* in propria persona
* Hey Patrick. I commented on your post about the DWI. In case you don't see it, I'm copying it here for you. I've been studying this stuff for a couple of years now. My daughter has gotten out of all kinds of trouble just by reserving her rights and not consenting to contract with the fuckers. a lawyer will get you nowhere. They work for the fraudulent system. Facts: 1. if you weren't making money operating a motor vehicle, you were not driving, so you can't be DWI. Driving is a commercial act, you were 'traveling'. 2. The fraudulent has no jurisdiction over the free living American. They only have authority of your corporate legal fiction or 'strawman'. You are not that PERSON. 3. There is no injured party. The State is not an injured party. without an injured party, there is no crime....says the Supreme court. 4. Reserve your rights on the record in court and do not consent UCC 1-308.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/3, 12:55am
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* 1. I, Patrick, am a free living inhabitant of the land known as the (several) united states of America. I am NOT the PERSON NAMED on this offer to contract, (aka Notice of Arraignment).
* \* "If the Christian name be wholly mistaken, this is regularly fatal to all legal instruments..." A New Abridgement of the Law, Matthew Bacon, Vol. III (1846).  
  \* "The omission of one's Christian name by either plaintiff or defendant in a legal process prevents the court from acquiring jurisdiction, …" - Bovier's Law Dictionary, 8th ed., pg. 2287
* 2. The PERSON NAMED as Defendent is a LEGAL FICTION created by the STATE. I am the living beneficiary of that account. I do NOT CONSENT, RECOGNIZE, or CONTRACT with the "State" or any agents of this court.
* \* "The state can only tax and regulate something it created" (THE PERSON or corporation that was created when I was born) Ward v. Maryland, 12 Wallace 418  
  \* "The State Citizen is immune from any and all government attacks and procedure, absent contract." Dred Scott vs. Sanford, 60 U.S. (19 How.) 393.
* 3. I, the living breathing man, am a sovereign creation of GOD. I RESERVE ALL of my natural and unalienable RIGHTS endowed by my Creator, without prejudice.
* \* UCC 1-308
* 4. "State" is named as plaintiff in this action. "State" is not an injured party (Corpus delecti). "State" has suffered no loss from my alleged actions. "State" has no first hand knowledge of accusations and therefore can not be an "accuser" or plaintiff. This also violates my right to 'face my accuser' since the 'State' is a faceless fictional corporate entity.
* \* “As a general principal, standing to invoke the judicial process requires an actual justiciable controversy as to which the complainant has a real interest in the ultimate adjudication because he or she has either suffered or is about to suffer an injury. ” People v. Superior Court, 126 Cal.Rptr.2d 793.
* 5. I, Patrick, the free living American, do not submit to the jurisdiction or authority of the Paulding County municipal corporation, nor it's agents. I deny its lawful authority over the free sovereign American People, of which I am one.
* \* "The law provides that once State and Federal jurisdiction has been challenged, it must be proven." Main v. Thiboutot, 100 S. Ct. 2502 (1980).  
  \* "Where there is absence of jurisdiction, all administrative and judicial proceedings are a nullity and confer no right, offer no protection, and afford no justification, and may be rejected upon direct collateral attack." Thompson v. Tolmie, 2 Pet. 157, 7 L. Ed. 381; Griffith v. Frazier, 8 Cr. 9, 3L. Ed. 471.  
  \* "No sanctions can be imposed absent proof of jurisdiction." Standard v. Olsen, 74 S. Ct. 768; Title 5 U.S.C., Sec. 556 and 558 (b).  
  \* Jurisdiction, once challenged, is to be proven, not by the court, but by the party attempting to assert jurisdiction. The burden of proof of jurisdiction lies with the asserter. The court is only to rule on the sufficiency of the proof tendered. See, McNutt v. General Motors Acceptance Corp, 298 U.S. 178 (1936). The origins of this doctrine of law may be found in MAXFIELD v. LEVY, 4 U.S. 330 (1797), 4 U.S. 330 (Dall.) 2 Dall. 381 2 U.S. 381 1 L.Ed. 424
* 6. I am surrendering the PERSON/ Birth Certificate indicated on the 'Notice of Arraignment' so that this matter may be discharged.
* All rights reserved, without prejudice, Patrick of the Samples family
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/3, 12:55am
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* Here is a magic trick that works if you want an easy way out..<http://mikiverselaw.blogspot.com/.../beat-law-how-to-get>...
