there-by "Obstructing Justice" by his Failure to Prosecute my Complaints concerning these Crimes against myself, as a Member of the Public, to him. Bovett is also here-by in Violation of his Oath of Office, & of his Constitutional Duties to the People of Lincoln County.

I have personally known Rob Bovett for over 20 years. Bovett personally is aware how my family was destroyed to enable profits for some conspiratorial & criminally-syndicated Public-

-Employee Union-Members, from Oregon-State general funds, Lincoln County, & the Cities of Toledo & Newport.

I would like to thank all the Public-Servants who honor their oath to care, & work to protect the common People of Oregon & Lincoln County.

Now its time For U.S. to Properly Educate the Children in the publicly-owned schools of Oregon Territory and Lincoln County. Our American Constitutional Laws, as well as the Federalist-Papers, are all based on our traditional British & European "Common-Law"; which, in turn, is based on the "Natural-Law" Concepts that our Creator gave us. Here-under, our Nations Native-Americans were already in possession of the Same basic "Higher-Laws" as were Later brought to this land by our nation's more white-skinned & European heritage. Truly enlightened & honorable "Christians" Respected the Same Laws of the Same Creator as those which the Native-Indians in North-America were already following.

Since the 1400's ; the manner in which the "Powers that Be" have manipulated migrations of Northern-Europeans in-to the Americas has led to centuries of "Conflict". Native Americans had lived as hunter-gatherer societies. "Powers that Be" from Europe there-fore created almost all of the historical record concerning said "Conflict". In particular; George Washington and Henry Knox conceived of the idea of "Civilizing" Native Americans, as "U.S. Citizens". This "Assimilation" became a consistent policy. During the 1800's, this same policy turned even more Perverted, as an Evil Version & Ideology of "Manifest Destiny". Here-by; "Warfare" was perpetrated between the poor & manipulated white-classes, & the Native-American population. In 1830, the U.S. Congress colorably passed an "Indian Removal Act"; which there-by colorably authorized the corrupted "US Government" to "Relocate" Native-Americans from their home-lands, to lands west of the Mississippi.

Here-under; the Common-Thread between America's new-class of Economically-Disenfranchised white Northern-Europeans, & Native-Americans, was in our Common-Respect for the "Higher-Laws", aka: of the "Laws of Nature & of Nature's God"; (as that later phrase is used in the opening-sentence of America's "Declaration of Independence" document). Here-under; the Protestant/Christian concept of "The Golden Rule", which is Mandated by "Nature's God", is basically & clearly: "Do un-to others as you would have done un-to your-self". This Same Concept is strongly suggested by Israel's "Torah-Laws"; as recognized by more than one "Rabbi", & including Christ/Messiah Jesus/Yeshuah. Here-under; "Each individual has the right to consider his own religious beliefs as being entirely personal to him"; at least so long as he does not engage in anything like satanic human ritual blood-sacrifices. America's Constitutional Founding-Fathers seem to have Agreed with these same basic concepts, through their belief in the "More Perfect" "Two Commandments", of: "Love God", & "Love thy Neighbor". This implicitly includes greater levels of "Respect" for All of our (lawful) Neighbors; both here in Oregon, and in our entire United-States; to Stop the Piracy of our Fellow-Men. Unfortunately, however; the very Contrary is being routinely & lawlessly Imposed through the actions of Perverted-Judges, (who should be concerned with Public-Administration of Common-Law/Due-Process & "Justice"; but ...) who are lawlessly imposing their perverted-jurisdictions of "Maritime", "Admiralty", & "Commerce". In the name of the "Creator" & "God"; may "Jes-us" Shine in All of U.S.. I Believe in our Creator, & God".

These more simplified & principle-based versions of these "Higher-Laws". All of these Higher-Laws rely primarily on each individual exercising "Personal Responsibility". In 1789, our fore-fathers broke away from the King of England, precisely because that King was Not Respecting our Common-People's Rights to be Governed by these "Higher Laws". As America's "Declaration of Independence" clearly articulates, that British Monarch was Not Respecting our Natural-Rights to "Responsibly Self-Govern" under these Higher-Laws. This is the entire Perverted-Nature of their "Admiralty",

"Maritime", & "Administrative" jurisdictions. That tyrannical Monarch's entire structure of government had become so corrupted & oppressive that, in large part, our nations founding-fathers wrote our US Constitutional-Laws, to protect our People in these United States from precisely those sorts of despotism.

Final Note: This Co-Plaintiff further Demands that No Public-Servant, or any other individual what-so-ever, engage in any obstruction of my ability to exercise mine or anyone's sovereign rights, & that no such persons make any terrorizing-threats of lawlessly imprisoning me in jail, or to other-wise threaten my life, my limbs, or my liberty, or my rights to pursue happiness. The Natural Rights of All People as Sovereign Men & Women are Recognized by Our Creator. Corrupted Public-Servants have No Right to Secretively Invoke their alleged but Constitutionally-Lawless Admiralty/Maritime/ /Administrative Jurisdiction, so-as to Interfere with these God-Given Natural- -Rights of Ours.

Without Prejudice All Rights Reserved Sovereignty Notice:

I Edward Malone Johnston 2nd, I am not an attorney, medical professional or financial adviser and all the exchanges contained in this email are for personal use only. This private email message, including any attachment[s] is limited to the sole use of the intended recipient[s] and may contain Privileged and/or Confidential Information. Any and All Political, Private or Public Entities, Federal, State, or Local Corporate Government[s], et. al., and/or Third Party[ies] working in collusion by collecting and/or monitoring My email[s] and collecting these communications Without my Exclusive Permission are Barred from Any and All Unauthorized Review, Use, Disclosure or Distribution. With Explicit Reservation of All My Rights, Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me, Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights & Reserved Rights. It is my hope that the things within this email are a blessing unto every reader without exception, for I desire peaceful co-existence with ALL!

*Confidentiality Public Notice. *The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch. Anything stated in this email may be limited in the content and is not to be taken out of context. **Wireless Copyright Notice**. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, ...

Charles B. Stewart
Charles B. Stewart
T-B
Jim Bleakley

Copyright © 2013 by originator**. All Rights Reserved

Autograph of Living man

Edward Malone Johnston 2nd

Date *13-11-2013*


Seal



State of Oregon; County of Multnomah

Signed (or attested) before me on: 13 November, 2013, by: Ed Johnston

Notary Stamp:



Notary Public, State of Oregon, [Signature] Date 13 Nov 2013

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon
for Lincoln County.

State Ex Rel:
Ed Johnstone, et al.,

Vs:

Thomas O. Branford,
et al.,

Affidavit of
Prejudice of Judge
Common Law
in Class-Action form of
Felony Criminal Counter-Complaint;
& Proceeding in the nature of
"State Ex Rel" &
"Quo Warranto"

Case #: 131799
Action at Law
Trial by Jury

In support of the accompanying "Motion for Change of Judge"; & in the Name of & on the Behalf of, the State of Oregon, Lincoln County, & "We the People" there-of; We the under-signed here-by declare that the presiding Judge Thomas Branford, is Prejudice against the Constitutional "State of Oregon", "Lincoln County", & "We the People" there-of, & against "Ed Johnston" personally.

Edward - Malenke Johnston 2nd

Ed Johnston;
1540 North Nye Street; Toledo Oregon, [97391-9998];
Phone: 541-336-1233

Charles B. Stewart
Charles Bruce, Stewart;
19164 Barrington Avenue; Sandy Oregon [97055]
503-668-5091; charles@oregongov.us

Jim Beckley
9/406 N. ANDRISON
VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON

Signed by all above on the Date of: the 13th of November, 2013.
We bear Witness to Each-Others Signatures.
Further We Saith Naught.

State of Oregon; County of Multnomah

Signed (or attested) before me on: 13 November, 2013, by: Ed Johnston & Charles Bruce

Notary Stamp:

Notary Public, State of Oregon: *[Signature]* Date 13 Nov 2013



In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon
for Lincoln County.

