

The purported laws in the complaints, which the movant is said to have violated, are referenced to various laws found printed in the Georgia "Statutes" books. I have looked up the laws charged against me in these books and found no enacting clause for any of these laws. A citizen is not expected or required to search through others records or books for the enacting authority. If such enacting authority is not "on the face" of the laws which are referenced in a complaint, then "they are not laws of this state", and thus are not laws to which I am subject.

**Laws Must be Published and Recorded with Enacting Clauses**, Joiner v. State supra.

The historical usage and application of an enacting clause has been for them to be printed and published along with the body of the law, thus appearing "on the face" of the law

**CONCLUSION AND RECTUM ROGARE**

**WHEREAS**, the facts and the law contained herein are before this court. an **WHEREAS**. The facts and the law contained herein are the truth: and whereas, we holds said Truths to be self-evident; and, **WHEREAS**, self-evident Truths are undisputed and incontrovertible, no oral argument is requested, for no words can alter or overcome these Truths ; and **WHEREAS**, Truth is Sovereign; She comes from God and bears His Message, from whatever quarter; **THEREFORE** this must perform its duty under the Rules of law, do Justice, Rectum Rogare, and **DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE**, the above-captioned case without delay for "Justice delayed is Justice denied.

**COMES NOW**, David Richard Sutherland with this **ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE AND DEMAND \: WRIT OF ERROR CORAM NOBIS MEMORANDUM IN LAW** and Files with Clerk of Superior Court of Chatham County Georgia

PLACED before the clerk of court on this \_\_\_\_\_ day in the \_\_\_\_\_ month of 2012.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Sui juris

David Richard Sutherland  
2792 hwy 80  
Garden City Georgia 31408