* My daughter has used this^^ 3 times already. It works like a charm. Even when she messed up and let them intimidate her into contracting/consenting, I just filed a letter of rescission and the case disappears.
* Let me know if you need some help.
* November 3
* Patrick Samples
* 11/3, 9:01pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Thank you! I will look over this.
* Patrick Samples
* 11/3, 9:30pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* How would this affect my financial aid?
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/3, 9:38pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* It shouldn't. your financial aid is to your strawman. Isn't it in all capital letters? and it is by your consent and willingness to contract. The Government is not our government of the People anymore. It's a corporation and they operate under Private commercial Law. They can only deal with other corpoarate/commercial fictions.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/3, 9:40pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* remember money isn't real. what is real is your labor and this is a slavery racket.
* Patrick Samples
* 11/3, 9:45pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/3, 9:45pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* You are the beneficiary
* November 6
* Patrick Samples
* 11/6, 1:51pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* i found 'Title 18 § 31' where it defines 'motor vehicle' as a commecial device.. but the definitions are different in the state penal code.. where does it state that the US Code definitions supersedes the state definitions?
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/6, 4:15pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* I haven't found that either, but subsidiaries of the USCorporation must follow their codes. Supreme court says so. I can give you the case ruling when I get home. I have a lotvof stuff on my home pc.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/6, 4:19pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* If you want to try to fight it on their terms, you will probly lose. They dont play fair. IMO your best bet is to go into court, reserve your rights on the record and state that you dont consent. Then just leave. If you want, handing them the birth certificate would render them powerless. Seriously.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/6, 4:21pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* Its all about jurisdiction. If you consent to their fraudulent authority, then you will have to fight and they dont fight fair.
* What state do you live in?
* November 6
* Patrick Samples
* 11/6, 9:03pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Texas
* I think it is called Federal Preemption
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/6, 9:15pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* I was just looking at the notes of a sovereign guy in Cali that has gotten out of years of shit
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/6, 9:24pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* All statutes and codes are for PERSONS. Read any code, it only applies to PERSONS, which is a legal fiction created by the state. You are not that PERSON. There is a law axiom that says what one creates, one controls. They cannot control YOU because they did not Create (author) you, they only have jurisdiction of your legal corporate fiction (strawman) Reserve your rights as a living breathing man. As stated in the Decl of Independence. government derives it's power from the consent of the governed. No consent- no power- no jurisdiction.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/6, 9:43pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* "Driver -- One employed in conducting a coach, carriage, wagon, or other vehicle ..." Bovier's Law Dictionary, 1914 ed., Pg. 3307
* November 7
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/7, 7:21pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* I'm sorry I was a little out of it last night, I had a couple of margaritas with dinner. lol Anyway, I have a shitload of case law supporting your defense, if you want to consent to their jurisdiction and take your chances with the corrupt court system. Their words sound like common English, but they have different 'legal' meanings. So if you don't know their language, they already have the upperhand. This is fraudulent because no contract is valid without full disclosure of all facts, and all parties knowingly and willingly entering the contract without duress. Being threatened with extortion and jail is duress.
* Honestly, I'm telling you how my daughter got out of her shit, she basicly did what it said in that article I sent you "Beat the Law, Get Diplomatic Immunity" ....just go to court, (you can even go up to the stand/microphone) and ask "are we on the record?" then say "I, Patrick, reserve all of rights, without prejudice, UCC 1-308, and I do not consent" Then just leave. Even if they intimidate you and try to bluff you, don't worry, it's all a trick. They are trying to trick you into contracting by using 'legalese'. Don't answer to a LAST NAME. Only strawmen have last names. They threatened to arrest Amber right there in court for failure to appear. lmao. So since they threatened and coerced her to contract, the contract was invalid. One thing to remember, any time you aign anything, always write "without prejudice" before your signature. This is your protection.   
  She also had her birth certificate and said "Let the record show I am surrendering the PERSON and birth certifcate." She tried to give it to the DA, he wouldn't touch it lol. So the next day, we filed this letter into her case file along with her birth certificate and the case disappeared. Here is the letter addressed to the clerk of court:
* Notice to principle is notice to agent.   