State Ex Rel:
Ed Johnston, et al.,

Vs:

Thomas O. Branford,
et al.,

Motion for Change of Judge

in Felony Criminal-Complaint
& Class Action Counter-Complaint

Proceeding in the nature of
"State Ex Rel" & "Quo Warranto".

Case #: 131799

Action at Law

Trial by Jury Demanded

Based on the accompanying "Affidavit of Prejudice of Judge" document, & the related & accompanying Documents, & the fact that, there-by, the Prejudice of acting Judge "Thomas O. Branford" has been clearly & lawfully "placed in-to controversy" in this case; & in the Name of & on the Behalf of, the Constitutional "State of Oregon", "Lincoln County", & "We the People" there-of; We the under-signed here-by make "Motion for Change of Judge".

Edward Malone Johnston 2nd

Edward Malone Johnston, the 2nd,
1540 North Nye Street; Toledo Oregon, [97391-9998];
Phone: 541-336-1233

Charles B. Stewart

Charles Bruce, Stewart;
19164 Barrington Avenue; Sandy Oregon [97055]
503-668-5091; charles@oregon.gov.us

Jim Bleckley

Jim Bleckley
90406 N. Andrews
Vancouver, Washington

Signed by all above on the Date of: the 13th of November, 2013.


Further We Saith Naught.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME

THIS 13 DAY OF Nov, 2013.

BY Ed Johnston & Charles Bruce

[Signature]
NOTARY PUBLIC



Certificate of Mailing & Service.

I, Edward Malone Johnston; here-by certify that on this 13th day of November, 2013; that I have served true and correct copies of the following documents to those persons named below. This service was completed by way of depositing these documents in the U.S. Mail, at the U.S. Post Office located in Portland Oregon [Zip-Code ?????]. All such persons were served at this same time, location; & each envelope was correctly labeled with proper postage pre-paid for delivery to each of them.

The served documents are generally recognizable under the following names:

"Quo Warranto Felony Criminal Counter-Complaint"

"Affidavit of Prejudice of Judge"

"Motion for Change of Judge"

"Notice of Default & Estoppel";

"Case-law Citations";

and this "Certificate of Mailing & Service".

All of the following-listed parties that are here-by served are only here-by recognized as the "Occupants" of the Offices with regard to which their names are here listed; all as follows:

Tara Bailey
Lincoln County Court Administrator,
225 W Olive,
Newport Oregon [97391]
Certified Mail #7012-3050-0000-4662-9227

Ellen Rosenblum
Oregon Attorney General
1162 Court Street NE, 160
Salem Oregon [7301-4096]
Certified Mail #7012-3050-0000-4662-9210

Linda Pilson
Lincoln County Tax Collector
225 W Olive Street
Newport Oregon [97391]
Certified Mail #7012-3050-000-4662-9197

Thomas A. Balmer
Oregon Supreme Court Judge
1163 State Street,
Salem Oregon [97301]
Certified Mail #7012-3050-0000-4662-9198

John Kitzhaber; Governor
State Capitol Building, 900 Court Street NE, 160
Salem, Oregon [97301]
Fax: 503-378-6827 ; (Please fax this to Employees)
Certified Mail #7012-3050-0000-4662-9173

Susan D. Isaacs, Executive Director,
Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability,
PO Box 1130, Beaverton, Oregon [97075]
Certified Mail #7012-3050-0000-4662-9203

Leon S. Colas; Oregon Department of
Public Safety Standards & Training
4190 Aumsville Highway
Salem, Oregon [97317]
Certified Mail #7012-1640-0000-1675-5065

Ronald A. Bersin,
Oregon Government Ethics Commission,
3218 Pringle Rd. SE, Suite 220
Salem, Oregon [97302-1544]
Certified Mail #7012-1540-0000-1675-5041

Wayne Belmont, & Rob Bovett,
225 W Olive Street,
Newport Oregon [97391]
Lincoln County Court registered Corporation
Certified Mail #7012-1640-0000-1675-5058

Ralph Grutzmacher
City of Toledo registered Corporation
Hall 206 N Main Street, [97391]
Certified Mail #7012-1640-0000-1675-5027


Dennis Dotson,
Lincoln-County Law Enforcer
225 W Olive Street
Newport Oregon [97391]
Certified Mail #7012- 1640-0000-1674-5010


Roy Elicker
4034 Fairview Industrial Drive, S.E.
Salem Oregon [97302
Certified Mail #: 7012-1640-0000-1675-5034

The above wording of these names and address are printed basically the same here-in as how they were printed on the envelopes which were sent. These words are True.

Seal


SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME
THIS 13 DAY OF NOV, 2013,
BY Ed Johnston


NOTARY PUBLIC



Edward-Malone-Johnston 2nd

Edward Malone Johnston;
1540 North Nye Street,
Toledo Oregon, [97391-9998]
541-336-1233
edjohnston2003@yahoo.com


Jim Breakey
c/o 406 N. ANNE ST
VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON

"... the allegations of the pro se complaint, ... we hold to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, ..."

... Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 30 L. Ed. 2nd 652 ; US Supreme Court: 1972.

UCC 1-308, Without Prejudice; & Reserving All Rights.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon
for Lincoln County.

Comes Now "We the People" who Organically-
-Compose the Socially-Compacted De-Jure
Body-Politic which is commonly known as the:

"State of Oregon";

& proceeding "State-Ex-Rel"

through this particular solemnly affirmed Ex-Relatione
Felony Criminal-Complaint, of Relators/Co-Plaintiffs:

"Edward Malone, Johnston";

Chris Walker, & Laura Weaver,

Charles Bruce, Stewart; Karen Bitz;

and through other individual socially-compacted
ex-relatione natural-persons, who are also
proceeding as co-plaintiffs in this same case,
or through their separate accompanying
or soon forth-coming complaints, as:
& John & Jane Does, 1 - 1,000.

These included, accompanying, or soon forth-coming
Criminal-Complaints are based on the Same or
Related Crimes, & they have been committed by the
Same or Related Conspirators as those named here-in.

The John & Jane Does are presently unknown;
but they are to be added here-in by amendment,
or with their own separate complaints,
as they become known, & as deemed necessary.

All Co-Plaintiffs here-in proceed:

Rex, Sui-Juris, & Propria-Persona;

As: Plaintiffs, Demandants, & Accusers.

Versus:

Thomas O. Branford;

Rob Bovett; Dennis Dodson, & Deputies Frey,
Larson, & 3 others; County Circuit-Judges Thomas
Branford, Charles Littlehales, & Paulette Sanders;

Toledo Municipal Judge Bruce Parsons, Toledo
Police David Enyart, Michael Pace, Robert Ruark,
Aaron Pitcher, ?? DeImore; 4 Newport City Police;

Toledo Mayor Ralph Grutzmacher; Toledo City
Councilors: Jack Dunaway, Jill Lyon, Terri Strom,
Michell Johnson, Alma Baxter, Jackie Kauffman,
Toledo Fire Chief: Will Ewing, Brian Timmy, Karen
Timmy; & various others acting as Public-Servants &
others in the State of Oregon, presently un-known
but named here-in as:

John & Jane Does 1 - 1,000;

& all named in both Private & Public Capacities;
Accused Malicious Criminal Defendants.

"Answer"; &

Class-Action Felony Criminal

"Counter-Complaint";

all Proceeding in the nature of "State-Ex-Rel" &

Quo-Warranto

(as recognizable under, but not dependent on,
Oregon Revised Statutes: 30.510 - 34.810),
& the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: 81-4;
& in the nature of Habeas-Corpus;
& Accusing the here-in named of:

Treason,

Racketeering, Fraud, Riot,

Official Misconduct, Bribery, Theft,

Abuse of Public Office, Assault,

Obstruction of Justice, Conspiracy,

Malicious Prosecution, Perjury of Oath,

Endangering National-Security,

Coercion, Terrorism; Breach of Peace;

& Other Crimes to numerous to list here.

