Sampling, confidence intervals, likelihood and Bayesian inference

Inference: from samples to population

We rarely measure the whole **population**, but take **samples**.

Then we make inferences from sample to population.



If we sample 30 trees in our neighbourhood...

Can we extrapolate results to

- · whole neighbourhood?
- · whole city?
- · whole country?
- · the world?

What's the **suitable population** to make inferences given this sample?

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Summarise $\boldsymbol{uncertainty}$ in parameter estimates.

- · Summarise uncertainty in parameter estimates.
- https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/(or here)

- · Summarise uncertainty in parameter estimates.
- https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/(or here)
- A 95% CI is **NOT** 95% likely to contain the true parameter value!

- · Summarise uncertainty in parameter estimates.
- https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/(or here)
- · A 95% CI is **NOT** 95% likely to contain the true parameter value!
- Instead, 95% of the CIs obtained with this sampling will contain the true value.

- · Summarise uncertainty in parameter estimates.
- https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/(or here)
- A 95% CI is **NOT** 95% likely to contain the true parameter value!
- Instead, 95% of the CIs obtained with this sampling will contain the true value.
- Like person who tells truth 95% of the time, but we can't tell if a particular statement is true.

- · Summarise uncertainty in parameter estimates.
- https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/(or here)
- A 95% CI is **NOT** 95% likely to contain the true parameter value!
- Instead, 95% of the CIs obtained with this sampling will contain the true value.
- Like person who tells truth 95% of the time, but we can't tell if a particular statement is true.
- · It's a frequentist, long-run property.

- · Summarise uncertainty in parameter estimates.
- https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/(or here)
- A 95% CI is **NOT** 95% likely to contain the true parameter value!
- Instead, 95% of the CIs obtained with this sampling will contain the true value.
- Like person who tells truth 95% of the time, but we can't tell if a particular statement is true.
- · It's a frequentist, long-run property.
- To read more: Morey et al (2015)

What happens if we increase sample size?

https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/

· CI width decreases...

What happens if we increase sample size?

https://rpsychologist.com/d3/CI/

- · CI width decreases...
- but still 5% of CIs will NOT contain true mean!

• There is a 95% probability that X lies between 120 and 150

- There is a 95% probability that X lies between 120 and 150
- We can be 95% confident that X lies between 120 and 150

- There is a 95% probability that X lies between 120 and 150
- We can be 95% confident that X lies between 120 and 150
- If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the time X would fall between 120 and 150

- There is a 95% probability that X lies between 120 and 150
- We can be 95% confident that X lies between 120 and 150
- If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the time X would fall between 120 and 150
- \cdot If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the CIs would contain the true value of X

- There is a 95% probability that X lies between 120 and 150
- We can be 95% confident that X lies between 120 and 150
- If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the time X would fall between 120 and 150
- \cdot If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the CIs would contain the true value of X
- The probability that X is greater than 0 is at least 95%

- There is a 95% probability that X lies between 120 and 150
- We can be 95% confident that X lies between 120 and 150
- If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the time X would fall between 120 and 150
- \cdot If we repeated the experiment, 95% of the CIs would contain the true value of X
- The probability that X is greater than 0 is at least 95%
- The probability that X equals 0 is smaller than 5%

Bayesian credible intervals

• Bayesian **credible** intervals do give the probability that true parameter value is contained within them.


Bayesian credible intervals


- Bayesian **credible** intervals do give the probability that true parameter value is contained within them.
- Frequentist CIs and Bayesian credible intervals can be similar, but not always.

Bayesian inference: prior, posterior, and likelihood

 $P(Unknown|Data) \propto P(Data|Unknown) \times P(Unknown)$


Posterior ∝ Likelihood × Prior






What is the likelihood?


$$L(\theta|x) = P(x|\theta)$$




https://seeing-theory.brown.edu/bayesian-inference/index.html


Bayesian inference: prior and likelihood produce posterior





With increasing sample size, likelihood dominates prior





· Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference

- · Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference
- · Bayesian Demo

- · Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference
- · Bayesian Demo
- · Bayesian inference for a population mean

- · Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference
- · Bayesian Demo
- · Bayesian inference for a population mean
- Normal

- · Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference
- · Bayesian Demo
- · Bayesian inference for a population mean
- Normal
- · Binomial

- · Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference
- · Bayesian Demo
- · Bayesian inference for a population mean
- Normal
- Binomial
- · Own data

- · Wagenmaker's first lesson in Bayesian inference
- · Bayesian Demo
- · Bayesian inference for a population mean
- Normal
- · Binomial
- · Own data
- Bayesian t-test

· Integrate information (prior)

- · Integrate information (prior)
- · Prior regularises unlikely estimates from data

- · Integrate information (prior)
- · Prior regularises unlikely estimates from data
- Large dataset -> prior effect diminishes


- · Integrate information (prior)
- · Prior regularises unlikely estimates from data
- Large dataset -> prior effect diminishes
- Uncertainty / Propagate errors

Uncertainty!

Even with same data, different teams reach different conclusions!

How does sibling competition affect nestling growth in blue tits?

Most teams found negative effect, but large variation in effect size. Some teams no or positive effect



73 teams testing the same hypothesis with the same data





"This reveals a **universe of uncertainty** that remains hidden when considering a single study in isolation"

"These results call for greater **epistemic humility** and **clarity in reporting** scientific findings"