   Notice to agent is notice to principle. To: Txxxxxxx Xxxxxxxx From: Amber drinnon,  
   Clerk of SUPERIOR COURT, a non-corporate entity  
   PAULDING COUNTY, GA Regarding the matter of   
   8700 Hospital Drive case # 14-CR-000459  
   Dallas, Georgia 30132
* Dear Sir or Madam,
* Let it be known as a matter of public record that...
* I, Amber, am a natural free living woman on the land of the Republic of America.   
  I am a natural inhabitant of the land, a Divine Child of the Creator. I do not submit to any foreign jurisdictional authority operating in the air or water, under a system of Private International Law. I do not understand that law nor it's language. It is foreign to me.
* I reserve all of my fundamental natural, universal and unalienable rights under common law, prejudice none, at all times in all places and I waive no rights at any time or in any place. I remove myself from the unjust provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code and other commercial codes which are contradictory to or not in harmony with my rights and justice.   
   I do not consent to be a commercial bond slave or indentured servant for this foreign court to register as a commodity for it's investment system (C.R.I.S.).
* On June 3rd, 2014, I was threatened and coerced to consent and forced to contract with the PAULDING COUNTY CORPORATION under duress, while being deprived of my liberty, compelling my submission to the false authority of it's commercial legal system.   
   A lawful contract is between consenting parties agreeing to ALL terms with full disclosure, and without coercion, threats or duress.  
     
   ”Consent in law is more than mere formal act of the mind. It is an act unclouded by fraud, duress, or sometimes even mistake.” Butler v. Collins, 12 Calif., 157. 463.
* I, Amber, hereby exercise my right to rescind any agreement, contract or consent unknowingly or unwillingly entered by me under duress. Any contract entered into by me under duress, fraud by non-disclosure of it's true intent, coercion or threat of violence, or any agreement made by me while in a mental or emotional state that rendered me incapable of making a sound conscious choice to do so, is hereby rescinded and is null and void of any force, without prejudice.
* The State's own Georgia Code Title 13, Section 13-4-60 reads: "A contract may be rescinded at the instance of the party defrauded; but, in order to rescind, the defrauded party must promptly, upon discovery of the fraud, restore or offer to restore to the other party whatever he has received by virtue of the contract if it is of any value."   
     
   Please note the following Supreme Court ruling:   
  "In as much as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them." (Doan's Administrators vs. Penhallow, 3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54, Supreme Court of the United States 1795)
* Bovier's Law Dictionary, 8th ed., pg. 2287 reads:  
   "The omission of one's Christian name by either plaintiff or defendant in a legal process prevents the court from acquiring jurisdiction, …"
* The notice that I was given regarding this case does not state my Christian name anywhere upon or therein. It only states the NAME of a LEGAL FICTION created by the STATE of GEORGIA. I am NOT that legal fiction.   
   I am NOT the PERSON, AMBER STARR DRINNON, as NAMED on these charges. I am NOT the all-capital letter NAME appearing as surety on any bond such as the Birth Certificate. I am the Beneficiary, a free living breathing woman, created by God the Creator, commonly known as Amber, of the drinnon family.  
   God alone created me and by "Right of Creation", He alone controls me. It is the nature of Law that what one creates, one controls.
* I am surrendering the PERSON and Birth Certificate to the Clerk of SUPERIOR COURT of PAULDING COUNTY GA and I waive the benefits so that this matter and all others may be discharged and settled.
* \* Delivery of this notice to the SUPERIOR COURT of PAULDING COUNTY shall not be construed as consent to jurisdiction.
* With Reservation of All Rights, Remedies and Applicable Treaties, without prejudice UCC 1-308,
* Amber drinnon,  
   a non-corporate entity  
     
   X\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  Verified by: Date\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  Notary  
  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/7, 7:24pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* I don't know how much you know about the fraudulent corporate government masquerading as the government of the People, but here are some really good things to know, just to get an understanding of their system and how they deceive us into becoming enslaved by it...   
  Knowledge is power.