This complaint is composed & submitted in a hurried &
incomplete manner; & we plan on amending & re-filing
it soon. All of this is in response to the

Criminally-Lawless Prosecution of Edward Malone
Johnston by various Corrupted Public-Servants in

Case #: 131799.

District-Attorney Case #: 13-279.

Action at Law,

Trial by Jury Demanded.

This Felony Criminal Complaint is: Solemnly Affirmed, Subscribed, and Verified:

**This complaint has been composed in a hurried manner,
but its essence is presented in an accompanying document entitled similarly as:
"Affidavit; & Final Notice of Default & Estoppel".
That document is included here-in by this reference.**

Judges, Clerks, and Bailiffs Take Note:

**On Behalf Of, and In the Interests Of the Common People Of the "State of Oregon",
& Of & including the "United States of America"; We Demand All of Our Rights to Prosecute this
Criminal-Complaint through Originally-Constitutionally-Intended "Due Process of Law", at All Times;
and We Relinquish None of these Rights, at Any Time, Nor for Any Reason !!!**

**Do Not Obstruct this Constitutionally-Guaranteed, and Well-Settled Course of Step-By-Step Procedures
for Securing the Naturally-Conscionable "Justice" which is "Due" to Us, Or You Will be Named as a
Criminal Co-Conspirator in Future Amended Versions of this Complaint !!!**

**Jurisdiction: &
The Complaining Parties, & the General Basis of our Complaints, Are:**

Although we are here-in frequently citing Constitutions & Statutes from Oregon & other sources; we are more generally proceeding here-in under our Constitutionally-Guaranteed Right to invoke this Court's more general Duties to provide for "We the People" access to Justice through their more general process that is commonly known as "Due Process of Law". This "Due Process" is not dependent for its authority on any specific Statutory Enactment; but from the general obligation & duty of the Courts & individual Judges of this State to Respect & Provide for this general & Constitutionally Guaranteed Right which is "Due" to All of We the People.

The Plaintiffs in this case are both the Constitutional "State of Oregon"; as well as the Constitutional Nation/State of the "United States of America". Both of these Plaintiffs are proceeding under the supreme authority of "We the People" who organically compose these socially-compacted & de-jure/sovereign "States", ex-rel; all in our sovereign & de-jure/organic body-politic capacities.

We natural people who are actually writing these documents are speaking through these corporate body-politic entities as Component/Constituent "Private Party" Members; & "Higher Law" provided for us to move before this court in this manner; & this is especially true when one fully comes to comprehend that more specific body of Law, which is known as both "Quo-Warranto" & as "State Ex Rel" Process. This same basic process is recognized in Oregon Revised Statutes at 30.610, & generally, that: "When an action is commenced on the information of a private person, . . . , such person, . . . , shall be deemed a coplaintiff with the state."

In brief further pursuit of this point; the local District Attorney & Assistant District Attorneys who first opened this case, as its 'original complaining-parties', are mere "Public Servants Of the State". In Contrast; We, the Counter-Complaining-Parties here-in, Are Members of "The Public"; & there-by we also are "Component Members Of the State". The District Attorney & his assistants have a "Lower Standing", "in Law", before this Court, than do We Component-Members of the Public's Organic Body-politic "State". Again; all of this is generally recognizable as being lawful, in the "Federal Rules of Civil Procedure", at "Rule 81 - 4". There is a document which Co-Plaintiff Charles Stewart has composed, & which is entitled similarly as "Memorandum Explaining Quo-Warranto" which explains all of this in much greater detail; & which is Included Here By Way Of this Reference; & which may be in accompaniment here-with; but which is certainly available on the internet, at the web-page, here:

<http://constitutionalgov.us/Archive/Charles/QWMemorandum/>

<http://constitutionalgov.us/Archive/Charles/QWMemorandum/QWMemoGeneral.pdf>

We expect that amended & more well-composed versions of this complaint will be forthcoming, & that all of this will be posted on a web-page.

In our Personal Capacity, and in the Name of and on Behalf of the People who Organically Compose the Constitutional/DeJure "United States of America", & the "State of Oregon"; We, the below signatory Co-Plaintiffs here-by Witness & Affirm the validity of each-others Signatures; & we also here-by Solemnly Affirm, Subscribe, and Verify, before the Supreme Being of the Universe, that the Essential Allegations of Felony & misdemeanor Criminal Acts, as set forth in this Complaint, are "True", So Help Us God.

Further, We Saith Naught.

Edward + Malone? Johnston 2nd

Ed Johnston;

1540 North Nye Street; Toledo Oregon, [97391-9998];

Phone: 541-336-1233

Charles B. Stewart

Charles Bruce, Stewart;

19164 Barrington Avenue; Sandy Oregon [97055-5351]

503-668-5091 / charles@oregongov.us

Signed, on the Date of: 13-November-2013.

Case-law Citations:

to be applied in Case #: 131799; State-Ex-Rel: Johnston Vs Branford.

Uniform conflict of laws limited Act
Definitions for ORS 12.410 to 12.480

As used in ORS 12.410 (Definitions for ORS 12.410 to 12.480) to 12.480 (Short title):

(1) Claim means a right of action that may be asserted in a civil action or proceeding and includes a right of action created by statute.

(2) State means a state, commonwealth, territory or possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, a foreign country or a political subdivision of any of them. [1987 c.536 §1]

Note: 12.410 (Definitions for ORS 12.410 to 12.480) to 12.480 (Short title) were enacted into law by the Legislative Assembly but were not added to or made a part of ORS chapter 12 or any series therein by legislative action. See Preface to Oregon Revised Statutes for further explanation.

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

"An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." Norton vs Shelby County 118 US 425 p.442

"The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and the name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it." 16th American Jurisprudence 2d, Section 177, late 2nd, Section 256,,,,,,,Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions, which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property...and is regarded as UNALIENABLE." 16 C.J.S., Constitutional Law, Sect.202, p.987.

"ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW" (God's Law – Moral and Natural Law)
Exodus 21:23-25; Lev 24: 17-21; Deut 1:17, 19:21, Mat. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col 3:25. "NO

"AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN COMMERCE" Heb. 6:16-17. There is nothing left to resolve.

Legal Maxim: "He who does not deny, admits."

Legal Maxim: "A claim not contested, stands true."

Legal Maxim: "A Claim bought in law that is not contested or rebutted, then stands

true. Hence silence to a controversy is considered consent to any judgement." Failure to respond is a violation of Records law as described below, and if no response is made by you, then these conclusions shall be deemed to be admitted by you, and it shall be construed as "bad faith" and fraud as ruled in McNALLY v. UNITED STATES, 483 U.S. 350, 372 (1987), supra, and;

Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . Our revenue system is based on the good faith of the voluntary taxpayer and the voluntary taxpayers should be able to expect the same from the government in its enforcement and collection activities. If that is the case we hope our message is clear. This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should be corrected immediately." U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932.2.7-14 Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any state or territory, subjects or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.(Civil Rights) 42 U.S.C. 1963. http://oregontrackers.com/Civil_Rights.html

Acquiescence: In law, acquiescence occurs when a person knowingly stands by without raising any objection to the infringement of their rights, while someone else unknowingly and without malice aforethought makes a claim on their rights. Consequently, the person whose rights are infringed loses the ability to make a claim against the infringer, or succeed in an injunction suit due to the infringer's conduct. The term is most generally a kind of "permission" given by silence or passiveness.

Presumption: a rule of law which permits a court to assume a fact is true until such time as there is a preponderance (greater weight) of evidence which disproves or outweighs (rebutts) the http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Piracy_Act_1837 THAT "Due to sloth, inattention or desire to seize tactical advantage, lawyers [judges, and Executive administrators] have long engaged in dilatory practices... the glacial pace of much litigation breeds frustration with the Federal Courts and ultimately, disrespect for the law." (Roadway Express v. Pipe, 447 U.S. 752 at 757 (1982)), the general misconception among the public being that any exercise of state police, regulatory, or judicial power bearing the appearance of law is in fact in agreement with the law of the land, and is therefore legitimate in its operation as implemented or imposed.

11. THAT The Supreme Court has warned, "Because of what appear to be Lawful commands [Statutory Obligations, Regulations and Restrictions] on the surface, many citizens, because of their respect for what appears to be law, are cunningly coerced into waiving their rights, due to ignorance... [deceptive practices, constructive fraud, conversion, and malicious prosecution in inferior administrative State courts]." (United States v. Minker, 350 U.S. 179, 187, 76 S.Ct. 281, 100 L.Ed. 185 (1956); WHEREAS A 'Statute' is not a "Law," (Flournoy v. First Nat. Bank of Shreveport, 197 La. 1067, 3 So.2d 244, 248), nor is 'Code' "Law" (In Re Self v Rhay, 61 Wn 2d 261), in point of fact in Law, a concurrent or 'joint resolution' of legislature is not "Law," (Koenig v. Flynn, 258 N.Y. 292, 179 N.E. 705, 707; Ward v. State, 176 Okl. 368, 56 P.2d 136, 137; State ex rel. Todd v. Yelle, 7 Wash.2d 443, 110 P.2d 162, 165), as "All codes, rules, and regulations are for government authorities only, not human/Creators in accordance with God's laws. All codes, rules, and regulations are unconstitutional and lacking due process..." (Rodriques v. Ray Donovan, U.S. Department of Labor, 769 F. 2d 1344, 1348 (1985)); being defined by Black's Law Dictionary 5th as rebuttable prima facie, or superficial evidence and a mere presumption AT what may be considered law by some, yet by a degree of separation in the realm of Legality, a

facade of sophistry, and legal fictions applicable only to legal fictions, represented by 'public policy,' being color-able, or 'color of law,' further being counterfeited, feigned, or Defacto as applied to the citizen, as further defined thereby and in scripture as Legalism.

"A state may impose an excise upon the franchise of corporations engaging in a business which every private Citizen has a right to engage in freely. The privilege taxed is the right to engage in such business with the special advantages which are incident to corporate existence." California Bank v. San Francisco, 142 Cal. 276, 75 Pac. 832, 100 A.S.R. 130, 64 L.R.A. 918.

RIGHTS OF NATURAL PERSONS UNIMPAIRED BY OBLIGATIONS OR RESTRICTIONS APPLICABLE TO CORPORATE PERSONS AND CREATURES OF STATUTES ENFORCED UPON THEM KNOWINGLY AND INTENTIONALLY BY GOVERNMENT ACTORS WITH CRIMINAL INTENT TO CIRCUMVENT AND DEPRIVE THE SAME OF UNALIENABLE NATURAL LIBERTY RIGHTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ECONOMIC EXPLOITATION

THAT "The natural body or such as is formed by the laws of God, [is] as distinguished from an artificial body or such as is devised by human laws. "(1 Bl. Com. 467). " Thus, in law, a body is considered a natural person formed by the laws of God... as distinguished from an 'artificial body,' or 'corporate person,' specifying that an artificial body is devised, and regulated by human laws. And, as such, An artificial body can do only what is authorized by its charter or by law... a natural person or body, whatever is not forbidden by law." (Paul v. Virginia, 8 Wall. 177 (1868.))

State statutes and regulatory rules such as TRCP RULE 52, under such sub headings as 'ALLEGING A CORPORATION,' state: "In any proceeding before the court, it shall not be necessary to prove the incorporation of any corporation mentioned in the complaint; thus, the Corporate existence of any individual entering the court is presumed by the court unless an affidavit specifically denying the existence of such corporation is present;" However, in Downes vs Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244, 319 (1901), the supreme court ruled that "incorporation is not to be assumed without express declaration, or an implication so strong as to exclude any other view." The natural person as defined in section 342.31 (1) of the "Handbook for Special Agents" is constitutionally protected, whereas the created corporate body or tax payer as defined by IRS Code is not." All court officers are revenue agents (citation Needed). The legal industrial complex profits primarily on duping first class natural persons into serving as 2nd class corporate persons subject to regulations and fines only the latter are subject to. "A state may impose an excise upon the franchise of corporations engaging in a business which every private Citizen has a right to engage in freely. The privilege taxed is the right to engage in such business with the special advantages which are incident to corporate existence." California Bank v. San Francisco, 142 Cal. 276, 75 Pac. 832, 100 A.S.R. 130, 64 L.R.A. 918.

THAT "The misnomer of the defendant may be pleaded in abatement." (Com. Dig. Abatement, F 18 ; Lutw. 36; 1 Chit. PI. 440; Arch. Civ. PI. 312. See form of a plea in abatement for a misnomer of the defendant in 3 Saund. 209, b., and see further, 1Show. 394; Carth. 307 ; Comb. 188 ; 1 Lutw. 10 ; 5 T. R. 487), when designated or misnamed as a creature of statute, yet State statutes and rules of civil procedure make no provision for such relief when natural persons are mistakenly or intentionally named as corporate fictions and creatures of statute, and despite the fact that all rights not explicitly granted or conferred to government are reserved to the people, the clever deprivation of remedy, by saying it is no longer provided for in the construction of civil or commercial rules of procedure is often claimed by judicial officers to warrant the entrapment, depriving remedy in this respect, to circumvent the natural rights of persons

summons to appear before them under threat of default or arrest, to further deprive them from any accessing any remedy or means of relieving themselves of an erroneous corporate designation devoid of natural rights. Protections and immunities.

THAT in numerous instances The United States Supreme Court has reluctantly concurred with inferior state supreme courts that the "... word 'person' as used and employed in most statutory language [and civil law] is ordinarily construed to exclude the [citizen or] sovereign, and that for one as such to be bound by statute, they must be 'specifically' named." (Will v. Michigan state Police 491 U.S. 58, 105 L.Ed.2nd 45 (1989); "...the tenderness of the law for the rights of individuals' entitles each person, regardless of economic or social status, to an unequivocal warning from the legislature as to whether he is within the class of persons subject to vicarious liability. Congress cannot be deemed to have intended to punish anyone who is not 'plainly and unmistakably' within the confines of the statute." (United States v. Lacher, 134 U.S. 624, 628, 10; S.Ct. 625, 626, 33 L.Ed. 1080; United States v. Gradwell, 243 U.S. 476, 485, 37 S.Ct. 407, 61 L.Ed. 857. FN1 United States v. Wiltberger, 5 Wheat. 76, 95, 5 L.Ed. 37)).

"Government admits that often the word 'person' is used in such a sense as not to include the sovereign [or citizen] but urges that... the term should be held to embrace government [and creatures of statute]." (United States v. Cooper Corp., 318 US 600 (1941); United States v. Fox 94 US 315; United States v. Mine Workers 330 US 258 (1947); "Particularly is true where the statute imposes a burden or limitation, as distinguished from conferring a benefit or advantage." (United States v. Knight 14 pet. 301, 315 (1840); Wilson v. Omaha Indian Tribe 442 US 653 (1979); Chisolm v Georgia, 2 Dall 419; Penhallen v Doane v Administration, 3 Dall 54; McCulloch v Maryland, 4 Wheat 316; Hauenstein v Lynharm, 100 US 483 (1879); Yick Wo v Hopkins and Woo Loo v Hopkins, 188 US 356 (1886))

THUS The term 'Person' as employed in statutory language may extend and be applied to bodies corporate and creatures of statute, created by government, but does Not include, extend, or apply to the natural 'citizen,' while any law or presumed obligation that in any manner affects a right by converting the same into a privilege, or deprives a citizen of a right without consent, or by deception, is unconstitutional, and DOES NOT apply to the citizen, the duty of the citizen being to ignore (16 Am Jur, 2nd) such regulation or constraint intended for corporate fictions and creatures of statute, which are entities of form without substance, a commercial second class. Article I Section 1 Section 9 provides, No bill of attainder or ex post facto Law' shall be employed to usurp one's rights and remedies that were once allowed and for all time secured to the people. In other words, codes, rules, regulations, etc., and the lack thereof, written after the constitution, only apply to government authorities, their officers and agents. No sane person, and yet only the deceived, would consent to being treated as a corpse or slave devoid of natural liberty rights.