* <http://theunjustmedia.com/Banking%20&%20Federal%20Reserve/The%20united%20states%20Of%20America%20%20is%20a%20corporation%20owned%20by%20fore.htm>
* <http://www.angelfire.com/az/sthurston/officeoftheperson.html>
* <http://www.yourstrawman.com/>
* <http://wakeup-world.com/2014/02/08/if-a-person-is-a-legal-entity-whats-your-real-identity/>
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/7, 7:32pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* It may be easier to communicate by email, if you want to give me your email address, I will send you what I have. Plus, it might be helpful to follow this guy: He's a sovereign living in Cali, he's learned all kinds of good stuff and has used it to rescind everything he's been in trouble for back to10 years ago. He has some good notes... <https://www.facebook.com/TimothyTheory?fref=ts>
* 
* [**Timöthy Cūmmins**](https://www.facebook.com/TimothyTheory)
* Went to [Fallbrook Union High School](https://www.facebook.com/pages/Fallbrook-Union-High-School/112165582133202)
* November 8
* Patrick Samples
* 11/8, 12:32pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* ptrakk@gmail.com Thank you! You have given me so much strength and confidence. I have 12 more days until my court date. So I should just leave the court? what if i become detained? also if I give up my birth certificate could i get another or do i even need it?
* November 8
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/8, 11:40pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* You've got mail
* November 9
* Patrick Samples
* 11/9, 7:17pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Patrick Samples
* 11/9, 7:27pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Hmm I went to my arraignment and have a court appointed lawyer already
* November 9
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/9, 11:33pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* what date was that? and did you sign anything in court? if so, do you have a copy of what you signed? Since you've already been to court, you can file a rescission letter along with your birth certificate in with your case at the clerk's office. If you want to do that, I can help you write it. Then you may not even HAVE to go back to court, unless you just go anyway to reserve your rights on the record. That's what my friend did. She had a lawyer and was about to go to trial. Jurisdiction can be challenged at anytime, even after conviction.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/9, 11:41pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* did you plea?
* November 10
* Patrick Samples
* 11/10, 5:46pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* even after conviction I have a terroristic threat charge from back in the day (an arguement with my stepdad; no violence or property damage, etc) i don't remember if i plead it would have been the night i went to jail if anything.. also i went to my arraignment. i have trial on the 20th
* Patrick Samples
* 11/10, 6:28pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Wow some of these laws are mind blowing
* the gold and silver coin one especially
* dollars are not backed by gold or silver so that would mean a direct conflict with the constitution
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/10, 7:03pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* right. That,s because of the Bankruptcy Act of 1933. Constitution says all debts public and private to be paid through the US Treasury. The Federal Reserve isn't even a govt dept. All money is a promissory note...an IOU. it's theft and slavery of the people
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/10, 7:23pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* the birth certificate is a 'bond' it actually has value, and you are the beneficiary of it. the state is the trustee. they try to make people believe the state is beneficiary and you the trustee owe
* them.
* November 14
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/14, 10:11pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* Hi Patrick, I've been thinking of what you can do on the 20th when you go to court. I would do this... but it's your choice of course. It can't hurt, it can only help. If you would at least reserve your rights on the record "without prejudice" it will protect you. And you shouldn't sign anything. If you do, write "all rights reserved, without prejudice" before you sign it.
* "I'd like to say something."   
  (Don't ask permission to speak because you don't need permission from someone without authority over you. Be polite, but not submissive.)
* "Are we on the record?"   
  "Let the record show that I, Patrick, reserve all my rights as a living American, without prejudice, UCC 1-308, I withdraw my plea, and I do not consent."
* "By reserving my rights under U.C.C. 1-308 on the record, I am exercising the U.C.C. remedy for reserving my Common Law rights, including personal Liberty under the 13th Amendment, not to be compelled to perform under any contract or commercial agreement that I have not entered into Knowingly, Voluntarily, Intentionally, and with Informed Consent; and that notice is served upon all corporate government agents, that I have not, and will not accept the liability associated with the 'compelled benefit' of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement."
* That's really all you have to say. You have just rescinded any consent of jurisdiction.  
  (If the judge asks you anything, just say:) "I don't understand."   
  Remember they speak legalese and their words sound like common english, but they have different meanings. 'Understand' means you submit to their authority. 'Recognize' means to contract. Whatever you do, don't understand or recognize anybody. lol
* (It is your 6th amendment right to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation.)
* (You can also invoke your 7th amendment right to a trial by jury at common law. By the rules of common law, there must be an injured party.)
* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*  
   Amendment VI -  
  In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.
* Amendment VII -   
  In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.  
  \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*
* (This is the best advice I can give you at this point, this may postpone the trial (continuance), but that is good, it will give you more time to file any notices and get yourself out of their jurisdiction, if you haven't already done so by filing a notice and your birth certificate.)
* Good luck, God bless, let me know how it goes.