LAW AS DISTINGUISHED FROM LEGALITY

"As of the time of the writing of the Constitution, there were two great systems of law in the world—the Civil Law... ..and the Common Law. ... the basic concept of these two systems was as opposite as the poles—in the Civil Law the source of all law is the personal ruler; . . . he [the ruler] is sovereign. In the Common Law, . . . the source of all law is the people; they, as a whole, are sovereign. During the centuries, these two systems have had an almost deadly rivalry for the control of society, the Civil Law and its fundamental concepts being the instrument through which ambitious men of genius and selfishness have set up and maintained despotisms; the Common Law, with its basic principles, being the instrument through which men of equal genius, but with the love of mankind burning in their souls, have established and preserved liberty and free institutions. . .

The Civil Law was developed by Rome. . . The people under this system have those rights, powers, and privileges, and those only which the sovereign considers are for their good or for his advantage. He adds or takes away as suits his royal pleasure. All the residuum of power is in the Emperor. Under this system, the people look into the law to see what they may do. They may only do what the Emperor has declared they may do. . . Under our common law system, we look into the law to see what we may not do, for we may do everything we are not forbidden to do. This civil law concept explains why, over the centuries, it has been possible for the head of a state, operating under this concept, to establish with comparative ease a dictatorship. We must always remember that despotism and tyranny, with all their attendant tragedies to the people, as in Russia today, come to nations because one man, or a small group of men, seize and exercise by themselves the three great divisions of government--the legislative, the executive, and the judicial. . . When the [Civil Law] concept has been operative, [peoples] have suffered the resulting tragedies--[such as] loss of liberty, oppression, great poverty among the masses, insecurity, [and] wanton disregard of human life." - J. Reuben Clark, former US Under Secretary of State and Ambassador to Mexico.

THAT Negative laws, such as generally found in statutory constructions, policy statutes, codes, regulations and rules as stated above, are created and written by and for the regulation of corporate persons devoid of natural rights and representatives of government for the regulation of government offices and the functions of their officers who administratively and ministerially commonly miss apply designations, classifications and rules intended for 'corporate persons' upon 'natural persons,' knowingly and intentionally with the intent to circumvent, abrogate and deprive those same natural persons of natural rights, rights by such commercial usage and imposition of civil law circumvented and abrogated for the purposes of economic exploitation and profit. "The common law is the real law, the Supreme Law of the land, the code, rules, regulations, policy and statutes are "not the law." (Self v. Rhay, 61 Wn 2d 261)

Not only do Judges, or executive administrators, bound to know the law, in their private capacity willful and intentional deprivation of, or conspiracy against Constitutionally protected natural liberty rights by the imposition of a corporate status upon natural persons, but the State legislature colludes in this activity, such crimes being predicated upon mail and wire fraud and abuse of office in the intentional misapplication of designations, classifications, and applications with menace to forethought in the regulation of natural persons and sovereign citizens as corporate citizens and creatures of statute devoid of natural rights, for the purpose of state sanctioned economic exploitation, profit and ill gotten gain through deceptive practices imposed in commerce upon those entitled to honest services and full disclosure of all terms and conditions regarding contracts and nature and cause regarding civil and criminal charges or indictments, that when they respond to most common complaints, suites and summons, they are presumed by the state and judicial officer to be dead to natural rights in commerce.

THAT " [J]udges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes, act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." (K.C. Davis., ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 CTP. West's (1965)) "...their supposed "courts" becoming thus a court of "limited jurisdiction" as a mere extension of the involved agency for mere superior reviewing purposes." (K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW., P. 95, CTP, 6 Ed. West's (1977); (FRC v. G.E., 281 U.S. 464; Keller v. P.E.P., 261 U.S. 428)); whereas "Judges do not enforce statutes and codes... Executive Administrators [in their private person with no Immunity for their non judicial ministerial or administrative functions] enforce statutes and codes [erroneously, and intentionally often on private citizens for the purpose of economic exploitation]. (FRC vs. GE 281 U.S. 464; Keller vs. PE 261 U.S. 428, 1 Stat. 138-178); In the case of Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co. 59 U.S. 272 (1855), the Supreme Court ruled that cases involving "a suit at the

common law, or in equity, or admiralty inherently involve judicial determination and must come before an Article III court." Only Article III courts are invested with judicial power. "Wicked men, ambitious of power, with hatred of liberty and contempt of law, may fill the place once occupied by Washington and Lincoln... our fathers... For this, and other equally weighty reasons... secured the inheritance they had fought to maintain, by incorporating in a written constitution the safeguards which time had proved were essential to its preservation. Not one of these safeguards can the President, or Congress, or the Judiciary disturb." (Ex parte Milligan, 71 U.S. 2, 125 (1866))

Perhaps sovereignty before II should be here and then with the doctrine?

IMMUNITY FOR WANT OF CONTRACT

THAT "The Doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of the Common-Law immunities and defenses that are available to the Sovereign..." (Citizen of Minnesota. Will v. Michigan Dept. of State Police 491 U.S. 58, 105 L.Ed. 2d. 45, 109 S.Ct. 2304 (1988)); "The state citizen is immune from any and all government attacks and procedure, absent contract." (Dred Scott vs. Sanford, 60 U.S. 19 How. 393 (1856)); "To presume that a sovereign forever waives the right to exercise one of its powers unless it expressly reserves the right to exercise that power in a commercial agreement turns the concept of sovereignty on its head." -- Merrion et al., DBA MERRION & BAYLESS, ET AL. v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe et al., 1982 S.Ct. 394, 455 U.S. 130, 102 S.Ct. 894, 71 LEd2d 21, 50 U.S.L.W. 4169 pp. 144-148

Brady v. U.S., 397 U.S. 742, 748: "Waivers of Constitutional Rights, not only must they be voluntary, they must be knowingly intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness."

"If men, through fear, fraud, or mistake, should in terms renounce or give up any natural right, the eternal law of reason and the grand end of society would absolutely vacate such renunciation. The right to freedom being a gift of ALMIGHTY GOD, it is not in the power of man to alienate this gift and voluntarily become a slave." --Samuel Adams, 1772

"Party cannot be bound by contract [for statutory obligations] that he has not made or authorized. Free consent is an indispensable element in making valid contracts." Alexander v. Bothsworth (1915)). "Waivers of Constitutional Rights, not only must they be voluntary, they must be knowingly intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness." (Brady v. U.S. 397 U.S. 742, 748 (1970)) "A waiver of constitutional rights in any context must, at the very least, be clear; contractual language relied upon must on its face amount to a waiver." (Fuentes v. Shevin, 407 US 67 (1972)) "Every consent involves a submission, but it by no means follows that a mere submission involves consent." (Regina v. Day, 9 Car. & P. 722)).

Any statute, ordinance or regulation which compels performance in a specified manner must, of necessity, involve a contract of some kind: be it written, oral or implied, and State Statutes or City Ordinances that compel performance on a contractual obligation must be considered "Civil" Statutes or "Civil" ordinances to be enforceable in an Equity Jurisdiction, and Article III, Sec. 2, Clause 2 of the U.S. Constitution states that any Civil controversy in which a State is a party, cannot be tried in a State Court, but that the Federal Courts exercise original jurisdiction in such cases pursuant to 28 USCS § 1333, which states: "A district court shall have original jurisdiction, exclusive of the courts of the states, of any civil case of admiralty or maritime jurisdiction, saving to suitors in all cases all other remedies to which they are otherwise entitled." (In re Falcon Inland, 2 F. Supp. 2d 835 (D. La. 1998)).

While the power to contract is unlimited, no person may be compelled to enter into or accept a commercial contract, to be bound by obligations or receive the benefits thereof, unless first having volunteered with full knowledge and disclosure of the terms of said contract. "In our country the people are sovereign and the government [subject to the people] cannot sever its relationship to the people [and the supreme law of the land by taking away their citizenship by equating them with corporations and corporations with persons, through adhesion contracts]." (Richards vs. Sec. of State, 752 F2d at 1418) "Adhesion contracts do not bind the citizen to a commercial contract." (PL#95-147, 91 Stat. 1227 (Oct. 28, 1977) "Party cannot be bound by contract that he has not made or authorized. Free consent is an indispensable element in making valid contracts." (Alexander v. Bothsworth (1915)). "A contract which rests on an unconstitutional statute creates no obligation to be impaired by subsequent legislation. (Norton v Shelby County 118 US 425) An unconstitutional act or statute whether federal or state, though having the form and name of law is in reality no law; but is wholly void and ineffective for any purpose." (16 Am Jur Vol. 2, sec 177, 256) "No legislature can bargain away the public health or the public morals. The people themselves cannot do it. much less their servants." (Orleans Gas Co v. Louisiana Light Co ,115 U.S. 650 (1885)). NO legislature has authority to add on to any Constitution in such a way that would take away, infringe upon or regulate rights previously guaranteed. - Amendment 9.

~~~~~

CONGRESS DECLARES BIBLE "THE WORD OF GOD, Public Law 97-280, 96 stat 1211"  
Oct 4 1982 & Executive Order 6100 of Sept 22 1990. this is violating the god given right to my god given responsibility and in conflict with biblical principles as relating to law. Including U.S. Constitution - Article 1 Section 10

Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions, which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property...and is regarded as UNALIENABLE." 16 C.J.S., Constitutional Law, Sect.202, p.987.

Lawful,,Due proses is Constitutional the private American side 1866 civil rights act to protect lawful Americans from there Elected and public Employees,,Judicial proses Fraud and treasonous Fraud appone the Court, Constitutional lawfulness court proceeding of a jury of 12, jury nullification,,,, Judicial proses Democracy Courts is the defato of 1871,

Many of our people seem to believe that their state government has jurisdiction to stop the common law Grand Juries. However, the state government only has authority over statutory (ie. State) law, not common law. The common law of England was used to establish the U.S. Constitution, so it existed before it and, thus, it is superior to it. The common law is time immemorial.

The state government did not create the common law, so it has no authority to abolish it or control it, unless we allow ourselves to be tricked to putting common law under statutory law, where it's "their house, their rules." However, if we operate outside the statutory rules by invoking common law, no state government has the authority or jurisdiction to dictate, control or abolish what we do. They only have authority to enforce our decisions for their employment.

If the U.S. Supreme Court acknowledged the authority of the common law Grand Jury

(U.S. v. Williams), why would the state have authority to counter that opinion? The common law is superior to all statutory law, and we must only invoke it in the right way to have superior standing. We need to stop putting the common law and the Grand Juries underneath their inferior statutory laws. The people (singular AND plural) have the ultimate authority!

Includes all Agency's , Elected and public employees Non-for profits, foreign agents, Churches As well

By the great weight of authority it is acknowledged that generally "public officials" are not immune from suit when they allegedly violate the civil rights of citizens, and that a "public official's" defense of immunity is to be sparingly applied in these kinds of cases. James v. Ogilvie, 1970, DC Ill., 310 F. Sup. 661, 663. Title 18 241-242 .Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any state or territory, subjects or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, equity, or other proper proceeding for redress. (Civil Rights) 42 U.S.C. 1963.  
AS Result of any Commune law violations.

45-5-202. Aggravated assault. (1) A person commits the offense of aggravated assault if the person purposely or knowingly causes serious bodily injury to another or purposely or knowingly, with the use of physical force or contact, causes reasonable apprehension of serious bodily injury or death in another. (2) A person convicted of aggravated assault shall be imprisoned in the state prison for a term not to exceed 20 years and may be fined not more than \$50,000, except as provided in 46-18-219 and 46-18-222, This includes conspiring with an agency ,

That an officer or employee of a state or one of its subdivisions is deemed to be acting under "color of law" as to those deprivations of right committed in the fulfillment of the tasks and obligations assigned to him. Monroe v. Pape, 1961, 365 U.S. 167. (Civil Rights)

45-5-213. Assault with weapon. (1) A person commits the offense of assault with a weapon if the person purposely or knowingly causes:

(a) bodily injury to another with a weapon; or  
(b) reasonable apprehension of serious bodily injury in another by use of a weapon or what reasonably appears to be a weapon.

(2) (a) Subject to the provisions of subsection (2)(b), a person convicted of assault with a weapon shall be imprisoned in the state prison for a term not to exceed 20 years or be fined not more than \$50,000, or both.

45-5-208. Negligent endangerment – penalty. (1) A person who negligently engages in conduct that creates a substantial risk of death or serious bodily injury to another commits the offense of negligent endangerment.

(2) A person convicted of the offense of negligent endangerment shall be fined an amount not to exceed \$1,000 or imprisoned in the county jail for a term not to exceed 1 year, or both.

45-5-203. Intimidation. (1) A person commits the offense of intimidation when, with the purpose to cause another to perform or to omit the performance of any act, the person communicates to another, under circumstances that reasonably tend to produce a fear that it will be carried out, a threat to perform without lawful authority any of the following acts:

(a) inflict physical harm on the person threatened or any other person;  
(b) subject any person to physical confinement or restraint; or  
(c) commit any felony.

(2) A person commits the offense of intimidation if the person knowingly communicates a threat or false report of a pending fire, explosion, or disaster that would endanger life or property.

(3) A person convicted of the offense of intimidation shall be imprisoned in the state prison for any term not to exceed 10 years or be fined an amount not to exceed \$50,000, or both.

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any state or territory, subjects or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.(Civil Rights) 42 U.S.C. 1963.

Title 42, Section 1983 has been held to provide a civil action to protect persons against misuse of power possessed by virtue of state law. "Was clothed with the authority of the state." Davis v. Johnson, 1955 DC Ill. 138 F.Sup., 572; Jobson v. Henne, 1966 Ca. 2 NY 355 F. 2d 139.

~~~~~  
Marbury v. Madison, 5 US 137: "The Constitution of these United States is the supreme law of the land. Any law that is repugnant to the Constitution is null and void of law."

Murdock v. Penn., 319 US 105: "No state shall convert a liberty into a privilege, license it, and attach a fee to it."

Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham, 373 US 262: "If the state converts a liberty into a privilege, the citizen can engage in the right with impunity."

U.S. v. Bishop, 412 US 346: If you have relied on prior decisions of the supreme Court, you have the perfect defense for willfulness.

Owen v. Independence, 100 S.C.T. 1398, 445 US 622: "Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a Constitutional right, from liability. For they are deemed to know the law."

Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 1974: Expounds upon Owen Byers v. U.S., 273 U.S. 28 Unlawful search and seizure. Your rights must be interpreted in favor of the citizen.

Boyd v. U.S., 116 U.S. 616: "The court is to protect against any encroachment of Constitutionally secured liberties."

Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436: "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation, which would abrogate them."

Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425: "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed."

Miller v. U.S., 230 F.2d. 486, 489: "The claim and exercise of a Constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime."

Brady v. U.S., 397 U.S. 742, 748: "Waivers of Constitutional Rights, not only must they be voluntary, they must be knowingly intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness." "If men, through fear, fraud, or mistake, should in terms renounce or

give up any natural right, the eternal law of reason and the grand end of society would absolutely vacate such renunciation. The right to freedom being a gift of ALMIGHTY GOD, it is not in the power of man to alienate this gift and voluntarily become a slave." -Samuel Adams, 1772

United States v. Sandford, Fed. Case No.16, 221 (C.Ct.D.C. 1806): "In the early days of our Republic, 'prosecutor' was simply anyone who voluntarily went before the grand Jury with a complaint."

Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401 (1958): "No state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it."