* November 19
* Patrick Samples
* 11/19, 9:53am
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* Thank you. God bless. will do
* I have been studying diligently
* Patrick Samples
* 11/19, 10:11am
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* How do I answer the question, "were you operating a vehicle under the influence?" or "were you high?" or "did you admit you were high to the officer?" , etc.
* November 19
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 2:28pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* "I dont understand" or... if you are not going to invoke your 5th, and not withdraw your consent and challenge jurisdiction,   
   you can say "no...not according to Black's Law dictionary's of "driver" you must be employed, receiving compensation to be "driving" , so no I was not driving under the influence. I was traveling in a private automobile."
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 2:34pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* Before trial even begins, be sure to reserve your rights.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 2:50pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* I don't know the situation, but if the officer testifies, he could lie. They do that because they are pigs. You could also answer with "can anything I say be used against me?" Then "I invoke my 5th amendment right" but then they will just believe the pig. I still say the best chance you have is to withdraw your consent
* Patrick Samples
* 11/19, 4:40pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* okay. I couldn't get it notarized. I went to three different notaries and they all said they have not done anything like that before..
* Patrick Samples
* 11/19, 5:01pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* also i just got good news
* my case has been extended to the 8th of next year
* there will be a new district clerk with no legal experience.. i wonder if i should send that letter before the old one, who has graduated from baylor and has beenclerk for 20 years.. or do i try my luck with the next clerk?
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 5:32pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* Lol. This is good news. Ive been praying for ya bro. The 8th of never you say? lol
* I'll get back to ya later. My batterry is dying
* Patrick Samples
* 11/19, 8:07pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* lol okay. if you come accross anything else, let me know
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 9:22pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* okay now, I have never had a problem getting anything notarized. That's crazy, I mean that's what notaries do...they verify signatures. That just doesn't make sense, If you have a bank account, your bank may have a notary that will do it. I get my stuff done at SunTrust bank. They do it free and I don't even have an account there.
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 9:23pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* I have done it at other banks too that I don't have an account at. If they ask, just tell them it's just a letter you want to file with the clerk of court.
* Patrick Samples
* 11/19, 9:52pm
* [**Patrick Samples**](https://www.facebook.com/impulsive.control)
* oh okay.. I was trying at the school here cause they were all free. how much are banks to do it?
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/19, 10:01pm
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* my bank does it free for account holders. some other banks do it free for anyone. some charge a couple dollars. Mail/shipping places usually have notaries, they charge like 5 bucks. Still worth it in the end tho
* November 20
* Dottie Jane Wise
* 11/20, 10:47am
* [**Dottie Jane Wise**](https://www.facebook.com/dottie.wise)
* Heres some good info I had saved...
* Here's Paul Rowe explaining it. DRIVER'S LICENSE 18 USC 31 PARAGRAPHS 6 & 10:  
  Paul Rowe I'm going to set this straight one more time. Dual capacity.  
  The license is for business, transporting persons or property for profit. When a cab driver or coal truck driver gets off work, they have a private life, and travel. If not transporting persons or property in commerce, they are not at work. Excepting the license does not waive the right to a private life after work. I beat 12 no insurance tickets at once with this argument, after the judge insisted he had jurisdiction over all my activities just because I had a license. He disqualified himself and stormed out of the court room, and the second judge disqualified himself and canceled my second hearing before a third judge they called  
  In from the capital dismissed by mail with no explanation  
  July 27 at 5:43pm · Edited · Like · 2  
  Paul Rowe Nothing In the contract says the right is surrendered in exchange for a privilege. The license permits regulation of commercial activities only. I had a license when I beat the no insurance tickets, and beat them because I was traveling, and not driving. Just because I bought the privilege to drive, does not mean I lose the right to travel. However, I can't claim the freedoms of travel if I should choose to drive, In commerce, transporting persons or property for profit.  
  July 27 at 5:25pm · Edited · Like  
  Paul Rowe When you are charged with, for instance, "driving without a license," they hope you focus on the license and skip over the driving part - the driving part of the charge is a conclusion of law, with out the alleged fact being substantiated or tried. It's a trick in most COMPOUND charges that goes unnoticed, when it should be objected to as unproven or unsubstantiated. You call for the probable cause hearing, call the officer, ask iff he knows what it means and then read the definition of driving, and ask him what gives him reason to believe you were transporting persons or property for profit? - And the judge kicks the case out.

[Sent from Mobile](https://www.facebook.com/mobile)