The Supreme Court ruled that Municipalities cannot exert any acts of ownership and control over property that is not OWNED by them, see Palazzolo v. Rhode Island 533 US 606, 150 L.Ed. 2d 592, 121 S.Ct. ____ (2001) (no expiration date on the taking clause for City's illegal enforcement of its Codes on the man's private property and restricting the man's business), affirming both Lucas v South Carolina Coastal Council, 505 US 1003, 120 L.Ed. 2d 798 (1992). (butterfly activists and Code Enforcement cannot restrict development of the man's private swampland unless they lawfully acquire the land FIRST, surveying with binoculars constitutes a "takings"), and Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes, 526 US 687 (1999), 143 L.Ed. 2d 882 S.Ct. ____ (1998).

In the Monterey case, the California private property owner was awarded \$8 million for Code Enforcement's illegal trespass and restriction of his business, and another \$1.45 million for the aggravation of a forced sale. No Game Read all an pass on,, Police Departments are registered as NON-PROFIT CORPORATIONS, piracy <<http://occupycorporatism.com/illegal-police-department-activity-threaten-to-bankrupt-counties-nationwide/>>

ENFORCEMENT OF CITY/COUNTY CODES PROHIBITED. California Law prohibits Cities and Counties from enforcing City or County Codes and Ordinances upon property that is not ...

www.usavsus.info/EnforcementNotAllowed.htm

The Sixth Amendment does not require a licensed attorney, but rather a competent advocate sufficiently learned in the law who is able to, and does adequately represent the defendant at trial." Blanton v. U.S., 896 F.Supp. 1451, at 1463 (April 15, 1995) citing Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, at 687, 104 S.Ct. At 2064, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984) and United States v. Whitesel, 543 F.2d 1176 (6th Cir. 1976), cert. denied, 431 U.S. 967, 97 S.Ct. 2924, 53 L.Ed.2d 1062 (1977).

Exodus 20:16 "You shall not give false testimony against your neighbor."

However, I am only offering my services as a "legal researcher" and "legal consultant" in a "of counsel" capacity and as a "paralegal."

Everyone has a 6th Amendment Right to Defend in Person and when you are defending yourself in your own case, you are acting as your own attorney and you have a right to hire anyone you want to be your "para-legal" and to provide you the "effective assistance of counsel" as envisioned by the Sixth Amendment.

"Simply disseminating legal information is not the unauthorized practice of law." Oregon State Bar v. Smith, 942 P.2d 793 (Or. Ct. App. 1977).

The Output Conclusion of legislation will be bonded and become a valid and lawful statute thereby, only if the bonding company finds that:

1. the definitions of the terms used in the conclusion are bonded,
2. the principles used in the conclusion are bonded,
3. the logic used in the conclusion is bonded,
4. the conclusion has been presented to the public, has been negatively criticized because of its construction or effect, then, the conclusion has been returned to the analysis and logic stage to test and justify its construction and effect, and
5. the legislated conclusion, after it has been subjected to public scrutiny and further analysis, is economically feasible for a wager on its public application. If it survives this last step, the conclusion is said to be perfected for legislative bonding, and becomes a judiciable statute [FN: "A legislative conclusion becomes a valid and lawful statute only if it is legislatively bonded."]

An official, officer or clerk will not be bonded:

1. if he uses the power of his public office, or his position in that office, or his power of enforcement
 - A. to harass or to oppress a citizen, or
 - B. to create, obstacles to prevent a citizen from exercising his remedies by the due course/due process of law.
2. if he deprives or hinders a citizen in the free exercise of rights guaranteed or of the equal protection of the law guaranteed by the constitution of the state by which the officer is employed, or guaranteed by the National constitution or of the state into which the officer's work takes him.
3. if he interferes in a citizen's U.S. constitutional first (so-called) amendment
 - A. legislative rights of freedom of religion,
 - B. Judicative rights of freedom of speech and freedom of the press (the right to access the court of public opinion), and/or
 - C. Executive rights to peaceably assemble and petition the government for a redress of grievances (i.e., file civil and criminal complaints-especially against malfeasant public officials).
4. FN "If he will not file or receive the filing of a criminal" complaint [no filing fee is required] against a public official. which such is necessary to curb the malfeasance of that official." (See also - Bonding of District Attorneys, infra.)

The bonding of statutes which require natural persons (non-incorporated persons) to purchase insurance, must be very carefully analyzed, and be regarded with the utmost caution. As a general rule, it is against the law for any entity to compel any citizen to pay any wager or premium for the privilege of not being injured or for the privilege of not being threatened with injury (Protection Insurance Racketeering). [Footnote: U.S. R.I.C.O. Laws]

Corporations may be required by the state in which they are incorporated, to purchase public hazard insurance because the corporation, being an artificial/paper person (a legal fiction), is regarded as having no conscience other than the state, making the state as a silent partner of the corporation, financially responsible for the acts of the corporation. (That which the liege-lord giveth, the liege-lord taketh away.) When the benefit which the state gives to the corporation is limited liability, which is a limited commercial responsibility to the commercial public, to a reasonable extent, then the state must protect the commercial public to a reasonable extent from a potential lack of commercial responsibility of the corporation or from a tendency toward a potential lack of commercial responsibility of the corporation, by requiring the corporation to purchase hazard bonding. This requirement protects the public from some losses, and protects the state from some civil liability, by a showing of commercial good faith action. Also states need civil malpractice insurance. This sort of insurance comes from "above", from interstate insurance companies and international maritime insurance companies such as Rothschild, so, some states prostitute their

legislative power as an inducement to get insurance companies to give them a better payment rate for their own malpractice insurance coverage premiums for their own corporate activities, by compelling citizens to purchase motor vehicle insurance.

A city, county, state or federal district attorney (including a U.S. district attorney called a "U.S. Attorney") shall lose his bonding and shall not be bonded:

1. if he refuses to properly identify himself to the citizen when asked to do so, including giving the citizen the name and address (or telephone number) of his bonding company and his bond policy number (bond number),
2. if he fails or refuses to receive, for filing, a criminal complaint from a citizen against a citizen or an official,
3. if he refused to mark or stamp the citizen's confirmed (compare with original) copy of the citizen's complaint with any of the following:

A. "Received"

B. name of receiving office

C. date

D. time

E. signature or initial of receiving clerk or official, so that the citizen can have an official receipt for delivery of his complaint;

4. if he fails or refuses to make a reasonably diligent effort to process the citizen's complaint (42 USC 1986),

5. if he fails or refuses to see to it that the citizen's complaint is placed in the right hands for processing and/or answering, (return)

6. if he does not make every effort to make sure that the complaining party knows of the status or location of the complaint in the legal system, and does not give the complainant written notice of the same when it is possible.

A prosecuting attorney shall lose his bonding, shall not be bonded, and shall be deemed unbondable:

1. if he refuses to prosecute a complaint when it is possible to do so, regardless of whom the complaint is against,

2. if he resorts to "selective prosecution," i.e., any excuse of immunity for an official in order to protect a malfeasant official from prosecution,

3. if he resorts to "selective prosecution" i.e., false or malicious prosecution of a citizen, in order to punish or destroy a citizen for attempting to have a malfeasant official prosecuted.

A judge shall lose his bonding, shall not be bonded, and shall be deemed unbondable:

1. if he fails to protect the U.S. national constitutionally guaranteed remedies of due process and the equal protection of the laws of any citizen appearing in his court of law, or of any citizen appearing in any court of the county in which he works whose case may come to his attention.

It is not necessary for a non-incorporated lawyer or amicus curiae (friend of the court) to be bonded. But a lawyer or an amicus curiae, if he chose to be bonded, shall lose his bond and shall not be bonded:

1. if he uses his involuntary intervention to interfere with constitutional due process,

2. if he does not speak and act openly for the best interests of both opposing adverse parties, even if paid by one party and sits as counsel to that party. An amicus curiae may favor the cause of one side of an action, but must serve the due process of both sides of an action in order to be of service to the system of law as a whole. If the judge is acting in insurrection and rebellion against the U.S. Constitution, and the judge shows no signs of amending his ways (correcting his court procedure), it is usually best for the amicus curiae to file a notice of

criminal malpractice (malfeasance) with the court administrator, and with the bonding company in person, by fax, or by telephone to immediately establish reversible error and. civil damage in the case.

A government official, officer or clerk shall lose their bond, shall not be bonded, and shall be deemed unbondable:

1. if he fails to answer, or fails to require an answer to, a citizen's complaint, and affidavit of information categorically point for-point, except that, where criminal accusations are made, he shall have the right to remain silent, or allow silence (non-answer) as a protection against selfincrimination. Otherwise, the ordinary rule is, "An affidavit un rebutted stands as the truth."

2. If he knowingly imprisons, or keeps as a prisoner, a citizen in violation of that citizen's U.S. constitutionally secured rights and equal protection of the law. The offense shall repeat the application of pertinent remedy statutes each and every twenty-four(24) hours.

3. if he refuses a prisoner the materials and information necessary for the prisoner to defend, acquit or vindicate himself. The offense shall repeat the application of the pertinent remedy statutes each and every twenty-four (24) hours.

NOTE: If an officer or clerk who has lost his bond, gives aid and comfort to a citizen or to a prisoner deprived as described under this chapter, and shall prove himself genuine, the same shall recover his bondability.

In all complaints of a citizen against a public law enforcement officer, the complaining citizen has the general responsibility of protecting the general enforcement of the laws by giving every opportunity of grace and escape to the officer complained about. The complainant must always remain sensitive to the fact that a law enforcement officer is constantly subject to the most psychologically demanding emergency situations and the most dangerous social combinations, and must be given every benefit of the doubt so that he can survive his daily work

~~~~~  
According to The constitutional law , Declaration of Independence, Bill of rights I am endowed by my Creator with these as "unalienable" rights. In other words, these rights can not be taken away.

~~~~~  
This 7 day notice is to inform the Officers of the Lincoln County Court House, that they are in Violation of the Common-Law Rights of All Oregonians & Lincoln-County People, as recognizable under not only under County & State law, but also under the US-Code, in Title-5, at Sections 2906 3331, & 3333 also a Breach of peace and trespass. Edward Malone Johnston II of the Boyd family-name life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness, in in-violation of Susc2906-3331-3333 also a Breach of peace and trespass of Born constitutional rights, civil rights 1866 1963 1983, bill of rights , declaration of independence , Oregon constitutional rights.

~~~~~  
Title 28 USC 47-3402. Signature by representative CAVEAT!!!!!!!!!!!!!! A. If a person acting, or purporting to act, as a representative signs an instrument by signing either the name of the represented person or the name of the signer, the represented person is bound by the signature to the same extent the represented person would be bound if the signature were on a simple contract. If the represented person is bound, the signature of the representative is the "authorized signature of the represented person" and the represented person is liable on the instrument, whether or not identified in the instrument. B. If a representative signs the name of the representative to an instrument and the signature is an authorized signature of the represented person, the following rules apply: 1. If the form of the signature shows unambiguously that the



signature is made on behalf of the represented person who is identified in the instrument, the representative is not liable on the instrument. 2. Subject to subsection C, if the form of the signature does not show unambiguously that the signature is made in a representative capacity or the represented person is not identified in the instrument, the representative is liable on the instrument to a holder in due course that took the instrument without notice that the representative was not intended to be liable on the instrument. With respect to any other person, the representative is liable on the instrument unless the representative proves that the original parties did not intend the representative to be liable on the instrument.

~~~~~

Right to Travel – Page One - How to Beat a Traffic Ticket ...
ticketslayer.com/ts/rt2travel/ts.right_to_travel_page_1.htm Cached
Right to Travel Information : In Fond Memory of Charlie Sprinkle "The Goatman of Ojai" PAGE 1 OF 7 : For over thirty five years Charlie

~~~~~

The RICO Act is a federal law that gives extended penalties for organized crime. Under the RICO Act, a person can be charged with...  
[www.wisegeek.com/what-is-the-rico-act.htm](http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-the-rico-act.htm)

~~~~~

BLACKS LAW 3rd EDITION definition: state, n. 1. The political system of a body of people who are politically organized; the system of rules by which jurisdiction and authority are exercised over such a body of people. 2. An institution of self-government within a larger political entity; esp., nation having a federal government, 3. (often cap.) The people of a state, collectively considered as the party wronged by a criminal deed; esp. the prosecution as the representative of the people.

~~~~~

### **Footnotes:**

Just think of the attorneys that you know, "Do they abide by the letter and spirit of this oath?"

The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that Municipalities cannot exert any acts of ownership and control over property that is not OWNED by them, see *Palazzolo v. Rhode Island* 533 US 606, 150 L.Ed. 2d 592, 121 S.Ct. \_ (2001) (no expiration date on the taking clause for City's illegal enforcement of its Codes on the man's private property and restricting the man's business), affirming both *Lucas v South Carolina Coastal Council*, 505 US 1003, 120 L.Ed. 2d 798 (1992). (butterfly activists and Code Enforcement cannot restrict development of the man's private swampland unless they lawfully acquire the land FIRST, surveying with binoculars constitutes a "takings"), and *Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes*, 526 US 687 (1999), 143 L.Ed. 2d 882 S.Ct. \_\_\_\_ (1998).

Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C. 891-896, quoting Section 891: "An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property."

In the Monterey case, the California private property owner was awarded \$8 million for Code Enforcement's illegal trespass and restriction of his business, and another \$1.45 million for the aggravation of a forced sale.

<http://occupycorporatism.com/illegal-police-department-activity-threaten-to-bankrupt-counties-nationwide/>

ENFORCEMENT OF CITY/COUNTY CODES PROHIBITED. California Law prohibits Cities and Counties from enforcing City or County Codes and Ordinances upon property that is not Owned by the City or County, even if the property is within City limits.

[www.usavsus.info/EnforcementNotAllowed.htm](http://www.usavsus.info/EnforcementNotAllowed.htm)

"It is not the duty of the police to protect you. Their job is to protect the Corporation, Elected and public employees and arrest code breakers." (Sapp v. Tallahassee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, Reiff v. City of Philadelphia 477 F.Supp. 1262, Lynch v. N.C. Dept of Justice 376 S. E. 2nd. 247.)

Section 1951 also proscribes conspiracy to commit robbery or extortion without reference to the conspiracy statute at 18 U.S.C. § 371. Although the Hobbs Act was enacted as a statute to combat racketeering in labor-management disputes, the statute is frequently used in connection with cases involving public corruption, commercial disputes, and corruption directed at members of labor unions.

The Hobbs Act criminalizes both robbery and extortion, where:  
"robbery" means the unlawful taking or obtaining of personal property from the person or in the presence of another, against his will, and  
"extortion" means the obtaining of property from another, with his consent, induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official right.

18 USC § 1951 - Interference with commerce by threats or violence,,The Anti-Racketeering Act or Hobbs Act prescribes heavy criminal penalties for acts of robbery or extortion that affect interstate commerce.

9-131.010: Introduction This chapter focuses on the Hobbs Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951) which prohibits actual or attempted robbery or extortion affecting interstate or ...

Criminal Resource Manual 2403 Hobbs Act -- Extortion By Force

a) Whoever in any way or degree obstructs, delays, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in commerce, by robbery or extortion or attempts or conspires so to do, or commits or threatens physical violence to any person or property in furtherance of a plan or purpose to do anything in violation of this section shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both.

(b) As used in this section—

(1) The term "robbery" means the unlawful taking or obtaining of personal property from the person or in the presence of another, against his will, by means of actual or threatened force, or violence, or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person or property, or property in his custody or possession, or the person or property of a relative or member of his family or of anyone in his company at the time of the taking or obtaining.

(2) The term "extortion" means the obtaining of property from another, with his consent, induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official right.

(3) The term "commerce" means commerce within the District of Columbia, or any Territory or Possession of the United States; all commerce between any point in a State, Territory, Possession, or the District of Columbia and any point outside thereof; all commerce between points within the same State through any place outside such State; and all other commerce over which the United States has jurisdiction.

(c) This section shall not be construed to repeal, modify or affect section 17 of Title 15, sections 52, 101–115, 151–166 of Title 29 or sections 151–188 of Title 45.